

United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification
Performance Review and Assessment of Implementation System

Fourth UNCCD reporting cycle, 2010–2011 leg
Report for Lebanon

Table of contents

- Impact indicators
 - Strategic Objectives 1, 2 and 3
 - General information on impact indicators on strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3
 - Core indicator S-(1/2/3): Poverty Rate
 - Reporting on the indicator
 - Interpretation of indicator status/trend and policy implications
 - Feedback
 - Core indicator S-5: Land Cover Status
 - Reporting on land cover
 - Reporting on the indicator
 - Land Cover Type #1 — Forests
 - Land Cover Type #2 — Other wooded lands
 - Land Cover Type #3 — Other Land with Trees
 - Land Cover Type #5 — artificial area 2002
 - Land Cover Type #7 — artificial area 2002
 - Land Cover Type #8 — artificial area 2005
 - Land Cover Type #9 — Agricultural area 2002 Field crops
 - Land Cover Type #10 — Agricultural area -Field crops 2005
 - Land Cover Type #11 — Permanent crops 2002
 - Land Cover Type #12 — Permanent crops 2005
 - Land Cover Type #13 — Agricultural area 2002 Field crops
 - Land Cover Type #14 — Grass land
 - Land Cover Type #15 — Land cover / Use 2005
 - Interpretation of indicator status/trend and policy implications
 - Feedback
 - Reporting on land productivity
 - Feedback
 - Additional indicators on strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3
 - Additional Indicator #1
 - Strategic Objective 4
 - Indicator SO-4-3
 - Indicator SO-4-6
 - Indicator SO-4-7
- Performance Indicators
 - Operational Objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-1 for Outcome 1.1
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-3 for Outcome 1.3
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-4 for Outcome 1.3
 - Operational Objective 2: Policy framework
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-5 for Outcomes 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-7 for Outcome 2.5
 - Operational Objective 3: Science, technology and knowledge
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-8 for Outcomes 3.1 and 3.2
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-9 for Outcome 3.1 and 3.2
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-10 for Outcome 3.3 and 3.4
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-11 for Outcome 3.5
 - Operational Objective 4: Capacity building
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-13 for Outcomes 4.1 and 4.2
 - Operational Objective 5: Financing and technology transfer
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-14 for Outcome 5.1

- Performance indicator CONS-O-16 for Outcome 5.2
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-17 for Outcome 5.3
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-18 for Outcome 5.5
- Standard Financial Annex
 - Financial Commitment #1 — Support to ACSAD to implement the UNCCD ...
 - Financial Commitment #2 — Support to the Arab Center for the Study of Arid Zones and Dry Lands (ACSAD) to implement the UNCCD ...
 - Financial Commitment #3 — Flood Risks Management and Water Harvesting for Livelihood in Baalbeck - Hermel Phase II
 - Financial Commitment #4 — LEBANON: HILLY AREAS SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE DEVELOPMENT PROJECT
 - Financial Commitment #5 — Agriculture and Rural Development Program (ARDP)
 - Financial Commitment #6 — ADELNORD PROJECT
 - Financial Commitment #7 — Fair Trade Lebanon Mission
 - Financial Commitment #8 — VILLAGES: Transfer of know-how in the Mediterranean
 - Financial Commitment #9 — Coping with water scarcity – the role of agriculture – Phase III – strengthening national capacity
 - Financial Commitment #10 — Adapting Forest Policies to Climate Change in the MENA countries
 - Financial Commitment #11 — Sustainable management and conservation of live oak in Lebanon
 - Financial Commitment #12 — Socio-economic development of the rural population of Southern Lebanon
 - Financial Commitment #13 — Promotion of Innovative Trade Initiatives to Increase Market Opportunities for Dryland Products
- Programme and Project Sheets
 - Programme/Project #1 — Support to ACSAD to implement the UNCCD ...
 - Programme/Project #2 — Support to the Arab Center for the Study of Arid Zones and Dry Lands (ACSAD) to implement the UNCCD ...
 - Programme/Project #3 — Flood Risks Management and Water Harvesting for Livelihood in Baalbeck - Hermel Phase II
 - Programme/Project #4 — LEBANON: HILLY AREAS SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE DEVELOPMENT PROJECT
 - Programme/Project #5 — Agriculture and Rural Development Program (ARDP)
 - Programme/Project #6 — ADELNORD PROJECT
 - Programme/Project #7 — Fair Trade Lebanon Mission
 - Programme/Project #8 — VILLAGES: Transfer of know-how in the Mediterranean
 - Programme/Project #9 — Coping with water scarcity – the role of agriculture – Phase III – strengthening national capacity
 - Programme/Project #10 — Adapting Forest Policies to Climate Change in the MENA countries
 - Programme/Project #11 — Sustainable management and conservation of live oak in Lebanon
 - Programme/Project #12 — Socio-economic development of the rural population of Southern Lebanon
 - Programme/Project #13 — Promotion of Innovative Trade Initiatives to Increase Market Opportunities for Dryland Products
- Additional Information
 - Reporting process-related issues
 - Accommodation of specific requests within COP decisions
 - Reporting on the implementation of NAP
 - Human resources
 - Financial resources
 - Any other country-specific issues
- Submission form

General information section

GENERAL INFORMATION ON THE REPORTING ENTITY	
Reporting country	Lebanon
Name and surname of the person submitting the report	Hussein Nasrallah
Affiliation and contact details	studies@agriculture.gov.lb

Affected Country Parties

Impact indicators

Strategic Objectives 1, 2 and 3

Following [decision 17/COP.9](#), affected country Parties are requested to report on two mandatory impact indicators (i.e.: proportion of population living below the poverty line and land cover status), through the associated metrics identified during the iterative process for the refinement of the set of impact indicators (i.e.: poverty rate, land cover and land productivity).

