

**United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification**  
**Performance Review and Assessment of Implementation System**

**Fourth UNCCD reporting cycle, 2010–2011 leg**  
**Report for Benin**

# Table of contents

- Impact indicators
  - Strategic Objectives 1, 2 and 3
    - General information on impact indicators on strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3
    - Core indicator S-(1/2/3): Poverty Rate
      - Reporting on the indicator
      - Interpretation of indicator status/trend and policy implications
      - Feedback
    - Core indicator S-5: Land Cover Status
      - Reporting on land cover
        - Reporting on the indicator
        - Interpretation of indicator status/trend and policy implications
        - Feedback
      - Reporting on land productivity
      - Feedback
    - Additional indicators on strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3
  - Strategic Objective 4
    - Indicator SO-4-3
    - Indicator SO-4-6
    - Indicator SO-4-7
- Performance Indicators
  - Operational Objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-1 for Outcome 1.1
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-3 for Outcome 1.3
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-4 for Outcome 1.3
  - Operational Objective 2: Policy framework
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-5 for Outcomes 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-7 for Outcome 2.5
  - Operational Objective 3: Science, technology and knowledge
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-8 for Outcomes 3.1 and 3.2
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-9 for Outcome 3.1 and 3.2
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-10 for Outcome 3.3 and 3.4
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-11 for Outcome 3.5
  - Operational Objective 4: Capacity building
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-13 for Outcomes 4.1 and 4.2
  - Operational Objective 5: Financing and technology transfer
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-14 for Outcome 5.1
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-16 for Outcome 5.2
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-17 for Outcome 5.3
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-18 for Outcome 5.5
- Standard Financial Annex
  - Financial Commitment #1 — Projet d'Appui à la mise en œuvre du Programme National de Gestion de l'Environnement (PAPNGE)
  - Financial Commitment #3 — Programme Intégré d'adaptation pour la lutte contre les effets néfastes des changements climatiques sur la production agricole
  - Financial Commitment #5 — Préservation et la gestion durable des écosystèmes naturels du pays, résoudre les nombreux problèmes liés à cette dégradation des massifs, préservation et la gestion durable des écosystèmes naturels du pays en particulier la préservation des potentia...
  - Financial Commitment #6 — Projet d'Appui à la mise en œuvre du Programme National de Gestion Durable des Ressources Naturelles (PAPNGDRN)
  - Financial Commitment #7 — Projet d'intégration des Forêts Sacrées dans le système des Aires

- Protégées du Bénin (PIFSAP)
  - Financial Commitment #8 — Projet d'Appui à la Promotion et à la Valorisation des Produits Forestiers non Ligneux (PFNL) au Bénin
- Programme and Project Sheets
  - Programme/Project #1 — Projet d'Appui à la mise en œuvre du Programme National de Gestion de l'Environnement (PAPNGE)
  - Programme/Project #2 — Programme d'Action National d'Adaptation aux Changements Climatiques du Bénin (PANA-BENIN), phase 1
  - Programme/Project #4 — Projet d'Aménagement des Massifs Forestiers d'Agoua des Monts Kouffè et de Wari Maro (PAMF)
  - Programme/Project #5 — Projet d'Appui à la mise en œuvre du Programme National de Gestion Durable des Ressources Naturelles (PAPNGDRN)
  - Programme/Project #6 — Projet d'Intégration des Forêts Sacrées dans le Système des Aires Protégées au Bénin (PIFSAP)
  - Programme/Project #7 — Projet d'Appui à la Promotion et à la Valorisation des Produits Forestiers non Ligneux (PFNL) au Bénin
- Additional Information
  - Reporting process-related issues
  - Accommodation of specific requests within COP decisions
  - Reporting on the implementation of NAP
    - Human resources
    - Financial resources
  - Any other country-specific issues
- Submission form

## General information section

GENERAL INFORMATION ON THE REPORTING ENTITY	
Reporting country	Benin
Name and surname of the person submitting the report	Armande Aïvohozin Zanou
Affiliation and contact details	azanou02@yahoo.fr

# Affected Country Parties

## Impact indicators

### Strategic Objectives 1, 2 and 3

Following [decision 17/COP.9](#), affected country Parties are requested to report on two mandatory impact indicators (i.e.: proportion of population living below the poverty line and land cover status), through the associated metrics identified during the iterative process for the refinement of the set of impact indicators (i.e.: poverty rate, land cover and land productivity).

Affected country Parties might also decide to report on the remaining nine impact indicators provisionally accepted by the COP (see [decision 17/COP.9](#)) but considered optional for inclusion in reports.

Alternative indicators considered more suitable than the provisionally accepted indicators may also be reported on. The condition for reporting on alternative indicators is that these fit into the underlying logic of measuring progress against strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3 of the Strategy.

Reporting is guided by means of templates, one for each of the two mandatory indicators, plus one generic reporting template for the remaining nine impact indicators and alternative indicators. Detailed reporting guidelines are available for the two mandatory impact indicators.

### General information on impact indicators on strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3

---

#### Definition of affected areas

***Does your National Action Programme (NAP) identify areas of the country which are affected by Desertification, Land Degradation and Drought (DLDD)?***

**Yes**

***If no, does any other national planning document identify areas of the country which are affected by DLDD?***

*No answer required.*

***Please specify the national document that identifies areas affected by DLDD in your country.***

*No answer required.*

***Please specify which areas of the country are considered as affected by DLDD (e.g. name of relevant provinces, states and districts):***

**Les huit zones agro écologiques du Bénin se dégradent à un rythme élevé .**

**Les zones fortement dégradées sont d'environ 29% et plus concentrées dans la zone1,celles moyennement dégradées de 33%(zone2 cotonnière). Les 20% fortement dégradées ( zone 4) ont plus de 5% de terres extrêmement dégradée**

***Please specify the estimated total number of square kilometres of the national territory occupied by areas affected by DLDD:***

**81102 km<sup>2</sup>**

***Please specify the percentage of the national land area occupied by areas affected by DLDD:***

**82 %**

***Please specify the definition used to identify areas affected by DLDD in your country:***

**Au Bénin, il y a trois types de dégradation des sols, à savoir: la dégradation chimique, la dégradation physique et la salinisation).La première affecte toutes les terres cultivées, la seconde se manifeste principalement à travers l'érosion hydrique et éolienne.**

**L'agriculture, principale activité socio-économique d'environ 70% de la population active, contribue à hauteur de 38% à la formation du PIB. Elle reste pourtant tributaire d'une exploitation quasi minière et extractive d'un potentiel de 4,8 millions d'hectares de terres arables dont dispose le pays, du fait de la persistance des pratiques de cultures semi-itinérantes sur brûlis. Par ailleurs, le boom cotonnier enregistré au cours des dernières décennies a contribué à faire de l'agriculture un des secteurs clés**

responsables de la dégradation de l'environnement, non seulement à travers la grande consommation de terres en friche, mais aussi par la forte utilisation d'engrais minéraux et de pesticides dont les effets ne sont pas sans conséquences négatives sur les terres, constituant ainsi une profonde menace sur les zones ayant encore une disponibilité foncière.

Il ressort de ces analyses que la quasi-totalité des huit zones agro écologiques sont touchées par la dégradation des sols au Bénin. Au vu de la persistance des pratiques qui sont à la base de ce phénomène, le niveau de dégradation actuel est élevé. Le Bénin fait partie des pays à faible niveau de développement humain où l'on note une dégradation continue et inquiétante des ressources naturelles et des écosystèmes du fait de la pression exercée sur ceux-ci. La démographie est galopante et l'effet conjugué de la pauvreté et du faible investissement dans la régénération du potentiel des supports productifs (eau, sols, faune et flore) constituent indéniablement des indicateurs d'une dégradation des terres.

Il est de plus en plus établi que la dégradation de l'environnement touche aussi bien les zones d'agriculture vivrière, et industrielle.

*Please specify what methods were used to identify areas affected by DLDD in your country:*

Les zones touchées par le phénomène de désertification ont été identifiées à partir d'une étude commanditée à cet effet et qui a utilisée les données fournies par le Centre National de Télédétection (CENATEL) et par le Bureau d'Expertise en prospective Ecologique et en Aménagement. Les sols du Bénin sont caractérisés par une grande variabilité, tant au plan de leur nature, de leur fertilité que de leur répartition géographique. La surface totale cultivable représente 62,5 % de la superficie du pays, mais 20% seulement des surfaces cultivables sont effectivement exploitées, soit 12 % du territoire national.

Il est de plus en plus établi que la dégradation de l'environnement touche aussi bien les zones d'agriculture vivrière, et industrielle (cotonnière plus particulièrement), les zones d'élevage que les zones humides où la gestion intégrée des ressources en eau n'est pas encore une réalité. Les terres, les ressources forestières et pastorales et les plans d'eau dans les zones de pêche, paient aujourd'hui un lourd tribut dû à une exploitation abusive et irrationnelle.

La dégradation continue du couvert végétal, la baisse drastique de la productivité des écosystèmes, l'érosion des sols, le comblement des cours d'eau, la déforestation et la désertification constituent le lot quotidien d'exploitation des ressources naturelles. Les chiffres existants feraient état d'un recul de la forêt et du couvert végétal au rythme annuel moyen de 1.000 Km<sup>2</sup>.

La méthodologie utilisée pour apprécier ceci est la suivante:

Phase 1 : Analyse Diagnostique d'Etat des lieux sur le la Gestion durable des terres au Bénin; la revue documentaire, l'analyse du contexte interne et externe GDT au Bénin

l'identification des parties prenantes et acteurs aux niveaux macro, meso et micro

la collecte de données à l'aide des outils WOCAT, l'inventaire des ressources disponibles (politiques, stratégiques, humaines, financières, matérielles, informationnelles et organisationnelles) sur la GDT au Bénin et la cartographie essentielle de la GDT.

*If available, please provide the geographic datasets which show the areas affected by DLDD.*

Ideally, the data should be provided as a shapefile or raster (Geotiff) format with geographic coordinates on the WGS84 datum (The file should have a maximum size of 25MB). If the raw data are not available please provide a map showing the extent of areas affected by DLDD. Ideally, the map should have a scale of less than 1:250,000 and be provided in a Tiff format (The file should have a maximum size of 25MB). To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map (resolution of map, year of the map, land cover classes and corresponding colours, borders etc)

**Attachments:**

None.

---

**Definition of rural areas**

**Does any national planning document define rural areas in your country?**

Yes

**Please specify the national document that defines rural areas in your country.**

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
Ministère de l'environnement de l'Habitat et de l'Urbanisme	2000	Programme d'action National de lutte contre la désertification	Direction de l'Aménagement du territoire	dat@sobiex.bj

**Please state the national definition of rural areas in your country:**

Par opposition à la zone urbaine, la zone rurale est cette zone où les activités dominantes sont celles du secteur primaires comme l'agriculture, l'élevage et la pêche, les espaces sont encore pour la plupart à l'étape naturelle, les activités du secteur secondaire et tertiaire sont peu développés et la densité de la population est faible. Dans ces zones, le phénomène de la désertification et de la dégradation des terres par suite de divers facteurs parmi lesquels nous avons les variations climatiques et les activités humaines sont plus perceptibles.

**Human population estimates**

**Please state estimates of the human population living in the national area of your country, in rural areas of your country and areas affected by DLDD in your country.**

Include details on the methodology used to establish these estimates.

Year	Number of people living in the national area	Number of people living in rural areas	Number of people living in affected areas	Method used	Was every region (or equivalent sub-national unit) of your country covered in the assessment?	Please state the approximate proportion of the national human population surveyed during the assessment
2000	---	---	---	---	---	---
2001	---	---	---	---	---	---
2002	6937985	4233558	2163144	Nationwide census	Yes	30%
2003	7164976	4347707	2233916	Nationwide census	Yes	30%
2004	7397985	4463944	2306564	Nationwide census	Yes	30%
2005	7633757	4580254	2380074	Nationwide census	Yes	30%
2006	7871707	4691537	2454262	Nationwide census	Yes	30%
2007	8112573	4802643	2529360	Nationwide census	Yes	30%
2008	8355980	4913316	2605250	Nationwide census	Yes	30%

Year	Number of people living in the national area	Number of people living in rural areas	Number of people living in affected areas	Method used	Was every region (or equivalent sub-national unit) of your country covered in the assessment?	Please state the approximate proportion of the national human population surveyed during the assessment
2009	8601771	5023434	2681884	Nationwide census	Yes	30%
2010	8849892	5132937	2759244	Nationwide census	Yes	30%
2011	9096460	5239561	2838835	Nationwide census	Yes	30%

*Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.*

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
Institut National de la Statistique et de l	---	Recensement général de la population et de l	Institut national de la Statistique et de l	---

### **Core indicator S-(1/2/3): Poverty Rate**

UNCCD Strategic Objective(s) for which the indicator applies

Strategic Objective 1: To improve the living conditions of affected populations

UNCCD Core indicator S-(1/2/3)

Improvement in the livelihoods of people potentially impacted by the process of desertification/land degradation and drought

Name of the indicator

Proportion of population living below the poverty line

Metric

Poverty Rate

Purpose of the indicator

To measure and monitor changes in poverty, as a proxy for human well-being. This can be used to identify deprived livelihoods, assess the impacts of desertification and assess progress made by the Parties in combating desertification.

Understanding of the indicator

The **poverty line** describes an absolute threshold below which people are considered to be poor. The **poverty rate** describes the percentage of the human population living below the poverty line. Ideally, Parties report the poverty rate for affected areas using the rural poverty line (poverty line specific to rural areas). In addition, the rural poverty line should be used to report the poverty rate in rural areas. In the absence of the rural poverty line, the national poverty line should be used to report the poverty rate in affected and rural areas or, if these are not available, in the country as a whole. Finally, if no poverty lines are available, the generic poverty line of US\$ 2.00/capita/day should be used to assess poverty rates.

Data needed

Size of human population, a poverty line and the number of people falling below the poverty line.

Relevant terms in the glossary

'absolute poverty', 'absolute poverty line', 'consumption', 'consumption survey', 'currency', 'data', 'data analysis', 'data source', 'desertification/land degradation and drought (DLDD)', 'ecosystem services', 'e-SMART', 'expert knowledge', 'income', 'income survey', 'indicator', 'indicator metadata', 'metric', 'national poverty line', 'national poverty rate',

'nationwide census', 'non stratified random survey' 'population census', 'poverty line', 'relative poverty' 'relative poverty lines', 'rural poverty line', 'rural poverty rate', 'stratified random sampling' 'stratified random survey'.

## Reporting on the indicator

### Rural poverty line

**Does your country have a poverty line that is specific to rural areas (rural poverty line)?**

Yes

**If yes, please state the rural poverty line in your country for the most recent years and the method used to define the rural poverty line.**

Please choose the method category which best represents that used in the assessment

Year	Rural poverty line (value)	Currency	Method used
2000	---	---	---
2001	---	---	---
2002	---	---	---
2003	---	---	---
2004	---	---	---
2005	---	---	---
2006	12	XOF	Consumption survey
2007	88	XOF	Consumption survey
2008	---	---	---
2009	1498	XOF	Consumption survey
2010	---	---	---
2011	---	---	---

**Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.**

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
Ministre d'Etat, chargé de la Prospective, du	2011	Stratégie de croissance pour la réduction de la Pauvreté (2011-2015)	Gouvernement du Bénin	benin.int.gouv

**If yes, please state the number of people and the percentage of the population living below the rural poverty line in affected areas.**

Furthermore, please state the method used to assess the poverty rate in affected areas and the proportion of the population included in the assessment.

Year	Affected areas			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the human population living in affected areas surveyed during the poverty assessment
2000	---	---	---	---
2001	---	---	---	---



Year	Affected areas			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the human population living in affected areas surveyed during the poverty assessment
2002	2163144	3118	Nationwide census	30%
2003	2233916	3118	Nationwide census	30%
2004	2306564	3118	Nationwide census	30%
2005	2380074	3118	Nationwide census	30%
2006	2454262	3118	Nationwide census	30%
2007	2529360	3118	Nationwide census	30%
2008	2605250	3118	Nationwide census	30%
2009	2681884	3118	Nationwide census	30%
2010	2759244	3118	Nationwide census	30%
2011	2838835	3118	Nationwide census	30%

***If yes, please state the number of people and the percentage of the population living below the rural poverty line in rural areas.***

Furthermore, please state the method used to assess the poverty rate in rural areas and the proportion of the population included in the assessment.

Year	Rural areas			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the human population living in rural areas surveyed during the poverty assessment
2000	---	---	---	---
2001	---	---	---	---
2002	4233558	3118	Nationwide census	30%
2003	4347707	3118	Nationwide census	30%
2004	4463944	3118	Nationwide census	30%
2005	4580254	3118	Nationwide census	30%
2006	4691537	3118	Nationwide census	30%
2007	4802643	3118	Nationwide census	30%
2008	4913316	3118	Nationwide census	30%
2009	5023434	3118	Nationwide census	30%
2010	5132937	3118	Nationwide census	30%
2011	5239561	3118	Nationwide census	30%

***Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.***

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
Ministre d'Etat, chargé de la Prospective, du	2011	Stratégie de Croissance pour la Réduction de la Pauvreté (SCRP 2011-2015)	Gouvernement du Bénin	benin.gouv.int

### National poverty line

If data related to the rural poverty line was provided, please **do not** respond to this section.

