

**United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification
Performance Review and Assessment of Implementation System**

**Fourth UNCCD reporting cycle, 2008–2009 leg
Report as Affected Country Party
Guyana
Subregion: Caribbean**

Table of contents

- Impact indicators
 - Strategic Objectives 1, 2 and 3
 - General information on impact indicators on strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3
 - Core indicator S-(1/2/3): Poverty Rate
 - Reporting on the indicator
 - Interpretation of indicator status/trend and policy implications
 - Feedback
 - Core indicator S-5: Land Cover Status
 - Reporting on land cover
 - Reporting on the indicator
 - Interpretation of indicator status/trend and policy implications
 - Feedback
 - Reporting on land productivity
 - Feedback
 - Additional indicators on strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3
 - Strategic Objective 4
 - Indicator SO-4-3
 - Indicator SO-4-6
 - Indicator SO-4-7
- Performance Indicators
 - Operational Objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-1 for Outcome 1.1
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-3 for Outcome 1.3
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-4 for Outcome 1.3
 - Operational Objective 2: Policy framework
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-5 for Outcomes 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-7 for Outcome 2.5
 - Operational Objective 3: Science, technology and knowledge
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-8 for Outcomes 3.1 and 3.2
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-9 for Outcome 3.1 and 3.2
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-10 for Outcome 3.3 and 3.4
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-11 for Outcome 3.5
 - Operational Objective 4: Capacity building
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-13 for Outcomes 4.1 and 4.2
 - Operational Objective 5: Financing and technology transfer
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-14 for Outcome 5.1
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-16 for Outcome 5.2
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-17 for Outcome 5.3
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-18 for Outcome 5.5
- Standard Financial Annex
 - Financial Commitment #1 — Capacity Development and Mainstreaming for Sustainable Land Management Project
 - Financial Commitment #2 — Sustainable Coastal Zone Protection through Mangrove Management: Guyana Mangrove Restoration Project
 - Financial Commitment #3 — National Capacity Self Assessment Project
 - Financial Commitment #4 — Developing alternatives for illegal chain-saw lumbering through multi-

- stakeholder dialogue in Guyana
 - Financial Commitment #5 — Guyana Environmental Capacity Development; Mining Communities Project:
- Programme and Project Sheets
 - Programme/Project #1 — Capacity Development and Mainstreaming for Sustainable Land Management
 - Programme/Project #2 — Sustainable Coastal Zone Protection through Mangrove Management: Guyana Mangrove Restoration Project
 - Programme/Project #3 — Guyana Environmental Capacity Development; Mining Communities Project:
 - Programme/Project #5 — National Capacity Self Assessment Project
 - Programme/Project #6 — Developing alternatives for illegal chain-saw lumbering through multi-stakeholder dialogue in Guyana
- Additional Information
 - Reporting process-related issues
 - Accommodation of specific requests within COP decisions
 - Reporting on the implementation of NAP
 - Human resources
 - Financial resources
 - Any other country-specific issues
- Submission form

General information section

GENERAL INFORMATION ON THE REPORTING ENTITY	
Reporting country	Guyana
Name and surname of the person submitting the report	Andrea Mahammad
Affiliation and contact details	doorgapersaud@gmail.com

Affected Country Parties

Impact indicators

Strategic Objectives 1, 2 and 3

Following [decision 17/COP.9](#), affected country Parties are requested to report on two mandatory impact indicators (i.e.: proportion of population living below the poverty line and land cover status), through the associated metrics identified during the iterative process for the refinement of the set of impact indicators (i.e.: poverty rate, land cover and land productivity).

Affected country Parties might also decide to report on the remaining nine impact indicators provisionally accepted by the COP (see [decision 17/COP.9](#)) but considered optional for inclusion in reports.

Alternative indicators considered more suitable than the provisionally accepted indicators may also be reported on. The condition for reporting on alternative indicators is that these fit into the underlying logic of measuring progress against strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3 of the Strategy.

Reporting is guided by means of templates, one for each of the two mandatory indicators, plus one generic reporting template for the remaining nine impact indicators and alternative indicators. Detailed reporting guidelines are available for the two mandatory impact indicators.

General information on impact indicators on strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3

Definition of affected areas

Does your National Action Programme (NAP) identify areas of the country which are affected by Desertification, Land Degradation and Drought (DLDD)?

No answer provided.

If no, does any other national planning document identify areas of the country which are affected by DLDD?

No answer required.

Please specify the national document that identifies areas affected by DLDD in your country.

No answer required.

Please specify which areas of the country are considered as affected by DLDD (e.g. name of relevant provinces, states and districts):

No answer provided.

Please specify the estimated total number of square kilometres of the national territory occupied by areas affected by DLDD:

No answer provided.

Please specify the percentage of the national land area occupied by areas affected by DLDD:

No answer provided.

Please specify the definition used to identify areas affected by DLDD in your country:

No answer provided.

Please specify what methods were used to identify areas affected by DLDD in your country:

No answer provided.

If available, please provide the geographic datasets which show the areas affected by DLDD.

Ideally, the data should be provided as a shapefile or raster (Geotiff) format with geographic coordinates on the WGS84 datum (The file should have a maximum size of 25MB). If the raw data are not available please provide a map showing the extent of areas affected by DLDD. Ideally, the map should have a scale of less than 1:250,000 and be provided in a

Tiff format (The file should have a maximum size of 25MB). To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map (resolution of map, year of the map, land cover classes and corresponding colours, borders etc)

Attachments:

None.

Definition of rural areas

Does any national planning document define rural areas in your country?

No answer provided.

Please specify the national document that defines rural areas in your country.

No answer required.

Please state the national definition of rural areas in your country:

No answer required.

Human population estimates

Please state estimates of the human population living in the national area of your country, in rural areas of your country and areas affected by DLDD in your country.

Include details on the methodology used to establish these estimates.

Year	Number of people living in the national area	Number of people living in rural areas	Number of people living in affected areas	Method used	Was every region (or equivalent sub-national unit) of your country covered in the assessment?	Please state the approximate proportion of the national human population surveyed during the assessment
2000	---	---	---	---	---	---
2001	---	---	---	---	---	---
2002	---	---	---	---	---	---
2003	---	---	---	---	---	---
2004	---	---	---	---	---	---
2005	---	---	---	---	---	---
2006	---	---	---	---	---	---
2007	---	---	---	---	---	---
2008	---	---	---	---	---	---
2009	---	---	---	---	---	---
2010	---	---	---	---	---	---
2011	---	---	---	---	---	---

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
--------	------	-------------------	-----------	-----------------

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
---	---	---	---	---

Core indicator S-(1/2/3): Poverty Rate

UNCCD Strategic Objective(s) for which the indicator applies

Strategic Objective 1: To improve the living conditions of affected populations

UNCCD Core indicator S-(1/2/3)

Improvement in the livelihoods of people potentially impacted by the process of desertification/land degradation and drought

Name of the indicator

Proportion of population living below the poverty line

Metric

Poverty Rate

Purpose of the indicator

To measure and monitor changes in poverty, as a proxy for human well-being. This can be used to identify deprived livelihoods, assess the impacts of desertification and assess progress made by the Parties in combating desertification.

Understanding of the indicator

The **poverty line** describes an absolute threshold below which people are considered to be poor. The **poverty rate** describes the percentage of the human population living below the poverty line. Ideally, Parties report the poverty rate for affected areas using the rural poverty line (poverty line specific to rural areas). In addition, the rural poverty line should be used to report the poverty rate in rural areas. In the absence of the rural poverty line, the national poverty line should be used to report the poverty rate in affected and rural areas or, if these are not available, in the country as a whole. Finally, if no poverty lines are available, the generic poverty line of US\$ 2.00/capita/day should be used to assess poverty rates.

Data needed

Size of human population, a poverty line and the number of people falling below the poverty line.

Relevant terms in the glossary

'absolute poverty', 'absolute poverty line', 'consumption', 'consumption survey', 'currency', 'data', 'data analysis', 'data source', 'desertification/land degradation and drought (DLDD)', 'ecosystem services', 'e-SMART', 'expert knowledge', 'income', 'income survey', 'indicator', 'indicator metadata', 'metric', 'national poverty line', 'national poverty rate', 'nationwide census', 'non stratified random survey', 'population census', 'poverty line', 'relative poverty', 'relative poverty lines', 'rural poverty line', 'rural poverty rate', 'stratified random sampling', 'stratified random survey'.

Reporting on the indicator

Rural poverty line

Does your country have a poverty line that is specific to rural areas (rural poverty line)?

No answer provided.

If yes, please state the rural poverty line in your country for the most recent years and the method used to define the rural poverty line.

Please choose the method category which best represents that used in the assessment

No answer required.

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

No answer required.

If yes, please state the number of people and the percentage of the population living below the rural poverty line in affected areas.

Furthermore, please state the method used to assess the poverty rate in affected areas and the proportion of the population included in the assessment.

No answer required.

If yes, please state the number of people and the percentage of the population living below the rural poverty line in rural areas.

Furthermore, please state the method used to assess the poverty rate in rural areas and the proportion of the population included in the assessment.

No answer required.

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

No answer required.

National poverty line

If data related to the rural poverty line was provided, please **do not** respond to this section.

If no rural poverty line is available, does your country have a national poverty line?

No answer provided.

If yes, please state the national poverty line in your country for the most recent years and the method used to define the national poverty line.

Please choose the method category which best represents that used in the assessment.

No answer required.

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

No answer required.

Please state the number of people and the percentage of the population living below the national poverty line in affected areas.

No answer required.

Please state the number of people and the percentage of the population living below the national poverty line in rural areas.

No answer required.

If neither of these is available, please state the percentage of the population living below the national poverty line in the country as a whole.

No answer required.

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

No answer required.

International poverty line

If data related to the rural poverty line or to the national poverty line was provided, please **do not** respond to this section.

If neither the rural poverty line nor the national poverty line are available, please state the number of people and the percentage of the population living below the international poverty line (US\$ 2.00/capita/day) in affected areas.

Year	Affected areas
------	----------------

	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the human population living in affected areas surveyed during the poverty assessment
2000	---	---	---	---
2001	---	---	---	---
2002	---	---	---	---
2003	---	---	---	---
2004	---	---	---	---
2005	---	---	---	---
2006	---	---	---	---
2007	---	---	---	---
2008	---	---	---	---
2009	---	---	---	---
2010	---	---	---	---
2011	---	---	---	---

If there is no national poverty line, please state the number of people and the percentage of the population living below the international poverty line (US\$ 2.00/capita/day) in rural areas.

Year	Rural areas			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the human population living in rural areas surveyed during the poverty assessment
2000	---	---	---	---
2001	---	---	---	---
2002	---	---	---	---
2003	---	---	---	---
2004	---	---	---	---
2005	---	---	---	---
2006	---	---	---	---
2007	---	---	---	---
2008	---	---	---	---
2009	---	---	---	---
2010	---	---	---	---

Year	Rural areas			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the human population living in rural areas surveyed during the poverty assessment
2011	---	---	---	---

If neither of these is available, please state the percentage of the population living below the international poverty line (US\$ 2.00/capita/day) in the country as a whole.

Year	Country			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the national human population surveyed during the poverty assessment
2000	---	---	---	---
2001	---	---	---	---
2002	---	---	---	---
2003	---	---	---	---
2004	---	---	---	---
2005	---	---	---	---
2006	---	---	---	---
2007	---	---	---	---
2008	---	---	---	---
2009	---	---	---	---
2010	---	---	---	---
2011	---	---	---	---

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
---	---	---	---	---

Contact details

General information on the national contact person for this indicator

Name and surname

No answer provided.

Institution

No answer provided.

Address

No answer provided.

Email

No answer provided.

Telephone

No answer provided.

Interpretation of indicator status/trend and policy implications**Poverty in affected areas**

Did you provide data on poverty in affected areas?

No answer provided.

If yes, please state whether you provided data for more than one year.

No answer required.

If no, do you see a pattern in the data?

No answer required.

Please explain the pattern emerging from the data and how it relates to DLDD.

No answer required.

If yes, does the poverty rate in affected areas change over time?

No answer required.

If no, please explain possible reasons why the poverty rate in affected areas does not change over time.

No answer required.

If yes, does the poverty rate in affected areas increase or decrease?

No answer required.

Please explain the pattern emerging from the data.

No answer required.

Is there a functional relationship between DLDD and the poverty rate in affected areas?

No answer required.

Please describe how DLDD affects the poverty rate in affected areas.

No answer required.

If DLDD does not affect the poverty rate in affected areas, please describe the other factor(s) responsible for changes in the poverty rate in affected areas.

No answer required.

Please upload a graph showing the percentage of human population below the poverty line in affected areas, covering all years for which data are provided in section "Poverty Rate" / sub-section "Reporting on the indicator".

The graph should be provided as jpg or pdf with a maximum file size of 2MB

No answer required.

To facilitate understanding please explain clearly what can be seen in the diagram (keys, classes, etc).

No answer required.

Poverty in rural areas

Did you provide data on poverty in rural areas?

No answer provided.

If yes, please state whether you provided data for more than one year.

No answer required.

If no, do you see a pattern in the data?

No answer required.

Please explain the pattern emerging from the data and how it relates to DLDD.

No answer required.

If yes, does the poverty rate in rural areas change over time?

No answer required.

If no, please explain possible reasons why the poverty rate in rural areas does not change over time.

No answer required.

If yes, does the poverty rate in rural areas increase or decrease?

No answer required.

Please explain the pattern emerging from the data.

No answer required.