Affected country Parties might also decide to report on the remaining nine impact indicators provisionally accepted by the COP (see [decision 17/COP.9](#)) but considered optional for inclusion in reports.

Alternative indicators considered more suitable than the provisionally accepted indicators may also be reported on. The condition for reporting on alternative indicators is that these fit into the underlying logic of measuring progress against strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3 of the Strategy.

Reporting is guided by means of templates, one for each of the two mandatory indicators, plus one generic reporting template for the remaining nine impact indicators and alternative indicators. Detailed reporting guidelines are available for the two mandatory impact indicators.

General information on impact indicators on strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3

Definition of affected areas

Does your National Action Programme (NAP) identify areas of the country which are affected by Desertification, Land Degradation and Drought (DLDD)?

Yes

If no, does any other national planning document identify areas of the country which are affected by DLDD?

No answer required.

Please specify the national document that identifies areas affected by DLDD in your country.

No answer required.

Please specify which areas of the country are considered as affected by DLDD (e.g. name of relevant provinces, states and districts):

The North: Akkar, Koura & Zgharta

Bekaa: Baalbeck-Hermel, partly West Bekaa & Rachaya

South: Saida, Sour, Nabatieh, Bint Jbeil & Marjaayoun

Please specify the estimated total number of square kilometres of the national territory occupied by areas affected by DLDD:

1170.624 km²

Please specify the percentage of the national land area occupied by areas affected by DLDD:

11.2 %

Please specify the definition used to identify areas affected by DLDD in your country:

"Desertification" means land degradation in arid, semi-arid and dry sub-humid areas resulting from various factors, including climatic variations and human activities.

Please specify what methods were used to identify areas affected by DLDD in your country:

The model used to define Desertification Prone Areas in Lebanon was developed following an approach based on results of Mediterranean European research projects like MEDALUS and DeMon. The approach has been adapted to suit local conditions and needs.

If available, please provide the geographic datasets which show the areas affected by DLDD.

Ideally, the data should be provided as a shapefile or raster (Geotiff) format with geographic coordinates on the

WGS84 datum (The file should have a maximum size of 25MB). If the raw data are not available please provide a map showing the extent of areas affected by DLDD. Ideally, the map should have a scale of less than 1:250,000 and be provided in a Tiff format (The file should have a maximum size of 25MB). To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map (resolution of map, year of the map, land cover classes and corresponding colours, borders etc)

Attachments:

- [desertification by mos high risk.jpg](#), 3.25 MB

Definition of rural areas

Does any national planning document define rural areas in your country?

No

Please specify the national document that defines rural areas in your country.

No answer required.

Please state the national definition of rural areas in your country:

No answer required.

Human population estimates

Please state estimates of the human population living in the national area of your country, in rural areas of your country and areas affected by DLDD in your country.

Include details on the methodology used to establish these estimates.

Year	Number of people living in the national area	Number of people living in rural areas	Number of people living in affected areas	Method used	Was every region (or equivalent sub-national unit) of your country covered in the assessment?	Please state the approximate proportion of the national human population surveyed during the assessment
2000	3742329	523926	---	Expert opinion	No	---
2001	3802903	527843	---	Expert opinion	No	---
2002	3868504	532306	---	Expert opinion	No	---
2003	3935421	536791	---	Expert opinion	No	---
2004	3998042	540535	---	Expert opinion	No	---
2005	4052420	543024	---	Expert opinion	No	---
2006	4097457	544142	---	Expert opinion	No	---
2007	4134872	544149	---	Expert opinion	No	---
2008	4166915	543366	---	Expert opinion	No	---

Year	Number of people living in the national area	Number of people living in rural areas	Number of people living in affected areas	Method used	Was every region (or equivalent sub-national unit) of your country covered in the assessment?	Please state the approximate proportion of the national human population surveyed during the assessment
2009	4196990	542251	---	Expert opinion	No	---
2010	4227597	541133	---	Expert opinion	No	---
2011	4259405	---	---	Expert opinion	No	---

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
World Bank	2012	Lebanon profile	World Bank	data.worldbank.org

Core indicator S-(1/2/3): Poverty Rate

UNCCD Strategic Objective(s) for which the indicator applies

Strategic Objective 1: To improve the living conditions of affected populations

UNCCD Core indicator S-(1/2/3)

Improvement in the livelihoods of people potentially impacted by the process of desertification/land degradation and drought

Name of the indicator

Proportion of population living below the poverty line

Metric

Poverty Rate

Purpose of the indicator

To measure and monitor changes in poverty, as a proxy for human well-being. This can be used to identify deprived livelihoods, assess the impacts of desertification and assess progress made by the Parties in combating desertification.