***If no rural poverty line is available, does your country have a national poverty line?***

Yes

***If yes, please state the national poverty line in your country for the most recent years and the method used to define the national poverty line.***

Please choose the method category which best represents that used in the assessment.

Year	National poverty line (value)	Currency	Method used
2000	---	---	---
2001	---	---	---
2002	74886	XOF	Consumption survey
2003	---	---	---
2004	---	---	---
2005	---	---	---
2006	82224	XOF	Consumption survey
2007	---	---	---
2008	---	---	---
2009	---	---	---
2010	---	---	---
2011	---	---	---

***Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.***

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
Ministère du Développement de l	2007	STRATEGIE DE CROISSANCE POUR LA REDUCTION DE LA PAUVRETE	Ministère du Développement de l	site du Gouvernement

***Please state the number of people and the percentage of the population living below the national poverty line in affected areas.***

Year	Affected areas			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the human population living in affected areas surveyed during the poverty assessment
2000	---	---	---	---

Year	Affected areas			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the human population living in affected areas surveyed during the poverty assessment
2001	---	---	---	---
2002	2163144	---	---	---
2003	2233916	---	---	---
2004	2306564	---	---	---
2005	2380074	---	---	---
2006	2454262	---	---	---
2007	2529360	---	---	---
2008	2605250	---	---	---
2009	2681884	---	---	---
2010	2759244	---	---	---
2011	2838835	---	---	---

***Please state the number of people and the percentage of the population living below the national poverty line in rural areas.***

Year	Rural areas			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the human population living in rural areas surveyed during the poverty assessment
2000	---	---	---	---
2001	---	---	---	---
2002	---	---	---	---
2003	---	---	---	---
2004	---	---	---	---
2005	---	---	---	---
2006	---	---	---	---
2007	---	---	---	---
2008	---	---	---	---
2009	---	---	---	---
2010	---	---	---	---
2011	---	---	---	---

***If neither of these is available, please state the percentage of the population living below the national poverty line in the country as a whole.***

Year	Country			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the national human population surveyed during the poverty assessment
2000	---	---	---	---
2001	---	---	---	---
2002	---	---	---	---
2003	---	---	---	---
2004	---	---	---	---
2005	---	---	---	---
2006	---	---	---	---
2007	---	---	---	---
2008	---	---	---	---
2009	---	---	---	---
2010	---	---	---	---
2011	---	---	---	---

*Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.*

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
---	---	---	---	---

### **International poverty line**

If data related to the rural poverty line or to the national poverty line was provided, please **do not** respond to this section.

*If neither the rural poverty line nor the national poverty line are available, please state the number of people and the percentage of the population living below the international poverty line (US\$ 2.00/capita/day) in affected areas.*

Year	Affected areas			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the human population living in affected areas surveyed during the poverty assessment
2000	---	---	---	---
2001	---	---	---	---
2002	---	---	---	---
2003	---	---	---	---
2004	---	---	---	---
2005	---	---	---	---

Year	Affected areas			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the human population living in affected areas surveyed during the poverty assessment
2006	---	---	---	---
2007	---	---	---	---
2008	---	---	---	---
2009	---	---	---	---
2010	---	---	---	---
2011	---	---	---	---

*If there is no national poverty line, please state the number of people and the percentage of the population living below the international poverty line (US\$ 2.00/capita/day) in rural areas.*

Year	Rural areas			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the human population living in rural areas surveyed during the poverty assessment
2000	---	---	---	---
2001	---	---	---	---
2002	---	---	---	---
2003	---	---	---	---
2004	---	---	---	---
2005	---	---	---	---
2006	---	---	---	---
2007	---	---	---	---
2008	---	---	---	---
2009	---	---	---	---
2010	---	---	---	---
2011	---	---	---	---

*If neither of these is available, please state the percentage of the population living below the international poverty line (US\$ 2.00/capita/day) in the country as a whole.*

Year	Country			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the national human population surveyed during the poverty assessment
2000	---	---	---	---
2001	---	---	---	---

Year	Country			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the national human population surveyed during the poverty assessment
2002	---	---	---	---
2003	---	---	---	---
2004	---	---	---	---
2005	---	---	---	---
2006	---	---	---	---
2007	---	---	---	---
2008	---	---	---	---
2009	---	---	---	---
2010	---	---	---	---
2011	---	---	---	---

*Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.*

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
---	---	---	---	---

### Contact details

General information on the national contact person for this indicator

#### *Name and surname*

Dieudonné DAHOUN

#### *Institution*

DGPP/Ministre d'Etat, chargé de la Prospective, du

#### *Address*

Ministre d'Etat, chargé de la Prospective, du  
Développement, de l'Evaluation des Politiques  
publiques et de la Coordination de l'Action  
Gouvernementale

#### *Email*

*No answer provided.*

#### *Telephone*

*No answer provided.*

### Interpretation of indicator status/trend and policy implications

#### Poverty in affected areas

*Did you provide data on poverty in affected areas?*

No

*If yes, please state whether you provided data for more than one year.*

No answer required.

**If no, do you see a pattern in the data?**

No answer required.

**Please explain the pattern emerging from the data and how it relates to DLDD.**

No answer required.

**If yes, does the poverty rate in affected areas change over time?**

No answer required.

**If no, please explain possible reasons why the poverty rate in affected areas does not change over time.**

No answer required.

**If yes, does the poverty rate in affected areas increase or decrease?**

No answer required.

**Please explain the pattern emerging from the data.**

No answer required.

**Is there a functional relationship between DLDD and the poverty rate in affected areas?**

No answer required.

**Please describe how DLDD affects the poverty rate in affected areas.**

No answer required.

**If DLDD does not affect the poverty rate in affected areas, please describe the other factor(s) responsible for changes in the poverty rate in affected areas.**

No answer required.

**Please upload a graph showing the percentage of human population below the poverty line in affected areas, covering all years for which data are provided in section "Poverty Rate" / sub-section "Reporting on the indicator".**

The graph should be provided as jpg or pdf with a maximum file size of 2MB

No answer required.

**To facilitate understanding please explain clearly what can be seen in the diagram (keys, classes, etc).**

No answer required.

---

## **Poverty in rural areas**

**Did you provide data on poverty in rural areas?**

Yes

**If yes, please state whether you provided data for more than one year.**

Yes

**If no, do you see a pattern in the data?**

No answer required.

**Please explain the pattern emerging from the data and how it relates to DLDD.**

No answer required.

**If yes, does the poverty rate in rural areas change over time?**

Yes

**If no, please explain possible reasons why the poverty rate in rural areas does not change over time.**

No answer required.

**If yes, does the poverty rate in rural areas increase or decrease?**

Increase

*Please explain the pattern emerging from the data.*

la tendance observée s'augmente au fil des années du fait de la dégradation persistante des terres surtout en milieu rural. les populations n'ayant que la terre comme seule source de revenu font d'énormes pressions sur cette dernière. la pauvreté a augmenté entre 2007 et 2009, passant de 7,8% en 2007 à 13,4% en 2009, soit une hausse de 5,6 points de pourcentage. Cette couche, qui était estimée à 11,4% en 2006, avait connu une baisse de 3,6 points entre 2006 et 2007.

*Is there a functional relationship between DLDD and the poverty rate in rural areas?*

Yes

*Please describe how DLDD affects the poverty rate in rural areas.*

La DDTS affecte la pauvreté en milieu rural dans la mesure où les terres fortement dégradées n'arrivent plus à nourrir les populations qui y vivent. Ces dernières n'arrivent plus à subvenir à leurs besoins fondamentaux réduisant ainsi leur pouvoir d'achat. Dans les zones rurales, la proportion des ménages appartenant au noyau dur de la pauvreté est estimée, en 2009, à 15% contre 12,7% pour le milieu urbain. Ces résultats placent les zones rurales comme des cibles primordiales dans la lutte contre la pauvreté extrême

*If DLDD does not affect the poverty rate in rural areas, please describe the other factor(s) responsible for changes in the poverty rate in rural areas.*

No answer required.

*Please upload a graph showing the percentage of human population below the poverty line in rural areas, covering all years for which data are provided in section "Poverty Rate" / sub-section "Reporting on the indicator".*

The graph should be provided as jpg or pdf with a maximum file size of 2MB

**Attachments:**

None.

*To facilitate understanding please explain clearly what can be seen in the diagram (keys, classes, etc).*

No answer provided.

*Please state the actions and policies that you currently have in place or any that will be implemented in the future to address the implications of the indicator trend or lack thereof for addressing DLDD in your country.*

No answer provided.

---

## Poverty at the national level

If data was provided for either affected or rural areas, please **do not** respond to this section.

*Did you provide data on poverty at the national level?*

Yes

*If yes, please state whether you provided data for more than one year.*

Yes

*If no, do you see a pattern in the data?*

No answer required.

*Please explain the pattern emerging from the data and how it relates to DLDD.*

No answer required.

*If yes, does the poverty rate at national level change over time?*

Yes

*If no, please explain possible reasons why the poverty rate at national level does not change over time.*



*No answer required.*

**If yes, does the poverty rate at national level increase or decrease?**

**Decrease**

**Please explain the pattern emerging from the data.**

Entre 2006 et 2007, la pauvreté monétaire a connu une baisse d'environ 4 points de pourcentage contre 2,4 points pour la pauvreté non monétaire (Figure 3). En revanche, entre 2007 et 2009, on a noté une hausse de la pauvreté monétaire de 1,9 point de pourcentage. Cette hausse de la pauvreté monétaire entre 2007 et 2009 est la conséquence des effets des crises économiques et financières qui ont induit une baisse des dépenses de consommation des ménages.

La pauvreté non monétaire a connu une baisse substantielle de 9 points, passant de 39,6% en 2007 à 30,85% en 2009. Cette baisse résulte des différentes actions menées par le Gouvernement au cours de la période 2007-2009 pour l'amélioration de l'accès des populations aux services sociaux de base. Ces actions concernent notamment, la construction des points d'eau et des infrastructures scolaires.

**Is there a functional relationship between DLDD and the poverty rate at the national level?**

**Yes**

**Please describe how DLDD affects the poverty rate at the national level.**

La DDTS affecte le taux de pauvreté à l'échelle nationale dans la mesure où la dégradation continue du couvert végétal, la baisse drastique de la productivité des écosystèmes, l'érosion des sols, le comblement des cours d'eau, la déforestation et la désertification constituent les facteurs déterminants de la pauvreté à l'échelle nationale. L'extrême pauvreté génère des dégâts environnementaux énormes et des pressions sur les ressources naturelles qui s'effectuent de façon générale dans un contexte problématique de la gestion durable des terres aggravé par :

- l'extrême dépendance de l'économie nationale du capital naturel ;
- la rapide croissance démographique ;
- l'insuffisance d'informations sur les inventaires et les modes de gestion des ressources naturelles ;
- les pratiques peu convenables d'utilisation des terres ;
- l'adoption tardive du code foncier rural ;
- le caractère peu approprié de la tenure et des structures de propriété, l'insuffisance des informations sur l'économie environnementale ;

**If DLDD does not affect the poverty rate at the national level, please describe the other factor(s) responsible for changes in the poverty rate at the national level.**

*No answer required.*

**Please upload a graph showing the percentage of the national population below the poverty line, covering all years for which data are provided in section "Reporting on the indicator".**

The graph should be provided as jpg or pdf with a maximum file size of 2MB

**Attachments:**

*None.*

**To facilitate understanding please explain clearly what can be seen in the diagram (keys, classes, etc).**

*No answer provided.*

**Please state the actions and policies that you currently have in place or any that will be implemented in the future to address the implications of the indicator trend or lack thereof for addressing DLDD in your country.**

*No answer provided.*

---

**Feedback**

## Report on specific COP requests – iterative process on indicators

Decision 13/COP.9 (paragraphs 2, 3 and 4) and decision 17/COP.9 envisage an iterative process to refine the set of performance and impact indicators. As a tool to implement this iterative process, affected country Parties can provide here their suggestions and recommendations for improvement. Using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 = (no, not at all) and 5 = (yes, very much), please rate the indicator. Please write any comments related to your assessment under the column “remarks”.

Assessment Criteria (e-SMART)	Score	Remarks
Relevant – Does the indicator provide information about changes in primary processes unambiguously related to DLDD and UNCCD implementation?	3	---
Relevant – Is the indicator relevant for DLDD national planning purposes, including monitoring of the National Action Programme (NAP)?	4	---
Relevant – Can policymakers easily understand the indicator?	4	---
Specific – Is the indicator based on well-understood and generally accepted conceptual models of the system to which it is applied so that changes in its value will have clear meaning regarding the process of concern?	3	---
Specific – Is the requested spatial scale (national vs. affected areas) of the indicator appropriate for its monitoring purposes?	4	---
Measurable – Are the definitions of the indicator and its constitutive elements clear and not ambiguous?	5	---
Measurable – Are the proposed methodologies for the measurement of this indicator sufficiently clear to ensure reliable data?	5	---
Time-bound – Is the indicator sensitive enough to detect important changes but not so sensitive that signals are masked by natural variability?	4	---
Time-bound – Can the indicator detect changes at the required temporal and spatial scales and are the up-scaling / cross-scaling rules clear?	3	---
Achievable – Are reliable data and monitoring systems available to assess trends and is data collection a relatively straightforward process?	2	---
Achievable – Is the frequency of data collection in line with the monitoring and reporting requirements of the UNCCD?	4	---
Economic – Is the indicator cost-effective? Is the cost of data collection affordable and worthwhile? (consider any required cost for personnel, capital but also, recurring costs)	5	---

### Core indicator S-5: Land Cover Status

UNCCD Strategic Objective(s) for which the indicator applies  
Strategic Objective 2: To improve the condition of ecosystems

UNCCD Core indicator S-5

Maintenance of or increases in ecosystem function, including net primary productivity

Name of the indicator

Land cover status

Metric

Land cover / land productivity

#### Purpose of the indicator

The purpose of this indicator is to measure and monitor changes in land cover and land productivity. This can be used to indicate land degradation in terms of long-term loss of ecosystem primary productivity, and assess the progress made in maintaining or improving the condition of ecosystems.

#### Understanding of the indicator

Land cover reflects the (bio) physical dimension of the earth's surface. This can indicate the land's ability to sustain human activity and land use. Ideally, parties should provide data on the area of each land cover type, the percentage of the total national area covered by each land cover type and any patterns and trends over time. Where available, data should also be provided on the net primary productivity of each land cover type, to give some indication of the total productive capacity of the land.

#### Data needed

Total national land area, classification of land cover types, the total area of each land cover type (square km) and the total area of each land cover type as a percentage of the total national land area.

#### Relevant terms in the glossary

'aerial photo', 'data', 'data analysis', 'data source', 'desertification/land degradation and drought (DLDD)', 'Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI)', 'e-SMART', 'expert knowledge', 'field survey', 'Fraction of photosynthetically active radiation absorbed by vegetation (FAPAR)', 'Global Inventory Modeling and Mapping Studies (GIMMS)', 'ground-truthing', 'indicator', 'land cover', 'Land Cover Classification System (LCCS)', 'land productivity', 'metric', 'minimum mapping unit (MMU)', 'Net primary productivity (NPP)', 'Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI)', 'Rainfall Use Efficiency (RUE)', 'remote sensing', 'satellite image', 'spatial resolution', 'temporal resolution'

#### Reporting on land cover

#### Reporting on the indicator

None delivered.

---

#### Interpretation of indicator status/trend and policy implications

---

Interpretation of the indicator

***Did you provide data for more than one year?***

**No**

***If no, do you see a pattern in the data related to affected areas?***

**Yes**

***Please explain the pattern emerging from the data and how it relates to DLDD.***

**La tendance spatiale est en évolution croissante avec la désertification et la dégradation des terres dans les zones touchées. La principale activité économique au Bénin est l'agriculture. Or la forte démographie entraîne l'exploitation excessive et irrationnelle des terres par des pratiques agricoles traditionnelles qui épuisent énormément les sols (culture itinérante sur brûlis). Ainsi des données indiquent qu'en 16 ans, 348 328 ha de terres nouvelles ont été défrichées, ce qui correspond à une évolution annuelle moyenne de 21 770,5 ha soit un taux moyen de pression de 0,3% par rapport à la superficie cultivable disponible estimée à 7 050 000 ha .**

**Au total, les terres qui sont généralement surexploitées dans les conditions techniques actuelles, deviennent improductives au bout de quelques années et finissent par se transformer en cuirasses latéritiques stériles, ce qui constitue un signe avant-coureur de la désertification.**

**La transhumance est perçue comme un système de production dégradant pour l'environnement, en raison du fait qu'elle occasionne des déplacements massifs de cheptel à la recherche de pâturages et de points d'eau. Ici la dégradation est perçue comme un processus de diminution des ressources pastorales, suite à leur utilisation irrationnelle par l'élevage .**

**Au Bénin, la transhumance s'opère essentiellement dans le sens nord/sud pour deux raisons:**

~ Le cheptel bovin national est essentiellement concentré dans les départements du nord, notamment dans les zones du Borgou, de l'Alibori, de l'Atacora et du Donga, qui possèdent à eux seuls 85% des effectifs nationaux qui avoisinent les 1 200 000 têtes.

