

References

Chapter 1

- Andrews, L., 2017. How can we demonstrate the public value of evidence-based policy making when government ministers declare that the people ‘have had enough of experts’? *Palgrave Communications* 3 (11), 1–9.
- Ball, L., 2018. Explainer: What Is Evidence-Informed Policy-Making? Overseas Development Institute. <https://www.odi.org/comment/10592-explainer-what-evidence-informed-policy-making>. [Accessed 05 May 2020].
- Boykoff, M.T., Boykoff, J.M., 2007. Climate change and journalistic norms: a case-study of US mass-media coverage. *Geoforum* 38 (6), 1190–1204.
- Cairney, P., 2016. *The Politics of Evidence-Based Policy Making*. Palgrave Macmillan UK, London.
- Cairney, P., Heikkilä, T., Wood, M., 2019. *Making Policy in a Complex World*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Caplan, N., 1979. The two-communities theory and knowledge utilization. *American Behavioral Scientist* 22 (3), 459–470.
- Chalmers, I., 2003. Trying to do more good than harm in policy and practice: the role of rigorous, transparent, up-to-date evaluations. *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science* 589 (1), 22–40.
- Cook, J., van der Linden, S., Maibach, E., Lewandowsky, S., 2018. *The Consensus Handbook*.
- Craig, C., 2019. *How Does Government Listen to Scientists?* Palgrave Macmillan, London.
- Douglas, H., 2009. *Science, Policy, and the Value-free Ideal*. Pittsburgh University Press, Pittsburgh.
- Gluckman, P., 2014. The art of science advice to government. *Nature* 507, 163–165.
- Greenhalgh, T., Russell, J., 2006. Reframing evidence synthesis as rhetorical action in the policy making drama. *Health Policy* 1 (2), 34–42.
- Hallsworth, M., Rutter, J., Egan, M., McCrae, J., 2018. *Behavioural Government Using Behavioural Science to Improve How Governments Make Decisions*. The Behavioural Insights Team, London.
- Head, B., Ferguson, M., Cherney, A., Boreham, P., 2014. Are policy-makers interested in social research? Exploring the sources and uses of valued information among public servants in Australia. *Policy and Society* 33 (2), 89–101.
- Institute for Government, 2016. *Trust in Government Is Growing – But it Needs to Deliver*. https://www.instituteforgovernment.org.uk/sites/default/files/publications/IfG_polling_note_WEB3.pdf. [Accessed 05 May 2020].
- Jasanoff, S., Simmet, H.R., 2017. No funeral bells: public reason in a ‘post-truth’ age. *Social Studies of Science* 47 (5), 751–770.
- Newman, J., 2017. Debating the politics of evidence-based policy. *Public Administration* 95 (4), 1107–1112.
- Newman, J., Cherney, A., Head, B.W., 2016. Do policy makers use academic research? Reexamining the “two communities” theory of research utilization. *Public Administration Review* 76 (1), 24–32.

- Oliver, K., Innvar, S., Lorenc, T., Woodman, J., Thomas, J., 2014. A systematic review of barriers to and facilitators of the use of evidence by policymakers. *BMC Health Services Research* 14, 2.
- Oreskes, N., Conway, E.M., 2010. *Merchants of Doubt: How a Handful of Scientists Obscured the Truth on Issues from Tobacco Smoke to Global Warming*. Bloomsbury Press, New York.
- Parkhurst, J., 2016. *The Politics of Evidence: From Evidence-Based Policy to the Good Governance of Evidence*. Routledge, New York.
- Pielke Jr., R., 2007. *The honest broker: making sense of science in policy and politics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Prewitt, K., Schwandt, T.A., Straf, M.L. (Eds.), 2012. *Using Science as Evidence in Public Policy*. The National Academies Press, Washington, D.C.
- Ravetz, J.R., 1999. What is post-normal science. *Futures* 31, 647–653.
- Rittel, H.W.J., Webber, M.M., 1973. Dilemmas in a general theory of planning. *Policy Sciences* 4 (2), 155–169.
- Rutjens, B.T., Sutton, R.M., van der Lee, R., 2018. Not all skepticism is equal: exploring the ideological antecedents of science acceptance and rejection. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin* 44 (3), 384–405.
- Schultz, D., 2013. *American Politics in the Age of Ignorance: Why Lawmakers Choose Belief over Research*. Palgrave Macmillan, New York.
- Talbot, C., Talbot, C., 2014. *Sir Humphrey and the Professors: What Does Whitehall Want from Academics?* The University of Manchester, Manchester.

Chapter 2

- Carson, R., 1962. *Silent Spring*. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.
- Funtowicz, S., Ravetz, J.R., 1990. *Uncertainty and Quality in Science for Policy*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.
- Funtowicz, S., Ravetz, J.R., 1993. Science for the post-normal age. *Futures* 25 (7), 739–755.
- Gluckman, P., 2014. The art of science advice to government. *Nature* 507, 163–165.
- PNS Symposium, 2016. *New Currents in Science: The Challenges of Quality*, Ispra. <https://ec.europa.eu/jrc/en/event/workshop/challenges-quality>.
- Ravetz, J.R., 1971. *Scientific Knowledge and Its Social Problems*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Ravetz, J.R., 1977. *The Acceptability of Risks*. Council for Science and Society, London.
- Weinberg, A.M., 1972. Science and trans-science. *Minerva* 10 (2), 209–222.

Chapter 3

- Al Khudhairy, D., Campolongo, F., Langedijk, S. (Eds.), 2017. *What Makes a Fair Society? Insights and Evidence*. Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg.
- Campolongo, F. (Ed.), 2018. *The Resilience of EU Member States to the Financial and Economic Crisis. What Are the Characteristics of Resilient Behaviour?*. Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg.

- Craglia, M., 2018. In: Annoni, A., Benczur, P., Bertoldi, P., Delipetrev, B., De Prato, G., Feijoo, C., Fernandez Macias, E., Gomez, E., Iglesias, M., Junklewitz, H., Lopez Cobo, M., Martens, B., Nascimento, S., Nativi, S., Polvora, A., Sanchez Martin, J.I., Tolan, S., Tuomi, I., Vesnic Alujevic, L. (Eds.), *Artificial Intelligence – A European Perspective*. Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg.
- Funtowicz, S., Ravetz, J.R., 1993. Science for the post-normal age. *Futures* 25 (7), 739–755.
- Nascimento, S., Pólvara, A., Sousa Lourenço, J., 2018. *Blockchain4EU: Blockchain for Industrial Transformations*. Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg.
- Saltelli, A., 2017. Science’s Credibility Crisis: Why it Will Get Worse before it Can Get Better. The Conversation. <https://theconversation.com/sciences-credibility-crisis-why-it-will-get-worse-before-it-can-get-better-86865>. [Accessed 5 May 2020].
- Saltelli, A., Giampietro, M., 2017. What is wrong with evidence based policy, and how can it be improved? *Futures* 91, 62–71.
- Special Eurobarometer 401, 2013. *Responsible Research and Innovation (RRI), Science and Technology*. Conducted by TNS Opinion & Social at the Request of the European Commission. Directorate-General for Research & Innovation.
- Special Eurobarometer 340, 2010. *Science and Technology*. Conducted by TNS Opinion & Social at the Request of the European Commission. Research Directorate-General.

Chapter 4

- Alla, K., Hall, W.D., Whiteford, H.A., Head, B.W., Meurk, C.S., 2017. How do we define the policy impact of public health research? A systematic review. *Health Research Policy and Systems* 15 (1), 84.
- Bastow, S., Dunleavy, P., Tinkler, J., 2013. *The Impact of the Social Sciences: How Academics and Their Research Make a Difference*. Sage, London.
- Bedwell, W., Fiore, S., Salas, E., 2014. Developing the future workforce: an approach for integrating interpersonal skills into the MBA classroom. *Academy of Management Learning and Education* 13, 171–186.
- Cairney, P., Kwiatkowski, R., 2017. How to communicate effectively with policymakers: combine insights from psychology and policy studies. *Palgrave Communications*. 3, 37. <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41599-017-0046-8>. [Accessed 5 May 2020].
- Cairney, P., Oliver, K., 2017. Evidence-based policymaking is not like evidence-based medicine, so how far should you go to bridge the divide between evidence and policy? *Health Research Policy and Systems* 15, 35. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12961-017-0192-x>.
- Cairney, P., Weible, C., 2017. The new policy sciences. *Policy Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11077-017-9304-2>. [Accessed 5 May 2020].
- Cairney, P., 2017. #EU4Facts: 3 take-home points from the JRC annual conference. Paul Cairney: Politics and Public Policy. <https://paulcairney.wordpress.com/2017/09/29/eu4facts-3-take-home-points-from-the-jrc-annual-conference/>. [Accessed 5 May 2020].
- Cairney, P., 2016a. *The Politics of Evidence-Based Policymaking*. Palgrave Pivot, London.
- Cairney, P., 2016b. Principles of science advice to government: key problems and feasible solutions. Paul Cairney: Politics and Policy. <https://paulcairney.wordpress.com/2016/10/05/principles-of-science-advice-to-government-key-problems-and-feasible-solutions/>. [Accessed 5 May 2020].