Is there a functional relationship between DLDD and the poverty rate in rural areas?

No answer required.

Please describe how DLDD affects the poverty rate in rural areas.

No answer required.

If DLDD does not affect the poverty rate in rural areas, please describe the other factor(s) responsible for changes in the poverty rate in rural areas.

No answer required.

Please upload a graph showing the percentage of human population below the poverty line in rural areas, covering all years for which data are provided in section “Poverty Rate” / sub-section “Reporting on the indicator”.

The graph should be provided as jpg or pdf with a maximum file size of 2MB

No answer required.

To facilitate understanding please explain clearly what can be seen in the diagram (keys, classes, etc).

No answer required.

Please state the actions and policies that you currently have in place or any that will be implemented in the future to address the implications of the indicator trend or lack thereof for addressing DLDD in your country.

No answer required.

Poverty at the national level

If data was provided for either affected or rural areas, please **do not** respond to this section.

Did you provide data on poverty at the national level?

No answer provided.

If yes, please state whether you provided data for more than one year.

No answer required.

If no, do you see a pattern in the data?

No answer required.

Please explain the pattern emerging from the data and how it relates to DLDD.

No answer required.

If yes, does the poverty rate at national level change over time?

No answer required.

If no, please explain possible reasons why the poverty rate at national level does not change over time.

No answer required.

If yes, does the poverty rate at national level increase or decrease?

No answer required.

Please explain the pattern emerging from the data.

No answer required.

Is there a functional relationship between DLDD and the poverty rate at the national level?

No answer required.

Please describe how DLDD affects the poverty rate at the national level.

No answer required.

If DLDD does not affect the poverty rate at the national level, please describe the other factor(s) responsible for changes in the poverty rate at the national level.

No answer required.

Please upload a graph showing the percentage of the national population below the poverty line, covering all years for which data are provided in section “Reporting on the indicator”.

The graph should be provided as jpg or pdf with a maximum file size of 2MB

No answer required.

To facilitate understanding please explain clearly what can be seen in the diagram (keys, classes, etc).

No answer required.

Please state the actions and policies that you currently have in place or any that will be implemented in the future to address the implications of the indicator trend or lack thereof for addressing DLDD in your country.

No answer required.

Feedback

Report on specific COP requests – iterative process on indicators

Decision 13/COP.9 (paragraphs 2, 3 and 4) and decision 17/COP.9 envisage an iterative process to refine the set of performance and impact indicators. As a tool to implement this iterative process, affected country Parties can provide here their suggestions and recommendations for improvement. Using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 = (no, not at all) and 5 = (yes, very much), please rate the indicator. Please write any comments related to your assessment under the column “remarks”.

Assessment Criteria (e-SMART)	Score	Remarks
Relevant – Does the indicator provide information about changes in primary processes unambiguously related to DLDD and UNCCD implementation?	---	---

Assessment Criteria (e-SMART)	Score	Remarks
Relevant – Is the indicator relevant for DLDD national planning purposes, including monitoring of the National Action Programme (NAP)?	---	---
Relevant – Can policymakers easily understand the indicator?	---	---
Specific – Is the indicator based on well-understood and generally accepted conceptual models of the system to which it is applied so that changes in its value will have clear meaning regarding the process of concern?	---	---
Specific – Is the requested spatial scale (national vs. affected areas) of the indicator appropriate for its monitoring purposes?	---	---
Measurable – Are the definitions of the indicator and its constitutive elements clear and not ambiguous?	---	---
Measurable – Are the proposed methodologies for the measurement of this indicator sufficiently clear to ensure reliable data?	---	---
Time-bound – Is the indicator sensitive enough to detect important changes but not so sensitive that signals are masked by natural variability?	---	---
Time-bound – Can the indicator detect changes at the required temporal and spatial scales and are the up-scaling / cross-scaling rules clear?	---	---
Achievable – Are reliable data and monitoring systems available to assess trends and is data collection a relatively straightforward process?	---	---
Achievable – Is the frequency of data collection in line with the monitoring and reporting requirements of the UNCCD?	---	---
Economic – Is the indicator cost-effective? Is the cost of data collection affordable and worthwhile? (consider any required cost for personnel, capital but also, recurring costs)	---	---

Core indicator S-5: Land Cover Status

UNCCD Strategic Objective(s) for which the indicator applies

Strategic Objective 2: To improve the condition of ecosystems

UNCCD Core indicator S-5

Maintenance of or increases in ecosystem function, including net primary productivity

Name of the indicator

Land cover status

Metric

Land cover / land productivity

Purpose of the indicator

The purpose of this indicator is to measure and monitor changes in land cover and land productivity. This can be used to indicate land degradation in terms of long-term loss of ecosystem primary productivity, and assess the progress made in maintaining or improving the condition of ecosystems.

Understanding of the indicator

Land cover reflects the (bio) physical dimension of the earth's surface. This can indicate the land's ability to sustain

human activity and land use. Ideally, parties should provide data on the area of each land cover type, the percentage of the total national area covered by each land cover type and any patterns and trends over time. Where available, data should also be provided on the net primary productivity of each land cover type, to give some indication of the total productive capacity of the land.

Data needed

Total national land area, classification of land cover types, the total area of each land cover type (square km) and the total area of each land cover type as a percentage of the total national land area.

Relevant terms in the glossary

'aerial photo', 'data', 'data analysis', 'data source', 'desertification/land degradation and drought (DLDD)', 'Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI)', 'e-SMART', 'expert knowledge', 'field survey', 'Fraction of photosynthetically active radiation absorbed by vegetation (FAPAR)', 'Global Inventory Modeling and Mapping Studies (GIMMS)', 'ground-truthing', 'indicator', 'land cover', 'Land Cover Classification System (LCCS)', 'land productivity', 'metric', 'minimum mapping unit (MMU)', 'Net primary productivity (NPP)', 'Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI)', 'Rainfall Use Efficiency (RUE)', 'remote sensing', 'satellite image', 'spatial resolution', 'temporal resolution'

Reporting on land cover

Reporting on the indicator

None delivered.

Interpretation of indicator status/trend and policy implications

Interpretation of the indicator

Did you provide data for more than one year?

No answer provided.

If no, do you see a pattern in the data related to affected areas?

No answer required.

Please explain the pattern emerging from the data and how it relates to DLDD.

No answer required.

Does the indicator change over time?

No answer required.

If no, please explain possible reasons why the indicator does not change over time

No answer required.

If yes, is there a functional relationship between the indicator and DLDD?

No answer required.

If DLDD does not affect the indicator, please describe the other factor(s) responsible for changes in the indicator value over time.

No answer required.

Please describe how DLDD affects the indicator.

No answer required.

Does the extent of affected areas increase or decrease?

No answer required.

Please explain the pattern emerging from the data.

No answer required.

Please upload any graphs showing the temporal pattern/trend in the indicator over time, covering all years for which data is available.

The graph should be provided as jpg or pdf with a maximum file size of 2MB. To facilitate understanding please explain clearly what can be seen in the diagrams (keys, classes, resolutions etc.)

No answer required.

Please state the actions and policies that you currently have in place or any that will be implemented in the future to address the implications of the indicator trend or lack thereof for addressing DLDD in your country.

No answer provided.

Feedback

Report on specific COP requests – iterative process on indicators

Decision 13/COP.9 (paragraphs 2, 3 and 4) and decision 17/COP.9 envisage an iterative process to refine the set of performance and impact indicators. As a tool to implement this iterative process, affected country Parties can provide here their suggestions and recommendations for improvement. Using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 = (no, not at all) and 5 = (yes, very much), please rate the indicator. Please write any comments related to your assessment under the column “remarks”.

Assessment Criteria (e-SMART)	Score	Remarks
Relevant – Does the indicator provide information about changes in primary processes unambiguously related to DLDD and UNCCD implementation?	---	---
Relevant – Is the indicator relevant for DLDD national planning purposes, including monitoring of the National Action Programme (NAP)?	---	---
Relevant – Can policymakers easily understand the indicator?	---	---
Specific – Is the indicator based on well-understood and generally accepted conceptual models of the system to which it is applied so that changes in its value will have clear meaning regarding the process of concern?	---	---
Specific – Is the requested spatial scale (national vs. affected areas) of the indicator appropriate for its monitoring purposes?	---	---
Measurable – Are the definitions of the indicator and its constitutive elements clear and not ambiguous?	---	---
Measurable – Are the proposed methodologies for the measurement of this indicator sufficiently clear to ensure reliable data?	---	---
Time-bound – Is the indicator sensitive enough to detect important changes but not so sensitive that signals are masked by natural variability?	---	---
Time-bound – Can the indicator detect changes at the required temporal and spatial scales and are the up-scaling / cross-scaling rules clear?	---	---
Achievable – Are reliable data and monitoring systems available to assess trends and is data collection a relatively straightforward process?	---	---
Achievable – Is the frequency of data collection in line with the monitoring and reporting requirements of the UNCCD?	---	---

Assessment Criteria (e-SMART)	Score	Remarks
Economic – Is the indicator cost-effective? Is the cost of data collection affordable and worthwhile? (consider any required cost for personnel, capital but also, recurring costs)	---	---

Reporting on land productivity

Do not reply to this section if you provided no data on land cover.

Reporting on the indicator

Do not reply to this section if you provided no data on land cover.

Please, state the methods used to estimate land productivity in your country.

No answer provided.

Please state the net primary productivity (NPP) (in kgC ha⁻¹ year⁻¹) for each land cover type described in section “Land Cover Status” / sub-section “Methodology and data availability”:

Year (2000-2011)	Land cover type	NPP (kgC ha ⁻¹ year ⁻¹)
---	---	---

For the land cover types described in section “Land Cover Status” / sub-section “Methodology and data availability”, please state whether you have data on NDVI, FAPAR, EVI or others and also state the respective value.

Year (2000-2011)	Land cover type	Value	Data type
---	---	---	---

If Others, please specify:

No answer required.

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
---	---	---	---	---

Please provide the geographic datasets which underpin the land productivity information provided.

Ideally, the data should be provided as a shapefile or raster (Geotiff) format with geographic coordinates on the WGS84 datum. If the raw data are not available please provide a map showing the extent of each land cover type listed. Ideally, the map should have a scale of less than 1:250,000 and be provided in a Tiff format.

Attachments:

None.

To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map (resolution of map, year of the map, land cover classes and corresponding colours, borders, etc).

No answer provided.

Interpretation of the indicator

Did you provide data for more than one year?

No answer provided.

If no, do you see a pattern in the data related to affected areas?

No answer required.

Please explain the pattern emerging from the data and how it relates to DLDD.

No answer required.

Does the indicator change over time?

No answer required.

If no, please explain possible reasons why the indicator does not change over time

No answer required.

If yes, is there a functional relationship between the indicator and DLDD?

No answer required.

If DLDD does not affect the indicator, please describe the other factor(s) responsible for changes in the indicator value over time.

No answer required.

Please describe how DLDD affects the indicator.

No answer required.

Does the extent of affected areas increase or decrease?

No answer required.

Please explain the pattern emerging from the data.

No answer required.

Please upload any graphs showing the temporal pattern/trend in the indicator over time, covering all years for which data is available.

The graph should be provided as jpg or pdf with a maximum file size of 2MB. To facilitate understanding please explain clearly what can be seen in the diagrams (keys, classes, resolutions etc.)

No answer required.

Please state the actions and policies that you currently have in place or any that will be implemented in the future to address the implications of the indicator trend or lack thereof for addressing DLDD in your country.

No answer provided.

Feedback

Report on specific COP requests – iterative process on indicators

Decision 13/COP.9 (paragraphs 2, 3 and 4) and decision 17/COP.9 envisage an iterative process to refine the set of performance and impact indicators. As a tool to implement this iterative process, affected country Parties can provide here their suggestions and recommendations for improvement. Using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 = (no, not at all) and 5 = (yes, very much), please rate the indicator. Please write any comments related to your assessment under the column “remarks”.

Assessment Criteria (e-SMART)	Score	Remarks
Relevant – Does the indicator provide information about changes in primary processes unambiguously related to DLDD and UNCCD implementation?	---	---

Assessment Criteria (e-SMART)	Score	Remarks
Relevant – Is the indicator relevant for DLDD national planning purposes, including monitoring of the National Action Programme (NAP)?	---	---
Relevant – Can policymakers easily understand the indicator?	---	---
Specific – Is the indicator based on well-understood and generally accepted conceptual models of the system to which it is applied so that changes in its value will have clear meaning regarding the process of concern?	---	---
Specific – Is the requested spatial scale (national vs. affected areas) of the indicator appropriate for its monitoring purposes?	---	---
Measurable – Are the definitions of the indicator and its constitutive elements clear and not ambiguous?	---	---
Measurable – Are the proposed methodologies for the measurement of this indicator sufficiently clear to ensure reliable data?	---	---
Time-bound – Is the indicator sensitive enough to detect important changes but not so sensitive that signals are masked by natural variability?	---	---
Time-bound – Can the indicator detect changes at the required temporal and spatial scales and are the up-scaling / cross-scaling rules clear?	---	---
Achievable – Are reliable data and monitoring systems available to assess trends and is data collection a relatively straightforward process?	---	---
Achievable – Is the frequency of data collection in line with the monitoring and reporting requirements of the UNCCD?	---	---
Economic – Is the indicator cost-effective? Is the cost of data collection affordable and worthwhile? (consider any required cost for personnel, capital but also, recurring costs)	---	---

Additional indicators on strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3

This reporting template can be used to report on the nine impact indicators provisionally accepted by the COP (see decision 17/COP.9) but considered optional for inclusion in reports by affected country Parties. Detailed reporting guidelines have not been developed for these nine optional impact indicators, but a description of the indicators and associated metrics can be found in Orr, B.J. 2011. “Scientific review of the UNCCD provisionally accepted set of impact indicators to measure the implementation of strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3.” White Paper Version 1. Available from http://www.unccd.int/en/programmes/Science/Monitoring-Assessment/Documents/White%20paper_Scientific%20review%20set%20of%20indicators_Ver1.pdf

Alternative indicators considered more suitable than the provisionally accepted indicators may also be reported on using this template. The condition for reporting on alternative indicators is that these fit into the underlying logic of measuring progress against strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3 of the Strategy.