~ Depuis la sécheresse des années 70 et 80, la transhumance des bovins en provenance des pays frontaliers (Niger, Nigéria, Burkina-Faso) s'est fortement accentuée: près de 200 000 têtes de bovins et de 17 000 têtes d'ovins / caprins au cours de la saison sèche 1994-1995 .

***Does the indicator change over time?***

*No answer required.*

***If no, please explain possible reasons why the indicator does not change over time***

*No answer required.*

***If yes, is there a functional relationship between the indicator and DLDD?***

*No answer required.*

***If DLDD does not affect the indicator, please describe the other factor(s) responsible for changes in the indicator value over time.***

*No answer required.*

***Please describe how DLDD affects the indicator.***

*No answer required.*

***Does the extent of affected areas increase or decrease?***

*No answer required.*

***Please explain the pattern emerging from the data.***

*No answer required.*

***Please upload any graphs showing the temporal pattern/trend in the indicator over time, covering all years for which data is available.***

The graph should be provided as jpg or pdf with a maximum file size of 2MB. To facilitate understanding please explain clearly what can be seen in the diagrams (keys, classes, resolutions etc.)

*No answer required.*

***Please state the actions and policies that you currently have in place or any that will be implemented in the future to address the implications of the indicator trend or lack thereof for addressing DLDD in your country.***

Des cadres législatif, politique, stratégique et institutionnel plus adaptés sont donc nécessaires au Bénin en vue d'assurer la planification plus efficace d'actions de gestion des écosystèmes et plus spécifiquement celles de gestion durable des terres à l'échelle nationale, communale et locale, sur la base d'éléments essentiels et d'outils de décision permettant de faire face à moyen et long terme à la dégradation des terres.

Il s'agit de susciter au sein des nombreux acteurs et partenaires qui existent actuellement une motivation réelle à prendre part activement à la résolution des problèmes relatifs à la gestion des ressources naturelles en général et plus particulièrement à la gestion durable des terres, aux fins d'une option d'investissement concertée et responsable en termes de paquets technologiques adaptés, d'organisations fonctionnelles, etc., pour la prévention de la dégradation et la restauration des terres dégradées.

Ce pas important suppose tout d'abord l'identification plus précise des acteurs concernés et ensuite la détermination concertée (i) du mode de coordination pour une meilleure utilisation des ressources disponibles ou à mobiliser ; (ii) des moyens de suivi et de contrôle axés sur les résultats ; (iii) des mécanismes et processus-clé de capitalisation des expériences et acquis, d'échange et de circulation d'information entre les diverses catégories d'acteurs et (iv) des mesures d'accompagnement nécessaires.

La nécessité de garantir la complémentarité et de la synergie d’actions pour inverser de manière significative la tendance à la dégradation des terres et à la perte de leurs fonctions productives, est admise des diverses parties prenantes. Mais des pensées aux actes, de nombreuses interrogations sont soulevées, ayant trait pour l’essentiel :

- à l’implication des Collectivités territoriales dans le développement des technologies GDT nécessitant des efforts collectifs (gestion des plans d’eau, lutte anti-érosive, protection des berges des cours d’eau, lutte contre les feux de brousse et la déforestation, etc. ;
- au développement de partenariats soutenus par une forte adhésion communautaire à la base dans la prise de décision et dans les actions visant la gestion des écosystèmes et la mise en application des technologies et techniques GDT;
- à la mise en place des dispositions juridiques et institutionnelles favorisant la mise en œuvre efficiente des programmes intégrés de gestion des ressources en eau (GIRE) et de gestion durable des terres ;
- à la recherche de mécanismes efficaces pour assurer la mise en œuvre effective des différents plans d’aménagement des ressources naturelles ;
- aux dispositions pouvant influencer les transactions foncières dans le sens d’une gestion durable des terres et à la généralisation de l’outil PFR en vue de sécuriser les terres en zones rurales ;
- à l’accès des producteurs agricoles aux intrants et équipements utiles à la mise en application des technologies et techniques GDT ;
- à l’accès des acteurs aux informations nécessaires par des canaux d’information et de communication appropriés et à moindre coût ;
- à l’application des différents codes en faveur de la participation effective des groupes cibles marginalisés aux actions GDT ;
- à l’organisation de l’écoulement des produits issus des technologies de GDT (aspects économiques).

## Feedback

Report on specific COP requests – iterative process on indicators

Decision 13/COP.9 (paragraphs 2, 3 and 4) and decision 17/COP.9 envisage an iterative process to refine the set of performance and impact indicators. As a tool to implement this iterative process, affected country Parties can provide here their suggestions and recommendations for improvement. Using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 = (no, not at all) and 5 = (yes, very much), please rate the indicator. Please write any comments related to your assessment under the column “remarks”.

Assessment Criteria (e-SMART)	Score	Remarks
Relevant – Does the indicator provide information about changes in primary processes unambiguously related to DLDD and UNCCD implementation?	4	---
Relevant – Is the indicator relevant for DLDD national planning purposes, including monitoring of the National Action Programme (NAP)?	4	---
Relevant – Can policymakers easily understand the indicator?	5	---
Specific – Is the indicator based on well-understood and generally accepted conceptual models of the system to which it is applied so that changes in its value will have clear meaning regarding the process of concern?	4	---
Specific – Is the requested spatial scale (national vs. affected areas) of the indicator appropriate for its monitoring purposes?	3	---
Measurable – Are the definitions of the indicator and its constitutive elements clear and not ambiguous?	4	---
Measurable – Are the proposed methodologies for the measurement of this indicator sufficiently clear to ensure reliable data?	4	---

Assessment Criteria (e-SMART)	Score	Remarks
Time-bound – Is the indicator sensitive enough to detect important changes but not so sensitive that signals are masked by natural variability?	2	---
Time-bound – Can the indicator detect changes at the required temporal and spatial scales and are the up-scaling / cross-scaling rules clear?	4	---
Achievable – Are reliable data and monitoring systems available to assess trends and is data collection a relatively straightforward process?	3	---
Achievable – Is the frequency of data collection in line with the monitoring and reporting requirements of the UNCCD?	3	---
Economic – Is the indicator cost-effective? Is the cost of data collection affordable and worthwhile? (consider any required cost for personnel, capital but also, recurring costs)	4	---

### Reporting on land productivity

Do not reply to this section if you provided no data on land cover.

### Reporting on the indicator

Do not reply to this section if you provided no data on land cover.

**Please, state the methods used to estimate land productivity in your country.**

la méthode utilisée est le rapport de la production sur la superficie. Ce rapport nous donne en Kilogrammes par hectare le rendement de la terre

**Please state the net primary productivity (NPP) (in kgC ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>) for each land cover type described in section “Land Cover Status” / sub-section “Methodology and data availability”:**

Year (2000-2011)	Land cover type	NPP (kgC ha <sup>-1</sup> year <sup>-1</sup> )
---	---	---

**For the land cover types described in section “Land Cover Status” / sub-section “Methodology and data availability”, please state whether you have data on NDVI, FAPAR, EVI or others and also state the respective value.**

Year (2000-2011)	Land cover type	Value	Data type
---	---	---	---

**If Others, please specify:**

No answer required.

**Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.**

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
---	---	---	---	---

**Please provide the geographic datasets which underpin the land productivity information provided.**

Ideally, the data should be provided as a shapefile or raster (Geotiff) format with geographic coordinates on the WGS84 datum. If the raw data are not available please provide a map showing the extent of each land cover type listed. Ideally, the map should have a scale of less than 1:250,000 and be provided in a Tiff format.

**Attachments:**

None.

**To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map (resolution of map, year of the map, land cover classes and corresponding colours, borders, etc).**

*No answer provided.*

---

## **Interpretation of the indicator**

**Did you provide data for more than one year?**

No

**If no, do you see a pattern in the data related to affected areas?**

Yes

**Please explain the pattern emerging from the data and how it relates to DLDD.**

la tendance qui se dégage de ces données est à la hausse et son rapport avec la Désertification et la Dégradation des Terres est perceptible eu égard à l'avancée drastique du phénomène de la désertification

**Does the indicator change over time?**

*No answer required.*

**If no, please explain possible reasons why the indicator does not change over time**

*No answer required.*

**If yes, is there a functional relationship between the indicator and DLDD?**

*No answer required.*

**If DLDD does not affect the indicator, please describe the other factor(s) responsible for changes in the indicator value over time.**

*No answer required.*

**Please describe how DLDD affects the indicator.**

*No answer required.*

**Does the extent of affected areas increase or decrease?**

*No answer required.*

**Please explain the pattern emerging from the data.**

*No answer required.*

**Please upload any graphs showing the temporal pattern/trend in the indicator over time, covering all years for which data is available.**

The graph should be provided as jpg or pdf with a maximum file size of 2MB. To facilitate understanding please explain clearly what can be seen in the diagrams (keys, classes, resolutions etc.)

*No answer required.*

**Please state the actions and policies that you currently have in place or any that will be implemented in the future to address the implications of the indicator trend or lack thereof for addressing DLDD in your country.**

*No answer provided.*

---

## **Feedback**

---

### **Report on specific COP requests – iterative process on indicators**

Decision 13/COP.9 (paragraphs 2, 3 and 4) and decision 17/COP.9 envisage an iterative process to refine the set of performance and impact indicators. As a tool to implement this iterative process, affected country Parties can provide here their suggestions and recommendations for improvement. Using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 = (no, not at all) and 5 = (yes, very much), please rate the indicator. Please write any comments related to your assessment under the

column “remarks”.

<b>Assessment Criteria (e-SMART)</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
Relevant – Does the indicator provide information about changes in primary processes unambiguously related to DLDD and UNCCD implementation?	4	---
Relevant – Is the indicator relevant for DLDD national planning purposes, including monitoring of the National Action Programme (NAP)?	3	---
Relevant – Can policymakers easily understand the indicator?	3	---
Specific – Is the indicator based on well-understood and generally accepted conceptual models of the system to which it is applied so that changes in its value will have clear meaning regarding the process of concern?	3	---
Specific – Is the requested spatial scale (national vs. affected areas) of the indicator appropriate for its monitoring purposes?	3	---
Measurable – Are the definitions of the indicator and its constitutive elements clear and not ambiguous?	3	---
Measurable – Are the proposed methodologies for the measurement of this indicator sufficiently clear to ensure reliable data?	2	---
Time-bound – Is the indicator sensitive enough to detect important changes but not so sensitive that signals are masked by natural variability?	3	---
Time-bound – Can the indicator detect changes at the required temporal and spatial scales and are the up-scaling / cross-scaling rules clear?	4	---
Achievable – Are reliable data and monitoring systems available to assess trends and is data collection a relatively straightforward process?	2	---
Achievable – Is the frequency of data collection in line with the monitoring and reporting requirements of the UNCCD?	5	---
Economic – Is the indicator cost-effective? Is the cost of data collection affordable and worthwhile? (consider any required cost for personnel, capital but also, recurring costs)	5	---

### **Additional indicators on strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3**

This reporting template can be used to report on the nine impact indicators provisionally accepted by the COP (see decision 17/COP.9) but considered optional for inclusion in reports by affected country Parties. Detailed reporting guidelines have not been developed for these nine optional impact indicators, but a description of the indicators and associated metrics can be found in Orr, B.J. 2011. “Scientific review of the UNCCD provisionally accepted set of impact indicators to measure the implementation of strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3.” White Paper Version 1. Available from [http://www.unccd.int/en/programmes/Science/Monitoring-Assessment/Documents/White%20paper\\_Scientific%20review%20set%20of%20indicators\\_Ver1.pdf](http://www.unccd.int/en/programmes/Science/Monitoring-Assessment/Documents/White%20paper_Scientific%20review%20set%20of%20indicators_Ver1.pdf)

Alternative indicators considered more suitable than the provisionally accepted indicators may also be reported on using this template. The condition for reporting on alternative indicators is that these fit into the underlying logic of measuring progress against strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3 of the Strategy.

#### **Purpose of the indicators**

<b>Water availability per capita</b>	“To measure and monitor changes in access to water sources for the population. This can be used to assess the impacts of DLDD, and mitigation efforts, on water resources”
<b>Change in land use</b>	“To measure and monitor changes in the productive or protective uses of the land resource. This can be used to assess sustainability of land use.”



<b>Food consumption per capita</b>	“To measure and monitor changes in nutritional status. This can act as an indicator of both well-being and the availability of ecosystem services.”
<b>Capacity of soils to sustain agro-pastoral use</b>	“To measure and monitor changes in the status of soil health. This can be used to assess the impacts of DLDD, and mitigation efforts, on soil health.”
<b>Degree of land degradation</b>	“To measure and monitor changes in the extent and severity of land degradation. This can be used to assess the impact of agreements and programs to address land degradation and reclaim degraded lands.”
<b>Plant and animal biodiversity</b>	“To measure and monitor changes in the status of biodiversity relative to a ‘pristine’ baseline. This can be used as an indicator for overall environmental sustainability and used to assess the impacts of DLDD, and interventions, on enhancing biodiversity.”
<b>Drought index</b>	“Acts as an indicator for characterising sensitive and desertification-affected areas. This can be used to monitor the climatic conditions affecting water availability as a driving force of DLDD, provide early warnings of drought and assess severity and actions.”
<b>Carbon stocks above and below ground</b>	“To measure and monitor changes in above and below ground stocks as a global benefit. This can be used to assess the impacts of DLDD, and mitigation efforts on carbon stocks.”
<b>Land under Sustainable Land Management (SLM)</b>	“To act as a surrogate for measuring and monitoring a number of global benefits: (a) Climate regulation and carbon sequestration; (b) Vegetation cover and composition; and (c) Water retention and the regional hydrologic balance.”

None delivered.

## Strategic Objective 4

### Indicator SO-4-3

Strategic Objective 4

To mobilize resources to support implementation of the Convention through building effective partnerships between national and international actors

**Impact indicator SO-4-3 for expected impact 4.1** (Increased financial, technical and technological resources are made available to affected developing country Parties, and where appropriate Central and Eastern European countries, to implement the Convention)

Percentage change in the domestic financial commitment to the implementation of the Convention

Understanding of the indicator

It provides an indication of the trend in the supply of public finance for DLDD-related investments and other Convention-related activities by affected developing country Parties.

Data needed

- DLDD-related programmes and projects (co-)financed through domestic public budgets
- Contribution by domestic public sources to investments and other initiatives to advance SLM
- Convention-related financial commitments by affected developing country Parties

Data sources (indicative only)

- PRAIS (financial annexes)
- CRIC performance reviews of OO5 and analyses of financial flows
- Relevant country-level studies to inform the IFS process (to be used when data is not available in the PRAIS system, and/or for cross referencing and validity checks)
- Inventories of SLM funding opportunities and/or investments
- Relevant databases and publications of authoritative entities (to be used when data is not available in the PRAIS system, and/or for cross referencing and validity checks)

Check the glossary for

Integrated financing strategy; integrated investment framework

**Nominal amount (USD) of financial commitments for Convention-related objectives made from domestic public budgets (i.e. national or sub-national)**

Year	Nominal amount (USD)
2010	2474754000
2011	2474754000

**Sources of information**

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Portefeuille du Programme d'investissement Public du Ministère de l'Environnement et de l'Habitat et de l'Urbanisme/Direction de la Programmation et de la Prospective**

**Attachments:**

*None.*

**Indicator SO-4-6**

Strategic Objective 4

To mobilize resources to support implementation of the Convention through building effective partnerships between national and international actors

**Impact indicator SO-4-6 for expected impact 4.2** (Enabling policy environments are improved for UNCCD implementation at all levels)

Number and type of legal and regulatory frameworks, economic incentives or other mechanisms securing or facilitating the transfer of funds for the implementation of the Convention at all levels.

Understanding of the indicator

It provides a measure of the efforts made by Convention stakeholders to facilitate the implementation of the Convention.

Data needed

- Laws and regulations
- Economic and financial measures (e.g. fiscal rules, tax benefits, credit lines and borrowing rules, etc.)
- Cooperation frameworks (e.g. agreements, memoranda of understanding, contracts, etc.)
- Sectoral policies (e.g. trade, marketing, property rights, business development, etc.)
- Convention-specific mechanisms

Data sources (indicative only)

- Public records of Convention stakeholders
- Relevant databases and publications and other authoritative entities (to be used when data is not available in the PRAIS system, and/or for cross referencing and validity checks)
- PRAIS (CONS-O-6, CONS-O-14, CONS-O-18)

Check the glossary for  
Incentive

**Number of mechanisms in place in the country to facilitate the mobilization of resources for the implementation of the Convention, by type**

Year	Laws and regulations	Economic and financial incentives	Cooperation frameworks	Sectoral policies
2010	07	12	02	01
2011	07	12	02	01

## Qualitative assessment

### Description of mechanisms

Mechanism	Description
A - Laws and regulations	les textes de lois et leurs décrets d'application dans le domaine de la désertification et de la dégradation des terres
B - Economic and financial incentives	financement et accompagnement techniques des initiatives avec les communes et les Organisations non gouvernementales intervenant dans le domaine de la désertification et la dégradation des terres
C - Cooperation frameworks	des partenariats avec les Organisations non gouvernementales intervenant dans le domaine de la désertification et la dégradation des terres pour la prise des initiatives
D - Sectoral policies	la politique nationale de l'environnement en cours d'élaboartion. Signalons aussi l'existence du programme natonal de gestion de l'environnement et le programme national de gestion des ressources naturelles

### Geographical level of application

	International	Regional	Subregional	National	Local
A			X	X	X
B			X	X	X
C			X	X	X
D			X	X	X

### Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Enquêtes de terrain et documents de projets fournies par les Ministères en charge de l'environnement et de l'agriculture**

#### Attachments:

None.