- Cairney, P., Oliver, K., Wellstead, A., 2016. To bridge the divide between evidence and policy: reduce ambiguity as much as uncertainty. *Public Administration Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.12555>. Early View . [Accessed 5 May 2020].
- Chabal, P.M., 2003. Do ministers matter? The individual style of ministers in programmed policy change. *International Review of Administrative Sciences* 69 (1), 29–49.
- Cook, B., Kesby, M., Fazey, I., Spray, C., 2013. The persistence of ‘normal’ catchment management despite the participatory turn: exploring the power effects of competing frames of reference. *Social Studies of Science* 43 (5), 754–779.
- Damon, A., Lewis, J. (Eds.), 2015. *Making Public Policy Decisions: Expertise, Skills and Experience*. Routledge, London.
- Davidson, B., 2017. *Storytelling and evidence-based policy: lessons from the grey literature*. Palgrave Communications 3, 201793.
- Davies, P., 2006. What is needed from research synthesis from a policy-making perspective? In: Popay, J. (Ed.), *Moving beyond Effectiveness in Evidence Synthesis—Methodological Issues in the Synthesis of Diverse Sources of Evidence*. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence, London, pp. 97–105.
- Estrada, F.C.R., David, L.S., 2015. Improving visual communication of science through the incorporation of graphic design theories and practices into science communication. *Science Communication* 37 (1), 140–148.
- European Commission, Joint Research Centre, DDG.01 Econometrics and Applied Statistics, 2015. *Dialogues: Public Engagement in Science, Technology and Innovation*. Publication Office of the European Union, Luxembourg.
- European Commission, 2017. *Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, Completing the Better Regulation Agenda: Better Solutions for Better Results*. SWD (2017) 675 final, 24.10.2017 https://ec.europa.eu/info/sites/info/files/completing-the-better-regulation-agenda-better-solutions-for-better-results_en.pdf. [Accessed 5 May 2020].
- Fox, D., Bero, L., 2014. Systematic Reviews: Perhaps “the answers to policy makers’ prayers”? In: *Environmental Health Perspectives*, Vol. 22, Issue 10.
- Greenhalgh, T., Malterud, K., 2016. Systematic review for policymaking: muddling through. *The American Journal of Public Health* 107 (1) January 2017.
- Hart, P.S., Nisbet, E.C., 2012. Boomerang effects in science communication: how motivated reasoning and identity cues amplify opinion polarization about climate mitigation policies. *Communication Research* 39, 701–723.
- Jasanoff, S., Simmet, H., 2017. No funeral bells: public reason in a ‘post-truth’ age. *Social Studies of Science* 47 (5), 751–770.
- Jones, M., Crow, D., 2017. How can we use the ‘science of stories’ to produce persuasive scientific stories? *Palgrave Communications*. 3, 53. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-017-0047-7>. [Accessed 5 May 2020].
- Klein, C., DeRouin, R., Salas, E., 2006. Uncovering workplace interpersonal skills: a review, framework, and research agenda. *International Review of Industrial and Organizational Psychology* 21, 79.
- Larrick, R.P., 2016. The social context of decisions. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior* 3, 441–467.

- Nascimento, S., Guimaraes Pereira, A., Ghezzi, A., 2014. From Citizens Science to Do It Yourself Science. An Annotated Account of an Ongoing Movement. Publications Office of the European Union. EUR 27095.
- Oliver, K., Innvar, S., Lorenc, T., Woodman, J., Thomas, J., 2014. A systematic review of barriers to and facilitators of the use of evidence by policymakers. *BMC Health Services Research* 14 (1), 2.
- Pielke Jr., R., 2007. *The Honest Broker: Making Sense of Science in Policy and Politics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Reiss, K., 2015. *Leadership Coaching for Educators—Bringing Out the Best in School Administrators*, second ed. Corwin Press, Thousand Oaks, CA.
- Smith, K.E., Stewart, E.A., 2017. Academic advocacy in public health: disciplinary ‘duty’ or political ‘propaganda’? *Social Science & Medicine* 189, 35–43.
- Sloman, S., Fernbach, P., 2017. *The Knowledge Illusion: Why We Never Think Alone*. Riverhead books, New York.
- Stoker, G., 2010. Translating experiments into policy. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science* 628, 47–58.
- Stone, D., Maxwell, S., Keating, M., 2001. *Proceedings from an International Workshop Funded by the UK Department for International Development: Bridging Research and Policy*. Warwick University, Coventry, England.
- Warira, D., Mueni, E., Gay, E., Lee, M., 2017. Achieving and sustaining evidence-informed policy making. *Science Communication* 39 (3), 382–394.
- Weible, C., Heikkila, T., deLeon, P., Sabatier, P., 2012. Understanding and influencing the policy process. *Policy Sciences* 45 (1), 1–21.
- Wilcox, C., 2012. It’s time to evolve: taking responsibility for science communication in a digital age. In: *Biological Bulletin*, Guest Editorial, Vol. 222, No 2. The University of Chicago Press Journals.

Chapter 5

- Badgett, M.V.L., 2015. *The Public Professor: How to Use Your Research to Change the World*. New York University Press, New York and London.
- Banuri, S., Dercon, S., Gauri, V., 2017. *Biased Policy Professionals*. Policy Research Working Paper. World Bank Group, Washington, D.C.
- Cook, J., Lewandowsky, S., 2011. *The Debunking Handbook*. University of Queensland, St. Lucia, Australia.
- Hallsworth, M., Rutter, J., Egan, M., McCrae, J., 2018. *Behavioural Government Using Behavioural Science to Improve How Governments Make Decisions*. The Behavioural Insights Team, London.
- Lakoff, G., 2004. *Don’t Think of an Elephant: Know Your Values and Frame the Debate*. Chelsea Green Publishing, White River Junction.
- O’Connor, C., Weatherall, J.O., 2019. *The Misinformation Age: How False Beliefs Spread*. Yale University Press, New Haven.
- Oliver, K., Cairney, P., 2019. The dos and don’ts of influencing policy: a systematic review of advice to academics. *Palgrave Communications* 5, 21.
- Pielke Jr., R.A., 2007. *The Honest Broker: Making Sense of Science in Policy and Politics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

- Sarewitz, D., 2011. Looking for quality in all the wrong places, or: the technological origins of quality in scientific policy advice. In: Lentsch, J., Weingart, P. (Eds.), *The Politics of Scientific Advice: Institutional Design for Quality Assurance*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Tversky, A., Kahneman, D., 1981. The framing of decisions and the psychology of choice. *Science* 211 (4481), 453–458.

Chapter 6

- Cairney, P., 2016. *The Politics of Evidence-Based Policy Making*. Palgrave Macmillan, UK, London.
- Cairney, P., Oliver, K., 2017. Evidence-based policymaking is not like evidence-based medicine, so how far should you go to bridge the divide between evidence and policy? *Health Research Policy and Systems* 15, 35.
- Dewulf, A., Bouwen, R., 2012. Issue framing in conversations for change: discursive interaction strategies for ‘doing differences’. *The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science* 48 (2), 168–193.
- Douglas, H., 2009. *Science, Policy, and the Value-Free Ideal*. Pittsburgh University Press, Pittsburgh.
- Hallsworth, M., Rutter, J., Egan, M., McCrae, J., 2018. *Behavioural Government Using Behavioural Science to Improve How Governments Make Decisions*. The Behavioural Insights Team, London.
- Langer, L., Tripney, J., Gough, D., 2016. *The Science of Using Science: Researching the Use of Research Evidence in Decision-Making*. EPPI-Centre, Social Science Research Unit, UCL Institute of Education, University College London, London.
- Oliver, K., Cairney, P., 2019. The dos and don’ts of influencing policy: a systematic review of advice to academics. *Palgrave Communications* 5, 21.
- Oliver, K., Innvar, S., Lorenc, T., Woodman, J., Thomas, J., 2014. A systematic review of barriers to and facilitators of the use of evidence by policymakers. *BMC Health Services Research* 14, 2.
- Rittel, H.W.J., Webber, M.M., 1973. Dilemmas in a general theory of planning. *Policy Sciences* 4 (2), 155–169.

Chapter 7

- Bonabeau, E., 2009. Decisions 2.0: the power of collective intelligence. *MIT Sloan Management Review* 50 (2), 45–52.
- Collison, C., 2014. When Collect and Connect is not Enough. Chris Collison’s blog. <http://www.chriscollison.com/blog/2014/11/10/when-collect-and-connect-is-not-enough>. [Accessed 5 May 2020].
- Gray, D., Brown, S., Macanufo, J., 2010. *Gamestorming: A Playbook for Innovators, Rulebreakers, and Changemakers*. O’Reilly Media. gamestorming.com.
- Ingham, J., 2017. *The Social Organization: Developing Employee Connections and Relationships for Improved Business Performance*. Kogan Page Ltd., New York.
- Kienle, A., Wessner, M., 2005. Principles for cultivating scientific communities of practice. In: van den Besselaar, P., et al. (Ed.), *Communities and Technologies 2005*. Springer, pp. 283–299.
- Malone, T., Laubacher, R., Dellarocas, C., 2010. The collective intelligence genome. *MIT Sloan Management Review* 51 (3), 21–31.

- McDermott, R., Archibald, D., 2013. Harnessing your staff's informal networks. HBR's 10 must reads on collaboration. Harvard Business Review.
- Mulgan, G., 2017. Big Mind: How Collective Intelligence Can Change Our World. Princeton University Press, Princeton.
- Sloman, S., Fernbach, P., 2017. The Knowledge Illusion: Why We Never Think Alone. Riverhead Books, New York.
- Weber, E., 2016. Building Successful Communities of Practice. Drew London Ltd.
- Wenger, E., McDermott, R., Snyder, W., 2002. Cultivating Communities of Practice: A Guide to Managing Knowledge. Harvard Business School Press.
- Wenger, E., Trayner, B., de Laat, M., 2011. Promoting and Assessing Value Creation in Communities and Networks: A Conceptual Framework. Rapport 18. Ruud de Moor Centrum, Open University of the Netherlands.
- Wenger-Trayner, E., Wenger-Trayner, B., 2015. Introduction to Communities of Practice. <http://wenger-trayner.com/introduction-to-communities-of-practice/>.