Purpose of the indicators

Water availability per capita	“To measure and monitor changes in access to water sources for the population. This can be used to assess the impacts of DLDD, and mitigation efforts, on water resources”
Change in land use	“To measure and monitor changes in the productive or protective uses of the land resource. This can be used to assess sustainability of land use.”

Food consumption per capita	“To measure and monitor changes in nutritional status. This can act as an indicator of both well-being and the availability of ecosystem services.”
Capacity of soils to sustain agro-pastoral use	“To measure and monitor changes in the status of soil health. This can be used to assess the impacts of DLDD, and mitigation efforts, on soil health.”
Degree of land degradation	“To measure and monitor changes in the extent and severity of land degradation. This can be used to assess the impact of agreements and programs to address land degradation and reclaim degraded lands.”
Plant and animal biodiversity	“To measure and monitor changes in the status of biodiversity relative to a ‘pristine’ baseline. This can be used as an indicator for overall environmental sustainability and used to assess the impacts of DLDD, and interventions, on enhancing biodiversity.”
Drought index	“Acts as an indicator for characterising sensitive and desertification-affected areas. This can be used to monitor the climatic conditions affecting water availability as a driving force of DLDD, provide early warnings of drought and assess severity and actions.”
Carbon stocks above and below ground	“To measure and monitor changes in above and below ground stocks as a global benefit. This can be used to assess the impacts of DLDD, and mitigation efforts on carbon stocks.”
Land under Sustainable Land Management (SLM)	“To act as a surrogate for measuring and monitoring a number of global benefits: (a) Climate regulation and carbon sequestration; (b) Vegetation cover and composition; and (c) Water retention and the regional hydrologic balance.”

None delivered.

Strategic Objective 4

Indicator SO-4-3

Strategic Objective 4

To mobilize resources to support implementation of the Convention through building effective partnerships between national and international actors

Impact indicator SO-4-3 for expected impact 4.1 (Increased financial, technical and technological resources are made available to affected developing country Parties, and where appropriate Central and Eastern European countries, to implement the Convention)

Percentage change in the domestic financial commitment to the implementation of the Convention

Understanding of the indicator

It provides an indication of the trend in the supply of public finance for DLDD-related investments and other Convention-related activities by affected developing country Parties.

Data needed

- DLDD-related programmes and projects (co-)financed through domestic public budgets
- Contribution by domestic public sources to investments and other initiatives to advance SLM
- Convention-related financial commitments by affected developing country Parties

Data sources (indicative only)

- PRAIS (financial annexes)
- CRIC performance reviews of OO5 and analyses of financial flows
- Relevant country-level studies to inform the IFS process (to be used when data is not available in the PRAIS system, and/or for cross referencing and validity checks)
- Inventories of SLM funding opportunities and/or investments
- Relevant databases and publications of authoritative entities (to be used when data is not available in the PRAIS system, and/or for cross referencing and validity checks)

Check the glossary for
Integrated financing strategy; integrated investment framework

Nominal amount (USD) of financial commitments for Convention-related objectives made from domestic public budgets (i.e. national or sub-national)

Year	Nominal amount (USD)
2008	---
2009	---

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

No answer provided.

Attachments:

None.

Indicator SO-4-6

Strategic Objective 4

To mobilize resources to support implementation of the Convention through building effective partnerships between national and international actors

Impact indicator SO-4-6 for expected impact 4.2 (Enabling policy environments are improved for UNCCD implementation at all levels)

Number and type of legal and regulatory frameworks, economic incentives or other mechanisms securing or facilitating the transfer of funds for the implementation of the Convention at all levels.

Understanding of the indicator

It provides a measure of the efforts made by Convention stakeholders to facilitate the implementation of the Convention.

Data needed

- Laws and regulations
- Economic and financial measures (e.g. fiscal rules, tax benefits, credit lines and borrowing rules, etc.)
- Cooperation frameworks (e.g. agreements, memoranda of understanding, contracts, etc.)
- Sectoral policies (e.g. trade, marketing, property rights, business development, etc.)
- Convention-specific mechanisms

Data sources (indicative only)

- Public records of Convention stakeholders
- Relevant databases and publications and other authoritative entities (to be used when data is not available in the PRAIS system, and/or for cross referencing and validity checks)
- PRAIS (CONS-O-6, CONS-O-14, CONS-O-18)

Check the glossary for
Incentive

Number of mechanisms in place in the country to facilitate the mobilization of resources for the implementation of the Convention, by type

Year	Laws and regulations	Economic and financial incentives	Cooperation frameworks	Sectoral policies
------	----------------------	-----------------------------------	------------------------	-------------------

Year	Laws and regulations	Economic and financial incentives	Cooperation frameworks	Sectoral policies
2008	---	---	---	---
2009	---	---	---	---

Qualitative assessment

Description of mechanisms

Mechanism	Description
A - Laws and regulations	---
B - Economic and financial incentives	---
C - Cooperation frameworks	---
D - Sectoral policies	---

Geographical level of application

	International	Regional	Subregional	National	Local
A					
B					
C					
D					

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

No answer provided.

Attachments:

None.

Indicator SO-4-7

Strategic Objective 4

To mobilize resources to support implementation of the Convention through building effective partnerships between national and international actors

Impact indicator SO-4-7 for expected impact 4.2 (Enabling policy environments are improved for UNCCD implementation at all levels)

Clear entrusting of institutional responsibilities for UNCCD implementation, at all levels

Understanding of the indicator

It provides an indication of the effectiveness of institutional arrangements for the implementation of the Convention with regard to the resource mobilization process

Data needed

- Evidence of institutional arrangements, instruments and mechanisms that facilitate resource mobilization, or the lack thereof
- Best practices in resource mobilization

Data sources (indicative only)

- Public records of Convention stakeholders
- Relevant databases and publications of authoritative entities
- PRAIS (Best Practices on finance and resource mobilization)

Check the glossary for

N.A.

Institutional set up, responsibilities, and arrangements to facilitate the implementation of the Convention

Year	International level	Regional level	Subregional level	National level	Local level
2008	---	---	---	---	---
2009	---	---	---	---	---

Qualitative assessment

Description of institutional arrangements

Level	Description
A - International	---
B - Regional	---
C - Subregional	---
D - National	---
E - Local	---

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

No answer provided.

Attachments:

None.

Performance Indicators

Operational Objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education

Performance indicator CONS-O-1 for Outcome 1.1

Number and size of information events organized on the subject of DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity, and audience reached by media addressing DLDD and DLDD synergies.

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the performance of Convention-related communication strategies, in particular whether DLDD issues and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity are being communicated and if so, whether the communication is considered to be effective. Effectiveness is assessed through the appraisal of the media campaigns carried out; the assumption is that the stronger the media campaigns on DLDD issues and synergies, the higher the probability of passing the messages on to the target audiences. The focus of the indicator is on information activities specifically dedicated to DLDD issues and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity. Other reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on Convention-related communication strategies at the subregional, regional and global levels.

Data needed

- Information on events/media specifically addressing DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity.
- Only events organized by major national DLDD stakeholders about which NFPs have been informed should be considered.
- Only the media products from the five most important national TV/radio channels and the five most relevant national newspapers should be considered.

Data sources (indicative only)

Attendance list of events (meetings, workshops, seminars), programme/project documents, major national media (TV/radio channels, newspapers), the Internet, organizers of events.

Check the glossary for

'NFP', 'Information events', 'Media products', 'National communication strategy', 'Participant'

Check the reporting manual for

'How can the number of information events and estimated number of participants in information events be determined?', 'How can the number of media products be determined?', 'How can the proportion of the population which is informed about DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity be estimated?'

Overall target

By 2018, 30 per cent of the global population is informed about DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity.

Number of information events

Year	Number of information events	Estimated number of participants in the information events
2008	17	500
2009	13	412

Estimated number of persons reached by media products and by key stakeholders

(Decommissioned field)

Year	Stakeholder	Paper media products	Radio and TV	other ICT
2008	Public at Large	400000	600000	100000

Year	Stakeholder	Paper media products	Radio and TV	other ICT
	Civil society organizations	2	---	---
	Science and technology institutions	18	---	---
2009	Public at Large	400000	600000	100000
	Civil society organizations	0	---	50
	Science and technology institutions	16	---	200

Number of media products made public

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Year	Newspapers	Radio and TV
2008	---	---
2009	---	---

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- Newspaper Company estimated their readership to be 22,000 every Sunday when the UNCCD day articles were published.
- Press Briefings on Drought in 2009 was done for the newspaper and during radio news.
- EPA published weekly articles in 2008 in Guyana Chronicle and Guyana Times of which 4 articles during the year made reference to drought and land degradation. On world day to combat desertification and drought the EPA also had a media briefing on Guyana Today (NCN Channel 11) and presentations in schools around Georgetown.
- EPA in 2009 published 13 weekly articles in the Guyana Times and Guyana Chronicle related to drought and land degradation. On world day to combat desertification and drought the EPA also had a media briefing on Guyana Today (NCN Channel 11) and presentations in schools around Georgetown.
- Hydromet Services through the Ministry of Agriculture presented weather information at press briefings on drought. The Ministry of Agriculture carries 8 news bulletin articles with reference on drought/land degradation on its web site for 2008 (www.agriculture.gov.gy).
- Ministry of Agriculture during agriculture month made references to drought and land degradation as climate effects when during their awareness campaigns to farmers.
- The National Climate Unit, a part of the Ministry of Agriculture at that time, published a 'guide' on farmers preparing for climate change in Guyana with specific reference to drought.
- Project Coordinator - Chain Saw Milling Project; Article in Guyana Chronicle ; Video documentary on chainsaw milling in Guyana aired on local television stations

Attachments:

None.

National contribution to the target

On the basis of your best knowledge, estimate the proportion (%) of the population in your country which is informed about DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity at the time of reporting?

Estimated share of total country population

8 %

Qualitative assessment

Is the information you have provided on communication processes part of a national communication strategy addressing environmental issues?

(Decommissioned field)

Yes

Is there a national communication strategy addressing DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity?

No answer provided.

If yes, does the implementation of the national communication strategy complement the implementation of the UNCCD Comprehensive Communication Strategy?

No answer required.

If no, is your country implementing the UNCCD Comprehensive Communication Strategy?

No answer required.

Is your country implementing activities relating to the United Nations Decade for Deserts and the Fight Against Desertification (UNDDD)?

No answer provided.

Performance indicator CONS-O-3 for Outcome 1.3

Number of civil society organizations (CSOs) and science and technology institutions (STIs) participating in the Convention processes.

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the level of participation of CSOs and STIs in DLDD-related programmes and projects. The indicator will outline whether the active involvement of these stakeholders in country-based initiatives increases over time and whether programmes/projects are valid tools for the engagement of, and receiving contributions from, CSOs and STIs at the field level. Other reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on the involvement of CSOs and STIs at the subregional, regional and global levels; additionally, the secretariat and the GM will report on the involvement of CSOs and STIs at the institutional level.

Data needed

A list of the organizations involved in the programmes/projects in the reporting country as reported in the PPSs.

Data sources (indicative only)

PPSs submitted to the UNCCD as part of the reporting exercise.

Check the glossary for

'STIs', 'CSOs', 'PPS', 'Convention processes'

Check the reporting manual for

'Which CSOs involved in DLDD-related programmes/projects should be counted?'

Overall target

A steady growth in the participation of CSOs and STIs in the Convention processes is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy.

Number of CSOs/STIs involved in each programme/project in the country

In the PPSs you have specified the number of CSOs and the number of STIs involved in each programme/project in your country. Add these numbers and give the totals by year in the table below.

Year	Number of CSOs involved in DLDD-related programmes/projects	Number of STIs involved in DLDD-related programmes/projects
2008	0	1
2009	1	6

Provide the names of these organizations.

Name

National contribution to the target

At the time of reporting, is your government undertaking concrete initiatives to increase the participation of CSOs and STIs in DLDD-related programmes and projects?

Yes

If yes, provide a short description of actions taken at the national level to promote participation by CSOs and STIs in the Convention processes

No answer provided.

Qualitative assessment

Specify the reasons for the increasing and/or decreasing trend of the participation of CSOs and STIs to DLDD-related programmes/projects.

Reasons for increasing

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Increased networking and collaboration opportunities	5
Increased access to information and to national and/or international financing opportunities	5
Increased willingness of the government in working with CSOs	5
Increased interest of donors in working with CSOs	---
Strengthened organizational, project management and fund-raising capacity of CSOs	---
Increased funding opportunities requiring partnership with the STIs	5
Strengthened organizational, project management and fund-raising capacity of the STIs	5
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Reasons for decreasing for CSOs

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Costly participatory processes	---
Low organizational, fund-raising and project management capacity of CSOs	---
Government policies and/or the legal environment do not foster the engagement of CSOs	---
Diminishing funding	---
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Reasons for decreasing for STIs

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
DLDD topics are not prioritized by national STIs	---
Low organizational, fund-raising and project management capacity of STIs	---
Decreased networking opportunities at national and international level	---
Diminishing funding	---
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Performance indicator CONS-O-4 for Outcome 1.3

Number and type of DLDD-related initiatives of civil society organizations (CSOs) and science and technology institutions (STIs) in the field of education.