### Indicator SO-4-7

Strategic Objective 4

To mobilize resources to support implementation of the Convention through building effective partnerships between national and international actors

**Impact indicator SO-4-7 for expected impact 4.2** (Enabling policy environments are improved for UNCCD implementation at all levels)

Clear entrusting of institutional responsibilities for UNCCD implementation, at all levels

Understanding of the indicator

It provides an indication of the effectiveness of institutional arrangements for the implementation of the Convention with regard to the resource mobilization process

Data needed

- Evidence of institutional arrangements, instruments and mechanisms that facilitate resource mobilization, or the lack thereof
- Best practices in resource mobilization

Data sources (indicative only)

- Public records of Convention stakeholders
- Relevant databases and publications of authoritative entities
- PRAIS (Best Practices on finance and resource mobilization)

Check the glossary for  
N.A.

---

## Institutional set up, responsibilities, and arrangements to facilitate the implementation of the Convention

Year	International level	Regional level	Subregional level	National level	Local level
2010	very good	very good	very good	good	good
2011	good	good	good	good	good

---

## Qualitative assessment

### *Description of institutional arrangements*

Level	Description
A - International	Secretariat exécutif de la convention des Nations Unies sur la lutte contre la désertification
B - Regional	Groupe des pays africains parti à la Convention des Nations Unies sur la lutte contre la désertification
C - Subregional	Comité Inter Etats de lutte contre la Sécheresse dans le Sahel
D - National	Comité National de lutte contre la désertification
E - Local	Comité local de lutte contre la désertification

---

## Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Données de terrain et documents consultés auprès du point focal National de la convention pour la lutte contre la désertification**

### Attachments:

None.

# Performance Indicators

## Operational Objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education

### Performance indicator CONS-O-1 for Outcome 1.1

Number and size of information events organized on the subject of DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity, and audience reached by media addressing DLDD and DLDD synergies.

#### Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the performance of Convention-related communication strategies, in particular whether DLDD issues and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity are being communicated and if so, whether the communication is considered to be effective. Effectiveness is assessed through the appraisal of the media campaigns carried out; the assumption is that the stronger the media campaigns on DLDD issues and synergies, the higher the probability of passing the messages on to the target audiences. The focus of the indicator is on information activities specifically dedicated to DLDD issues and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity. Other reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on Convention-related communication strategies at the subregional, regional and global levels.

#### Data needed

- Information on events/media specifically addressing DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity.
- Only events organized by major national DLDD stakeholders about which NFPs have been informed should be considered.
- Only the media products from the five most important national TV/radio channels and the five most relevant national newspapers should be considered.

#### Data sources (indicative only)

Attendance list of events (meetings, workshops, seminars), programme/project documents, major national media (TV/radio channels, newspapers), the Internet, organizers of events.

#### Check the glossary for

'NFP', 'Information events', 'Media products', 'National communication strategy', 'Participant'

#### Check the reporting manual for

'How can the number of information events and estimated number of participants in information events be determined?', 'How can the number of media products be determined?', 'How can the proportion of the population which is informed about DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity be estimated?'

#### Overall target

By 2018, 30 per cent of the global population is informed about DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity.

---

### Number of information events

Year	Number of information events	Estimated number of participants in the information events
2010	4	1200
2011	06	1430

---

### Estimated number of persons reached by media products and by key stakeholders

Year	Stakeholder	Paper media products	Radio and TV	other ICT
2010	Public at Large	---	---	---
	Civil society organizations	---	---	---
	Science and technology institutions	---	---	---

Year	Stakeholder	Paper media products	Radio and TV	other ICT
2011	Public at Large	---	---	---
	Civil society organizations	---	---	---
	Science and technology institutions	---	---	---

### Number of media products made public

Year	Newspapers	Radio and TV
2010	1	8
2011	4	8

### Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Journal du Ministère chargé de l'Environnement (Eco-citoyen)**  
Le municipal, la Nation

#### Attachments:

None.

### National contribution to the target

On the basis of your best knowledge, estimate the proportion (%) of the population in your country which is informed about DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity at the time of reporting?

#### *Estimated share of total country population*

35 %

### Qualitative assessment

***Is the information you have provided on communication processes part of a national communication strategy addressing environmental issues?***

*No answer provided.*

***Is there a national communication strategy addressing DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity?***

No

***If yes, does the implementation of the national communication strategy complement the implementation of the UNCCD Comprehensive Communication Strategy?***

*No answer required.*

***If no, is your country implementing the UNCCD Comprehensive Communication Strategy?***

No

***Is your country implementing activities relating to the United Nations Decade for Deserts and the Fight Against Desertification (UNDDD)?***

No

### Performance indicator CONS-O-3 for Outcome 1.3

Number of civil society organizations (CSOs) and science and technology institutions (STIs) participating in the Convention processes.

#### Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the level of participation of CSOs and STIs in DLDD-related programmes and projects. The indicator will outline whether the active involvement of these stakeholders in country-based initiatives increases over time and whether programmes/projects are valid tools for the engagement of, and receiving contributions from, CSOs and STIs at the field level. Other reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on the involvement of CSOs and STIs at the subregional, regional and global levels; additionally, the secretariat and the GM will report on the involvement of CSOs and STIs at the institutional level.

#### Data needed

A list of the organizations involved in the programmes/projects in the reporting country as reported in the PPSs.

#### Data sources (indicative only)

PPSs submitted to the UNCCD as part of the reporting exercise.

#### Check the glossary for

'STIs', 'CSOs', 'PPS', 'Convention processes'

#### Check the reporting manual for

'Which CSOs involved in DLDD-related programmes/projects should be counted?'

#### Overall target

A steady growth in the participation of CSOs and STIs in the Convention processes is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy.

---

### Number of CSOs/STIs involved in each programme/project in the country

In the PPSs you have specified the number of CSOs and the number of STIs involved in each programme/project in your country. Add these numbers and give the totals by year in the table below.

Year	Number of CSOs involved in DLDD-related programmes/projects	Number of STIs involved in DLDD-related programmes/projects
2010	08	05
2011	---	01

#### *Provide the names of these organizations.*

Name
Organisations non gouvernementales

---

### National contribution to the target

***At the time of reporting, is your government undertaking concrete initiatives to increase the participation of CSOs and STIs in DLDD-related programmes and projects?***

Yes

***If yes, provide a short description of actions taken at the national level to promote participation by CSOs and STIs in the Convention processes***

le gouvernement dans la mise en oeuvre des actions visant à lutter contre la désertification et la dégradation des terres s'appuie sur les organisations non gouvernementales situées dans tout le pays. Ces ONG s'investissent avec le soutien de l'Etat dans la sensibilisation à l'utilisation des meilleures pratiques comme l'initiative "une femme un arbre", l'utilisation des foyers améliorés plus économiques et plus rentables par les femmes. L'Etat a institué la journée de l'arbre qui permet de planter des arbres pour fixer les éléments du sol et empêche leur transport par l'eau et le vent, qui constitue un obstacle au vent (brise de vent) pour protéger les cultures.

L'Etat a pris des initiatives allant dans le sens de l'abandon de la fumure naturelle au profit des engrais

**qui ne reconstruisent pas la structure fertile du sol; la coupe abusive des arbres, les feux de brousse qui ne sont pas durablement efficaces. les OSC sont appuyés pour relayés ses quelques initiatives dans tout le pays**

### Qualitative assessment

Specify the reasons for the increasing and/or decreasing trend of the participation of CSOs and STIs to DLDD-related programmes/projects.

#### **Reasons for increasing**

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Increased networking and collaboration opportunities	3
Increased access to information and to national and/or international financing opportunities	2
Increased willingness of the government in working with CSOs	5
Increased interest of donors in working with CSOs	5
Strengthened organizational, project management and fund-raising capacity of CSOs	2
Increased funding opportunities requiring partnership with the STIs	2
Strengthened organizational, project management and fund-raising capacity of the STIs	3
Other	---

#### **Other (specify)**

*No answer provided.*

#### **Reasons for decreasing for CSOs**

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Costly participatory processes	0
Low organizational, fund-raising and project management capacity of CSOs	2
Government policies and/or the legal environment do not foster the engagement of CSOs	2
Diminishing funding	5
Other	---

#### **Other (specify)**

*No answer provided.*

#### **Reasons for decreasing for STIs**

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
DLDD topics are not prioritized by national STIs	3
Low organizational, fund-raising and project management capacity of STIs	3
Decreased networking opportunities at national and international level	3
Diminishing funding	3



Reason	Level of importance
Other	---

**Other (specify)**

*No answer provided.*

**Performance indicator CONS-O-4 for Outcome 1.3**

Number and type of DLDD-related initiatives of civil society organizations (CSOs) and science and technology institutions (STIs) in the field of education.

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the number of DLDD-related initiatives undertaken by CSOs and STIs in the education sector. The assumption is that the higher the number of DLDD-related education initiatives undertaken by these stakeholders, the stronger their interest in addressing DLDD problems. This indicator focuses on “education” because “awareness” and “advocacy” are already measured through indicators CONS-O-1 and CONS-O-2, respectively. Other reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on the involvement of CSOs and STIs at the subregional, regional and global levels.

Data needed

- Information on initiatives undertaken in the field of education that may be found in: written communications by CSOs and STIs to the NFP; contractual and/or programme/project-related documents; records of academic bodies and their curricula; and Internet resources made available by CSOs and STIs.
- Only initiatives in the field of education taken in the country and directly relating to DLDD issues are to be considered.

Data sources (indicative only)

CSOs and STIs operating in the country.

Check the glossary for

‘CSOs’, ‘STIs’, ‘NFP’, Education initiatives’

Check the reporting manual for

‘Which CSOs involved in DLDD-related education initiatives should be counted?’

Overall target

A steady growth in the number of DLDD-related education initiatives undertaken by CSOs and science and technology institutions is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy.

**Number of DLDD-related initiatives undertaken by CSOs/STIs**

Year	Number of DLDD-related initiatives undertaken by CSOs	Number of DLDD-related initiatives undertaken by STIs
2010	08	04
2011	---	01

**Sources of information**

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **le Centre National de Télédétection et de suivi du couver végétal**
- Institut Natinal de la Recherche Agronomique du Bénin**
- Faculté des Sciences Agronomiques**
- Agence Béninoise pour l'Environnement**
- Commission Nationale du Développement Durable**
- Direction Générale des Forêts et ressources naturelles**

**Attachments:**

None.

**National contribution to the target**

*At the time of reporting, is your government undertaking concrete initiatives to increase the delivery of DLDD-related initiatives in the education sector by CSOs and STIs?*

Yes

*If yes, provide a short description of actions taken at the national level to increase the number of DLDD-related initiatives of CSOs and STIs in the field of education*

les actions d'intégration dans l'alphabétisation des adultes des meilleures pratiques pour la lutte contre la désertification et la dégradation des terres, la sensibilisaion et l'éducation sur les conséquences de la dégradation des terres comme l'érosion ou les particules meubles et les éléments nutritifs du sol sont emportés. des actions d'éducation sur la couverture végétale qui n'a pas le temps de se reconstituer lorsque le pâturage est trop intensif ou quand les activités de pâturage affectent des parcelles qui viennent d'être cultivées.

les menaces de la diversité biologique par le phénomène de la désertification. la dégration des terres transforme les terres fertiles en déserts qui ne sont plus cultivables ce qui entraine la rareté des productions d'où l'installation de la famine et par ricochet la pauvreté.les actions de sensibilisation et d'éducation sur les conséquences socio-économiques de la désertification

**Qualitative assessment**

Specify the reasons for the increasing and/or decreasing trend of DLDD-related education initiatives undertaken by CSOs and STIs.

**Reasons for increasing**

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Increased access to funding	5
Increased awareness of DLDD-related problems and of the need for action	4
Increased knowledge of DLDD-related topics and enhanced skills of trainers/teachers	4
Government policies are more supportive of education initiatives	3
International donors are more supportive of education-focussed initiatives.	3
Other	---

**Other (specify)**

*No answer provided.*

**Reasons for decreasing for CSOs**

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Lack of financial resources	4
Insufficient awareness and knowledge by national CSOs of DLDD-related issues	2
Limited capillary presence of national CSOs at the grass-root level	5
Other	---

**Other (specify)**

*No answer provided.*

### **Reasons for decreasing for STIs**

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

<b>Reason</b>	<b>Level of importance</b>
Lack of financial resources	5
National STIs are more focussed on research activities than on education and training	2
Other	---

### **Other (specify)**

*No answer provided.*

---

## **Operational Objective 2: Policy framework**

### **Performance indicator CONS-O-5 for Outcomes 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3**

Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities to have finalized the formulation/revision of NAPs/SRAPs/RAPs aligned to The Strategy, taking into account biophysical and socio-economic information, national planning and policies, and integration into investment frameworks.

#### Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the performance of affected country Parties in formulating or revising their NAPs in alignment with The Strategy. While providing information on this process, the indicator also outlines whether: (a) the analysis of DLDD drivers, barriers to possible solutions, and measures that may eventually overcome these barriers, has been carried out; (b) the alignment process has been supported by biophysical and socio-economic baseline information; (c) the action programmes have been included in integrated investment frameworks; and (d) the action programmes have been integrated with other existing national plans and policies. The indicator will inform on the extent to which Parties have responded to decision 3/COP.8, paragraph 45, and on the feasibility of assessing the progress of The Strategy over its implementation period (2008–2018). Subregional and regional reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on formulation or revision of SRAPs and RAPs in alignment with The Strategy.

#### Data needed

- UNCCD NAP. Only a NAP formally approved by the relevant governmental authorities is to be considered as 'finalized'
- Other relevant planning documents

#### Data sources (indicative only)

UNCCD NFP.

#### Check the glossary for

'Finalized', 'NAP', 'NFP', 'driver', 'integrated investment framework', 'baseline', 'NAP formulation', 'NAP adoption', 'NAP alignment', 'Formulation of an aligned NAP'

#### Overall target

By 2014, at least 80 per cent of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities have formulated/ revised a NAP/SRAP/RAP aligned to The Strategy.

---

## **NAP Adoption and Revision**

***Had your country already adopted a NAP prior to The Strategy, i.e. before 31.12.2007?***

**Yes**

***If your country had adopted a NAP prior to The Strategy, i.e. before 31.12.2007, specify the date of its approval.***

*No answer provided.*

***If your country had adopted a NAP prior to The Strategy, has it revised the NAP in alignment with The***

**Strategy, i.e. after 1.1.2008?**

No

**If your country has revised the NAP in alignment with The Strategy, i.e. after 1.1.2008, specify the date of its approval.**

No answer required.

**If your country has not revised the NAP in alignment with The Strategy, specify why the process was not initiated.**

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Not a priority for the government	4
Lack of capacities	0
Lack of financial resources	5
Understaffing	1
Lack of time	1
Poor internal coordination among relevant ministries	3
Other	---

**Other (specify)**

No answer provided.

**If your country had no NAP prior to The Strategy, has it formulated an aligned NAP after The Strategy's adoption, i.e. after 1.1 2008?**

No answer required.

**If yes, specify the date of its approval.**

No answer required.

**If your country has some specific issues with regard to the characteristics of the NAP and/or the status of its implementation, particularly in how they relate to its alignment with The Strategy, describe them briefly.**

No answer provided.

**If your country did not have a NAP by the end of the reporting period, specify why the process was not initiated.**

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

No answer required.

**Other (specify)**

No answer required.

---

**For countries having a NAP aligned to The Strategy**

**Is your country's NAP supported by biophysical and socio-economic baseline information?**

Yes

**Does your country's NAP assess DLDD drivers?**

Yes

**Does your country's NAP assess the barriers to sustainable land management?**

Yes

**If yes, does it include recommendations to remove these barriers?**

Yes

*Has your country's NAP been included in an integrated investment framework?*

Yes

*Has your country's NAP been integrated into national development planning and relevant sectoral and investment plans and policies?*

Yes

*If yes, has the NAP been integrated into your country's Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper?*

Yes

*Did your country refer to the guidelines on the alignment of action programmes with The Strategy as proposed in ICCD/COP(9)/2/Add.1 while revising the NAP to be in alignment with The Strategy or while formulating an aligned NAP?*

Yes

---

### Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- SECRETARIAT PERMANENT DU COMITE NATIONAL DE LUTTE CONTRE LA DESERTIFICATION et le Point focal national de lutte contre la désertification

**Attachments:**

None.