Web References

- EU4Facts – Evidence for Policy Community. <https://ec.europa.eu/jrc/communities/community/76/about>.
- Gartner IT Glossary. <https://www.gartner.com/it-glossary/knowledge-community> [Accessed 5 May 2020].
- Knowledge for Policy – Audience Research. Knowledge4Policy: Audience Research | Knowledge for policy.

Chapter 8

- Andersen, I.E., Jæger, B., 1999. Scenario workshops and consensus conferences: towards more democratic decision-making. Science and Public Policy 26 (5), 331–340.
- Arnstein, S., 1969. A ladder of citizen participation. Journal of the American Institute of Planners 35 (4), 216–224.
- Bio Innovation Service, 2018. Citizen Science for Environmental Policy: Development of an EU-wide Inventory and Analysis of Selected Practices. Final report for the European Commission, DG Environment under the contract 070203/2017/768879/ETU/ENV.A.3, in collaboration with Fundacion Ibercivis and The Natural History Museum, November 2018.
- Blomkamp, E., 2018. The promise of Co-design for public policy. In: Routledge Handbook of Policy Design. Routledge, London and New York, pp. 77–92. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8500.12310>.
- Bloor, M. (Ed.), 2001. Focus Groups in Social Research. Sage.
- Boyce, C., Neale, P., 2006. Conduction In-Depth Interviews: A Guide for Designing and Conducting In-Depth Interviews for Evaluation Input. Pathfinder International, Watertown. http://www.cpc.unc.edu/measure/training/materials/data-quality-portuguese/m_e_tool_series_indepth_interviews.pdf.
- Burgess, J., 1996. Focusing on fear: the use of focus groups in a project for the Community Forest Unit, Countryside Commission. Area 28 (2), 130–135.
- Chilvers, J., Kearnes, M., 2015. Remaking Participation: Science, Environment and Emergent Publics. Routledge, London and New York.

- Darier, É., Gough, C., De Marchi, B., Funtowicz, S., Grove-White, R., Kitchener, D., Guimarães Pereira, Â., Shackley, S., Wynne, B., 1999. Between democracy and expertise? Citizens' participation and environmental integrated assessment in Venice (Italy) and St. Helens (UK). *Journal of Environmental Policy and Planning* 1 (2), 103–120.
- Davies, S.R., Selin, C., Gano, G., Guimarães Pereira, Â., 2012. Citizen engagement and urban change: three case studies of material deliberation. *Cities* 29 (6), 351–357.
- Elam, M., Bertilsson, M., 2003. Consuming, engaging and confronting science: The emerging dimensions of scientific citizenship. *European Journal of Social Theory* 6 (2), 233–251.
- Felt, U., Fochler, M., Müller, A., Strassnig, M., 2009. Unruly ethics: on the difficulties of a bottom-up approach to ethics in the field of genomics. *Public Understanding of Science* 18 (3), 354–371.
- Funtowicz, S., 2006. Why knowledge assessment? In: Guimarães Pereira, A., Guedes Vaz, S., Tognetti, S. (Eds.), *Interfaces between Science and Society*. Greenleaf Publishing, Sheffield, pp. 138–145.
- Funtowicz, S., Ravetz, J., 1990. *Uncertainty and Quality in Science for Policy*. Springer, Dordrecht.
- Gibbs, A., 1997. Focus groups. *Social Research Update* 19 (8), 1–8.
- Gilbert, J.K., Stocklmayer, S.M., Garnett, R., 1999. Mental modeling in science and technology centres: What are visitors really doing. In: *International Conference on Learning Science in Informal Contexts*, Canberra, pp. 16–32.
- Goodin, R.E., Dryzek, J.S., 2006. Deliberative impacts: the macro-political uptake of mini-publics. *Politics & Society* 34 (2), 219–244.
- Guimarães Pereira, Â., Corral Quintana, S., Funtowicz, S., 2005. GOUVERNe: new trends in decision support for groundwater governance issues. *Environmental Modelling & Software* 20 (2), 111–118.
- Guimarães Pereira, Â., L'Astorina, A., Ghezzi, A., Tomasoni, I., 2018. Dialoghi sul Cibo: Food Futuring Tours ad #expo2015/Dialogues on food: Food Futuring Tours at the #expo2015. EUR 28213. European Commission, Luxembourg. <https://doi.org/10.2788/254857>; <https://doi.org/10.2788/684250>.
- Herivaux, C., Vinatier, F., Sabir, M., Guillot, F., Rinaudo, J.D., 2018. Combining scenario workshops, quantitative approaches and land use change modeling to design plausible future land use scenarios in the Tleta catchment (Morocco). In: *Vulnerability of the Mediterranean Soils to Water Erosion: State of Knowledge and Adaptation Strategies in the Face of Global Change*. <http://shalarchives-ouvertesfr/hal-01755112/>.
- House of Lords Select Committee on Science and Technology, 2000. *Science and Society*. The Stationery Office, London.
- Irwin, A., 1995. *Citizen Science: A Study of People, Expertise and Sustainable Development*. Routledge, London and New York.
- Irwin, A., Michael, M., 2003. *Science, Social Theory & Public Knowledge*. McGraw-Hill Education, Philadelphia.
- Jasanoff, S., 2003. Technologies of humility: citizen participation in governing science. *Minerva* 41 (3), 223–244.
- Joss, S., Durant, J. (Eds.), 1995. *Public Participation in Science: The Role of Consensus Conferences in Europe*. NMSI Trading Ltd., London.
- Kimbell, K., 2015. *Applying Design Approaches to Policy Making: Discovering Policy Lab*. University of Brighton, Brighton.
- Kitzinger, J., 1994. The methodology of focus groups: the importance of interaction between research participants. *Sociology of Health & Illness* 16 (1), 103–121.
- Kliiver, L., 1995. Consensus conferences at the Danish board of technology. In: *Public Participation in Science: The Role of Consensus Conferences in Europe*. Science Museum, London.

- Kvale, S., 2008. *Doing Interviews*. Sage, London.
- Lassiter, L.E., 2005. *The Chicago Guide to Collaborative Ethnography*. Chicago Univ. Press, Chicago.
- Lezaun, J., Soneryd, L., 2007. Consulting citizens: technologies of elicitation and the mobility of publics. *Public Understanding of Science* 16 (3), 279–297. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963662507079371>.
- Mega, V., 2000. Cities inventing the civilisation of sustainability: an odyssey in the urban archipelago of the European Union. *Cities* 17 (3), 227–236.
- Michael, M., 2012. “What are we busy doing?” Engaging the idiot. *Science, Technology & Human Values* 37 (5), 528–554.
- Morgan, D.L., 1996. Focus groups. *Annual Review of Sociology* 22 (1), 129–152.
- Morgan, D.L., Krueger, R.A., Scannell, A.U., 1993. *Planning Focus Groups*. Sage.
- Nascimento, S., Guimarães Pereira, Â., Ghezzi, A., 2014. From Citizen Science to DIY Science. EUR 27095 EN European Commission. <https://publications.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/1baaa4aa-d199-49fd-8166-c4d9648bcb80/language-en>.
- Nascimento, S., Pólvara, A., 2016. Social sciences in the transdisciplinary making of sustainable artefacts. *Social Science Information* 55 (1), 28–42.
- Nascimento, S., Pólvara, A., Paio, A., Oliveira, S., Rato, V., Oliveira, M.J., Varela, B., Sousa, J.P., 2016. Sustainable technologies and transdisciplinary futures: from collaborative design to digital fabrication. *Science As Culture* 25 (4), 520–537. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09505431.2016.1193131>.
- Roy, H., Groom, Q., Adriaens, T., et al., 2018. Increasing understanding of alien species through citizen science (alien-csi). *Research Ideas and Outcomes* 4, e31412. <https://doi.org/10.3897/rio.4.e31412>.
- Salgado, P.P., Corral Quintana, S., Guimarães Pereira, Â., del Moral Ituarte, L., Mateos, B.P., 2009. Participative multi-criteria analysis for the evaluation of water governance alternatives. A case in the Costa del Sol (Malaga). *Ecological Economics* 68 (4), 990–1005.
- Stappers, P.J., Sanders, E.B.-N., 2012. *Convivial Toolbox: Generative Tools for the Front End of Design*. BIS Publishers, Amsterdam.
- Sawyer, B., 2003. *Serious Games: Improving Public Policy through Game-Based Learning and Simulation*. Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars. <https://www.wilsoncenter.org/publication/executive-summary-serious-games-improving-public-policy-through-game-based-learning-and>.
- Schade, S., Herding, W., Fellermann, A., Kotsev, A., 2019. Joint Statement on new opportunities for air quality sensing - lower-cost sensors for public authorities and citizen science initiatives. *Research Ideas and Outcomes* 5, e34059. <https://doi.org/10.3897/rio.5.e34059>.
- Selin, C., Pillen Banks, J., 2014. *Futurescape City Tours: A Novel Method for Civic Engagement*. The Center for Nanotechnology in Society at Arizona State University (CNS-ASU), Tempe, AZ, U.S.A.
- Selin, C., Rawlings, K.C., de Ridder-Vignone, K., Sadowski, J., Altamirano Allende, C., Gano, G., Davies, S.R., Guston, D.H., 2017. Experiments in engagement: designing public engagement with science and technology for capacity building. *Public Understanding of Science* 26 (6), 634–649. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963662515620970>.
- Singh, J., 2008. The UK Nanojury as ‘upstream’ public engagement. *Participatory Learning and Action* 58 (1), 27–32.
- Soneryd, L., 2015. Technologies of participation and the making of technologized futures. In: Chilvers, J., Kearnes, M. (Eds.), *Remaking Participation: Science, Environment and Emergent Publics*. Routledge, London and New York, pp. 144–161.
- Stirling, A., 2008. “Opening up” and “closing down”: power, participation, and pluralism in the social appraisal of technology. *Science, Technology & Human Values* 33 (2), 262–294. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0162243907311265>.