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the number of DLDD-related initiatives undertaken by CSOs and STIs in the education sector. The assumption is that the higher the number of DLDD-related education initiatives undertaken by these stakeholders, the stronger their interest in addressing DLDD problems. This indicator focuses on “education” because “awareness” and “advocacy” are already measured through indicators CONS-O-1 and CONS-O-2, respectively. Other reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on the involvement of CSOs and STIs at the subregional, regional and global levels.

Data needed

- Information on initiatives undertaken in the field of education that may be found in: written communications by CSOs and STIs to the NFP; contractual and/or programme/project-related documents; records of academic bodies and their curricula; and Internet resources made available by CSOs and STIs.
- Only initiatives in the field of education taken in the country and directly relating to DLDD issues are to be considered.

Data sources (indicative only)

CSOs and STIs operating in the country.

Check the glossary for

‘CSOs’, ‘STIs’, ‘NFP’, Education initiatives’

Check the reporting manual for
'Which CSOs involved in DLDD-related education initiatives should be counted?'

Overall target

A steady growth in the number of DLDD-related education initiatives undertaken by CSOs and science and technology institutions is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy.

Number of DLDD-related initiatives undertaken by CSOs/STIs

Year	Number of DLDD-related initiatives undertaken by CSOs	Number of DLDD-related initiatives undertaken by STIs
2008	0	16
2009	1	28

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- Press briefings from Hydrometeorological Services
- National Capacity Self Assessment project regional workshops on the Rio Conventions
- Ministry of Agriculture Bulletins regarding El Nino
- National Agricultural Research Institute farmers training on ways to manage crops during dry period/drought
- Developing alternatives for illegal chain-saw lumbering through multi-stakeholder dialogue in Guyana (Chainsaw Milling Project) community training programmes on sustainable forest management practices in partnership with IWOKRAMA International Centre.
- Forestry Training Center conducted training of 174 and 348 persons during the period 2008 & 2009 on reduce impact logging. FTC emphasizes planning in all aspects of forest operations in order to ensure that that measures are taken to maintain the functional aspects of the forests and that there(...)

Attachments:

None.

National contribution to the target

At the time of reporting, is your government undertaking concrete initiatives to increase the delivery of DLDD-related initiatives in the education sector by CSOs and STIs?

Yes

If yes, provide a short description of actions taken at the national level to increase the number of DLDD-related initiatives of CSOs and STIs in the field of education

No answer provided.

Qualitative assessment

Specify the reasons for the increasing and/or decreasing trend of DLDD-related education initiatives undertaken by CSOs and STIs.

Reasons for increasing

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Increased access to funding	5
Increased awareness of DLDD-related problems and of the need for action	5
Increased knowledge of DLDD-related topics and enhanced skills of trainers/teachers	5
Government policies are more supportive of education initiatives	---
International donors are more supportive of education-focussed initiatives.	5
Other	---

Other (specify)*No answer provided.***Reasons for decreasing for CSOs**

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Lack of financial resources	---
Insufficient awareness and knowledge by national CSOs of DLDD-related issues	---
Limited capillary presence of national CSOs at the grass-root level	---
Other	---

Other (specify)*No answer provided.***Reasons for decreasing for STIs**

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Lack of financial resources	---
National STIs are more focussed on research activities than on education and training	---
Other	---

Other (specify)*No answer provided.***Operational Objective 2: Policy framework****Performance indicator CONS-O-5 for Outcomes 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3**

Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities to have finalized the formulation/revision of NAPs/SRAPs/RAPs aligned to The Strategy, taking into account biophysical and socio-economic information, national planning and policies, and integration into investment frameworks.

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the performance of affected country Parties in formulating or revising their NAPs in alignment with The Strategy. While providing information on this process, the indicator also outlines whether: (a) the analysis of DLDD drivers, barriers to possible solutions, and measures that may eventually overcome these barriers,

has been carried out; (b) the alignment process has been supported by biophysical and socio-economic baseline information; (c) the action programmes have been included in integrated investment frameworks; and (d) the action programmes have been integrated with other existing national plans and policies. The indicator will inform on the extent to which Parties have responded to decision 3/COP.8, paragraph 45, and on the feasibility of assessing the progress of The Strategy over its implementation period (2008–2018). Subregional and regional reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on formulation or revision of SRAPs and RAPs in alignment with The Strategy.

Data needed

- UNCCD NAP. Only a NAP formally approved by the relevant governmental authorities is to be considered as ‘finalized’
- Other relevant planning documents

Data sources (indicative only)

UNCCD NFP.

Check the glossary for

‘Finalized’, ‘NAP’, ‘NFP’, ‘driver’, ‘integrated investment framework’, ‘baseline’, ‘NAP formulation’, ‘NAP adoption’, ‘NAP alignment’, ‘Formulation of an aligned NAP’

Overall target

By 2014, at least 80 per cent of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities have formulated/ revised a NAP/SRAP/RAP aligned to The Strategy.

NAP Adoption and Revision

Had your country already adopted a NAP prior to The Strategy, i.e. before 31.12.2007?

Yes

If your country had adopted a NAP prior to The Strategy, i.e. before 31.12.2007, specify the date of its approval.

No answer provided.

If your country had adopted a NAP prior to The Strategy, has it revised the NAP in alignment with The Strategy, i.e. after 1.1.2008?

No

If your country has revised the NAP in alignment with The Strategy, i.e. after 1.1.2008, specify the date of its approval.

No answer required.

If your country has not revised the NAP in alignment with The Strategy, specify why the process was not initiated.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Not a priority for the government	---
Lack of capacities	5
Lack of financial resources	---
Understaffing	---
Lack of time	5
Poor internal coordination among relevant ministries	---

Reason	Level of importance
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

If your country had no NAP prior to The Strategy, has it formulated an aligned NAP after The Strategy's adoption, i.e. after 1.1 2008?

No answer required.

If yes, specify the date of its approval.

No answer required.

If your country has some specific issues with regard to the characteristics of the NAP and/or the status of its implementation, particularly in how they relate to its alignment with The Strategy, describe them briefly.

No answer provided.

If your country did not have a NAP by the end of the reporting period, specify why the process was not initiated.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

No answer required.

Other (specify)

No answer required.

For countries having a NAP aligned to The Strategy

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Is your country's NAP supported by biophysical and socio-economic baseline information?

No answer provided.

Does your country's NAP assess DLDD drivers?

No answer provided.

Does your country's NAP assess the barriers to sustainable land management?

No answer provided.

If yes, does it include recommendations to remove these barriers?

No answer required.

Has your country's NAP been included in an integrated investment framework?

No answer provided.

Has your country's NAP been integrated into national development planning and relevant sectoral and investment plans and policies?

No answer provided.

If yes, has the NAP been integrated into your country's Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper?

No answer required.

Did your country refer to the guidelines on the alignment of action programmes with The Strategy as proposed in ICCD/COP(9)/2/Add.1 while revising the NAP to be in alignment with The Strategy or while formulating an aligned NAP?

No answer provided.

Sources of information

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

No answer provided.

Attachments:

None.

National contribution to the target

If your country did not have a NAP aligned to The Strategy by the end of the reporting period , when do you plan to have it completed?

2014–2015

Qualitative assessment

Has the revision of the NAP to be in alignment with The Strategy or the formulation of an aligned NAP been supported by external assistance?

Yes

If yes, did you receive assistance from one or more of the following institutions?

- Secretariat

If yes, which type of assistance did you receive?

- Financial Support

Identify the major difficulties experienced in the process of revising the NAP to be in alignment with The Strategy or in formulating an aligned NAP.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Not a priority for the government	---
Poor availability of biophysical and socio-economic baseline information	5
Existing investment frameworks are not fully compatible with the NAP	---
Streamlining the NAP into existing plans and policies is too time-consuming	---
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Performance indicator CONS-O-7 for Outcome 2.5

Number of initiatives for synergistic planning/programming of the three Rio Conventions or mechanisms for joint implementation, at all levels.

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the existence of synergistic processes through the number of instruments (i.e. joint planning/programming and/or operational mechanisms) in place which foster the introduction of, or strengthen

the mutually reinforcing measures among, the three Rio Conventions. The assumption is that the higher the number of enabling instruments in place, the higher the possibility of achieving synergies in implementation. This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on synergistic processes at the subregional, regional and global levels.

Data needed

- Planning/programming documents and legislative/regulatory documents.
- Information on operational mechanisms explicitly aimed at achieving joint implementation, synergies and convergence, as well as at introducing or strengthening reinforcing measures among the Rio Conventions.

Data sources (indicative only)

Relevant national ministries.

Check the glossary for

'Joint planning/programming initiatives', 'Operational mechanisms for joint implementation or mutual reinforcement'

Check the reporting manual for

'Which synergistic instruments should be included?', 'Indicative list of activities by Parties to promote synergies among the Rio Conventions'

Overall target

By 2014, each affected country Party has either one joint national plan in place or functional mechanism(s) to ensure synergies among the three Rio Conventions.

Was your country implementing joint planning/programming initiatives for the three Rio Conventions in the reporting period?

Yes

If yes, specify the type of joint initiative(s)

- **Evaluation of national plans and identification of gaps in synergies**
- **Identification of national sectors and policies that could benefit from synergies and cooperation**
- **Review of existing national plans and policies to enhance cooperation**
- **Enhancement of the institutional and scientific capacities and awareness of relevant stakeholders**
- **Other**

Other (specify)

The National Capacity Self Assessment Project conducted a review of the capacity needs for the implementation of the 3 Rio Conventions and a key output is a Strategy & Action Plan.

Did operational mechanisms for joint implementation or mutual reinforcement exist in your country during the reporting period?

Yes

If yes, specify the type of mechanism(s)

- **Regular meetings between focal points and focal point teams**
- **Establishment of a national coordinating committee for the implementation of the Rio Conventions**
- **Other**

Other (specify)

The Environmental Protection Agency in implementing the NCSA Strategy & Action Plan executed a project to incorporate priority work of the Conventions into annual work plans of focal point agencies.

Sources of information

List the synergistic instruments referred to above.

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **National Capacity Self Assessment Report 2007**
- **Incorporating Priority Work of Conventions into Annual Workplans - report (2009)**

Attachments:

None.

National contribution to the target

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

If your country was not implementing joint planning/programming or did not have operational mechanisms in place by the end of the last reporting period, when do you plan to have synergetic instruments in place?

No answer provided.

Qualitative assessment

Has the establishment of synergistic processes for joint implementation of the Rio Conventions at national level been supported by the institutions of the Rio Conventions?

Yes

If yes, by the institutions of which Convention?

- UNCCD
- CBD
- UNFCCC

Identify the major difficulties experienced in establishing synergistic planning/programming or mechanisms for joint implementation.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Not a priority for the government	---
Lack of capacities	5
Lack of financial resources	5
Understaffing	5
Lack of time	---
Poor internal coordination among relevant ministries	---
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Operational Objective 3: Science, technology and knowledge

Performance indicator CONS-O-8 for Outcomes 3.1 and 3.2

Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities to have established and supported a national/subregional/regional monitoring system for DLDD

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the monitoring potential of the country by quantifying the number of monitoring systems established and supported. These monitoring systems may be specifically dedicated to DLDD or may partially cover it. The indicator will inform on the extent to which it is realistic to expect more regular and coherent reporting by affected country Parties during the implementation of The Strategy and beyond. This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on UNCCD-relevant monitoring systems established and supported at the subregional, regional and global levels.

Data needed

- Information on monitoring systems established within the national ministries or other bodies/institutions
- Programme/project documents and interim or final reports

Data sources (indicative only)

Relevant national ministries, programme/project management units, other non-governmental sources.

Check the glossary for

'monitoring system', 'monitoring system specifically dedicated to DLDD', 'monitoring system partially covering DLDD'

Check the reporting manual for

'Can a monitoring system that is not an environmental monitoring system, but which accounts for the socio-economic aspects of DLDD, be considered a DLDD monitoring system?', 'Can a meteorological monitoring system be considered a DLDD monitoring system?'

Overall target

By 2018, at least 60 per cent of affected country Parties, subregional and regional reporting entities have established and supported national monitoring systems for DLDD.

Is a monitoring system specifically dedicated to DLDD established at the national level?

No

If yes, specify whether this system is functional

No answer required.

If yes, specify whether this system is regularly updated

No answer required.

If no DLDD-specific monitoring system is in place, is a monitoring system partially covering DLDD established at the national level?

Yes

List any monitoring system available at the sub-national level that can contribute to the UNCCD reporting.

(Decommissioned field)

- Sustainable Land Management Project
- Environmental Impact Assessments for large scale projects
- Monitoring of Permitted Developmental Projects by the Environmental Protection Agency
- Monitoring of the Weather and weather related phenomenon by the Hydro meteorological Services

List the main features of the monitoring system available at the national level, in particular those that can contribute to UNCCD reporting.

No answer provided.

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- Guyana Lands and Surveys Commission
- Hydromet Division of Ministry of Agriculture
- Environmental Management Division- Environmental Protection Agency
- Mangrove Restoration Project web site - National Agriculture Research Institute
- Guyana Forestry Commission

Attachments:

None.