---

### National contribution to the target

*If your country did not have a NAP aligned to The Strategy by the end of the reporting period , when do you plan to have it completed?*

2012–2013

*If you do not have an approved NAP aligned to The Strategy at the time of reporting, when do you plan to have it developed and approved?*

*No answer provided.*

---

### Qualitative assessment

*Has the revision of the NAP to be in alignment with The Strategy or the formulation of an aligned NAP been supported by external assistance?*

Yes

*If yes, did you receive assistance from one or more of the following institutions?*

- Secretariat

*If yes, which type of assistance did you receive?*

- Financial Support

*Identify the major difficulties experienced in the process of revising the NAP to be in alignment with The Strategy or in formulating an aligned NAP.*

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Not a priority for the government	5

Reason	Level of importance
Poor availability of biophysical and socio-economic baseline information	3
Existing investment frameworks are not fully compatible with the NAP	4
Streamlining the NAP into existing plans and policies is too time-consuming	2
Other	---

**Other (specify)**

*No answer provided.*

**Performance indicator CONS-O-7 for Outcome 2.5**

Number of initiatives for synergistic planning/programming of the three Rio Conventions or mechanisms for joint implementation, at all levels.

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the existence of synergistic processes through the number of instruments (i.e. joint planning/programming and/or operational mechanisms) in place which foster the introduction of, or strengthen the mutually reinforcing measures among, the three Rio Conventions. The assumption is that the higher the number of enabling instruments in place, the higher the possibility of achieving synergies in implementation. This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on synergistic processes at the subregional, regional and global levels.

Data needed

- Planning/programming documents and legislative/regulatory documents.
- Information on operational mechanisms explicitly aimed at achieving joint implementation, synergies and convergence, as well as at introducing or strengthening reinforcing measures among the Rio Conventions.

Data sources (indicative only)

Relevant national ministries.

Check the glossary for

'Joint planning/programming initiatives', 'Operational mechanisms for joint implementation or mutual reinforcement'

Check the reporting manual for

'Which synergistic instruments should be included?', 'Indicative list of activities by Parties to promote synergies among the Rio Conventions'

Overall target

By 2014, each affected country Party has either one joint national plan in place or functional mechanism(s) to ensure synergies among the three Rio Conventions.

**Was your country implementing joint planning/programming initiatives for the three Rio Conventions in the reporting period?**

**Yes**

***If yes, specify the type of joint initiative(s)***

- **Evaluation of national plans and identification of gaps in synergies**
- **Identification of national sectors and policies that could benefit from synergies and cooperation**

**Other (specify)**

*No answer required.*

**Did operational mechanisms for joint implementation or mutual reinforcement exist in your country during the reporting period?**

No

**If yes, specify the type of mechanism(s)**

*No answer required.*

**Other (specify)**

*No answer required.*

---

### Sources of information

List the synergistic instruments referred to above.

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **la stratégie nationale d'interconnexion des conventions de la génération de RIO**  
**La stratégie d'opérationnalisation des conclusions et recommandations des commissions de développement durable des Nations Unies**  
**L'Agenda 21**  
**les conclusion du seminaire national d'interconnexion des conventions de RIO**

**Attachments:**

*None.*

---

### National contribution to the target

***If your country was not implementing joint planning/programming or did not have operational mechanisms in place by the end of the last reporting period, when do you plan to have synergetic instruments in place?***

**2012–2013**

---

### Qualitative assessment

***Has the establishment of synergistic processes for joint implementation of the Rio Conventions at national level been supported by the institutions of the Rio Conventions?***

**Yes**

***If yes, by the institutions of which Convention?***

- **UNCCD**

***Identify the major difficulties experienced in establishing synergistic planning/programming or mechanisms for joint implementation.***

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

<b>Reason</b>	<b>Level of importance</b>
Not a priority for the government	3
Lack of capacities	4
Lack of financial resources	2
Understaffing	2
Lack of time	5
Poor internal coordination among relevant ministries	5
Other	---

**Other (specify)**

*No answer provided.*

---

## **Operational Objective 3: Science, technology and knowledge**

### **Performance indicator CONS-O-8 for Outcomes 3.1 and 3.2**

Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities to have established and supported a national/subregional/regional monitoring system for DLDD

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the monitoring potential of the country by quantifying the number of monitoring systems established and supported. These monitoring systems may be specifically dedicated to DLDD or may partially cover it. The indicator will inform on the extent to which it is realistic to expect more regular and coherent reporting by affected country Parties during the implementation of The Strategy and beyond. This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on UNCCD-relevant monitoring systems established and supported at the subregional, regional and global levels.

Data needed

- Information on monitoring systems established within the national ministries or other bodies/institutions
- Programme/project documents and interim or final reports

Data sources (indicative only)

Relevant national ministries, programme/project management units, other non-governmental sources.

Check the glossary for

'monitoring system', 'monitoring system specifically dedicated to DLDD', 'monitoring system partially covering DLDD'

Check the reporting manual for

'Can a monitoring system that is not an environmental monitoring system, but which accounts for the socio-economic aspects of DLDD, be considered a DLDD monitoring system?', 'Can a meteorological monitoring system be considered a DLDD monitoring system?'

Overall target

By 2018, at least 60 per cent of affected country Parties, subregional and regional reporting entities have established and supported national monitoring systems for DLDD.

---

### **Is a monitoring system specifically dedicated to DLDD established at the national level?**

**Yes**

***If yes, specify whether this system is functional***

**Yes**

***If yes, specify whether this system is regularly updated***

**Yes**

***If no DLDD-specific monitoring system is in place, is a monitoring system partially covering DLDD established at the national level?***

*No answer required.*

***List any monitoring system available at the sub-national level that can contribute to the UNCCD reporting.***

*No answer provided.*

***List the main features of the monitoring system available at the national level, in particular those that can contribute to UNCCD reporting.***

- **Le système de suivi mis en place dans le cadre du Programme Stratégique d'Investissement pour la gestion durable des terres**
- 

**Sources of information**



Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **SECRETARIAT PERMANENT DU COMITE NATIONAL DE LUTTE CONTRE LA DESERTIFICATION** et Ministère de l'agriculture, de l'élevage et de la Pêche

**Attachments:**

None.

**National contribution to the target**

***If your country did not have a national monitoring system specifically dedicated to DLDD or partially covering DLDD in place by the end of the reporting period, do you plan to initiate one?***

**No**

***If yes, when?***

*No answer required.*

**Qualitative assessment**

***For those countries not having a national monitoring system specifically dedicated to DLDD or partially covering DLDD, identify the major difficulties experienced in the establishment process.***

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Financial constraints	---
Lack of capacities	---
Human resources constraints	---
Lack of coordination among relevant ministries and unclear attribution of responsibilities	---
Lack of coordination among donor-led programme/project interventions	---
Existing initiatives are too fragmented; cannot be realistically coordinated under one umbrella	---
Existing national and/or sub-national monitoring systems use different methodologies and cannot be realistically harmonized	---
Other	---

***Other (specify)***

*No answer provided.*

***For those countries having a national monitoring system specifically dedicated to DLDD or partially covering DLDD, how is the system maintained?***

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
By means of national resources	4
By means of external support	5
No maintenance is possible due to limited professional capacities	1
No maintenance is possible due to limited financial resources	3
Other	---

**Other (specify)**

*No answer provided.*

---

**Performance indicator CONS-O-9 for Outcome 3.1 and 3.2**

Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities reporting to the Convention along revised reporting guidelines on the basis of agreed indicators

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the use of biophysical and socio-economic information in defining a commonly agreed core set of impact indicators for the UNCCD and in monitoring progress against these indicators using harmonized methodologies. The indicator will inform to what extent it is possible to compile a comparable and global assessment of UNCCD impact. Subregional and regional reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on the use of impact indicators at the subregional and regional levels, if and when impact indicators for these levels will be commonly agreed upon by the Conference of the Parties.

Data needed

- Reports to the UNCCD by affected country Parties in 2012 and 2016.
- The information to report on this indicator will be compiled by affected country Parties every four years when reporting on the strategic objectives that require biophysical and socio-economic information (i.e. SO1, SO2 and SO3). **Reporting on this indicator is due in 2012 and in 2016 only.**

Data sources (indicative only)

UNCCD NFP

Check the glossary for

'NFP'

Overall target

By 2018, at least 90 per cent of affected country Parties, subregional and regional reporting entities report to the Convention in compliance with the new reporting guidelines.

---

**Has your country reported on the two impact indicators considered by decision 13/COP.9 to be the minimum reporting requirement?**

No

---

**Number of impact indicators for strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3 your country has reported on in 2012 and 2016**

**2012**

4

**2016**

*No answer provided.*

---

**While reporting on impact indicators, did you refer to the reporting guidelines, i.e. using the common baselines and methodologies defined by the CST?**

Yes

---

**Sources of information**

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Ministère chargé de l'environnement et le ministère chargé de l'agriculture**

**Attachments:**

*None.*

---

## National contribution to the target

*If in 2012 your country has not reported on some or all of the impact indicators for the UNCCD, do you plan to do so in 2016?*

Yes

*If in 2012 your country has not complied with the reporting guidelines, i.e. using the common baselines and methodologies defined by the CST, do you plan to do so in 2016?*

Yes

---

### Performance indicator CONS-O-10 for Outcome 3.3 and 3.4

Number of revised NAPs/SRAPs/RAPs reflecting knowledge of DLDD drivers and their interactions, and of the interaction of DLDD with climate change and biodiversity

Understanding of the indicator

The indicator measures knowledge-transfer processes from the theoretical to the operational level. This is done through an assessment carried out by affected country Parties (self-assessment) of the levels of traditional and scientific knowledge reflected in their NAPs. The assumption is that NAPs based on sound scientific and traditional knowledge will propose more significant and effective strategies and activities for implementation at the national level, and will, ultimately, perform better than those NAPs that do not take into account available knowledge on DLDD and DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity. The indicator will inform to what extent UNCCD implementation is likely to achieve meaningful results. Subregional and regional reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on the assessment of their SRAPs and RAPs.

Data needed

- NAP aligned to The Strategy
- Scientific literature consulted for the formulation/revision of the NAP

Data sources (indicative only)

UNCCD NFP

Check the glossary for

'NAP', 'NAP adoption', 'NAP alignment', 'NAP formulation', 'formulation of an aligned NAP', 'NFP', 'driver', 'drought', 'drought preparedness, including mitigation'

*As this indicator is meant to contribute to the country's self-assessment of its aligned NAP, **countries not having a NAP or not having revised their NAP in alignment with The Strategy do NOT report on this indicator.***

Overall target

By 2018, at least 70 per cent of revised NAPs/SRAPs/RAPs have successfully gone through a quality self-assessment.

Sources of information

UNCCD NAP formulated taking account of, or revised in alignment with, The Strategy.

---

### Assessment of the aligned NAP

*In your NAP, is the identification of biophysical and socio-economic drivers, and of their interaction, knowledge-based?*

Yes

*If yes, specify upon which type of knowledge it is based*

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Type of knowledge	Level of importance
Scientific literature	4
Expert knowledge	5

Type of knowledge	Level of importance
Traditional knowledge	4

***If yes, specify upon which type of knowledge it is based***

*No answer provided.*

***If based on scientific literature, list the main reference literature consulted (add as many rows as needed). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.***

- Convention sur la lutte contre la désertification, Action 21, Cap sur la terre: une introduction à la Convention sur la lutte contre la désertification, sa raison d'être et ce qu'elle apporte de nouveau, Kit pédagogique sur la désertification: Apprendre à lutter contre la désertification

**Attachments:**

*None.*

***In your NAP, is the analysis of the interaction between DLDD and climate change or biodiversity knowledge-based?***

**Yes**

***If yes, specify upon which type of knowledge it is based.***

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Type of knowledge	Level of importance
Scientific literature	4
Expert knowledge	4
Traditional knowledge	4

***If yes, specify upon which type of knowledge it is based.***

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

*No answer provided.*

***If based on scientific literature, list the main reference literature consulted (add as many rows as needed). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.***

- Action 21 des recommandations du sommet sur la planète terre de RIO 1992, le rapport de Brundtland

**Attachments:**

*None.*

***Is drought policy and drought preparedness, including mitigation, analyzed and/or reflected in some of the actions outlined in the NAP?***

**Yes**

***If drought policy and drought preparedness, including mitigation, are not analyzed and/or reflected in some of the actions outlined in the NAP, when do you plan to do so?***

*No answer required.*

## **National contribution to the target**

***If in your NAP, DLDD drivers, their interactions, and the interaction of DLDD with climate change and biodiversity are not analyzed on the basis of relevant scientific, expert and/or traditional knowledge, when do you plan to do so?***

2012–2013

---

## Qualitative assessment

***If your NAP has not been developed taking into account relevant scientific and/or traditional knowledge, identify the reasons.***

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Relevant scientific literature is not available	3
Relevant traditional or expert knowledge is not available	4
Lack of financial resources to mobilise the necessary knowledge	5
Poor coordination among the relevant ministries prevented an internal pooling of knowledge/expertise	3
Relevant ministries could not contribute due to lack of time	2
Relevant ministries could not contribute due to lack of staff	3
Other	---

### ***Other (specify)***

*No answer provided.*

---

## Performance indicator CONS-O-11 for Outcome 3.5

Type, number and users of DLDD-relevant knowledge-sharing systems at the global, regional, subregional and national levels described on the Convention website

### Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the presence of DLDD-related knowledge-sharing processes, through the quantification of the type and number of existing knowledge-sharing systems. Effectiveness of these systems is measured through quantification of their user-base. The indicator will inform to what extent scientific and traditional knowledge, including best practices, are available to and sufficiently shared with end-users. This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on existing UNCCD-relevant knowledge-sharing systems at the subregional, regional and global levels.

### Data needed

- Information from websites.
- Only DLDD-relevant knowledge-sharing systems and networks shall be considered.

### Data sources (indicative only)

Relevant organizations and ministries hosting knowledge-sharing systems and networks within their website

### Check the glossary for

'knowledge-sharing system', 'PRAIS'

### Check the reporting manual for

'How can you provide the number of users in a knowledge-sharing system?'

### Overall target

By 2010 the Convention website has been restructured and includes a thematic database on knowledge-sharing systems as part of the PRAIS.

---

## Knowledge-sharing systems

***List any DLDD-relevant 'knowledge-sharing system' in your country you are aware of, providing an Internet link and estimated number of users per year.***

Item 1

**Name of the system**

---

**Internet link**

---

**Estimated number of users per year**

---

**List any DLDD-relevant 'knowledge-sharing system' in your country you are aware of, providing an Internet link and estimated number of users per year.**

Add as many rows as necessary.

**Name of the System**

*No answer provided.*

**Internet Link**

*No answer provided.*

**Estimated number of users per year**

*No answer provided.*

## **Operational Objective 4: Capacity building**

### **Performance indicator CONS-O-13 for Outcomes 4.1 and 4.2**

Number of countries, subregional and regional reporting entities engaged in building capacity to combat DLDD on the basis of NCSA or other methodologies and instruments

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the presence of capacity-building processes through the quantification of existing DLDD-related capacity-building initiatives. The indicator will inform to what extent affected country Parties may be expected to meet their obligations foreseen by the Convention, including forthcoming ones (i.e. new reporting requirements, establishment of monitoring systems, accessing new financing mechanisms). This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on capacity-building initiatives at the subregional, regional and global levels.

Data needed

- Information on DLDD-related capacity-building initiatives; only programmes/projects mentioned in the PPSs that have DLDD-related capacity-building as a major objective are to be considered.

Data sources (indicative only)

- PPSs submitted to UNCCD as part of the reporting exercise  
- Programme/project documents and interim or final reports of those programmes and projects identified through the PPSs as having DLDD-related capacity-building as a major objective

Check the glossary for

'capacity-building', 'capacity development', 'capacity-building initiative', 'NCSA', 'PPS'

Check the reporting manual for

'What can be considered as a programme or project that has DLDD-related capacity-building as a major objective?'

Overall target

By 2014, at least 90 per cent of affected country Parties, subregional and regional reporting entities implement DLDD-specific capacity-building plans or programmes/projects.

### **Number of DLDD-related capacity-building initiatives implemented**

<b>Year</b>	<b>NCSA-generated</b>	<b>Other initiatives</b>
2010	12	03

Year	NCSA-generated	Other initiatives
2011	12	03

*Provide relevant information on the size, scope, effectiveness and status of the initiatives reported.*

des initiatives relatives au Renforcement des capacités des communautés agricoles dans quatre (04) zones agro-écologiques vulnérables au Bénin afin de les amener à s'adapter aux effets néfastes des changements climatiques sur la production agricole et la sécurité alimentaire au Bénin, des initiatives relatives aux Plantations de Bois de Feu pour la cuisson dans le Sud-Bénin afin de réduire la pression sur les terres, la déforestation et la lutte contre désertification et enfin des initiatives relatives à la Vulgarisation des techniques de réalisation et de mise en œuvre d'un plan de gestion intégrée de la fertilité des sols (PGIFS) au niveau de vingt villages appropriées avec la création des plantations individuelles. Toutes ces initiatives sont efficaces, de grande taille et à portée nationale.