- Van Asselt, M.B.A., Rotmans, J., Rothman, D.S., 2005. *Scenario Innovation: Experiences from a European Experimental Garden*. Taylor & Francis, London.
- Videira, N., Antunes, P., Santos, R., Gamito, S., 2003. Participatory modelling in environmental decision-making: the ria Formosa natural park case study. *Journal of Environmental Assessment Policy and Management* 5 (3), 421–447.
- Wakeford, T., 2002. Citizens Juries: a radical alternative for social research. *Social Research Update* 37 (1), 1–4.
- Wynne, B., 1993. Public uptake of science: a case for institutional reflexivity. *Public Understanding of Science* 2 (4), 321–337.

Chapter 9

- European Commission, 2007. Directive 2007/2/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing an infrastructure for spatial information in the Community (INSPIRE). *Official Journal of the European Union* L 108/1 to 14 25/4/2007. Publication Office, Luxembourg.
- Harari, Y.N., 2015. *Homo Deus: A Brief History of Tomorrow*. Harvill Secker, London.
- Kambatla, K., Kollias, G., Kumar, V., Grama, A., 2014. Trends in big data analytics. *Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing* 74 (7), 2561–2573.
- Krumm, J., 2011. Ubiquitous advertising: the killer application for the 21st century. *IEEE Pervasive Computing* 10, 66–73.
- Laney, D., 2001. 3D Data Management: Controlling Data Volume, Velocity, and Variety. META Group. <https://blogs.gartner.com/doug-laney/files/2012/01/ad949-3D-Data-Management-Controlling-Data-Volume-Velocity-and-Variety.pdf>. [Accessed 5 May 2020].
- Mayer-Schoenberger, V., Cukier, K., 2013. *Big Data. A Revolution that Will Transform How We Live, Work, and Think*. John Murray Publishers, London.
- Nativi, S., Mazzetti, P., Santoro, M., et al., 2015. Big data challenges in building the global earth observation system of systems. *Environmental Modelling & Software* 6, 1–26.
- Van Dijck, J., 2014. Datafication, dataism, and dataveillance: big Data between scientific paradigm and ideology. *Surveillance and Society* 12 (2), 197–208.
- Vesnic-Alujevic, L., Stoemer, E., Rudkin, J.E., et al., 2019. *The Future of Government 2030+: A Citizen-Centric Perspective on New Government Models*. Publication Office, Luxembourg.
- Zuboff, S., 2015. Big Other: surveillance capitalism and the prospects of an information civilization. *Journal of Information Technology* 30, 75–98.

Chapter 10

- Bramoullé, Y., Orset, C., 2018. Manufacturing doubt. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 90, 119–133.
- de Melo-Martín, I., Intemann, K., 2014. Who's afraid of dissent? addressing concerns about undermining scientific consensus in public policy developments. *Perspectives on Science* 22 (4), 593–615.
- de Rijcke, S., Wouters, P.F., Rushforth, A.D., Franssen, T.P., Hammarfelt, B., 2016. Evaluation practices and effects of indicator use—a literature review. *Research Evaluation* 25 (2), 161–169.

- Douglas, H., 2009. *Science, Policy, and the Value-Free Ideal*. Pittsburgh University Press, Pittsburgh.
- Elliott, K.C., 2017. *A Tapestry of Values: An Introduction to Values in Science*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Fanelli, D., 2009. How many scientists fabricate and falsify research? A systematic review and meta-analysis of survey data. *PLoS One* 4 (5), e5738.
- Group of Chief Scientific Advisors, 2019. Research and innovation scientific advice mechanism (SAM). In: *Scientific Advice to European Policy in a Complex World*, Scientific Opinion No.7.
- Hoggan, J., Littlemore, R., 2009. *Climate Cover-up: The Crusade to Deny Global Warming*. Greystone Books, Vancouver.
- Kuhn, T.S., 1962. *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- Latour, B., Woolgar, S., 1979. *Laboratory Life: The Construction of Scientific Facts*. Sage Publications, Beverly Hills.
- Martinson, B.C., Anderson, M.S., de Vries, R., 2005. Scientists behaving badly. *Nature* 435, 737–738.
- Merton, R.K., 1942. The normative structure of science. In: Merton, R.K. (Ed.), *The Sociology of Science: Theoretical and Empirical Investigations*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- OECD, 2005. *Managing Conflict of Interest in the Public Sector: A Toolkit*. OECD Publishing, Paris. <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264018242-en>.
- Oliver, K., Cairney, P., 2019. The dos and don'ts of influencing policy: a systematic review of advice to academics. *Palgrave Communications* 5, 21.
- Oreskes, N., Conway, E.M., 2010. *Merchants of Doubt: How a Handful of Scientists Obscured the Truth on Issues from Tobacco Smoke to Global Warming*. Bloomsbury Press, New York.
- Pielke Jr., R., 2007. *The Honest Broker: Making Sense of Science in Policy and Politics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Proctor, R.N., 2012. The history of the discovery of the cigarette–lung cancer link: evidentiary traditions, corporate denial, global toll. *Tobacco Control* 21, 87–91.
- Ravetz, J.R., 1999. What is post-normal science. *Futures* 31, 647–653.
- Siegel, E.H., Wormwood, J.B., Quigley, K.S., Barrett, L.F., 2018. Seeing what you feel: affect drives visual perception of structurally neutral faces. *Psychological Science* 29 (4), 496–503.
- Steele, S., Ruskin, G., et al., 2019. “Always read the small print”: a case study of commercial research funding, disclosure and agreements with Coca-Cola. *Journal of Public Health Policy* 40 (3), 273–285.
- Thagard, P., 2007. The moral psychology of conflicts of interest: insights from affective neuroscience. *Journal of Applied Philosophy* 24 (4), 367–380.
- Van 't Wout, M., Chang, L.J., Sanfey, A.G., 2010. The influence of emotion regulation on social interactive decision-making. *Emotion* 10 (6), 815–821.

Chapter 11

- Arthur, B., 1994. Increasing Returns and Path Dependence in the Economy. *Ann Arbor*.
- Bonabeau, E., May 14, 2002. Agent-based modeling: methods and techniques for simulating human systems. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 99 (Suppl. 3), 7280–7287.
- Capra, F., 1996. *The Web of Life*. Anchor Books, New York (Chapter 6).

- Cristelli, M., Gabrielli, A., Tacchella, A., Caldarelli, G., Pietronero, L., 2013. Measuring the intangibles: a metrics for the economic complexity of countries and products. *PLoS One* 8 (8), e70726. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0070726>.
- Cristelli, M., Tacchella, A., Pietronero, L., 2015. The heterogeneous dynamics of economic complexity. *PLoS One* 10 (2), e0117174. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0117174>.
- EC, 2013. In: Capros, P., Van Regemorter, D., Paroussos, L., Karkatsulis, P., (Eds.). GEM-E3 Model Documentation. JRC Technical Reports European Commission. EUR 26034 EN; ISBN 978-92-79-31463-6 (pdf); ISSN 1831-9424 (online) <https://ec.europa.eu/jrc/en/gem-e3/publications>.
- EC, 2019a. EU Science Hub. Website of the General Equilibrium Model for Economy-Energy-Environment (GEM-E 3) Listing Publications and Policy Documents Based on the Model. <https://ec.europa.eu/jrc/en/gem-e3/publications>.
- EC, 2019b. European Commission: Enlightenment 2.0 Research Programme. Available from: <https://ec.europa.eu/jrc/en/enlightenment-research-programme>.
- Faulkner, L., Brown, K., Quinn, T., 2018. Analyzing community resilience as an emergent property of dynamic social-ecological systems. *Ecology and Society* 23 (1), 24. <https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-09784-230124>.
- Garas, A., Lapatinas, A., 2017. The role of consumer networks in firms' multi-characteristics competition and market share inequality. *Structural Change and Economic Dynamics* 43, 76–86.
- Grandmont, J.M., 1985. On endogenous competitive business cycles. *Econometrica* 50, 1345–1370.
- Gunderson, L., 2000. Ecological resilience - In theory and application. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 31, 425–439.
- Hausmann, R., Hidalgo, C., Bustos, S., Coscia, M., Chung, S., Jimenez, J., Simoes, A., Yildirim, M., 2013. The Atlas of Economic Complexity. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA. The Growth Lab at Harvard University. The Atlas of Economic Complexity. Available from: <http://www.atlas.cid.harvard.edu>.
- Heath, J., 2014. Enlightenment 2.0. Harper Collins, New York.
- Hidalgo, C.A., Hausmann, R., June 30, 2009. The building blocks of economic complexity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 106 (26), 10570–10575.
- Labanca, N., 2017. Complex systems: the latest human artefact. In: Allen, T.F.H., Arrobbio, O., Bartiaux, F., Bauwens, T., Bertoldi, P., Byrne, D.S., Ellegård, K., Fath, B.D., Giampietro, M., Kovacic, Z., Labanca, N., Maschio, I., Moezzi, M., Paci, D., Padovan, D., Palm, J., Ruzzenenti, F., Shaw, D., Shove, E., Tainter, J. (Eds.), *Complex Systems and Social Practices in Energy Transitions*, Springer International Publishing, Switzerland, pp. 3–28.
- May, R.M., 1976. Simple mathematical models with very complicated dynamics. *Nature* 261 (5560), 459–467.
- Meadows, D., 2008. In: Wright, D. (Ed.), *Thinking in Systems: A Primer*. Chelsea Green Publishing, Chelsea, VT.
- Mikhailov, A.S., Calenbuhr, V., 2002. *From Cells to Societies – Models of Complex Coherent Action*. Springer, Heidelberg, Berlin.
- Murray, J.D., 2008. *Mathematical Biology – An Introduction*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- OECD, September 2009. Applications of complexity science for public policy. *OECD Global Science Forum* 5.
- Pauly, D., Christensen, V., Dalsgaard, J., Froese, R., Torres jr., F., February 6, 1998. Fishing down marine food webs. *Science* 279 (5352), 860–863.
- Peterson, G., February 2000. Scaling ecological dynamics: self-organization, hierarchical structure, and ecological resilience. *Climatic Change* 44 (3), 291–309.