National contribution to the target

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

If your country did not have a national monitoring system specifically dedicated to DLDD or partially covering DLDD in place by the end of the reporting period, do you plan to initiate one?

No answer provided.

If yes, when?

No answer required.

Qualitative assessment

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

For those countries not having a national monitoring system specifically dedicated to DLDD or partially covering DLDD, identify the major difficulties experienced in the establishment process.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Financial constraints	---
Lack of capacities	---
Human resources constraints	---
Lack of coordination among relevant ministries and unclear attribution of responsibilities	---
Lack of coordination among donor-led programme/project interventions	---
Existing initiatives are too fragmented; cannot be realistically coordinated under one umbrella	---
Existing national and/or sub-national monitoring systems use different methodologies and cannot be realistically harmonized	---
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

For those countries having a national monitoring system specifically dedicated to DLDD or partially covering DLDD, how is the system maintained?

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
By means of national resources	---
By means of external support	---
No maintenance is possible due to limited professional capacities	---
No maintenance is possible due to limited financial resources	---
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Performance indicator CONS-O-9 for Outcome 3.1 and 3.2

Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities reporting to the Convention along revised reporting guidelines on the basis of agreed indicators

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the use of biophysical and socio-economic information in defining a commonly agreed core set of impact indicators for the UNCCD and in monitoring progress against these indicators using harmonized methodologies. The indicator will inform to what extent it is possible to compile a comparable and global assessment of UNCCD impact. Subregional and regional reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on the use of impact indicators at the subregional and regional levels, if and when impact indicators for these levels will be commonly agreed upon by the Conference of the Parties.

Data needed

- Reports to the UNCCD by affected country Parties in 2012 and 2016.
- The information to report on this indicator will be compiled by affected country Parties every four years when reporting on the strategic objectives that require biophysical and socio-economic information (i.e. SO1, SO2 and SO3). **Reporting on this indicator is due in 2012 and in 2016 only.**

Data sources (indicative only)

UNCCD NFP

Check the glossary for

'NFP'

Overall target

By 2018, at least 90 per cent of affected country Parties, subregional and regional reporting entities report to the Convention in compliance with the new reporting guidelines.

Has your country reported on the two impact indicators considered by decision 13/COP.9 to be the minimum reporting requirement?

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

No answer provided.

Number of impact indicators for strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3 your country has reported on in 2012 and 2016

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

2012

No answer provided.

While reporting on impact indicators, did you refer to the reporting guidelines, i.e. using the common baselines and methodologies defined by the CST?

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

No answer provided.

Sources of information

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

No answer provided.

Attachments:

None.

National contribution to the target

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

If in 2012 your country has not reported on some or all of the impact indicators for the UNCCD, do you plan to do so in 2016?

No answer provided.

If in 2012 your country has not complied with the reporting guidelines, i.e. using the common baselines and methodologies defined by the CST, do you plan to do so in 2016?

No answer provided.

Performance indicator CONS-O-10 for Outcome 3.3 and 3.4

Number of revised NAPs/SRAPs/RAPs reflecting knowledge of DLDD drivers and their interactions, and of the interaction of DLDD with climate change and biodiversity

Understanding of the indicator

The indicator measures knowledge-transfer processes from the theoretical to the operational level. This is done through an assessment carried out by affected country Parties (self-assessment) of the levels of traditional and scientific knowledge reflected in their NAPs. The assumption is that NAPs based on sound scientific and traditional knowledge will propose more significant and effective strategies and activities for implementation at the national level, and will, ultimately, perform better than those NAPs that do not take into account available knowledge on DLDD and DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity. The indicator will inform to what extent UNCCD implementation is likely to achieve meaningful results. Subregional and regional reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on the assessment of their SRAPs and RAPs.

Data needed

- NAP aligned to The Strategy
- Scientific literature consulted for the formulation/revision of the NAP

Data sources (indicative only)

UNCCD NFP

Check the glossary for

'NAP', 'NAP adoption', 'NAP alignment', 'NAP formulation', 'formulation of an aligned NAP', 'NFP', 'driver', 'drought',

'drought preparedness, including mitigation'

*As this indicator is meant to contribute to the country's self-assessment of its aligned NAP, **countries not having a NAP or not having revised their NAP in alignment with The Strategy do NOT report on this indicator.***

Overall target

By 2018, at least 70 per cent of revised NAPs/SRAPs/RAPs have successfully gone through a quality self-assessment.

Sources of information

UNCCD NAP formulated taking account of, or revised in alignment with, The Strategy.

Assessment of the aligned NAP

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

In your NAP, is the identification of biophysical and socio-economic drivers, and of their interaction, knowledge-based?

No answer provided.

If yes, specify upon which type of knowledge it is based

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

No answer required.

If based on scientific literature, list the main reference literature consulted (add as many rows as needed). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

No answer required.

In your NAP, is the analysis of the interaction between DLDD and climate change or biodiversity knowledge-based?

No answer provided.

If yes, specify upon which type of knowledge it is based.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

No answer required.

If based on scientific literature, list the main reference literature consulted (add as many rows as needed). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

No answer required.

Is drought policy and drought preparedness, including mitigation, analyzed and/or reflected in some of the actions outlined in the NAP?

No answer provided.

If drought policy and drought preparedness, including mitigation, are not analyzed and/or reflected in some of the actions outlined in the NAP, when do you plan to do so?

No answer required.

National contribution to the target

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

If in your NAP, DLDD drivers, their interactions, and the interaction of DLDD with climate change and biodiversity are not analyzed on the basis of relevant scientific, expert and/or traditional knowledge, when do you plan to do so?

No answer provided.

Qualitative assessment

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

If your NAP has not been developed taking into account relevant scientific and/or traditional knowledge, identify the reasons.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Relevant scientific literature is not available	---
Relevant traditional or expert knowledge is not available	---
Lack of financial resources to mobilise the necessary knowledge	---
Poor coordination among the relevant ministries prevented an internal pooling of knowledge/expertise	---
Relevant ministries could not contribute due to lack of time	---
Relevant ministries could not contribute due to lack of staff	---
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Performance indicator CONS-O-11 for Outcome 3.5

Type, number and users of DLDD-relevant knowledge-sharing systems at the global, regional, subregional and national levels described on the Convention website

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the presence of DLDD-related knowledge-sharing processes, through the quantification of the type and number of existing knowledge-sharing systems. Effectiveness of these systems is measured through quantification of their user-base. The indicator will inform to what extent scientific and traditional knowledge, including best practices, are available to and sufficiently shared with end-users. This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on existing UNCCD-relevant knowledge-sharing systems at the subregional, regional and global levels.

Data needed

- Information from websites.
- Only DLDD-relevant knowledge-sharing systems and networks shall be considered.

Data sources (indicative only)

Relevant organizations and ministries hosting knowledge-sharing systems and networks within their website

Check the glossary for

'knowledge-sharing system', 'PRAIS'

Check the reporting manual for

'How can you provide the number of users in a knowledge-sharing system?'

Overall target

By 2010 the Convention website has been restructured and includes a thematic database on knowledge-sharing systems as part of the PRAIS.

Knowledge-sharing systems

List any DLDD-relevant 'knowledge-sharing system' in your country you are aware of, providing an Internet link and estimated number of users per year.

<p><i>Item 1</i></p> <p>Name of the system</p> <p>---</p> <p>Internet link</p> <p>---</p> <p>Estimated number of users per year</p> <p>---</p>

Operational Objective 4: Capacity building

Performance indicator CONS-O-13 for Outcomes 4.1 and 4.2

Number of countries, subregional and regional reporting entities engaged in building capacity to combat DLDD on the basis of NCSA or other methodologies and instruments

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the presence of capacity-building processes through the quantification of existing DLDD-related capacity-building initiatives. The indicator will inform to what extent affected country Parties may be expected to meet their obligations foreseen by the Convention, including forthcoming ones (i.e. new reporting requirements, establishment of monitoring systems, accessing new financing mechanisms). This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on capacity-building initiatives at the subregional, regional and global levels.

Data needed

- Information on DLDD-related capacity-building initiatives; only programmes/projects mentioned in the PPSs that have DLDD-related capacity-building as a major objective are to be considered.

Data sources (indicative only)

- PPSs submitted to UNCCD as part of the reporting exercise
 - Programme/project documents and interim or final reports of those programmes and projects identified through the PPSs as having DLDD-related capacity-building as a major objective

Check the glossary for

'capacity-building', 'capacity development', 'capacity-building initiative', 'NCSA', 'PPS'

Check the reporting manual for

'What can be considered as a programme or project that has DLDD-related capacity-building as a major objective?'

Overall target

By 2014, at least 90 per cent of affected country Parties, subregional and regional reporting entities implement DLDD-specific capacity-building plans or programmes/projects.

Number of DLDD-related capacity-building initiatives implemented

Year	NCSA-generated	Other initiatives
2008	1	2
2009	---	---

Provide relevant information on the size, scope, effectiveness and status of the initiatives reported.

No answer provided.

Has your country assessed DLDD-related capacity-building needs at the national level?

Yes

If yes, within the framework of which initiative?

NCSA

Other (specify)

No answer required.

If yes, has your country assessed the necessary resources for addressing capacity-building needs?

Yes

If yes, are these resource requirements included in an investment framework?

Yes

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- National Capacity Self Assessment Report Strategy and Action Plan
- Stakeholder workshop on the National Diagnostic Land Degradation Assessment and Pilot Land Degradation Assessment Studies
- Training Workshop conducted with stakeholders on the Methodology for assessment of land degradation

Attachments:

None.

National contribution to the target

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

If at the time of reporting there are no DLDD-specific capacity-building plans, programmes or projects implemented in your country, when do you plan to have something in place?

No answer provided.

Qualitative assessment

Has your country received assistance from one or more of the following institutions to build capacities to combat DLDD?

- GEF
- Multilateral (United Nations agencies, IGOs, international financing institutions, etc.)

If yes, which type of assistance have you received?

- Financial support

Operational Objective 5: Financing and technology transfer

Performance indicator CONS-O-14 for Outcome 5.1

Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities whose investment frameworks, established within the IFS devised by the GM or within other IFSs, reflect leveraging national, bilateral and multilateral resources for combating desertification and land degradation

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the presence of integrated financing processes allowing the leverage of national, bilateral and multilateral resources for combating desertification and land degradation, through the quantification of investment frameworks developed by country Parties within the IFS devised by the GM or other IFSs promoted by diverse international institutions. This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on the establishment of IIFs at national, subregional and regional levels.

Data needed

- Investment framework documents.
- Only investment frameworks prepared along the guidelines devised within IFSs shall be considered.

Data sources (indicative only)

Relevant national ministries

Check the glossary for

'IFS', 'NAP', 'leveraging', 'IIF'

Overall target

By 2014, at least 50 per cent of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities have developed IIFs.

Had your country developed an IIF by the end of the reporting period?

No

If yes, specify when it was developed.

No answer required.

Is your country's IIF based on the NAP?

No answer required.

If based on the NAP, who assisted in its development?

No answer required.

Other (specify)

No answer required.

If assisted, which type of assistance did you receive?

No answer required.

If assisted by the GM, was it devised within the IFS?

No answer required.

If your country has an IIF based on the NAP, does this framework allow for the leveraging of national, bilateral and multilateral resources for combating DLDD?

No answer required.

Did your country receive assistance from the GM in exploring non-traditional and innovative channels of financial resources?

No answer provided.

Short overview of the progress in implementing the IIF

Provide a short overview of the progress in implementing the IIF in your country, in particular as it relates to its functionality and efficiency in leveraging the funding necessary for implementing the Convention.

No answer provided.

Sources of information

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

No answer provided.

Attachments:

None.

National contribution to the target

If your country had not developed an IIF by the end of the reporting period, do you plan to do it?

Yes

If yes, when?

2010–2011

Qualitative assessment

Identify the major difficulties experienced in developing an IIF.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Financial constraints	5
Human resources constraints	5
Lack of coordination among relevant ministries and unclear attribution of responsibilities	---
Lack of coordination among those providing support	---
National bilateral and multilateral resources are too diverse; cannot be realistically coordinated under one umbrella.	---
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Performance indicator CONS-O-16 for Outcome 5.2

Degree of adequacy, timeliness and predictability of financial resources made available by developed country Parties to combat DLDD

Understanding of the indicator

This is a qualitative indicator requiring the perception-based assessment by developing affected country Parties of the adequacy, timeliness and predictability of bilateral contributions received from developed country Parties for the implementation of the Convention. “Adequate”, “timely” and “predictable” resources are frequently referred to in The Strategy as being necessary to ensure proper planning and effective implementation. Subregional and regional reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on their perception-based assessments.

Data needed

-

Data sources (indicative only)

-

Check the glossary for

-

Only affected country Parties entitled to receive assistance under the UNCCD are requested to report on this indicator.

Refer your assessment to the following biennium only:

- In 2010, biennium 2008–2009

- In 2012, biennium 2010–2011

Overall target

No target has been set for this indicator.

Bilateral assistance received

How would you rate the bilateral assistance received within the framework of UNCCD for the implementation of The Strategy and of the Convention?

Adequacy of bilateral assistance

Adequate

Timeliness of bilateral assistance

Timely

Predictability of bilateral assistance

Predictable

Provide narrative justification on your above rating

Adequate - Funding from GEF is \$505,000 for the implementation of the Capacity Development and Mainstreaming for Sustainable Land Management Project.

Timeliness - The funding was provided when Guyana had no data on land degradation.