**Has your country assessed DLDD-related capacity-building needs at the national level?**

Yes

*If yes, within the framework of which initiative?*

Other

*Other (specify)*

l'élaboration du programme stratégique d'investissement pour la gestion durable des terres et dans le cadre du plan stratégique de relance du secteur agricole

*If yes, has your country assessed the necessary resources for addressing capacity-building needs?*

Yes

*If yes, are these resource requirements included in an investment framework?*

Yes

## Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- Ministère chargé de l'Agriculture et le ministère chargé de l'environnement. le programme stratégique d'investissement pour la gestion durable des terres et le programme de relance du secteur agricole

**Attachments:**

None.

## National contribution to the target

*If at the time of reporting there are no DLDD-specific capacity-building plans, programmes or projects implemented in your country, when do you plan to have something in place?*

2010–2011

## Qualitative assessment

*Has your country received assistance from one or more of the following institutions to build capacities to combat DLDD?*

- Secretariat

*If yes, which type of assistance have you received?*

- Financial support

## Operational Objective 5: Financing and technology transfer

### Performance indicator CONS-O-14 for Outcome 5.1

Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities whose investment frameworks, established within the IFS devised by the GM or within other IFSs, reflect leveraging national, bilateral and multilateral resources for combating desertification and land degradation

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the presence of integrated financing processes allowing the leverage of national, bilateral and multilateral resources for combating desertification and land degradation, through the quantification of investment frameworks developed by country Parties within the IFS devised by the GM or other IFSs promoted by diverse international institutions. This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on the establishment of IIFs at national, subregional and regional levels.

Data needed

- Investment framework documents.
- Only investment frameworks prepared along the guidelines devised within IFSs shall be considered.

Data sources (indicative only)

Relevant national ministries

Check the glossary for

'IFS', 'NAP', 'leveraging', 'IIF'

Overall target

By 2014, at least 50 per cent of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities have developed IIFs.

---

### Had your country developed an IIF by the end of the reporting period?

Yes

*If yes, specify when it was developed.*

*No answer provided.*

*Is your country's IIF based on the NAP?*

No

*If based on the NAP, who assisted in its development?*

*No answer provided.*

*Other (specify)*

*No answer required.*

*If assisted, which type of assistance did you receive?*

- Technical support
- Financial support

*If assisted by the GM, was it devised within the IFS?*

No

*If your country has an IIF based on the NAP, does this framework allow for the leveraging of national, bilateral and multilateral resources for combating DLDD?*

Yes

*Did your country receive assistance from the GM in exploring non-traditional and innovative channels of financial resources?*

No

*Short overview of the progress in implementing the IIF*



Provide a short overview of the progress in implementing the IIF in your country, in particular as it relates to its functionality and efficiency in leveraging the funding necessary for implementing the Convention.

**Le Gouvernement du Bénin, avec l'appui des Partenaires techniques et financiers, a mis en œuvre plusieurs projets/programmes ayant des rapports directs ou indirects avec la GDT. (voir annexe1). En termes de financement, il faut reconnaître que c'est dans les secteurs de l'environnement et de l'agriculture que les actions les plus importantes touchant les questions de gestion durable des terres ont été mises en œuvre à travers des programmes d'investissements publics, aussi bien sur financement du budget national que sur des ressources extérieures.**

**Au nombre des actions soutenues financièrement au cours de ces dernières années et portant sur les questions touchant la gestion durable des terres, l'on peut rappeler sans exhaustivité :**

- **Le Projet de Gestion des Ressources Naturelles (PGRN) financé par la Banque Mondiale et mis en œuvre de 1992 à 1998. et relayé par le Programme de Gestion des Terroirs et des Ressources Naturelles (PGTRN) avec l'appui de l'Agence française de développement (AFD) et la Coopération allemande (GTZ) ;**
- **Le Projet d'Appui au Développement des Systèmes d'Exploitation (PADSE) mis en œuvre dans les départements de l'Alibori, du Borgou, des Collines et du Zou de 1999 à 2006 sous financement AFD ;**
- **Le Projet de Plantations de Bois de Feu dans le sud Bénin (PBF- Phase 1 et 2) : Sur un cofinancement FAD-OPEP ;**
- **Le Programme National de Gestion de l'Environnement (PNGE-2) proposant des Plans Locaux d'Actions et de Gestion de l'Environnement (PLAGE), où figurent des aspects GDT. Le PNGE-2 est prévu pour une durée de sept ans, avec un coût global de 24,54 milliards de FCFA, dont 11,19 milliards (soit environ 46%) destinées à la Composante « Appui aux initiatives de gestion locale de l'environnement », qui pourrait prendre en charge les problèmes de baisse de fertilité des sols, de désertification, de régression des zones de pâturage, d'insécurité foncière, etc.**
- **Le Programme National de Gestion Durable des Ressources Naturelles (PNGDRN) élabor**

---

## Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **PLAN STRATEGIQUE D'INVESTISSEMENT SUR LA GESTION DURABLE DES TERRES (PSI/GDT) AU BENIN**

### Attachments:

None.

---

## National contribution to the target

*If your country had not developed an IIF by the end of the reporting period, do you plan to do it?*

**Yes**

*If yes, when?*

**2010–2011**

---

## Qualitative assessment

**Identify the major difficulties experienced in developing an IIF.**

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Financial constraints	4
Human resources constraints	1
Lack of coordination among relevant ministries and unclear attribution of responsibilities	4

Reason	Level of importance
Lack of coordination among those providing support	2
National bilateral and multilateral resources are too diverse; cannot be realistically coordinated under one umbrella.	2
Other	---

**Other (specify)**

*No answer provided.*

**Performance indicator CONS-O-16 for Outcome 5.2**

Degree of adequacy, timeliness and predictability of financial resources made available by developed country Parties to combat DLDD

Understanding of the indicator

This is a qualitative indicator requiring the perception-based assessment by developing affected country Parties of the adequacy, timeliness and predictability of bilateral contributions received from developed country Parties for the implementation of the Convention. “Adequate”, “timely” and “predictable” resources are frequently referred to in The Strategy as being necessary to ensure proper planning and effective implementation. Subregional and regional reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on their perception-based assessments.

Data needed

-

Data sources (indicative only)

-

Check the glossary for

-

**Only affected country Parties entitled to receive assistance under the UNCCD are requested to report on this indicator.**

Refer your assessment to the following biennium only:

- In 2010, biennium 2008–2009

- In 2012, biennium 2010–2011

Overall target

No target has been set for this indicator.

**Bilateral assistance received**

How would you rate the bilateral assistance received within the framework of UNCCD for the implementation of The Strategy and of the Convention?

***Adequacy of bilateral assistance***

**Adequate**

***Timeliness of bilateral assistance***

**Timely**

***Predictability of bilateral assistance***

**Not predictable**

***Provide narrative justification on your above rating***

**L'aide bilatérale une fois accordée est adéquate et appropriée à la mise en oeuvre des actions inscrites dans la convention. Mais elle est souvent imprévisible**

### **Additional information on any other impacting aspects**

If relevant, provide additional information on whether there are any other aspects beyond adequacy, timeliness and predictability of financial support made available by developed country Parties to combat DLDD which impact proper planning and effective implementation of the Convention in your country.

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Qualitative assessment**

**Did you receive assistance in raising resources from bilateral donors?**

Yes

**If yes, from whom?**

- Secretariat

**Other (specify)**

*No answer required.*

**Has the level of adequacy, timeliness and predictability of bilateral assistance constrained your country's performance in planning and implementation with respect to UNCCD?**

No

---

### **Performance indicator CONS-O-17 for Outcome 5.3**

Number of DLDD-related project proposals successfully submitted for financing to international financial institutions, facilities and funds, including the GEF

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the capacity of fund-raising through the quantification of project proposals successfully submitted for funding to the various financing organizations. The indicator will inform to what extent affected country Parties make increasing efforts to mobilize resources. This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on the fund-raising efforts at national, subregional and regional levels.

Data needed

Information contained in the PPSs and SFAs submitted to UNCCD.

Data sources (indicative only)

- PPSs and SFAs submitted to UNCCD as part of the reporting exercise.
- The PPS requires specification of the project 'status' thus it allows the identification of relevant projects to be considered by this indicator and the monitoring of their approval status.
- The SFA requires the specification of amounts committed to approved projects.

Check the glossary for

'PPS', 'SFA', 'project proposals', 'currency', 'successfully submitted proposals'

Overall target

A steady growth in the number of DLDD-related successfully submitted project proposals is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy.

Sources of information

PPSs and SFAs

---

### **Number of project proposals submitted (pipeline) and ongoing, by biennium**

Biennium	Submitted (pipeline)	Ongoing
2010–2011	01	---

---

### **Amount of funds raised, by biennium**

You can find the amount of funds raised for the ongoing projects in the corresponding SFAs. Sum these amounts and give the total in the below table.

Biennium	Currency	Total amount
2010–2011	XOF	1955

### National contribution to the target

**According to the information provided above, do you think that your country is mobilizing enough resources from international financial institutions, facilities and funds through successfully submitted project proposals?**

No

**If no, does your country plan to increase its efforts in presenting project proposals to international financial institutions, facilities and funds?**

Yes

**What percentage of financing used for the implementation of DLDD-related programmes and projects comes from national sources, and what percentage from international sources?**

Source	%
National sources	50
International sources	50

### Qualitative assessment

Identify the reasons for the increasing or decreasing trend of project proposals successfully submitted to international financial institutions, facilities and funds.

#### Reasons for increasing

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Easier and more transparent application procedures	3
Increased capacities of national stakeholders to prepare applications	5
Major natural hazards occurred at the national level considerably increased the level of resources made available by the international community	5
Access to funding is increasingly facilitated by third parties such as the private sector	3
Existence of a financing strategy (IFS or others)	5
Other	---

#### Other (specify)

*No answer provided.*

#### Reasons for decreasing

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Financing opportunities are not publicized enough, lack of access to necessary information	5

Reason	Level of importance
Complicated application procedures, the level of complexity being worsened by the different requirements of the various donors	5
Limited financial resources are made available for DLDD-related programmes/projects, and lack of DLDD-specific allocations within donors' portfolio.	5
Other	---

**Other (specify)**

*No answer provided.*

**Performance indicator CONS-O-18 for Outcome 5.5**

Amount of financial resources and type of incentives which have enabled access to technology by affected country Parties

Understanding of the indicator

The indicator measures whether access to technology is facilitated by means of financial resources or economic and policy incentives. At the national level, the indicator will inform to what extent an enabling environment for technology transfer has been created and whether sufficient resources are dedicated to technology transfer. Subregional and regional reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on financial resources and type of incentives which have enabled access to technology at the subregional and regional levels.

Data needed

- Budgets of relevant programmes and projects
- Information on policy/regulatory, financial and fiscal incentives. Incentives facilitating access to technology are those established and implemented at the national level, and not necessarily only within the framework of DLDD-related cooperation.

Data sources (indicative only)

- Financial documents of programmes and projects submitted as PPSs to the UNCCD as part of the reporting exercise
- National policy, regulatory and economic/financial documents

Check the glossary for

'technology transfer', 'technical support', 'incentive', 'PPS'

Check the reporting manual for

'How to disaggregate the amounts by year?', 'How to measure the effectiveness of technology transfer initiatives?'

Overall targets

- A steady growth in the financial resources allocated to facilitate access to technology by affected country Parties is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy.
- A steady growth in the number of economic and policy incentives reported upon is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy.

**Estimate of amounts allocated to facilitate access to material and to knowledge aid (technology transfer)**

Year	Currency	Amount
2010	XOF	---
2011	XOF	---

**Estimate of amounts allocated to facilitate access to material and to knowledge aid (technology transfer)**

Year	Technical support – material aid	Technical support – knowledge aid
------	----------------------------------	-----------------------------------

Year	Technical support – material aid	Technical support – knowledge aid
2010	---	---
2011	---	---

*Has your country established incentives intended to facilitate access to technology?*

Yes

*If yes, specify which types of incentives.*

- Policy or regulatory incentives (for example, related to market requirements and regulations, import/export, foreign investment, research & development support, etc.)
- Financial incentives (for example, preferential rates, State aid, subsidies, cash grants, loan guarantees, etc.)

*Provide a short overview of specific aspects and the nature of technology transfer in your country, in particular in relation to those aspects where there is a need to increase the level of technology transfer.*

Plusieurs technologies de GDT sont expérimentées au Bénin pour assurer la régénération de la fertilité des sols. Parmi ces dernières, on peut citer par catégories :

- les technologies traditionnelles,
- les technologies de fumure à base d'engrais chimique,
- les technologies de maintien de fertilité à base des légumineuses herbacées (Mucuna, Ashynomenae, Stylosanthes etc.)
- les technologies agroforestières à base de légumineuse ligneuse (Acacia, Moringa, glyricidia, Anterololium etc. .),
- les techniques de conservation de l'eau dans le sol (lutte anti érosive, labour en courbes de niveau, les pratiques GIRE autour des points d'eau, etc.),
- les technologies à base du compostage et d'utilisation du fumier,

Les technologies agroforestières sont en majorité préférées dans le Sud pour la production du bois que pour la fertilisation proprement dite, tandis que certaines essences ligneuses telles que le moringa et le glyricidia s'intègrent aux pratiques culturales des régions du Centre et du Nord pour leurs rôles dans l'alimentation du bétail (moringa) et leur adéquation pour la culture de l'igname (glyricidia). Toutefois les résultats d'adoption sont encore largement en dessous des niveaux escomptés.

Les techniques de rotation à base de légumineuses et de plantes fourragères ont été testées.

L'intégration agriculture-élevage à travers ces différents essais ne mobilise pas les agriculteurs malgré des initiatives intéressantes de certains producteurs dans les régions du Nord principalement.

Les techniques culturales recommandant un travail minimum du sol intègrent certains systèmes de culture dans le Centre et le Nord alors que la pratique de non travail du sol et de semis direct sous couverture végétale (SCV) était connue auparavant. Par contre les techniques culturales et les dispositifs de lutte antiérosive vulgarisés n'ont pas connu un niveau d'adoption élevé.

---

## Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **PLAN STRATEGIQUE D'INVESTISSEMENT SUR LA GESTION DURABLE DES TERRES (PSI/GDT) AU BENIN et le programme spéciale de relance du secteur agricole**

Attachments:

None.

---

## National contribution to the target

*According to the information provided above, do you think that enough resources are allocated through*

**DLDD-related programmes and projects to facilitate access to technology by your country?**

Yes

**If your country has no incentives in place or if existing incentives to facilitate the creation of an enabling environment for technology transfer do not prove to be effective, are you planning to enforce additional measures?**

Yes

**If yes, when?**

2012–2013

---

**Qualitative assessment**

**If existing incentives do not prove to be effective, identify possible reasons.**

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Policy or regulatory incentives are not enforced	5
There are not enough resources to apply financial or fiscal incentives	4
The national financial and credit systems (banks, credit agencies, etc) are not supportive	4
Other	---

**Other (specify)**

*No answer provided.*

**Identify the reasons for the increasing trend of financial resources allocated through DLDD-related programmes and projects to facilitate access to technology.**

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Access facilitated by the spreading of IT	3
More appropriate technologies available	3
Appropriateness of government incentives	3
Other	---

**Other (specify)**

*No answer provided.*

**Identify the reasons for decreasing trend of financial resources allocated through DLDD-related programmes and projects to facilitate access to technology.**

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Technology sustainability is poor; technologies do not represent viable investments	3
Lack of fixed infrastructure for accessing technologies (those created on an ad hoc basis disappear once the support ends)	4
Lack of capacities for operation and maintenance of technologies	5
Lack of enabling policy and regulatory environments	2

Reason	Level of importance
Other	---

**Other (specify)**

*No answer provided.*



## Standard Financial Annex

The CRIC has recommended that financial reporting be based on a standard financial reporting format to be used by affected country Parties and their development partners. It also indicated that emphasis in reports should be put on financial matters and also on an analysis of the impact of the activities undertaken (ICCD/CRIC(8)/5).

The purpose of the SFA is to consolidate information on resources mobilized by affected country Parties and their development partners under the framework of relevant strategies and action programmes. It facilitates the aggregation of data on financial commitments, financial flows and resources available by all relevant funding sources for activities related to the implementation of the Convention. It also helps minimize double counting in financial statistics (ICCD/CRIC(8)/5/Add.4).

The SFA is to be used by each country Party and other reporting entities to list all financial commitments they have made during the reporting period in support of institutions, programmes, projects, as well as other relevant initiatives undertaken at national or international level for the implementation of the Convention.

More specifically, for each relevant financial commitment or allocation made in the reporting period, the SFA requires a minimum set of data grouped as follows:

- a. Identification, i.e. data required to identify the reporting entity, the funding source and the activity financed;
- b. Basic data, i.e. data specifying the amount and type of financial commitment made, as well as the recipient country, region, and/or organization, and the funding period, if applicable;
- c. Classification, i.e. categorization of the funded activity according to the Rio Markers for desertification, and the UNCCD Relevant Activity Codes (RACs).

The compilation of the SFA is guided by means of a template, which responds to the recommendations of CRIC 7, and builds on the GM methodological guide for financial reporting presented to CRIC 6 as part of the report of the intergovernmental Ad Hoc Working Group to improve the procedures for communication of information.