- Rittel, H.W.J., Webber, M.M., 1973. Dilemmas in a general theory of planning. *Policy Sciences* 4 (2), 155–169.
- Sayama, H., 2010. Collective Dynamics of Complex Systems Taken from. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Complex_system.
- Walters, C., Maguire, J., 1996. Lessons for stock assessment from the northern cod collapse. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries* 6, 125–137.
- Weidlich, W., Haag, G., 1983. Concepts and Models of a Quantitative Sociology. The Dynamics of Interacting Populations. Springer Series in Synergetics, Vol. 14. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- West, G., 2018. Scale – The Universal Laws of Life, Growth and Death in Organisms, Cities and Companies. Penguin Books, New York.
- Willinger, W., Govindan, R., Jamin, S., Paxson, V., Shenker, S., February 19, 2002. Critically examining criticality. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 99 (Suppl. 1), 2573–2580.

Chapter 12

- Althaus, C., Bridgman, P., Davis, G., 2017. The Australian Policy Handbook. A Practical Guide to the Policy Making Process, sixth ed. Allen & Unwin.
- Bock, A.-K., Bontoux, L., 2017. Food safety and nutrition – how to prepare for a challenging future? New approaches for using scenarios for policy-making. *European Journal of Futures Research* 5, 10. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40309-017-0119-3>.
- Bontoux, L., Bengtsson, D., 2015. 2035 Paths towards a sustainable EU economy, JRC science for policy report. EUR 27376 EN. <https://doi.org/10.2760/8029>. Luxembourg, Publications Office of the European Union.
- Bontoux, L., Bengtsson, D., Rosa, A.B., Sweeney, J.A., 2016. The JRC scenario exploration system – From study to serious game. *Journal of Futures Studies* 20 (3), 93–108.
- Boston, J., 2016. Governing for the Future: Designing Democratic Institutions for a Better Tomorrow. <https://doi.org/10.1108/S2053-769720160000025001>. Published online: 01 Nov 2016; 3–43. Permanent link to this document.
- Burmeister, K., Fink, A., Steinmüller, K., Schulz-Montag, B., 2018. Deutschland neu denken. Acht Szenarien für unsere Zukunft. oekom verlag München.
- Candy, S., Dunagan, J., 2017. Designing an experiential scenario: the people who vanished. *Futures* 86, 136–153.
- Da Costa, O., Warnke, P., Cagnin, C., Scapolo, F., 2008. The impact of foresight on policy-making: insights from the FORLEARN mutual learning process. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management* 20 (3), 369–387.
- Cuhls, K., 2015. Bringing Foresight to Decision-Making - Lessons for Policy-making from Selected Non-European countries. Policy Brief by the Research, Innovation, and Science Policy Experts (RISE). Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg.
- Dufva, M., 2020. How was the Megatrends update 2020 compiled? <https://www.sitra.fi/en/articles/how-was-the-megatrends-2020-update-compiled/>.
- Dufva, M., Kettunen, O., Aminoff, A., Antikainen, M., Sundqvist-Andberg, H., Tuomisto, T., 2015. Approaches to Gaming the Future: Planning a Foresight Game on Circular Economy. Games and Learning Alliance. Springer, Cham, pp. 560–571. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-40216-1_60.

- Dunne, A., Raby, F., 2013. *Speculative Everything. Design, Fiction and Social Dreaming*. MIT Press Cambridge, MA and London, UK.
- EFFLA - EUROPEAN FORUM ON FORWARD LOOKING ACTIVITIES (no year): Policy Brief No 13. Strategic Intelligence Methodology. URL: https://ec.europa.eu/research/innovation-union/pdf/expert-groups/effla-reports/effla_pb_13_-_strategic_intelligence_methodology.pdf.
- EU Policy Lab, 2017. Megatrends Implications Assessment. A Workshop On Anticipatory Thinking and Foresight for Policy Makers. European Union, Brussels. https://ec.europa.eu/knowledge4policy/sites/know4pol/files/megatrendsbooklet_20171221.pdf.
- European Commission, 2017a. Better Regulation Guidelines. SWD (2017)350.
- European Commission, 2017b. Better Regulation Toolbox. Complement to SWD (2017)350.
- Gore, A., 1992. *Earth in the Balance: Ecology and the Human Spirit*. Houghton Mifflin, New York, NY.
- Guimarães Pereira, Â., L'Astorina, A., Ghezzi, A., Tomasoni, I., 2018. Dialoghi sul Cibo: Food Futuring Tours ad #expo2015/Dialogues on Food: Food Futuring Tours at the #expo2015. EUR 28213, Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg. <https://doi.org/10.2788/684250>. JRC103583.
- Hancock, T., Bezold, C., 1994. Possible futures, preferable futures. *Healthcare Forum Journal* 37 (2), 23–29.
- Hauser, F. (Ed.), 2017. *Quality of Public Administration. A Toolbox for Practitioners*, 2017 edition. European Commission.
- Head, B., 2008. Wicked problems in public policy. *Public Policy* 3 (2), 101–118.
- JRC-IPTS, 2008. FOR-LEARN Online Foresight Guide. <http://forlearn.jrc.ec.europa.eu/index.htm>.
- Kimbell, L., 2015. Applying Design Approaches to Policy Making: Discovering Policy Lab. Discussion Paper. University of Brighton, Brighton. <http://ualresearchonline.arts.ac.uk/9111/>.
- Krzysztofowicz, M., Goudeseune, L., Bontoux, L., Balian, E., 2018. Workshop on Horizon Scanning: From Interesting to Useful, from Practice to Impact. JRC. JRC112992.
- Kuribayashi, M., Hayashi, K., Akaike, S., 2017. A proposal of a new foresight platform considering of sustainable development goals. *European Journal of Futures Research* 6, 4. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40309-017-0130-8>.
- Lucas, H.C., Goh, J.M., 2009. Disruptive technology: how Kodak missed the digital photography revolution. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems* 18, 46–55.
- Nascimento, S., Pólvora, A., Sousa Lourenço, J., 2018. Blockchain4EU: Blockchain for Industrial Transformations. Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg. ISBN: 978-92-79-85719-5. <https://doi.org/10.2760/204920>. JRC111095.
- Policy Horizons Canada, 2014. *MetaScan 3 Emerging Technologies*. Government of Canada, Ottawa.
- Popper, R., 2008. Foresight methodology. In: Georghiou, L., Cassingena, J., Keenan, M., Miles, I., Popper, R. (Eds.), *The Handbook of Technology Foresight*. Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, pp. 44–88.
- Pryshlakivsky, J., Searcy, C., 2012. Sustainable development as a wicked problem. In: Kovacic, S.F., Sousa-Poza, A. (Eds.), *Managing and Engineering in Complex Situations*. Springer Netherlands.
- Rhisiart, M., Störmer, E., Daheim, C., 2017. From foresight to impact? The 2030 Future of Work scenarios. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* 124, 203–213.
- Sardar, Z., Masood, E., 2006. *How Do You Know? Reading Ziauddin Sardar on Islam, Science and Cultural Relations*. Pluto Press, London.
- Störmer, E., Truffer, B., Dominguez, D., Gujer, W., Herlyn, A., Hiessl, H., Kastenholz, H., Klinke, A., Markard, J., Maurer, M., Ruef, A., 2009. The exploratory analysis of trade-offs in strategic planning: Lessons from Regional Infrastructure Foresight. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* 76, 1150–1162.

- Sweeney, J., 2017. Game on: foresight at play with the United Nations. *Journal of Futures Studies* 22 (2), 27–40. [https://doi.org/10.6531/JFS.2017.22\(2\).A27](https://doi.org/10.6531/JFS.2017.22(2).A27).
- Truffer, B., Störmer, E., Maurer, M., Ruef, A., 2010. Local strategic planning processes and sustainability transitions in infrastructure sectors. *Environmental Policy and Governance* 20, 258–269. <https://doi.org/10.1002/eet.550>.
- Vesnic-Alujevic, L., Stoermer, E., Rudkin, J., Scapolo, F., Kimbell, L., 2019. The Future of Government 2030+: A Citizen-Centric Perspective on New Government Models. EUR 29664 EN Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg.
- Wiggert, M., 2014. Der Zukunft ein Stück näher. Bundeswehr entwickelt Analyse-Tool. *Behörden Spiegel*, p. 54.
- Wilhelmer, D., 2016. Society in need of transformation. Citizen-Foresight as a method to co-create urban future. *Public Philosophy & Democratic Education* 5 (2), 51–72. <https://doi.org/10.14746/fped.2016.5.2.21>.