Predictability - The Capacity Development and Mainstreaming for Sustainable Land Management Project Document was endorsed by GEF Representative, Mr. Doorga Persaud on the 24 June, 2005 in Guyana and verified by John Hough, UNDP-GEF Deputy Executive Coordinator, a.i. on the 19 December, 2007. The Project document was signed on the 1 February, 2008. |

Additional information on any other impacting aspects

If relevant, provide additional information on whether there are any other aspects beyond adequacy, timeliness and predictability of financial support made available by developed country Parties to combat DLDD which impact proper planning and effective implementation of the Convention in your country.

No answer provided.

Qualitative assessment

Did you receive assistance in raising resources from bilateral donors?

No

If yes, from whom?

No answer required.

Other (specify)

No answer required.

Has the level of adequacy, timeliness and predictability of bilateral assistance constrained your country's performance in planning and implementation with respect to UNCCD?

No answer provided.

Performance indicator CONS-O-17 for Outcome 5.3

Number of DLDD-related project proposals successfully submitted for financing to international financial institutions, facilities and funds, including the GEF

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the capacity of fund-raising through the quantification of project proposals successfully submitted for funding to the various financing organizations. The indicator will inform to what extent affected country Parties make increasing efforts to mobilize resources. This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on the fund-raising efforts at national, subregional and regional levels.

Data needed

Information contained in the PPSs and SFAs submitted to UNCCD.

Data sources (indicative only)

- PPSs and SFAs submitted to UNCCD as part of the reporting exercise.
- The PPS requires specification of the project 'status' thus it allows the identification of relevant projects to be considered by this indicator and the monitoring of their approval status.
- The SFA requires the specification of amounts committed to approved projects.

Check the glossary for

'PPS', 'SFA', 'project proposals', 'currency', 'successfully submitted proposals'

Overall target

A steady growth in the number of DLDD-related successfully submitted project proposals is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy.

Sources of information

PPSs and SFAs

Number of project proposals submitted (pipeline) and ongoing, by biennium

Biennium	Submitted (pipeline)	Ongoing
2008–2009	---	1

Amount of funds raised, by biennium

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

You can find the amount of funds raised for the ongoing projects in the corresponding SFAs. Sum these amounts and give the total in the below table.

Biennium	Currency	Total amount
2008–2009	---	---

National contribution to the target

According to the information provided above, do you think that your country is mobilizing enough resources from international financial institutions, facilities and funds through successfully submitted project proposals?

Yes

If no, does your country plan to increase its efforts in presenting project proposals to international financial institutions, facilities and funds?

No answer required.

What percentage of financing used for the implementation of DLDD-related programmes and projects comes from national sources, and what percentage from international sources?

Source	%
National sources	---
International sources	---

Qualitative assessment

Identify the reasons for the increasing or decreasing trend of project proposals successfully submitted to international financial institutions, facilities and funds.

Reasons for increasing

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Easier and more transparent application procedures	---
Increased capacities of national stakeholders to prepare applications	5
Major natural hazards occurred at the national level considerably increased the level of resources made available by the international community	5
Access to funding is increasingly facilitated by third parties such as the private sector	---
Existence of a financing strategy (IFS or others)	---
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Reasons for decreasing

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Financing opportunities are not publicized enough, lack of access to necessary information	---
Complicated application procedures, the level of complexity being worsened by the different requirements of the various donors	---
Limited financial resources are made available for DLDD-related programmes/projects, and lack of DLDD-specific allocations within donors' portfolio.	---

Reason	Level of importance
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Performance indicator CONS-O-18 for Outcome 5.5

Amount of financial resources and type of incentives which have enabled access to technology by affected country Parties

Understanding of the indicator

The indicator measures whether access to technology is facilitated by means of financial resources or economic and policy incentives. At the national level, the indicator will inform to what extent an enabling environment for technology transfer has been created and whether sufficient resources are dedicated to technology transfer. Subregional and regional reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on financial resources and type of incentives which have enabled access to technology at the subregional and regional levels.

Data needed

- Budgets of relevant programmes and projects
- Information on policy/regulatory, financial and fiscal incentives. Incentives facilitating access to technology are those established and implemented at the national level, and not necessarily only within the framework of DLDD-related cooperation.

Data sources (indicative only)

- Financial documents of programmes and projects submitted as PPSs to the UNCCD as part of the reporting exercise
- National policy, regulatory and economic/financial documents

Check the glossary for

'technology transfer', 'technical support', 'incentive', 'PPS'

Check the reporting manual for

'How to disaggregate the amounts by year?', 'How to measure the effectiveness of technology transfer initiatives?'

Overall targets

- A steady growth in the financial resources allocated to facilitate access to technology by affected country Parties is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy.
- A steady growth in the number of economic and policy incentives reported upon is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy.

Estimate of amounts allocated to facilitate access to material and to knowledge aid (technology transfer)

Year	Currency	Amount
2008	---	---
2009	---	---

Has your country established incentives intended to facilitate access to technology?

No

If yes, specify which types of incentives.

No answer required.

Provide a short overview of specific aspects and the nature of technology transfer in your country, in particular

in relation to those aspects where there is a need to increase the level of technology transfer.

No answer provided.

Sources of information

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

No answer provided.

Attachments:

None.

National contribution to the target

According to the information provided above, do you think that enough resources are allocated through DLDD-related programmes and projects to facilitate access to technology by your country?

No

If your country has no incentives in place or if existing incentives to facilitate the creation of an enabling environment for technology transfer do not prove to be effective, are you planning to enforce additional measures?

No

If yes, when?

No answer required.

Qualitative assessment

If existing incentives do not prove to be effective, identify possible reasons.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Policy or regulatory incentives are not enforced	---
There are not enough resources to apply financial or fiscal incentives	5
The national financial and credit systems (banks, credit agencies, etc) are not supportive	---
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Identify the reasons for the increasing trend of financial resources allocated through DLDD-related programmes and projects to facilitate access to technology.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Access facilitated by the spreading of IT	---
More appropriate technologies available	---
Appropriateness of government incentives	---

Reason	Level of importance
Other	---

Other (specify)*No answer provided.***Identify the reasons for decreasing trend of financial resources allocated through DLDD-related programmes and projects to facilitate access to technology.**

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Technology sustainability is poor; technologies do not represent viable investments	---
Lack of fixed infrastructure for accessing technologies (those created on an ad hoc basis disappear once the support ends)	---
Lack of capacities for operation and maintenance of technologies	---
Lack of enabling policy and regulatory environments	---
Other	---

Other (specify)*No answer provided.*

Standard Financial Annex

The CRIC has recommended that financial reporting be based on a standard financial reporting format to be used by affected country Parties and their development partners. It also indicated that emphasis in reports should be put on financial matters and also on an analysis of the impact of the activities undertaken (ICCD/CRIC(8)/5).

The purpose of the SFA is to consolidate information on resources mobilized by affected country Parties and their development partners under the framework of relevant strategies and action programmes. It facilitates the aggregation of data on financial commitments, financial flows and resources available by all relevant funding sources for activities related to the implementation of the Convention. It also helps minimize double counting in financial statistics (ICCD/CRIC(8)/5/Add.4).

The SFA is to be used by each country Party and other reporting entities to list all financial commitments they have made during the reporting period in support of institutions, programmes, projects, as well as other relevant initiatives undertaken at national or international level for the implementation of the Convention.

More specifically, for each relevant financial commitment or allocation made in the reporting period, the SFA requires a minimum set of data grouped as follows:

- a. Identification, i.e. data required to identify the reporting entity, the funding source and the activity financed;
- b. Basic data, i.e. data specifying the amount and type of financial commitment made, as well as the recipient country, region, and/or organization, and the funding period, if applicable;
- c. Classification, i.e. categorization of the funded activity according to the Rio Markers for desertification, and the UNCCD Relevant Activity Codes (RACs).

The compilation of the SFA is guided by means of a template, which responds to the recommendations of CRIC 7, and builds on the GM methodological guide for financial reporting presented to CRIC 6 as part of the report of the intergovernmental Ad Hoc Working Group to improve the procedures for communication of information.

Within the template, shaded areas contain information and explanatory texts, while white areas are for reporting purposes and need to be filled in by the reporting entities with relevant data or narrative information.

Decision 13/COP.9, paragraph 8, invites country Parties and other reporting entities to refer to common terminology and definitions. Therefore, these guidelines should be read in conjunction with the comprehensive glossary of performance indicators for the review of implementation of The Strategy and Best Practices, common to all reporting entities and contained in a separate document (ICCD/CRIC(9)/13).

Financial Commitment #1 — Capacity Development and Mainstreaming for Sustainable Land Management Project

Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

No answer provided.

Other

- **Guyana Lands and Surveys Commission, Guyana**
-

Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

Project Code: 00047476/ Agency ID: 3413/ Atlas Project ID: 00047476

Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

- **Global Environment Facility**

Other

No answer provided.

Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

Capacity Development and Mainstreaming for Sustainable Land Management Project

Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- **Guyana**
-

Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

- **United Nations Development Programme**

Other

No answer provided.

Executing Agency(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

(Decommissioned field)

- **Guyana Lands and Surveys Commission**
-

Commitment date

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

01/02/2008

Amount committed

Enter the total amount of money committed

505000 US Dollar

Type of funding

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

Grant

Start date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

28/03/2008

Completion date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

30/06/2011

Duration (no. of months)

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

(Decommissioned field)

42

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

3

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- 1.1.4 Financial Tracking
- 1.1.5 Reporting
- 2 Capacity Development and Planning
- 2.1.2 Publications and communication material
- 2.1.4 Education
- 3.2.1 Air and Climate Protection
- 3.2.6 Land Conservation
- 3.2.8 Sustainable Land Management
- 5.2 Land Reclamation and/or Rehabilitation
- 5.8 Reforestation/Afforestation

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- Sustainable Land Management Quarterly and Yearly Reports relating to the Baseline studies and Outcomes of the Project

Attachments:

None.

Financial Commitment #2 — Sustainable Coastal Zone Protection through Mangrove Management: Guyana Mangrove Restoration Project

Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial

commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

No answer provided.

Other

- **National Agricultural Research Institute (NARI)**
-

Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

EDF 2007 – EuropeAid/127405/D/SER/GY GY/DCI-ENV/21549 Mangrove Management - 1301900

Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

No answer provided.

Other

- **European Union's Global Climate Change Alliance Programme**
-

Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

Sustainable Coastal Zone Protection through Mangrove Management: Guyana Mangrove Restoration Project

Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- **Guyana**
-

Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

- **European Union**

Other

No answer provided.

Executing Agency(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

(Decommissioned field)

- **National Agricultural Research Institute (NARI)**
-

Commitment date

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

01/02/2010

Amount committed*Enter the total amount of money committed*

4165000 Euro

Type of funding

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

*No answer provided.***Start date**

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

01/02/2010

Completion date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

28/02/2014

Duration (no. of months)

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

(Decommissioned field)

48

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

1

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- 1.1.2 Soil Observations
- 1.2.1 Traditional Knowledge and Best Practices
- 1.2.3 Science and Technology
- 2.2.1 Capacity-Building
- 2.1.4 Education
- 2.2.2 Community Development
- 3.1 Production Systems
- 3.1.2 Forestry
- 3.2.2 Biodiversity Conservation
- 3.2.4 Other Resource Conservation
- 3.2.8 Sustainable Land Management
- 4.1 Mitigation/Recovery

- **4.1.3 Environment Restoration**

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Project Coordinator - National Mangrove Restoration Project - NARI.; www.mangrovesgy.org Guyana Mangrove Restoration Project; Project Progress updates (Feb - Aug. 2010); Community Updates , Vol. 1 Issue 1 (Sept 2010)**
- **Press Releases. Final Report of the Mangrove Technical Assistance provided by Claire Ackroyd April 2010.**

Attachments:

None.

Financial Commitment #3 — National Capacity Self Assessment Project

Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

No answer provided.

Other

- **Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)**
-

Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

00036181 PIMS 2864

Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

- **Global Environment Facility**

Other

No answer provided.

Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

National Capacity Self Assessment Project

Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- **Guyana**

Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

- **United Nations Development Programme**

Other

No answer provided.

Executing Agency(ies)

Enter the full name an acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity
(Decommissioned field)

- **Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)**
-

Commitment date

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

01/06/2005

Amount committed

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Enter the total amount of money committed

No answer provided.

Type of funding

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

Grant

Start date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

01/06/2005

Completion date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

31/03/2007

Duration (no. of months)

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

(Decommissioned field)

21

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **1.1 Monitoring**
 - **1.1.4 Financial Tracking**
 - **1.1.5 Reporting**
 - **2.1.1 Public Awareness Campaigns**
 - **2.1.2 Publications and communication material**
 - **2.1.5 Synergies**
 - **2.2.1 Capacity-Building**
 - **2.2.4 Action Programmes**
 - **2.2.7 Mainstreaming**
 - **3.2 Natural Resource Management**
 - **3.2.2 Biodiversity Conservation**
 - **4.1.1 Adaptation to climate change**
-

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Natural Resources Management Division - Environmental Protection Agency**
- **National Capacity Self Assessment Report and Action Plan (2007)**

Attachments:

None.

Financial Commitment #4 — Developing alternatives for illegal chain-saw lumbering through multi-stakeholder dialogue in Guyana

Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

No answer provided.

Other

- **Forestry Training Centre Incorporated (FTCI)**
-

Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

ENV/2007/133-003

Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

- **European Commission**

Other

No answer provided.

Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

Developing alternatives for illegal chain-saw lumbering through multi-stakeholder dialogue in Guyana

Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- **Guyana**
-

Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

No answer provided.