Within the template, shaded areas contain information and explanatory texts, while white areas are for reporting purposes and need to be filled in by the reporting entities with relevant data or narrative information.

Decision 13/COP.9, paragraph 8, invites country Parties and other reporting entities to refer to common terminology and definitions. Therefore, these guidelines should be read in conjunction with the comprehensive glossary of performance indicators for the review of implementation of The Strategy and Best Practices, common to all reporting entities and contained in a separate document (ICCD/CRIC(9)/13).

### Financial Commitment #1 — **Projet d'Appui à la mise en œuvre du Programme National de Gestion de l'Environnement (PAPNGE)**

---

#### Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

- **Benin - CCD Focal Point - Ministry of the Environment, Housing and Town Planning**

#### Other

*No answer provided.*

---

#### Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

**Développement économique durable par la baisse des coûts de dégradation environnementale**

---

#### Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

*No answer provided.*

#### Other

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Name of activity funded**

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

**Projet d'Appui à la mise en œuvre du Programme National de Gestion de l'Environnement (PAPNGE)**

---

### **Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)**

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Recipient organization(s)**

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

*No answer provided.*

### **Other**

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Executing Agency(ies)**

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Commitment date**

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Amount committed**

***Enter the total amount of money committed***

**4908533255 US Dollar**

---

### **Type of funding**

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

**Associated Financing**

---

### **Start date**

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Completion date**

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Duration (no. of months)**

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

*No answer provided.*

---

---

## Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

3

---

## Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

*No answer provided.*

---

## Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Ministère de l'Environnement, de l'Habitat et de l'Urbanisme (MEHU) Direction Générale de l'Environnement (DGE), Cellule du suivi et évaluation de la DPP, Portefeuille PIP**

## Attachments:

*None.*

---

## Financial Commitment #3 — Programme Intégré d'adaptation pour la lutte contre les effets néfastes des changements climatiques sur la production agricole

---

### Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

*No answer provided.*

### Other

*No answer provided.*

---

### Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

**Renforcement de capacités des communautés agricoles pour s'adapter aux changements climatiques**

---

### Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

*No answer provided.*

### Other

*No answer provided.*

---

### Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

**Programme Intégré d'adaptation pour la lutte contre les effets néfastes des changements climatiques sur la production agricole**

---

### Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Recipient organization(s)**

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

*No answer provided.*

### **Other**

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Executing Agency(ies)**

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Commitment date**

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Amount committed**

***Enter the total amount of money committed***

**565500000 CFA Franc BCEAO**

---

### **Type of funding**

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

**Direct loan / Guarantees**

---

### **Start date**

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Completion date**

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Duration (no. of months)**

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Rio Marker for desertification**

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

**2**

---

### **Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)**

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

*No answer provided.*

---

## Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Ministère de l'Environnement, de l'Habitat et de l'Urbanisme (MEHU) Direction Générale de l'Environnement (DGE), Equipe de coordination du projet, Cellule du suivi et évaluation de la DPP, Portefeuille PIP**

## Attachments:

*None.*

---

**Financial Commitment #5 — Préservation et la gestion durable des écosystèmes naturels du pays, résoudre les nombreux problèmes liés à cette dégradation des massifs, préservation et la gestion durable des écosystèmes naturels du pays en particulier la préservation des potentialités...**

---

## Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

*No answer provided.*

## Other

*No answer provided.*

---

## Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

**Réalisation de l'aménagement intégré des massifs forestiers d'Agoua, des Monts Kouffé et de Wari-Marou (370.000 ha)**

---

## Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

*No answer provided.*

## Other

*No answer provided.*

---

## Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

**Préservation et la gestion durable des écosystèmes naturels du pays, résoudre les nombreux problèmes liés à cette dégradation des massifs, préservation et la gestion durable des écosystèmes naturels du pays en particulier la préservation des potentialités naturelles des écosystèmes forestiers**

---

## Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Recipient organization(s)**

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

*No answer provided.*

### **Other**

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Executing Agency(ies)**

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Commitment date**

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Amount committed**

***Enter the total amount of money committed***

**1370198 CFA Franc BCEAO**

---

### **Type of funding**

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

**Direct loan / Guarantees**

---

### **Start date**

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Completion date**

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Duration (no. of months)**

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Rio Marker for desertification**

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

**2**

---

### **Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)**

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

*No answer provided.*

---

## Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Direction Générale des Forêts et Ressources Naturelles (DGFRN) à travers la Cellule de Gestion du Projet ,Cellule du suivi et évaluation de la DPP, Portefeuille PIP**

### Attachments:

*None.*

---

## Financial Commitment #6 — Projet d'Appui à la mise en œuvre du Programme National de Gestion Durable des Ressources Naturelles (PAPNGDRN)

---

### Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

*No answer provided.*

### Other

*No answer provided.*

---

### Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

**Renforcement des capacités des acteurs du secteur, aussi bien au niveau central qu'à la base et préparer la phase opérationnelle du PNGDRN**

---

### Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

*No answer provided.*

### Other

*No answer provided.*

---

### Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

**Projet d'Appui à la mise en œuvre du Programme National de Gestion Durable des Ressources Naturelles (PAPNGDRN)**

---

### Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

*No answer provided.*

---

### Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

*No answer provided.*

### Other

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Executing Agency(ies)**

Enter the full name an acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Commitment date**

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Amount committed**

*Enter the total amount of money committed*

**1908 CFA Franc BCEAO**

---

### **Type of funding**

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

**Direct loan / Guarantees**

---

### **Start date**

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Completion date**

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Duration (no. of months)**

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Rio Marker for desertification**

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

**2**

---

### **Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)**

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Sources of information**

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Direction Générale des Forêts et Ressources Naturelles (DGFRN) à travers la Cellule de Gestion du Projet, Cellule du suivi et évaluation de la DPP, Portefeuille PIP**



**Attachments:**

None.

---

**Financial Commitment #7 — Projet d'intégration des Forêts Sacrées dans le système des Aires Protégées du Bénin (PIFSAP)**

---

**Reporting Entity**

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

*No answer provided.*

**Other**

*No answer provided.*

---

**Identification code**

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

**Promouvoir l'utilisation durable des Forêts Sacrées du Bénin**

---

**Funding organization**

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

*No answer provided.*

**Other**

*No answer provided.*

---

**Name of activity funded**

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

**Projet d'intégration des Forêts Sacrées dans le système des Aires Protégées du Bénin (PIFSAP)**

---

**Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)**

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

*No answer provided.*

---

**Recipient organization(s)**

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

*No answer provided.*

**Other**

*No answer provided.*

---

**Executing Agency(ies)**

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

*No answer provided.*

---

**Commitment date**

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

*No answer provided.*

---

### Amount committed

*Enter the total amount of money committed*

**1775000000 CFA Franc BCEAO**

---

### Type of funding

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

**Direct loan / Guarantees**

---

### Start date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

*No answer provided.*

---

### Completion date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

*No answer provided.*

---

### Duration (no. of months)

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

*No answer provided.*

---

### Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

**2**

---

### Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

*No answer provided.*

---

### Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Direction Générale des Forêts et Ressources Naturelles (DGFRN) à travers la Cellule de Gestion du Projet, Cellule du suivi et évaluation de la DPP, Portefeuille PIP**

### Attachments:

*None.*

---

**Financial Commitment #8 — Projet d'Appui à la Promotion et à la Valorisation des Produits Forestiers non Ligneux (PFNL) au Bénin**

---

### Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

*No answer provided.*

**Other**

*No answer provided.*

---

**Identification code**

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

**Promouvoir et valoriser des produits forestiers non ligneux (PA-PFNL)**

---

**Funding organization**

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

*No answer provided.*

**Other**

*No answer provided.*

---

**Name of activity funded**

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

**Projet d'Appui à la Promotion et à la Valorisation des Produits Forestiers non Ligneux (PFNL) au Bénin**

---

**Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)**

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

*No answer provided.*

---

**Recipient organization(s)**

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

*No answer provided.*

**Other**

*No answer provided.*

---

**Executing Agency(ies)**

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

*No answer provided.*

---

**Commitment date**

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

*No answer provided.*

---

**Amount committed**

**Enter the total amount of money committed**

**283500000 CFA Franc BCEAO**

---

---

## Type of funding

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

**Direct loan / Guarantees**

---

## Start date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

*No answer provided.*

---

## Completion date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

*No answer provided.*

---

## Duration (no. of months)

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

*No answer provided.*

---

## Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

**2**

---

## Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

*No answer provided.*

---

## Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Direction Générale des Forêts et Ressources Naturelles (DGFRN) à travers la Cellule de Gestion du Projet, Cellule du suivi et évaluation de la DPP, Portefeuille PIP**

## Attachments:

*None.*

## Programme and Project Sheets

Programme and Project Sheets (PPS) are used to provide more detailed information on programmes or projects undertaken or completed in the reporting period. This includes programmes and projects in the pipeline, as well as final proposals submitted for funding to internal or external funding sources. All country Parties and other reporting entities involved in the financing, coordination or implementation of relevant programmes and projects are requested to prepare a PPS for each of them, and to attach them to their official report to the UNCCD.

The compilation of the PPS is guided by means of a template. These templates are intended to collect a minimum set of qualitative and quantitative data to facilitate the analysis of funding and investment flows, and the production of better financial statistics related to UNCCD implementation (ICCD/CRIC(8)/5/Add.4), with a view to enabling the CRIC to undertake an objective review of progress in the implementation of the Convention and The Strategy. The PPS also facilitate the computation of certain performance and impact indicators .

A distinctive feature of the PPS is that it allows country Parties and other reporting entities to specify which strategic and operational objectives of The Strategy are targeted by each programme or project. In addition, it allows for individual programme or project components to be categorized using the Rio Markers for desertification and Relevant Activity Codes (RACs).

Furthermore, the PPS can be used to indicate whether the objectives of other Rio Conventions (i.e. the United Nations Convention on Biological Diversity, UNCBD – and the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, UNFCCC) are also addressed by the programme or project. This is done through the use of the biodiversity and climate change Rio Markers, respectively.

The PPS offers an opportunity to increase the visibility of relevant programmes and projects, thereby creating the conditions for a better sharing of experiences and lessons, as well as the transfer of knowledge in general. It also favours collaboration and networking by facilitating the identification of potential synergies.

Lastly, the PPS also allows country Parties and other reporting entities to provide a narrative description of the expected or achieved results. This information will facilitate the qualitative assessment of progress in the implementation of The Strategy, including on returns on investment. The CRIC will use the analysis of financial information originating from the PPS to assess results, performance and impacts.

To minimize the reporting burden and avoid discrepancies in the information annexed to the reports of different entities, it is recommended that project partners identify the most suitable ways to coordinate among themselves the preparation of PPS to ensure that consistent data are reported for the same projects. It would also be advisable to compile just one PPS for large “umbrella” programmes, instead of separate PPS for each small project stemming from them.

### Programme/Project #1 — **Projet d’Appui à la mise en œuvre du Programme National de Gestion de l’Environnement (PAPNGE)**

---

#### Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

*No answer provided.*

#### Other

*No answer provided.*

---

#### Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

**Développement économique durable par la baisse des coûts de dégradation environnementale**

---

#### Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

**Projet d’Appui à la mise en œuvre du Programme National de Gestion de l’Environnement (PAPNGE)**

---

## Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

**Implementing agency**

---

## Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- **les populations, les organisations non gouvernementales intervenant dans la gestion de l'environnement, les services déconcentrés de l'Etat intervenant dans la gestion de l'environnement, les communes**
- 

## Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

*No answer provided.*

---

## Target area size/administrative unit

### Area Size

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

*No answer provided.*

### Administrative Unit

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

-

---

## Target Group

*No answer provided.*

---

## Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

*No answer provided.*

---

## Start date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

*No answer provided.*

---

## Completion date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

*No answer provided.*

---

## Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

**Ongoing**

---

## Programme/Project co-financing

**Source**

**Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations**

*No answer provided.*

**Other**

*No answer provided.*

**Currency, Amount**

**For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)**

**Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)**

*No answer provided.*

**Programme/Project co-financing**

Item 1

**Source**

---

**Source (other)**

Budget National

**Currency**

XOF

**Amount**

24542666277

**United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers**

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

**UNCCD**

2

**UNFCCC adaptation**

1

**UNFCCC mitigation**

2

**CBD**

1

**Strategic objectives**

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 2

**Operational objectives**

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2
- 5

**Sector(s) of intervention**

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: [http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en\\_2649\\_34447\\_1914325\\_1\\_1\\_1\\_1,00.html](http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html).

*No answer provided.*

---

### Programme/Project Components

*No answer provided.*

---

### Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

*No answer provided.*

---

### Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- **Intégrer les procédures d'évaluation environnementales dans les politiques, plans, programmes et projets de développement ;**  
**Rendre opérationnelle la procédure d'inspection environnementale au niveau des citoyens et des entreprises au plan national ;**  
**Rendre opérationnel et permanent le système d'information et de suivi l'environnement ;**  
**Encourager les initiatives locales de gestion de l'environnement ;**  
**Améliorer les connaissances, perceptions, aptitudes et réflexions pratiques des populations pour la gestion rationnelle de l'environnement ;**  
**Intégrer la compréhension des enjeux environnementaux comme objectif pédagogique à tous les niveaux de l'éducation formelle générale, technique, professionnelle et supérieure ;**  
**Développer l'éducation environnementale des groupements professionnels à travers l'alphabetisation fonctionnelle ;**  
**Promouvoir la gestion durable des écosystèmes côtiers, marins et humides ;**  
**Faire de l'ABE un centre de référence sous-régional en matière d'évaluation enviro**
- 

### Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Ministère de l'Environnement de l'Habitat et de l'Urbanisme, ii. Direction Générale de l'Environnement (DGE), Agence Béninoise pour l'Environnement (ABE), Fonds National pour l'Environnement (FNE), Centre National de Développement Durable (CNDD) et la Direction de Promotion de l'Ecocitoyenneté (DPE)**

### Attachments:

*None.*

---

### Programme/Project #2 — Programme d'Action National d'Adaptation aux Changements Climatiques du Bénin (PANA-BENIN), phase 1

---

### Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

*No answer provided.*



## Other

*No answer provided.*

---

## Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

**Renforcement de capacités des communautés agricoles pour s'adapter aux changements climatiques**

---

## Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

**Programme d'Action National d'Adaptation aux Changements Climatiques du Bénin (PANA-BENIN), phase 1**

---

## Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

**Implementing agency**

---

## Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- **les populations des zones agro écologiques couvertes par le projet, les organisations non gouvernementales intervenant dans la gestion de l'environnement dans les zones, les services déconcentrés de l'Etat intervenant dans la gestion de l'environnement, les communes, arrondissement et villages**
- 

## Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

*No answer provided.*

---

## Target area size/administrative unit

### Area Size

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

*No answer provided.*

### Administrative Unit

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

-

---

## Target Group

*No answer provided.*

---

## Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

*No answer provided.*

---

**Start date**

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

*No answer provided.*

---

**Completion date**

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

*No answer provided.*

---

**Status**

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

**Ongoing**

---

**Programme/Project co-financing****Source**

*Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations*

*No answer provided.*

**Other**

*No answer provided.*

**Currency, Amount**

*For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)*

*Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)*

*No answer provided.*

---

**Programme/Project co-financing**

*Item 1*

**Source**

---

**Source (other)**

Fods Mondial pour l'environnement

**Currency**

XOF

**Amount**

1750000000

---

**United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers**

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

**UNCCD**

3

**UNFCCC adaptation**

2

**UNFCCC mitigation**

1

**CBD**

2

---

## Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 2
- 

## Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
  - 2
  - 5
- 

## Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: [http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en\\_2649\\_34447\\_1914325\\_1\\_1\\_1\\_1,00.html](http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html).

*No answer provided.*

---

## Programme/Project Components

*No answer provided.*

---

## Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

*No answer provided.*

---

## Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- **1- Les capacités de planification et de réponse aux changements climatiques dans le secteur agricole sont améliorés;**
  - 2- Les impacts des risques climatiques sur la productivité agricole au niveau local sont réduits**
  - 3- Les leçons apprises et bonnes pratiques issues des activités pilotes, les initiatives de développement de capacité et les changements de politiques sont diffusées**
- 

## Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Direction Générale de l'Environnement (DGE) du Ministère de l'Environnement de l'Habitat et de l'Urbanisme, cellule de pilotage du programme, Porte feuille PIP du Ministère**

## Attachments:

*None.*

---

**Programme/Project #4 — Projet d'Aménagement des Massifs Forestiers d'Agoua des Monts Kouffè et de Wari Maro (PAMF)**

---

## Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

*No answer provided.*

**Other**

*No answer provided.*

---

**Identification code**

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

**Réalisation de l'aménagement intégré des massifs forestiers d'Agoua, des Monts Kouffé et de Wari-Marou (370.000 ha)**

---

**Title**

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

**Projet d'Aménagement des Massifs Forestiers d'Agoua des Monts Kouffé et de Wari Marou (PAMF)**

---

**Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project**

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

**Implementing agency**

---

**Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)**

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- **Trente cinq communautés villageoises, les organisations non gouvernementales intervenant dans la gestion de l'environnement dans les villages**

---

**Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)**

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

*No answer provided.*

---

**Target area size/administrative unit**

**Area Size**

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

*No answer provided.*

**Administrative Unit**

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

-

---

**Target Group**

*No answer provided.*

---

**Beneficiaries**

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

*No answer provided.*

---

**Start date**

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

*No answer provided.*

---

**Completion date**

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

*No answer provided.*

---

**Status**

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

**Ongoing**

---

**Programme/Project co-financing****Source**

*Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations*

*No answer provided.*

**Other**

*No answer provided.*

**Currency, Amount**

*For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)*

*Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)*

*No answer provided.*

---

**Programme/Project co-financing**

*Item 1*

**Source**

---

**Source (other)**

Budget national

**Currency**

XOF

**Amount**

1149810000

---

**United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers**

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

**UNCCD**

3

**UNFCCC adaptation**

2

**UNFCCC mitigation**

1

**CBD**

2

---

## Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
  - 3
  - 4
- 

## Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
  - 2
  - 5
- 

## Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: [http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en\\_2649\\_34447\\_1914325\\_1\\_1\\_1\\_1,00.html](http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html).