Chapter 13

- Alexander, C.W., 1964. *Notes on the Synthesis of Form*. Harvard University Press.
- Aicher, O., 1991. *Analog and Digital*. John Wiley & Sons, p. 100.
- Auger, J., 2013. *Speculative Design: Crafting the Speculation*, Digital Creativity.
- Bailey, J., Lloyd, P., 2016. The introduction of design to policy-making and the UK government. *Design Research Society Conference*.
- Buchanan, R., 2001. Design research and the new learning. *Design Issues* 17 (4), 3–23.
- Cooper, R., Press, M., 1995. *The Design Agenda: A Guide to Successful Design Management*. John Wiley and Sons, Chichester & New York.
- Deserti, A., Rizzo, F., 2015. Design and organizational change in the public sector. *Design Management Journal*.
- Dunne, A., Raby, F., 2013. *Speculative Everything: Design, Fiction, and Social Dreaming*. MIT Press.
- Fish, J., Scrivener, S., 1990. Amplifying the mind's eye: sketching and visual cognition. *Leonardo* 117–126. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1578475>.
- Gropius, W., 1956. *Scope of Total Architecture*. Allen and Unwin, London.
- Hevner, A.R., March, S.T., Park, J., Ram, S., 2004. Design science in information systems research. *MIS Quarterly* 28 (1), 75–105.
- International Council of Graphic Design Associations, 2007. *Defining the Professions*. ICOGRADA. <http://www.icograda.org/about/about/articles836.htm>. [Accessed 8 March 2011].
- Jungkind, W., Roussel, Y., 2006. *Graphic Design – Definition*. Society of Graphic Designers Canada. <http://www.gdc.net/designers/features/articles122.php>. [Accessed 3 May 2009].
- Kimbell, L., 2012. Rethinking design thinking: Part II. *Design and Culture* 4 (2), 129–148. <https://doi.org/10.2752/175470812X13281948975413>.
- Krippendorff, K., 2006. *The Semantic Turn; A New Foundation for Design*. Taylor&Francis, CRC Press, Boca Raton, London, New York.
- Love, T., 2002. Constructing a coherent body of theory about designing and designs: some philosophical issues. *Design Studies* 23 (3), 345–361.

- Mallol, G.M., 2012. In: "F(r)ictions. Design as Cultural Form of Dissent." Design History Society Annual Conference, Barcelona, 7–10 September 2011.
- Manzini, E., 2013. Making Things Happen: Social Innovation and Design. *Design Issues*, volume 30, Number 1. Winter 2014.
- Mau, B., 2007. What is massive change? Massive Change. <http://www.massivechange.com/about/>. [Accessed 12 February 2007].
- Papanek, V.J., 1971. *Design for the Real World: Human Ecology and Social Change*. Chicago, Ill. Press, M., Cooper, R., 2003. *The Design Experience: The Role of Design and Designers in the Twenty-First Century*. Ashgate Publishing Company, Burlington, UK.
- Ralph, P., Wand, Y., 2009. A proposal for a formal definition of the design concept. In: Lyytinen, K., Loucopoulos, P., Mylopoulos, J., Robinson, B. (Eds.), *Design Requirements Engineering: A Ten-Year Perspective*, vol. 14. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 103–136.
- Rand, P., 2001. In: Maeda, J. (Ed.), *Maeda@Media*. Universe Press.
- Simon, H.A., 1969. *The Sciences of the Artificial*. M.I.T. Press, Cambridge.
- Walker, J.A., 1990. *Design History and the History of Design*. Pluto Press.

Chapter 14

- Bell, S., Shaw, B., Boaz, A., 2011. Real-world approaches to assessing the impact of environmental research on policy. *Research Evaluation* 20 (3), 227–237.
- Bozeman, B., Rogers, J., 2001. Strategic management of government-sponsored R&D portfolios. *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy* 19 (3), 413–442.
- Caplan, N., 1979. The two-communities theory and knowledge utilization. *American Behavioral Scientist* 22 (3), 459–470.
- European Commission, 2017. *Analysis of the Use of Models by the European Commission in Its Impact Assessments for the Period 2009–2014*. EUR 28628 EN. Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg. ISBN: 978-92-79-69283-3. <https://doi.org/10.2760/096340>.
- Gaunand, A., Colinet, L., Joly, P.B., Matt, M., 2017. Counting what really counts? Assessing the political impact of science. *The Journal of Technology Transfer* 1–23.
- Hill, S., 2016. Assessing (for) impact: future assessment of the societal impact of research. *Palgrave Communications* 2, 16073. <https://doi.org/10.1057/palcomms.2016.73>.
- Joly, P.-B., Gaunand, A., Colinet, L., Laredo, P., Lemarie, S., Matt, M., 2015. ASIRPA: a comprehensive theory-based approach to assessing the societal impacts of a research organization. *Research Evaluation* 24 (4), 440–453.
- Sarewitz, D., Pielke Jr., R.A., 2007. The neglected heart of science policy: reconciling supply of and demand for science. *Environmental Science & Policy* 10 (1), 5–16.
- Sharpe, W.F., 1963. A simplified model for portfolio analysis. *Management Science* 9 (2), 277–293.
- Topp, L., Mair, D., Smillie, L., Cairney, P., 2018. Knowledge management for policy impact: the case of the European Commission's Joint Research Centre. *Palgrave Communications* 4, 87.
- Wallace, M.L., Rafols, I., 2015. Research portfolio analysis in science policy: moving from financial returns to societal benefits. *Minerva* 53 (2), 89–115.

- Al Khudhairi, D., Schneiderbauer, S., Lotz-Iwen, H., 2009. The Security Dimension of GMES – Network of Excellence GMOSS: A European Think Tank for EO Technologies in Support of Security Issues. Springer Netherlands. ISBN: 978-1-4020-8483-6. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-8484-3>.
- Allen, D., Coles, E., Kankaanranta, T., McMullan, C., Mobach, D., Norman, A., Perko, T., Pylvas, K., Wijngaards, N., 2017. Good practices and innovation in risk communication. EUR 28034 EN. In: Poljanšek, K., Marín Ferrer, M., De Groeve, T., Clark, I. (Eds.), Science for Disaster Risk Management 2017: Knowing Better and Losing Less. Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg. <https://doi.org/10.2788/688605>. Chapter 4.4.
- Annunziato, A., 2005. Development and implementation of a tsunami wave propagation model at JRC. In: Proceedings of the International Symposium on Ocean Wave Measurement and Analysis JRC31442 <http://publications.jrc.ec.europa.eu/repository/handle/JRC31442>.
- Annunziato, A., 2007. The tsunami assessment modelling system by the Joint Research Centre. Science of Tsunami Hazards: The International Journal of The Tsunami Society 26 (2), 70–92. JRC41955, ISSN: 8755-6839 <http://tsunamisociety.org/STHVVol26N2Y2007.pdf>.
- Boersma, F.K., Allen, D., Comes, T., Stanciugelu, I., Terpstra, T., 2017. Communicating disaster risk. In: Poljanšek, K., Marín Ferrer, M., De Groeve, T., Clark, I. (Eds.), Science for Disaster Risk Management 2017: Knowing Better and Losing Less. Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg. <https://doi.org/10.2788/688605>. EUR 28034 EN. Chapter 4.
- Brogli, M., Corbane, C., Carrion, D., Lemoine, G., Pesaresi, M., 2010. Validation Protocol for Emergency Response Geo-Information Products. Publications Office of the European Union. <https://doi.org/10.2788/63690>. EUR 24496 EN.
- Brogli, M., Louvrier, C., Lemoine, G., 2013. Copernicus-EMS Mapping Guidelines and Best Practice. Publications Office of the European Union. <https://doi.org/10.2788/91765>. EUR 26072 EN.
- Clark, I., De Groeve, T., Marín Ferrer, M., Poljanšek, K., Faivre, N., Peter, D., Quevauviller, P., Boersma, F.K., Krausmann, E., Murray, V., Papadopoulos, G.A., Salamon, P., Simmons, D.C., Wilkinson, E., Casajus Valles, A., Doherty, B., Galliano, D., 2017. Future challenges of disaster risk management. In: Poljanšek, K., Marín Ferrer, M., De Groeve, T., Clark, I. (Eds.), Science for Disaster Risk Management 2017: Knowing Better and Losing Less. Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg. <https://doi.org/10.2788/688605>. EUR 28034 EN. Chapter 6.
- Comes, T., Adrot, A., Rizza, C., 2017. Decision-making under uncertainty. In: Poljanšek, K., Marín Ferrer, M., De Groeve, T., Clark, I. (Eds.), Science for Disaster Risk Management 2017: Knowing Better and Losing Less. Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg. <https://doi.org/10.2788/688605>. EUR 28034 EN. Chapter 4.2.
- Corban, C., Carrion, D., Lemoine, G., Brogli, M., 2011. Comparison of damage assessment maps derived from very high spatial resolution satellite and aerial imagery of the Haiti 2010 earthquake impact area. Earthquake Spectra 27 (S1), S199–S218. <https://doi.org/10.1193/1.3630223>.
- De Groeve, T., Casajus Valles, A., 2015. Science Policy Interfaces in Disaster Risk Management in the EU. Mapping the Support provided by Science in the EU Civil Protection Mechanism. Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg. ISBN: 978-92-79-52740-1. <https://doi.org/10.2788/023384>.
- De Groeve, T., Vernaccini, L., Annunziato, A., 2006. Modelling disaster impact for the global disaster alert and coordination system. In: Van de Walle, B., Turoff, M. (Eds.), Proceedings of the 3rd International ISCRAM Conference, pp. 409–417 Newark, NJ (USA), May 2006 http://idl.iscrum.org/files/degroeve/2006/429_DeGroeve_et al2006.pdf. [Accessed 5 May 2020].