Other

- **Tropenbos International (TBI)**
-

Executing Agency(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

(Decommissioned field)

- **Forestry Training Center Incorporated (FTCI) with IWOKRAMA International Centre as the local partner**
-

Commitment date

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

31/03/2007

Amount committed

Enter the total amount of money committed

2800000 Euro

Type of funding

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

Grant

Start date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

31/03/2007

Completion date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable
31/03/2012

Duration (no. of months)

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

(Decommissioned field)

48

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

1

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **1.2.1 Traditional Knowledge and Best Practices**
- **1.2.3 Science and Technology**
- **1.1.4 Financial Tracking**
- **2.1.1 Public Awareness Campaigns**
- **2.1.2 Publications and communication material**
- **2.1.4 Education**
- **2.2.1 Capacity-Building**
- **3.1.2 Forestry**
- **3.2.1 Air and Climate Protection**
- **3.2.5 Pasture and Range Management**
- **3.2.6 Land Conservation**

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Project Coordinator Forestry Training Centre Incorporated: Chainsaw Milling Project, Guyana
Project Coordinator, Chainsaw Milling Project, Guyana**
- **The Chainsaw Milling Project, Project Update Issue No. 1 July 2008 & Issue No. 2 December 2010**
- **Project website - www.chainsawmilling.org.; Guyana Chronicle online August 21 2010**

Attachments:

None.

Financial Commitment #5 — Guyana Environmental Capacity Development; Mining Communities Project:

Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

No answer provided.

Other

- **Guyana Geology & Mines Commission**

Identification code

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

No answer provided.

Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

No answer provided.

Other

- **Canadian International Development Agency (CIDA)**

Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

**Guyana Environmental Capacity Development; Mining Communities Project:
Mine Site Reclamation and Re-vegetation Phase II**

Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- **Guyana**

Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

No answer provided.

Other

- **Guyana Geology & Mines Commission**

Executing Agency(ies)

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Commitment date

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

01/01/2007

Amount committed

Enter the total amount of money committed

190000 US Dollar

Type of funding

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

Grant

Start date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

01/01/2007

Completion date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

31/12/2010

Duration (no. of months)

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

(Decommissioned field)

47

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

3

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- 1.1 Monitoring
 - 1.1.2 Soil Observations
 - 1.2.1 Traditional Knowledge and Best Practices
 - 2.1.4 Education
 - 3.1.2 Forestry
 - 3.2.3 Forest/Scrub Management
-

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Guyana Geology & Mines Commission**

Attachments:

None.

Programme and Project Sheets

Programme and Project Sheets (PPS) are used to provide more detailed information on programmes or projects undertaken or completed in the reporting period. This includes programmes and projects in the pipeline, as well as final proposals submitted for funding to internal or external funding sources. All country Parties and other reporting entities involved in the financing, coordination or implementation of relevant programmes and projects are requested to prepare a PPS for each of them, and to attach them to their official report to the UNCCD.

The compilation of the PPS is guided by means of a template. These templates are intended to collect a minimum set of qualitative and quantitative data to facilitate the analysis of funding and investment flows, and the production of better financial statistics related to UNCCD implementation (ICCD/CRIC(8)/5/Add.4), with a view to enabling the CRIC to undertake an objective review of progress in the implementation of the Convention and The Strategy. The PPS also facilitate the computation of certain performance and impact indicators .

A distinctive feature of the PPS is that it allows country Parties and other reporting entities to specify which strategic and operational objectives of The Strategy are targeted by each programme or project. In addition, it allows for individual programme or project components to be categorized using the Rio Markers for desertification and Relevant Activity Codes (RACs).

Furthermore, the PPS can be used to indicate whether the objectives of other Rio Conventions (i.e. the United Nations Convention on Biological Diversity, UNCBD – and the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, UNFCCC) are also addressed by the programme or project. This is done through the use of the biodiversity and climate change Rio Markers, respectively.

The PPS offers an opportunity to increase the visibility of relevant programmes and projects, thereby creating the conditions for a better sharing of experiences and lessons, as well as the transfer of knowledge in general. It also favours collaboration and networking by facilitating the identification of potential synergies.

Lastly, the PPS also allows country Parties and other reporting entities to provide a narrative description of the expected or achieved results. This information will facilitate the qualitative assessment of progress in the implementation of The Strategy, including on returns on investment. The CRIC will use the analysis of financial information originating from the PPS to assess results, performance and impacts.

To minimize the reporting burden and avoid discrepancies in the information annexed to the reports of different entities, it is recommended that project partners identify the most suitable ways to coordinate among themselves the preparation of PPS to ensure that consistent data are reported for the same projects. It would also be advisable to compile just one PPS for large “umbrella” programmes, instead of separate PPS for each small project stemming from them.

Programme/Project #1 — Capacity Development and Mainstreaming for Sustainable Land Management

Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

- **United Nations Development Programme**

Other

- **Guyana Lands and Surveys Commission**
-

Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

PIMS 3413 – Atlas Project ID 00047476

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

Capacity Development and Mainstreaming for Sustainable Land Management

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

No answer provided.

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- **Iwokrama International Centre - NGO**
- **National Agricultural Research Institute - NARI- Research Institutions**
- **Hydrometeorological Services- Science and Technology Institutions**

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **Guyana**

Target area size/administrative unit**Area Size**

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

21496901 hectares

Administrative Unit

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

Area of the Country- National Scale

Target Group

(Decommissioned field)

- **Guyana Forestry Commission**
- **Guyana Geology and Mines Commission**
- **Environmental Protection Agency**
- **Iwokrama International Center**
- **Civil Defence Commission**
- **Hydrometeorological Services**

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

100

Start date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

01/02/2008

Completion date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

30/06/2011

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Programme/Project co-financing

Programme/Project co-financing

<p><i>Item 1</i></p> <p>Source ---</p> <p>Source (other) Government of Guyana</p> <p>Currency USD</p> <p>Amount 15000</p>
<p><i>Item 2</i></p> <p>Source ---</p> <p>Source (other) Guyana Lands and Surveys Commission</p> <p>Currency USD</p> <p>Amount 95000</p>
<p><i>Item 3</i></p> <p>Source ---</p> <p>Source (other) Guyana Forestry Commission</p> <p>Currency USD</p> <p>Amount</p>

370000

*Item 4***Source**

United Nations Development Programme

Source (other)

Currency

USD

Amount

25000

United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

3

UNFCCC adaptation*No answer provided.***UNFCCC mitigation***No answer provided.***CBD***No answer provided.***Strategic objectives**

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 2
- 3
- 4

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html.

- 41082 Environmental research
- 74010 Disaster prevention and preparedness
- 41010 Environmental policy and administrative management
- 11120 Education facilities and training
- 11130 Teacher training
- 11220 Primary education

Programme/Project Components

Indicate the specific Programme/Project components, if known, as specified in the related documentation. (Note: this information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-18.)

For each of the Programme/Project components, indicate the amount allocated (numeric field; do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals), the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification and all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply.

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- 1.1.2 Soil Observations
 - 1.2.1 Traditional Knowledge and Best Practices
 - 1.2.2 Bio-physical Research and Science
 - 2.1.2 Publications and communication material
 - 2.1.4 Education
 - 2.2.1 Capacity-Building
-

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- **Achieved:Capacity development of key stakeholders in more than 20 organisations in the areas of assessment and methodology of land degradation, watershed biophysical assessment analysis and modelling and preparation of a watershed management plan of a Pilot Site, valuation of eco-system services and costs of degradation of natural resources, and components and implementation of an early warning system in Guyana**
- **Achieved:Four Baseline Studies completed:- National Diagnostic and Pilot Area Land Degradation Assessment, Watershed Analysis and Management Plan of a Pilot Site, Resource Valuation Study of a Pilot Site and Early Warning System Study**
- **Achieved:Dissemination of the four baseline studies to stakeholders. Public awareness activities commenced with a draft Sustainable Land Management (SLM) Handbook and a School based Essay, Art and Photography Competition for Primary and Secondary Schools in Guyana to increase public awareness of SLM among the young population**
- **Expected:Mainstreaming of SLM into National Land Use Policy and land use related Policies and Plans: this is awaiting the commencement of the Development of Land Use Planning Project. Public awareness activities would continue with newspaper articles.**
- **Expected:Medium Term Investment Plan and Funding Needs Assessment for SLM Priorities outputs of the SLM Project would provide financing mechanism for SLM. Adaptive management by way of a Pilot Site Land Reclamation Activity in a severely degraded area for demonstration to miners**

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **SLM Project Document Workplan and Annual Reports, and Reports from the Consultants**

Attachments:

None.

Programme/Project #2 — Sustainable Coastal Zone Protection through Mangrove Management: Guyana Mangrove Restoration Project

Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

No answer provided.

Other

- **National Agricultural Research Institute (NARI)**
-

Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

EDF 2007 – EuropeAid/127405/D/SER/GY GY/DCI-ENV/21549 Mangrove Management - 1301900

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

Sustainable Coastal Zone Protection through Mangrove Management: Guyana Mangrove Restoration Project

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Executing Agency

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- **Guyana Sea & River Defence Department**
 - **Coastal Community groups (community groups, fishermen, beekeepers, claybrick makers)**
 - **University of Guyana**
 - **Guyana School of Agriculture**
-

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate

“Global” in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **Guyana**

Target area size/administrative unit

Area Size

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

7279346 hectares

Administrative Unit

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

Guyana's Coastal Zone encompassing Regions 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 |

Target Group

(Decommissioned field)

- **Directly: Guyana Forestry Commission; Guyana Sea & River Defence Division; Fisheries Department; Environmental Protection Agency**
- **Indirectly: Guyana Lands & Surveys Commission; Guyana Sewage & Water Commission; Ministry of Housing & Water; Hydrometeorological Services; National Drainage & Irrigation Board; Regional Democratic Council**
- **Partners: Coastal Communities; Guyana Police Force & Community Policing Groups; Neighbourhood Democratic Councils; Ministry of Education; Office of Climate Change; (...)**

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

663032

Start date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

01/02/2010

Completion date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

28/02/2014

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Programme/Project co-financing

Programme/Project co-financing

Item 1

Source

Source (other)

EU Global Climate Change Alliance Programme

Currency

EUR

Amount

4165000

United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

1

UNFCCC adaptation

2

UNFCCC mitigation

2

CBD

1

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Sector(s) of intervention

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html.

No answer provided.

Programme/Project Components

Indicate the specific Programme/Project components, if known, as specified in the related documentation. (Note: this information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-18.)

For each of the Programme/Project components, indicate the amount allocated (numeric field; do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals), the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification and all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply.

Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- 1.1.2 Soil Observations
 - 1.2.1 Traditional Knowledge and Best Practices
 - 1.2.3 Science and Technology
 - 2.2.1 Capacity-Building
 - 2.1.4 Education
 - 2.2.2 Community Development
-

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- **Results achieved to date:**

Completion and handover of the updated National Mangrove Management Action Plan to the Hon. Minister of Agriculture.

- **Formulation of the Mangrove Action Committee and establishment of Mangrove Project Office and recruitment of project personnel.**
 - **Commencement of Public Awareness campaign on the importance of mangroves: web site developed, media coverage in daily newspaper, promulgation of project on radio and television, 5 informational billboards erected along the coast line, community flyer on importance of mangrove produced, presentations made to ~ 6 educational institutions, inclusive of schools on mangroves and the restoration project, awareness sessions were held for members of communities close to the pilot sites and youths were trained in mangrove ecology and protection of mangroves, community members were also trained on replanting of mangroves.**
 - **Procurement of mangrove seedlings and restoration of Hope and Mon Repos pilot sites: Twenty three thousand (23,000) seedlings (13,000 black, 8,000 red and 2,000 white) have been utilized in the replanting on the Hope and Mon Repos Pilot sites. Experimental planting was conducted at Lima and Belle Alliance, Essequibo Coast, Region 2 during June 2010. One hundred and fifty (150) and two hundred and ten (210) black mangrove seedlings were planted at the two sites respectively. Monitoring mission conducted in July 2010 showed 85% survival rate at Belle Alliance and 100% at Lima.**
 - **Community involvement in mangrove management and support to project activities.**
-

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Project Coordinator - National Mangrove Restoration Project - NARI.; www.mangrovesgy.org Guyana**

Mangrove Restoration Project; Project Progress updates (Feb - Agu 2010); Community Updates , Vol. 1 Issue 1 (Sept 2010)

- **Press Releases. Final Report of the Mangrove Technical Assistance provided by Claire Ackroyd April 2010.**
- **www.statisticsguyana.gov.gy (2002 Census)**

Attachments:

None.

Programme/Project #3 — Guyana Environmental Capacity Development; Mining Communities Project:

Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

No answer provided.

Other

- **Guyana Geology & Mines Commission**

Identification code

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

No answer provided.

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

**Guyana Environmental Capacity Development; Mining Communities Project:
Mine Site Reclamation and Re-vegetation Phase II**

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Implementing agency

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- **Guyana Gold and Diamond Miners Association (GGDMA)**
- **World Wildlife Fund (WWF)**
- **Guyana Geology & Mines Commission (GGMC)**

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate

“Global” in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **Guyana**

Target area size/administrative unit

Area Size

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

2005 hectares

Administrative Unit

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

Region 8

Target Group

(Decommissioned field)

- **Guyana Gold and Diamond Miners Association (GGDMA); World Wildlife Fund (WWF)**
- **Guyana Geology & Mines Commission (GGMC)**
- **Local population of Mahdia**
- **Small Scale miners, residents in nearby communities, youth and women**

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

10095

Start date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

01/01/2007

Completion date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

31/12/2010

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Programme/Project co-financing

Programme/Project co-financing

Item 1

Source

Source (other)

Guyana Geology & Mines Commission

Currency

USD
Amount
 25000

Item 2

Source

Source (other)

CIDA through CANMET

Currency

USD

Amount

165000

United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

3

UNFCCC adaptation

No answer provided.