*No answer provided.*

---

## Programme/Project Components

*No answer provided.*

---

## Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)










Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

*No answer provided.*

---

## Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

-  **Gestion durable des ressources naturelles sur 370.000 ha ;**
  -  **Elaboration de trois plans d'aménagement pour les trois forêts ;**
  -  **Enrichissement de 28.000 ha ;**
  -  **Reboisement de 1.000 ha, 500 ha en agroforesterie, et mise en place de 50 pépinières ;**
  -  **56.000 ha de mise en défens ;**
  -  **1.171 km de pistes (pistes périphériques à réhabiliter; pistes forestières) ;**
  -  **Réhabilitation de 600 ha de vieilles plantations d'anacardes**
  -  **Activités de développement rural (AGR, apiculture, transformation manioc, développement de l'élevage, agriculture intensive et diversifié, pisciculture, aménagement de bas-fonds, etc.) ;**
  -  **Appui aux actions de développement et aux actions diverses (logements, bâtiments divers, forage, écoles, dispensaires).**
- 

## Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Ministère de l'Environnement de l'Habitat et de l'Urbanisme, Direction Générale de Forêts et Ressources Naturelles (DGFRN), Cellule de pilotage du projet, Porte feuille PIP du Ministère de**

## **l'Environnement de l'Habitat et de l'Urbanisme**

### **Attachments:**

*None.*

---

### **Programme/Project #5 — Projet d'Appui à la mise en œuvre du Programme National de Gestion Durable des Ressources Naturelles (PAPNGDRN)**

---

#### **Reporting entity(ies)**

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

*No answer provided.*

#### **Other**

*No answer provided.*

---

#### **Identification code**

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

**Renforcement des capacités des acteurs du secteur, aussi bien au niveau central qu'à la base et préparer la phase opérationnelle du Projet d'Appui à la mise en œuvre du Programme National de Gestion Durable des Ressources Naturelles**

---

#### **Title**

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

**Projet d'Appui à la mise en œuvre du Programme National de Gestion Durable des Ressources Naturelles (PAPNGDRN)**

---

#### **Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project**

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

**Implementing agency**

---

#### **Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)**

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- **Non indiqué**
- 

#### **Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)**

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

*No answer provided.*

---

#### **Target area size/administrative unit**

##### **Area Size**

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

*No answer provided.*

##### **Administrative Unit**

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

-

---

## Target Group

*No answer provided.*

---

## Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

*No answer provided.*

---

## Start date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

*No answer provided.*

---

## Completion date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

*No answer provided.*

---

## Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

**Ongoing**

---

## Programme/Project co-financing

**Source**

**Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations**

*No answer provided.*

**Other**

*No answer provided.*

**Currency, Amount**

**For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)**

**Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)**

*No answer provided.*

---

## Programme/Project co-financing

Item 1

**Source**

---

**Source (other)**

Budget national

**Currency**

XOF

**Amount**

56000000

---

## United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)



## **UNCCD**

3

## **UNFCCC adaptation**

2

## **UNFCCC mitigation**

1

## **CBD**

2

---

### **Strategic objectives**

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
  - 3
  - 4
- 

### **Operational objectives**

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
  - 2
  - 5
- 

### **Sector(s) of intervention**

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: [http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en\\_2649\\_34447\\_1914325\\_1\\_1\\_1\\_1,00.html](http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html).

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Programme/Project Components**

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)**

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Expected or achieved results**

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- les capacités des acteurs intervenant dans la gestion durable des ressources naturelles au Bénin sont renforcées;
  - les acquis issus de la gestion des ressources naturelles au Bénin, à travers la création d'une base de données et la mise en place d'un système d'informations pour le suivi environnemental sont capitalisés;
  - les actions des structures pérennes du secteur sont réorientées.
- 

### **Sources of information**

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Ministère de l'Environnement de l'Habitat et de l'Urbanisme, Direction Générale de Forêts et Ressources Naturelles (DGFRN), Cellule de pilotage du projet, Porte feuille PIP du Ministère de l'Environnement de l'Habitat et de l'Urbanisme**

**Attachments:**

*None.*

---

**Programme/Project #6 — Projet d'Intégration des Forêts Sacrées dans le Système des Aires Protégées au Bénin (PIFSAP)**

---

**Reporting entity(ies)**

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

*No answer provided.*

**Other**

*No answer provided.*

---

**Identification code**

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

**Promouvoir l'utilisation durable des Forêts Sacrées du Bénin**

---

**Title**

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

**Projet d'Intégration des Forêts Sacrées dans le Système des Aires Protégées au Bénin (PIFSAP)**

---

**Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project**

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

**Implementing agency**

---

**Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)**

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- **Non indiqué**
- 

**Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)**

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

*No answer provided.*

---

**Target area size/administrative unit**

**Area Size**

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

*No answer provided.*

**Administrative Unit**

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

-

**Target Group**

*No answer provided.*

**Beneficiaries**

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

*No answer provided.*

**Start date**

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

*No answer provided.*

**Completion date**

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

*No answer provided.*

**Status**

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

**Ongoing**

**Programme/Project co-financing****Source**

*Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations*

*No answer provided.*

**Other**

*No answer provided.*

**Currency, Amount**

*For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)*

*Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)*

*No answer provided.*

**Programme/Project co-financing**

*Item 1*

**Source**

---

**Source (other)**

FEM

**Currency**

XOF

**Amount**

546000000

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

**UNCCD**

3

**UNFCCC adaptation**

2

**UNFCCC mitigation**

1

**CBD**

2

---

**Strategic objectives**

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
  - 3
  - 4
- 

**Operational objectives**

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
  - 2
  - 5
- 

**Sector(s) of intervention**

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: [http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en\\_2649\\_34447\\_1914325\\_1\\_1\\_1\\_1,00.html](http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html).

*No answer provided.*

---

**Programme/Project Components**

*No answer provided.*

---

**Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)**



Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

*No answer provided.*

---

**Expected or achieved results**

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

-  **Produit 1 : La dégradation et l'empiètement sur les Forêts Sacrées prennent fin : Plus de 50 forêts sacrées sélectionnées réparties en 10 groupes, seront intégrées dans le système national des aires protégées en tant qu'aires de conservation communautaire à travers quatre résultats d'activités..**
-  **Produit 2 : La biodiversité est préservée et utilisée de façon durable dans 10 groupes de Forêts Sacrées : Des comités villageois et communaux de gestion avec le mandat et la capacité d'assurer une**

protection adéquate des ressources de la biodiversité des forêts sacrées seront créés ou redynamisés. Des plans de délimitation et de gestion correspondants seront élaborés pour les forêts sacrées, les zones tampon et les aires de production durable. Les activités respectueuses de la biodiversité contenues dans les plans de gestion seront promues au sein des zones tampons et des couloirs de connectivité.

 **Produit 3 : Des modèles d'utilisation durable autour des Forêts Sacrées sont mis en œu**

---

## Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Ministère de l'Environnement de l'Habitat et de l'Urbanisme, Direction Générale de Forêts et Ressources Naturelles (DGFRN), Cellule de pilotage du projet, Porte feuille PIP du Ministère de l'Environnement de l'Habitat et de l'Urbanisme**

## Attachments:

*None.*

---

## Programme/Project #7 — Projet d'Appui à la Promotion et à la Valorisation des Produits Forestiers non Ligneux (PFNL) au Bénin

---

### Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

*No answer provided.*

### Other

*No answer provided.*

---

### Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

**Promouvoir et valoriser des produits forestiers non ligneux (PA-PFNL)**

---

### Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

**Projet d'Appui à la Promotion et à la Valorisation des Produits Forestiers non Ligneux (PFNL) au Bénin**

---

### Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

**Implementing agency**

---

### Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- **Les populations des communes concernées**  
**Les organisations non gouvernementales des communes**  
**Les acteurs du secteur**
- 

### Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

*No answer provided.*

---

### Target area size/administrative unit

#### Area Size

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

*No answer provided.*

#### Administrative Unit

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

-

---

### Target Group

*No answer provided.*

---

### Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

*No answer provided.*

---

### Start date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

*No answer provided.*

---

### Completion date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

*No answer provided.*

---

### Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

**Ongoing**

---

### Programme/Project co-financing

**Source**

**Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations**

*No answer provided.*

**Other**

*No answer provided.*

**Currency, Amount**

**For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)**

**Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)**

*No answer provided.*

---

### Programme/Project co-financing

Item 1

Source

---

**Source (other)**  
FAO

**Currency**  
XOF

**Amount**  
208000000

---

### United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

**UNCCD**

3

**UNFCCC adaptation**

2

**UNFCCC mitigation**

1

**CBD**

2

---

### Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 3
- 4

---

### Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2
- 5

---

### Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: [http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en\\_2649\\_34447\\_1914325\\_1\\_1\\_1\\_1,00.html](http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html).

*No answer provided.*

---

### Programme/Project Components

*No answer provided.*

---

### Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

*No answer provided.*

---

## Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- **les connaissances et la maîtrise des marchés des PFNL susceptibles de présenter une importance socio-économique et écologique avérée et des perspectives et potentiels de leur développement sont améliorées;**
- **les conditions de base pour le développement de l'arboriculture fruitière à partir des fruitiers sauvages dans les exploitations et les espaces non agricoles du Bénin sont réalisées ;**
- **les acteurs et la qualité des produits à travers la maîtrise et l'utilisation d'une technologie plus appropriée sont améliorés;**
- **les capacités des animateurs et autres agents, des Organisations Non Gouvernementales (ONG), des acteurs/bénéficiaires (producteurs, transformateurs et commerçants des PFNL retenus) et plus particulièrement des femmes et jeunes ruraux sont renforcés;**
- **un document de projet de développement des PFNL au Bénin est formulé.**

---

## Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Ministère de l'Environnement de l'Habitat et de l'Urbanisme, Direction Générale de Forêts et Ressources Naturelles (DGFRN), Cellule de pilotage du projet, Porte feuille PIP du Ministère de l'Environnement de l'Habitat et de l'Urbanisme**

### Attachments:

*None.*



## Additional Information

The section on additional information is meant to provide an instrument of flexibility in the reporting exercise as well as to enrich the knowledge base of the CRIC on concrete issues faced by affected country Parties and consequently to make more targeted and specific recommendations to the COP. It allows affected country Parties to comment or report upon issues that are not covered elsewhere but that are nevertheless of importance at the national level or within the framework of the implementation of The Strategy and the Convention.

The additional information section allows feedback to be received on the reporting process and on the implementation of NAPs as well as lessons learnt, problems, constraints and bottlenecks faced in terms of human and financial resources. It is also meant to accommodate ad hoc COP requests for reporting on specific topics or new reporting requirements deriving from COP deliberations that may supersede existing ones and imply changes in implementation.

The proposed template for reporting is adjusted to the mandate of affected country Parties within the framework of the Convention, as requested by decision 13/COP.9, paragraph 17.

### Reporting process-related issues

---

#### Financial resources

***Could your country count on sufficient financial resources to meet UNCCD reporting obligations?***

No

***Which options provided by the GEF Financing for Enabling Activities under the UNCCD did your country choose to apply for?***

Direct access through the GEF secretariat

***Amount received (USD)***

*No answer provided.*

***Did your country experience difficulties in applying for and accessing the GEF funding?***

Yes

***If yes, describe the difficulties experienced.***

*No answer provided.*

***Provide an estimate of the amount invested from your country's national budget into the UNCCD reporting process.***

0 ---

---

#### Human resources and knowledge

***How many people were involved in your country in the UNCCD reporting process?***

2000 people

***Estimate the total number of person/day dedicated by these persons to the UNCCD reporting process:***

35 person/day

***Could your country count on sufficient technical and scientific knowledge to meet UNCCD reporting obligations?***

Yes

***If no, describe the main reasons and the difficulties encountered.***

*No answer required.*

---

#### Coordination, participation and consultation

***Was coordination with the relevant implementing agencies satisfactory in order to apply for necessary funds?***

*No answer provided.*

**Was coordination at the national level with the relevant line ministries satisfactory in order to comprehensively and coherently report?**

Yes

**Was a participatory or consultative approach applied to involve all relevant stakeholders in the reporting process?**

*No answer provided.*

---

### Validation meeting, subregional and regional processes

**Was a validation meeting held as a part of the reporting process?**

Yes

**Did your country actively cooperate with the entities entrusted with preparing the subregional and regional reports (SRAP / RAP reports) ?**

Yes

---

### PRAIS portal

**If you are reporting online, did you receive sufficient training on access and utilization of the PRAIS portal?**

*No answer provided.*

**Did you experience difficulties with access and utilization of the PRAIS portal?**

No

**If you experienced difficulties, identify the reasons.**

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

*No answer required.*

**Other (specify)**

*No answer required.*

---

### Accommodation of specific requests within COP decisions

#### Report on specific COP requests – iterative process on indicators

Decision 13/COP.9, paragraphs 2, 3 and 4, envisages an iterative process to refine the set of performance and impact indicators. As a tool to implement this iterative process, affected country Parties can provide here their suggestions and recommendations for improvement.

#### Performance indicators

Tick the cells only if you have experienced difficulties in reporting on one, or more, performance indicator(s). Indicate against which of the e-SMART criteria the indicator(s) need(s) to be improved.

	economic	Specific	Measurable	Achievable	Relevant	Time-bound
CONS-O-1						
CONS-O-3	X	X	X	X	X	X
CONS-O-4	X	X	X	X	X	X
CONS-O-5						
CONS-O-7	X	X	X	X	X	X
CONS-O-8						
CONS-O-9						

CONS-O-10						
CONS-O-11						
CONS-O-13						
CONS-O-14						
CONS-O-16						
CONS-O-17	X	X	X	X	X	X
CONS-O-18						

### **Impact indicators**

Tick the cells only if you have experienced difficulties in reporting on one, or more, impact indicator(s). Indicate against which of the e-SMART criteria the indicator(s) need(s) to be improved.

	economic	Specific	Measurable	Achievable	Relevant	Time-bound
SO4-3						
SO4-4						
SO4-6						
SO4-7						

---

## **Reporting on the implementation of NAP**

**Which is the percentage of activities included in the NAP that are currently implemented?**

31-60%

*If you experienced difficulties in NAP implementation (i.e. the percentage is below 30%), provide description of concrete measures being taken or suggestions for measures to be taken to foster NAP implementation:*

*No answer required.*

### **Human resources**

**Lessons learnt (report on the 2 most important only)**

1. Disponibilité de la ressource humaine  
Prise de conscience des effets de la désertification et de la dégradation des terres
2. Disponibilité de la ressource humaine  
Prise de conscience des effets de la désertification et de la dégradation des terres

**Problems, constraints and bottlenecks currently faced by your country (report on the 2 most important only)**

1. absence de ressources humaines non qualifiées pour accompagner les initiatives du point focal et de l'Etat central
2. manque d'accopagnement et de renforcement des capacités de l'existant

## Financial resources

---

### Lessons learnt (report on the 2 most important only)

1. disponibilité de l'Etat central à accompagner financièrement les initiatives de la lutte contre la désertification et la dégradation des terres
  2. mobilisation des ressources propres et extérieures pour accompagner les initiatives de la lutte contre la désertification et la dégradation des terres
- 

### Problems, constraints and bottlenecks currently faced by your country (report on the 2 most important only)

1. les ressources mobilisées ne sont souvent pas à la hauteur des tâches à exécuter.
  2. les problèmes dépassent toujours les enveloppes disponibles
- 

### Any other country-specific issues

---

#### Any other country-specific issues

*Has your country any specific issue to bring to the attention of the Conference of the Parties?*

Yes

*If yes, please specify under which of the following broad categories it can be classified.*

**Category**

Capacity-building and awareness-raising

**Other (specify)**

*No answer provided.*

**Narrative description**

Mis à part les problèmes spécifiques de renforcement des capacités et de sensibilisation, l'Etat central est aussi confronté à des problèmes de mobilisation des ressources financières, d'accompagnement en termes de formations sur différentes thématiques de la lutte contre la désertification et la dégradation des terres.

## Submission form

Submission form	
Name of the reporting officer *	Armande ZANOÛ AÏVOHOZIN
Date of submission *	11 Oct 2012
Signature	
Name of the authorizing officer	Direction Générale de l'Environnement/Point focal convention des Nations Unies pour la lutte contre la désertification
Date of authorization	---

Signature



© UNCCD