- De Groeve, T., Thielen Del Pozo, J., Brakenridge, R.G., Adler, R., Alfieri, L., Kull, D., Lindsay, F., Imperiali, O., Pappenberger, F., Rudari, R., Salamon, P., Villars, N., Wyjad, K., 2015. Joining forces in a global flood partnership. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* 96 (5), ES97–ES100. <https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00147.1>. AMER METEOROLOGICAL SOC.
- European Commission, 2018. Emergency Response Coordination Centre ECHO Factsheet. http://ec.europa.eu/echo/files/aid/countries/factsheets/thematic/ERC_en.pdf. [Accessed 5 May 2020].
- Fonio, C., Annunziato, A., 2018. Network of European Facilities I: European Network of Crisis Management Laboratories (ENCML). Publications Office of the European Union. <https://doi.org/10.2788/291111>. EUR 28334 EN.
- IFRC, 2005. Data or Dialogue? The Role of Information in Disasters. International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies, Geneva. <http://www.ifrc.org/en/publications-and-reports/world-disasters-report/wdr2005/>. [Accessed 5 May 2020].
- IWG-SEM, 2015. Emergency Mapping Guidelines. http://www.un-spider.org/sites/default/files/IWG_SEM_EmergencyMappingGuidelines_v1_Final.pdf. [Accessed 5 May 2020].
- Marin Ferrer, M., Casajus Valles, A., Civiletti, G., 2016. Science Policy Interfaces in Disaster Risk Management in the EU: Requirements and Conditions for Efficient SPIs in Practice. Publications Office of the European Union. <https://doi.org/10.2788/944382>. EUR 28280 EN, ISBN: 978-92-79-64399-6, OP LB-NA-28280-EN-C (print).
- Marin Ferrer, M., Vernaccini, L., Poljansek, K., 2017. INFORM Index for Risk Management: Concept and Methodology, Version 2017. Publications Office of the European Union. <https://doi.org/10.2760/08037>. EUR 28655 EN.
- OCHA, 2012. Humanitarian and Country Icons 2012. <https://reliefweb.int/report/world/world-humanitarian-and-country-icons-2012>. [Accessed 5 May 2020].
- Pesaresi, M., Syrris, V., Julea, A., 2016. A new method for Earth observation data analytics based on symbolic machine learning. *Remote Sensing* 8 (5), 399. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs8050399>.
- Probst, P., Annunziato, A., 2017. JRC Storm Surge System: New Developments. EUR 29079 EN.
- Probst, P., Franchello, G., 2012. Global Storm Surge Forecast and Inundation Modelling. EUR 25233 EN.
- Salamon, P., Kettner, A., Coughlan de Perez, E., Rudari, R., Trigg, M., Weerts, A., Cohen, S., Prados, A., Wu, H., Schumann, G., Ward, P., Neal, J., Bernhofen, M., Livesey, D., Alfieri, L., Bhardwaj, A., Prudhomme, C., Nelson, J., Ficchi, A., Bevington, J., Tavakoly, A., Brakenridge, R., Galantowicz, J., Matgen, P., Winsemius, H., Green, D., Glasscoe, M., Schultz, L., 2018. The Global Flood Partnership Annual Meeting 2018 – Bridging the Gap between Science and Users. European Commission, Ispra. ISBN: 978-92-79-93665-4. <https://doi.org/10.2760/05644>. PUBSY No. JRC113100.
- San Miguel, J., 2017. Copernicus Emergency Management System – Global Wildfire Information System. Dataset. JRC107127 <http://data.jrc.ec.europa.eu/collection/CEMS-GWIS>.
- UNISDR, 2015. The Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015–2030, UNISDR/GE/2015 – ICLUX EN5000, first ed.
- UNISDR, 2016. The Science and Technology Roadmap to Support the Implementation of the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015–2030. https://www.preventionweb.net/files/45270_unisdrscienceandtechnologymap.pdf.
- Vogt, J., Barbosa, P., Cammalleri, C., Saiote Carrao, H., Lavaysse, C., 2017. Drought Risk Management: Needs and Experiences in Europe. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315265551-23>. JRC106951.

- Cartwright, N., 2007. Are RCTs the gold standard? *BioSocieties* 2 (1), 11–20.
- Codagnone, C., Bogliacino, F., Ivchenko, A., Veltri, G., Gaskell, G., 2014. Study on Online Gambling and Adequate Measures for the Protection of Consumers of Gambling Services. European Commission. Final Report.
- Dessart, F., Barriero-Hurlé, J., van Bavel, R., 2019. Behavioural factors affecting the adoption of sustainable farming practices: a policy-oriented review. *European Review of Agricultural Economics* 46 (3), 417–471.
- European Commission, 2014. Recommendation 2014/478/EU of the European Commission on principles for the protection of consumers and players of online gambling services and for the prevention of minors from gambling online. *Official Journal of the European Union* 214, 38–46.
- European Union, 2014. Regulation (EU) 1286/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 November 2014 on key information documents for packaged retail and insurance-based investment products (PRIIPs). *Official Journal of the European Union* 352, 1–23.
- European Union, 2017. Regulation (EU) 2017/1369 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 4 July 2017 setting a framework for energy labelling. *Official Journal of the European Union* 198, 1–23.
- Fiske, S.T., Taylor, S.E., 2013. *Social Cognition: From Brains to Culture*. Sage, London.
- GfK Consortium, 2018. Behavioural Study on Advertising and Marketing Practices in Online Social Media. European Commission. JUST/03/2015 85 01, Final Report.
- Goldstein, N.J., Cialdini, R.B., Griskevicius, V., 2008. A room with a viewpoint: using social norms to motivate environmental conservation in hotels. *Journal of Consumer Research* 35 (3), 472–482.
- Kahneman, D., Tversky, A., 1979. Prospect theory: an analysis of decision under risk. *Econometrica* 47 (2), 263–292.
- Kahneman, D., 2011. *Thinking, Fast and Slow*. Penguin, London.
- London Economics, 2014. Study on the Impact of the Energy Label – and Potential Changes to it – on Consumer Understanding and on Purchase Decisions. European Commission. ENER/C3/2013-428, Final Report.
- Lourenço, J.S., Ciriolo, E., Rafael Almeida, S., Troussard, X., 2016. Behavioural Insights Applied to Policy: European Report 2016 EUR 27726 EN. Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg.
- Lunn, P., 2014. *Regulatory Policy and Behavioural Economics*. OECD Publishing, Paris.
- OECD, 2017. *Behavioural Insights and Public Policy: Lessons from Around the World*. OECD Publishing, Paris.
- Sunstein, C.R., 2014. Nudging: a very short guide. *Journal of Consumer Policy* 37 (4), 583–588.
- Thaler, R., Sunstein, C., 2008. *Nudge: Improving Decisions about Health, Wealth, and Happiness*. Penguin, London.
- Troussard, X., van Bavel, R., 2018. How can behavioural insights be used to improve EU policy? *Intereconomics* 53 (1), 8–12.
- van Bavel, R., Hermann, B., Esposito, G., Proestakis, A., 2013. Applying Behavioural Sciences to EU Policy-Making. EUR 26033 EN. Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg.
- Zaltman, G., 2003. *How Customers Think: Essential Insights into the Mind of the Market*. Harvard Business Press, Watertown, MA.

Chapter 18

- Arrow, K.J., Raynaud, H., 1986. *Social Choice and Multicriterion Decision Making*. M.I.T. Press, Cambridge.
- Becker, W., Saisana, M., Paruolo, P., Vandecasteele, I., 2017. Weights and importance in composite indicators: closing the gap. *Ecological Indicators* 80, 12–22.
- Benessia, A., Guimarães Pereira, Â., 2015. The Internet of Things: do we really need and want to be smart? In: Guimarães Pereira, Funtowicz (Eds.), *Science, Philosophy and Sustainability: The End of the Cartesian Dream*. Routledge, London.
- Bratti, M., Ghirelli, C., Santangelo, G., 2018. Vocational training for unemployed youth in Latvia. Evidence from a Regression Discontinuity Design. IZA Discussion Paper No. 11870.
- Cacuci Dan, G., Ionescu-Bujor, M., Navon, M., 2005. *Sensitivity and Uncertainty Analysis: Applications to Large-Scale Systems*. Chapman & Hall.
- Card, D., Chetty, R., Feldstein, M., Saez, E., 2010. Expanding access to administrative data for research in the United States. In: Schultze, C.L., Newlon, D.H. (Eds.), *Ten Years and beyond: Economists Answer NSF's Call for Long-Term Research Agendas*. American Economic Association, Nashville.
- Conti, M., Ferrara, A.R., Ferraresi, M., 2019. Did the EU Airport Charges Directive lead to lower aeronautical charges? Empirical evidence from a diff-in-diff research design. *Economics of Transportation* 17, 24–39. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecotra.2018.12.001>. ISSN 2212-0122.
- Crato, N., Paruolo, P. (Eds.), 2019. *Data-driven Policy Impact Evaluation: How Access to Microdata Is Transforming Policy Design*. Springer. Available at: <https://www.springer.com/it/book/9783319784601>.
- Cucciniello, M., Porumbescu, G.A., Grimmeliikhuijsen, S., 2017. 25 Years of Transparency Research: Evidence and Future Directions. *Public Administration Review* 77 (1), 32–44. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.12685>.
- Dasgupta, P., 2001. Valuing objects and evaluating policies in imperfect economies. *Economic Journal* 111 (May), C1–C29.
- Figueira, J., Greco, S., Ehrgott, M. (Eds.), 2016. *Multiple-criteria Decision Analysis. State of the Art Surveys*. Springer International Series in Operations Research and Management Science, New York.
- Frame, B., O'Connor, M., 2011. Integrating valuation and deliberation: the purpose of sustainability assessment. *Environmental Science & Policy* 14, 1–10.
- Funtowicz, S.O., Ravetz, J.R., 1990. *Uncertainty and Quality in Science for Policy*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.
- Funtowicz, S.O., Ravetz, J.R., 1991. A new scientific methodology for global environmental issues. In: Costanza, R. (Ed.), *Ecological Economics*. New York, Columbia, pp. 137–152.
- Ghirelli, C., Havari, E., Santangelo, G., Scettri, M., 2019. Does on-the-job training help graduates find a job? Evidence from an Italian region. *International Journal of Manpower* 40 (3), 500–524. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJM-02-2018-0062>.
- Heckman, J.J., 2010. Building bridges between structural and program evaluation approaches to evaluating policy. *Journal of Economic Literature* 48 (2), 356–398.
- Heckman, J.J., Vytlacil, E.J., 2007a. Econometric evaluation of social programs, Part 1: causal models, structural models, and econometric policy evaluation. In: Heckman, J.J., Leamer, E.E. (Eds.), *Handbook of Econometrics* 6B. North-Holland, Amsterdam, Netherlands, pp. 4779–4874.
- Heckman, J.J., Vytlacil, E.J., 2007b. Econometric evaluation of social programs, Part II: using the marginal treatment effect to organize alternative econometric estimators to evaluate social programs, and to forecast their effects in new environments. In: Heckman, J.J., Leamer, E.E. (Eds.), *Handbook of Econometrics* 6B. North-Holland, Amsterdam, Netherlands, pp. 4875–5143.