UNFCCC mitigation

No answer provided.

CBD

No answer provided.

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2
- 4

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

Sector(s) of intervention

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes

provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html.

No answer provided.

Programme/Project Components

Indicate the specific Programme/Project components, if known, as specified in the related documentation. (Note: this information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-18.)

For each of the Programme/Project components, indicate the amount allocated (numeric field; do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals), the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification and all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply.

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **1.1 Monitoring**
 - **1.1.2 Soil Observations**
 - **1.2.1 Traditional Knowledge and Best Practices**
 - **2.1.4 Education**
 - **3.1.2 Forestry**
 - **3.2.3 Forest/Scrub Management**
-

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- **Accomplishments for 2008 were:**
 - 1. The establishment of a Nursery from which seedlings will be sourced for planting on sites selected for reclamation.**
 - 2. Plant Nursery roof was rectified and covered with saran cloth and plastic.**
 - 3. Plant Nursery floor was washed and painted**
 - 4. Acacia mangium seeds were sown on October 10, 2008 and 259 seedlings were on site.**
 - 5. Completion of Farm hand/ Caretaker's house and storage bond.**
 - 6. Completed Installation of pipes lines for water supply to the Nursery site.**
 - 7. Completed electricity supply to the Nursery and ongoing construction works is in progress with respect to the Caretaker's house.**

- **Mine-Site Reclamation and Re-vegetation (Mahdia)**

Secondary School Agriculture Teacher and pupils assisted in Mine Site Reclamation and Re-vegetation. Seeds and seedlings were nurtured and maintained by daily watering. Drains were constructed in the southern section of the nursery building in the seed bed and hardening areas so as to prevent water and flooding from the hardening area. 600-700 Acacia was recommended to be planted per hectare. Of the existing 200 Acacia Magnium seedlings at the Mahdia nursery, approximately 100 were outplanted by the GENCAPD re-vegetation project plot at Mr. Jardine's Mining Permit area at St Elizabeth, and the other 100 were planted at the GGMC reclamation site at Mr. Blair's Mining area in the Noitgedacht area (1.73ha). Another 600 Acacia Magnium seedlings were planted and another 600 seeds were set under the GENCAPD Project.

- **Aquaculture:**

3000 Pacu Fingerlings were initially distributed into two ponds in the month of February 2011. Three new ponds were created and lined with plastic and the water treated with limestone to raised the pH in an effort to create a favourable environment for the growth of Duck Weed to be used as feed materials for the fish. The ponds are being maintained by placing fifty sand bags at strategic locations to avoid overtopping and preventing contaminated surface water runoff from nearby hill into the creek after heavy periods of rain and the planting of seedlings which include two thousand one hundred Acacia Mangium Seedlings and approximately 60 fruit trees. The goal is to totally establish the reclamation site demonstrating the four end uses in 2011 – forest (Acacia plants), crop farm/orchard, aquaculture and recreational site. In this area approximately 10 Acres was planted and managed.

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Guyana Geology & Mines Commission**

Attachments:

None.

Programme/Project #5 — National Capacity Self Assessment Project

Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

- **United Nations Development Programme**

Other

- **Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)**

Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

00036181 PIMS 2864

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

National Capacity Self Assessment Project

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

No answer provided.

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be

taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- **Conservation International (Guyana) - NGO**
- **Iwokrama International Centre - NGO**
- **National Agricultural Research Institute (NARI) - Research Institutions**
- **Guyana Sugar Corporation (GUYSUCO) - Research**
- **University of Guyana - Biodiversity Centre - Research**

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **Guyana**

Target area size/administrative unit

Area Size

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

21497000 hectares

Administrative Unit

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

Size of Guyana - National scale

Target Group

(Decommissioned field)

- **Environmental Protection Agency, Ministry of Agriculture (Hydromet Division & National Climate Unit),
Guyana Lands & Surveys Commission**

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

20000

Start date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

01/06/2005

Completion date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

31/03/2007

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Completed

Programme/Project co-financing

Programme/Project co-financing

<p><i>Item 1</i></p> <p>Source ---</p> <p>Source (other) Environmental Protection Agency</p> <p>Currency USD</p> <p>Amount ---</p>
<p><i>Item 2</i></p> <p>Source United Nations Development Programme</p> <p>Source (other) ---</p> <p>Currency USD</p> <p>Amount ---</p>

United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

1

UNFCCC adaptation

1

UNFCCC mitigation

1

CBD

2

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2
- 4
- 5

Sector(s) of intervention

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html.

No answer provided.

Programme/Project Components

Indicate the specific Programme/Project components, if known, as specified in the related documentation. (Note: this information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-18.)

For each of the Programme/Project components, indicate the amount allocated (numeric field; do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals), the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification and all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply.

Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **1 Monitoring and Research**
 - **2 Capacity Development and Planning**
 - **3 Resource Management**
 - **4 Mitigation and Recovery**
-

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- **Stock-taking and Thematic Assessment relating to existing capacities for the implementation of the three UN Conventions.**
 - **Cross-cutting Capacity Issues Assessment which examined possibilities for synergies across the three UN Conventions.**
 - **National Capacity Self Assessment report and Strategy and Action Plan.**
-

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Natural Resources Management Division - Environmental Protection Agency**
- **National Capacity Self Assessment Report and Action Plan (2007)**

Attachments:

None.

Programme/Project #6 — Developing alternatives for illegal chain-saw lumbering through multi-stakeholder dialogue in Guyana

Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

No answer provided.

Other

- **Forestry Training Centre Incorporated (FTCI)**
-

Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

ENV/2007/133-003

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

Developing alternatives for illegal chain-saw lumbering through multi-stakeholder dialogue in Guyana

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Executing Agency

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- **IWOKRAMA International Centre (IWOKRAMA)**
-

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **Guyana**
-

Target area size/administrative unit

Area Size

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

21497000 hectares

Administrative Unit

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

area of Guyana

Target Group

(Decommissioned field)

- Guyana Forestry Commission , Environmental Protection Agency, University of Guyana, Guyana Geology & Mines Commission.
 - Chainsaw operators, community forestry associations, traditional saw millers
-

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

13342

Start date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

31/03/2007

Completion date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

31/03/2012

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Programme/Project co-financing

Programme/Project co-financing

Item 1

Source

European Commission

Source (other)

Currency

EUR

Amount

2200000

Item 2

Source

Source (other)

Tropenbos International & Forestry Training Centre Incorporated, IWOKRAMA International Centre.

Currency

EUR

Amount

600000

United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

1

UNFCCC adaptation

No answer provided.

UNFCCC mitigation

1

CBD

1

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2
- 4

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Sector(s) of intervention

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html.

No answer provided.

Programme/Project Components

Indicate the specific Programme/Project components, if known, as specified in the related documentation. (Note: this information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-18.)

For each of the Programme/Project components, indicate the amount allocated (numeric field; do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals), the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification and all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply.

Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **1.2.1 Traditional Knowledge and Best Practices**
- **2.1.1 Public Awareness Campaigns**
- **2.1.2 Publications and communication material**
- **1.2.3 Science and Technology**
- **3.1.2 Forestry**
- **1.1.4 Financial Tracking**

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- **Achieved: Causes and consequences of chainsaw lumbering and its links with illegality understood.**
- **Achieved: International best practice determined to address chainsaw lumbering.**
- **Ongoing: Multi-stakeholder learning forums established to discuss chainsaw lumbering issues.**
- **Ongoing: National consensus achieved in Guyana about issues regarding chainsaw lumbering using an institutionalized mechanism for permanent dialogue between stakeholders.**
- **Ongoing: Communities dependent on chainsaw lumber production, producing timber in a regulated and sustainable way.**

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Project Coordinator Forestry Training Centre Incorporated: Chainsaw Milling Project, Guyana Project Coordinator, Chainsaw Milling Project, Guyana**
- **The Chainsaw Milling Project, Project Update Issue No. 1 July 2008 & Issue No. 2 December 2010**
- **Project website - www.chainsawmilling.org.; Guyana Chronicle online August 21 2010**

Attachments:

None.

Additional Information

The section on additional information is meant to provide an instrument of flexibility in the reporting exercise as well as to enrich the knowledge base of the CRIC on concrete issues faced by affected country Parties and consequently to make more targeted and specific recommendations to the COP. It allows affected country Parties to comment or report upon issues that are not covered elsewhere but that are nevertheless of importance at the national level or within the framework of the implementation of The Strategy and the Convention.

The additional information section allows feedback to be received on the reporting process and on the implementation of NAPs as well as lessons learnt, problems, constraints and bottlenecks faced in terms of human and financial resources. It is also meant to accommodate ad hoc COP requests for reporting on specific topics or new reporting requirements deriving from COP deliberations that may supersede existing ones and imply changes in implementation.

The proposed template for reporting is adjusted to the mandate of affected country Parties within the framework of the Convention, as requested by decision 13/COP.9, paragraph 17.

Reporting process-related issues

Financial resources

Could your country count on sufficient financial resources to meet UNCCD reporting obligations?

Yes

Which options provided by the GEF Financing for Enabling Activities under the UNCCD did your country choose to apply for?

No answer provided.

Amount received (USD)

No answer required.

Did your country experience difficulties in applying for and accessing the GEF funding?

No answer provided.

If yes, describe the difficulties experienced.

No answer required.

Provide an estimate of the amount invested from your country's national budget into the UNCCD reporting process.

0 ---

Human resources and knowledge

How many people were involved in your country in the UNCCD reporting process?

10 people

Estimate the total number of person/day dedicated by these persons to the UNCCD reporting process:

1 person/day

Could your country count on sufficient technical and scientific knowledge to meet UNCCD reporting obligations?

Yes

If no, describe the main reasons and the difficulties encountered.

No answer required.

Coordination, participation and consultation

Was coordination with the relevant implementing agencies satisfactory in order to apply for necessary funds?

(Decommissioned field)

Yes

Was coordination at the national level with the relevant line ministries satisfactory in order to comprehensively and coherently report?

Yes

Was a participatory or consultative approach applied to involve all relevant stakeholders in the reporting process?

Yes

Validation meeting, subregional and regional processes

Was a validation meeting held as a part of the reporting process?

Yes

Did your country actively cooperate with the entities entrusted with preparing the subregional and regional reports (SRAP / RAP reports) ?

Yes

PRAIS portal

Did you experience difficulties with access and utilization of the PRAIS portal?

Yes

If you experienced difficulties, identify the reasons.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Slow internet access	---
Complexity of the system	---
Difficulties in getting access credentials	5
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Accommodation of specific requests within COP decisions

Report on specific COP requests – iterative process on indicators

Decision 13/COP.9, paragraphs 2, 3 and 4, envisages an iterative process to refine the set of performance and impact indicators. As a tool to implement this iterative process, affected country Parties can provide here their suggestions and recommendations for improvement.

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Performance indicators

Tick the cells only if you have experienced difficulties in reporting on one, or more, performance indicator(s). Indicate against which of the e-SMART criteria the indicator(s) need(s) to be improved.

	economic	Specific	Measurable	Achievable	Relevant	Time-bound
CONS-O-1						
CONS-O-3						
CONS-O-4						
CONS-O-5						
CONS-O-7						
CONS-O-8						
CONS-O-9						
CONS-O-10						
CONS-O-11						
CONS-O-13						
CONS-O-14						
CONS-O-16						
CONS-O-17						
CONS-O-18						

Impact indicators

Tick the cells only if you have experienced difficulties in reporting on one, or more, impact indicator(s). Indicate against which of the e-SMART criteria the indicator(s) need(s) to be improved.

	economic	Specific	Measurable	Achievable	Relevant	Time-bound
SO4-3						
SO4-4						
SO4-6						
SO4-7						

Reporting on the implementation of NAP

Which is the percentage of activities included in the NAP that are currently implemented?

61-90%

If you experienced difficulties in NAP implementation (i.e. the percentage is below 30%), provide description of concrete measures being taken or suggestions for measures to be taken to foster NAP implementation:

No answer required.

Human resources

Lessons learnt (report on the 2 most important only)

1. Technical staff of some organisations were very helpful in the provision of information for the completion of the Report.
2. Many sector agencies are working in areas that indirectly link to the implementation of the Convention without releasing their role and contribution to the process.

Problems, constraints and bottlenecks currently faced by your country (report on the 2 most important only)

1. Unavailability of some staff with institutional knowledge to assist in the provision of information for the completion of some sections of this report.
2. High staff turn-over at key sector agencies affect institutional memory and thus, information flow related to implementation of the Convention. |

Financial resources

Lessons learnt (report on the 2 most important only)

1. Financial resources were sufficient to employ a consultant and to provide office support.
2. *No answer provided.*

Problems, constraints and bottlenecks currently faced by your country (report on the 2 most important only)

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

1. *No answer provided.*
2. *No answer provided.*

Any other country-specific issues

Any other country-specific issues

Has your country any specific issue to bring to the attention of the Conference of the Parties?

No answer provided.

If yes, please specify under which of the following broad categories it can be classified.

Item 1
 Category

 Other (specify)

Narrative description

Submission form

Submission form	
Name of the reporting officer *	Andrea Mahammad
Date of submission *	1 Jan 2012
Signature	
Name of the authorizing officer	
Date of authorization	---
Signature	



© UNCCD