- Helton, J.C., Johnson, J.D., Salaberry, C.J., Storlie, C.B., 2006. Survey of sampling based methods for uncertainty and sensitivity analysis. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety* 91, 1175–1209.
- Hoyland, B., Moene, K., 2012. The tyranny of international index rankings. *Journal of Development Economics* 1–14.
- Imbens, G.W., Wooldridge, J.M., 2009. Recent developments in the econometrics of program evaluation. *Journal of Economic Literature* 47 (1), 5–86.
- Imbens, G.W., Rubin, D.B., 2015. *Causal Inference for Statistics, Social, and Biomedical Sciences: An Introduction*. Cambridge University Press.
- Ishizaka, A., Nemery, P., 2013. *Multi-criteria Decision Analysis: Methods and Software*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Jerrim, J., de Vries, R., 2017. The limitations of quantitative social science for informing public policy. *Evidence & Policy* 13 (1), 117–133.
- Keeney, R., Raiffa, H., 1976. *Decision with Multiple Objectives: Preferences and Value Trade-Offs*. Wiley, New York.
- Kelley, J.G., Simmons, B.A., 2015. Politics by number: indicators as social pressure in international relations. *American Journal of Political Science* 59 (1), 55–70.
- Martelli, S., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Paruolo, P., Bréchet, T., Strobl, E., Guizzardi, D., Cerutti, A.K., Iancu, A., 2018. Do voters support local commitments for climate change mitigation in Italy? *Ecological Economics* 144, 27–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2017.06.035>.
- Munda, G., 2008. *Social Multi-Criteria Evaluation for a Sustainable Economy*. Springer, Heidelberg, New York.
- Munda, G., 2016. Beyond welfare economics: some methodological issues. *Journal of Economic Methodology* 23 (Issue 2), 185–202.
- OECD/EC JRC (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development/European Commission, Joint Research Centre), 2008. *Handbook on Constructing Composite Indicators: Methodology and User Guide*. OECD, Paris.
- Ostlaender, N., Bailly-Salins, T., Hardy, M., Perego, A., Friis-Christensen, A., Dalla Costa, S., 2015. Describing models in context – A step towards enhanced transparency of scientific processes underpinning policy making. *International Journal of Spatial Data Infrastructures Research* 10, 27–54. <https://doi.org/10.2902/1725-0463.2015.10.art2>.
- Pisoni, E., Albrecht, D., Mara, T.A., Rosati, R., Tarantola, S., Thunis, P., 2018. Application of Uncertainty and Sensitivity Analysis to the Air Quality SHERPA Modelling Tool, vol. 183. Elsevier - Atmospheric Environment, pp. 84–93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.04.006>.
- Poel, M., Schroeder, R., Treperman, J., Rubinstein, M., Meyer, E., Mahieu, B., Scholten, C., Svetachova, M., 2015. *Data for Policy: A Study of Big Data and Other Innovative Data-Driven Approaches for Evidence-Informed Policymaking — Report about the State-of-the-Art*. Technopolis Group, Oxford Internet Institute and Centre for European Policy Studies. <https://ofti.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/05/dataforpolicy.pdf>.
- Roy, B., 1996. *Multicriteria Methodology for Decision Analysis*. Kluwer, Dordrecht.
- Saisana, M., Saltelli, A., Tarantola, S., 2005. Uncertainty and sensitivity analysis techniques as tools for the analysis and validation of composite indicators. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society A* 168 (2), 307–323.
- Saltelli, A., Ratto, M., Andres, T., Campolongo, F., Cariboni, J., Gatelli, D., Saisana, M., Tarantola, S., 2008. *Global Sensitivity Analysis*. John Wiley & Sons, The Primer, England.
- Saltelli, A., van der Sluijs, J., Guimarães Pereira, Â., Funtowiz, S.O., 2013. What do I make of your Latinorum? Sensitivity auditing of mathematical modelling. *International Journal of Foresight and Innovation Policy* 9 (2/3/4), 213–234.

- Sen, A., 2009. *The Idea of Justice*. The Belknap Press of Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
- Silberzahn, R., et al., 2018. Many analysts, one data set: making transparent how variations in analytic choices affect results. *Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science* 1 (3), 337–356.

Chapter 19

- Agrawal, A., Cockburn, I., Galasso, A., Oettl, A., 2011. Why are Some Regions More Innovative than Others? The Role of Firm Size Diversity. NBER Working Paper, No. 17793.
- Committee of the Regions, 2017a. Plenary Session XX of the Committee of the Regions. Markku Markkula's intervention, Brussels.
- Committee of the Regions, 2017b. Next Steps for a Sustainable European Future – European Action for Sustainability. Brussels.
- Committee of the Regions, 2018. Territorial Analysis of the Country-specific Recommendations for 2018. Brussels.
- Department of Economy, Science and Innovation of Flanders, 2014. The Strategic Policy Framework for Smart Specialisation in Flanders. Policy Note. Rev. 12/2014.
- European Commission, 2012. Guide to Research and Innovation Strategies for Smart Specialisation (RIS3). Brussels.
- European Commission, 2015. Better Regulation Guidelines. SWD(2015) 111 final. Brussels.
- European Commission, 2017a. Practical Handbook for Regional Authorities. Brussels.
- European Commission, 2017b. RIS3 in Practice – Implementation Examples – Good Governance. <http://s3platform.jrc.ec.europa.eu/documents/20182/173082/2-RIS3+In+practice-Good-Governance.pdf/1e07a48f-9214-4714-8aa3-219e8ec98065>. [Accessed 5 May 2020].
- European Commission, 2019. Reflection Paper towards a Sustainable Europe by 2030. COM/2019/22 final. Brussels.
- Eurostat, 2017. Regions and Cities Illustrated (RCI). <https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/cache/RCI/#?vis=nuts2.scitech&lang=en>. [Accessed 5 May 2020].
- Eurostat website. Nomenclature of Territorial Units for Statistics – Background. <http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/nuts/background>. [Accessed on 5 May 2020].
- Foray, D., 2015. *Smart Specialisation - Opportunities and Challenges for Regional Innovation Policy*. Regional Studies Association, Routledge.
- Fraunhofer, I.S.I., 2013. *Smart Specialisation Approaches: A New Policy Paradigm on its Way from Concept to Practice*. Karlsruhe.
- Gianelle, C., Kyriakou, D., Cohen, C., Przeor, M., 2016. *Implementing Smart Specialisation: A Handbook*. European Commission, Brussels.
- Hausmann, R., Rodrik, D., 2003. Economic development as self-discovery. *Journal of Development Economics* 72 (2 December), 603–633.
- Henderson, R., Cockburn, I., 1996. Scale, scope and spillovers: the determinants of research productivity in drug discovery. *The RAND Journal of Economics* 27 (1), 32–59.
- Martínez-López, D., Palazuelos Martínez, M., 2019. Breaking with the past in smart specialisation: a new model of selection of business stakeholders within the entrepreneurial process of discovery. *Springer Journal of Knowledge Economy* 10 (4), 1643–1656.

- OECD, 2009. *Regions Matter – Economic Recovery, Innovation and Sustainable Growth*. Paris.
- OECD, 2018. *Multi-level Governance, Decentralisation, Subnational Finance and Investment*. OECD Regional Development Policy Committee (2017–2018).
- Periañez, I., Kyriakou, D., Palazuelos Martínez, M., 2015. Strengthening decision-making capacity through Stakeholder's engagement in smart specialisation. In: Jucevičius, R., Bruneckienė, J., von Carlsburg, G. (Eds.), *International Practices in Smart Development*. Peter Lang, Brussels, Oxford, pp. 42–60.
- Rodríguez-Pose, A., 2018. The revenge of the places that don't matter (and what to do about it). *Cambridge Journal of Regions, Economy and Society* 11 (1), 189–209.
- Rodrik, D., 2004. *Industrial Policy for the Twenty-first Century*. CEPR Discussion Paper Series, No. 4767.
- Smart Specialisation Platform, 2018. *Smart Stories*. <http://s3platform.jrc.ec.europa.eu/-/how-the-project-s3-4alpcclusters-supports-the-implementation-of-s3-?inheritRedirect=true>. [Accessed 5 May 2020].
- Tomaney, J., 2010. *Place-based Approaches to Regional Development: Global Trends and Australian Implications*. Australian Business Foundation.
- Trajtenberg, M., 2002. Government support for commercial R&D: lessons from the Israeli experience. In: Jaffe, A., Lerner, J., Stern, S. (Eds.), *Innovation Policy and the Economy*, vol. 2. NBER Books, National Bureau of Economic Research.
- United Nations, Sustainable Development Knowledge Platform, 2018. *Thematic Review: Implementing the SDGs: Lessons from the Regions*.