Understanding of the indicator

The **poverty line** describes an absolute threshold below which people are considered to be poor. The **poverty rate** describes the percentage of the human population living below the poverty line. Ideally, Parties report the poverty rate for affected areas using the rural poverty line (poverty line specific to rural areas). In addition, the rural poverty line should be used to report the poverty rate in rural areas. In the absence of the rural poverty line, the national poverty line should be used to report the poverty rate in affected and rural areas or, if these are not available, in the country as a whole. Finally, if no poverty lines are available, the generic poverty line of US\$ 2.00/capita/day should be used to assess poverty rates.

Data needed

Size of human population, a poverty line and the number of people falling below the poverty line.

Relevant terms in the glossary

'absolute poverty', 'absolute poverty line', 'consumption', 'consumption survey', 'currency', 'data', 'data analysis', 'data source', 'desertification/land degradation and drought (DLDD)', 'ecosystem services', 'e-SMART', 'expert knowledge', 'income', 'income survey', 'indicator', 'indicator metadata', 'metric', 'national poverty line', 'national poverty rate', 'nationwide census', 'non stratified random survey' 'population census', 'poverty line', 'relative poverty' 'relative poverty lines', 'rural poverty line', 'rural poverty rate', 'stratified random sampling' 'stratified random survey'.

Reporting on the indicator

Rural poverty line

Does your country have a poverty line that is specific to rural areas (rural poverty line)?

No

If yes, please state the rural poverty line in your country for the most recent years and the method used to define the rural poverty line.

Please choose the method category which best represents that used in the assessment

No answer required.

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

No answer required.

If yes, please state the number of people and the percentage of the population living below the rural poverty line in affected areas.

Furthermore, please state the method used to assess the poverty rate in affected areas and the proportion of the population included in the assessment.

No answer required.

If yes, please state the number of people and the percentage of the population living below the rural poverty line in rural areas.

Furthermore, please state the method used to assess the poverty rate in rural areas and the proportion of the population included in the assessment.

No answer required.

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

No answer required.

National poverty line

If data related to the rural poverty line was provided, please **do not** respond to this section.

If no rural poverty line is available, does your country have a national poverty line?

Yes

If yes, please state the national poverty line in your country for the most recent years and the method used to define the national poverty line.

Please choose the method category which best represents that used in the assessment.

Year	National poverty line (value)	Currency	Method used
2000	---	---	---
2001	---	---	---
2002	---	---	---
2003	---	---	---
2004	4	USD	Consumption survey
2005	---	---	---
2006	---	---	---
2007	---	---	---
2008	---	---	---
2009	---	---	---

Year	National poverty line (value)	Currency	Method used
2010	---	---	---
2011	---	---	---

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
---	2004	National Survey of Household Living Conditions 2004	---	---

Please state the number of people and the percentage of the population living below the national poverty line in affected areas.

Year	Affected areas			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the human population living in affected areas surveyed during the poverty assessment
2000	---	---	---	---
2001	---	---	---	---
2002	---	---	---	---
2003	---	---	---	---
2004	---	---	Stratified random survey	---
2005	---	---	---	---
2006	---	---	---	---
2007	---	---	---	---
2008	---	---	---	---
2009	---	---	---	---
2010	---	---	---	---
2011	---	---	---	---

Please state the number of people and the percentage of the population living below the national poverty line in rural areas.

Year	Rural areas			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the human population living in rural areas surveyed during the poverty assessment
2000	---	---	---	---
2001	---	---	---	---
2002	---	---	---	---
2003	---	---	---	---

Year	Rural areas			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the human population living in rural areas surveyed during the poverty assessment
2004	165000	30	---	---
2005	---	---	---	---
2006	---	---	---	---
2007	---	---	---	---
2008	---	---	---	---
2009	---	---	---	---
2010	---	---	---	---
2011	---	---	---	---

If neither of these is available, please state the percentage of the population living below the national poverty line in the country as a whole.

Year	Country			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the national human population surveyed during the poverty assessment
2000	---	---	---	---
2001	---	---	---	---
2002	---	---	---	---
2003	---	---	---	---
2004	1168500	28	Expert opinion	---
2005	---	---	---	---
2006	---	---	---	---
2007	---	---	---	---
2008	---	---	---	---
2009	---	---	---	---
2010	---	---	---	---
2011	---	---	---	---

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
David R. Lee and Bernardete Neves	2009	Background paper for IFAD 2009 rural poverty report	IFAD	www.ifad.org

International poverty line

If data related to the rural poverty line or to the national poverty line was provided, please **do not** respond to this section.

If neither the rural poverty line nor the national poverty line are available, please state the number of people and the percentage of the population living below the international poverty line (US\$ 2.00/capita/day) in affected areas.

Year	Affected areas			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the human population living in affected areas surveyed during the poverty assessment
2000	---	---	---	---
2001	---	---	---	---
2002	---	---	---	---
2003	---	---	---	---
2004	---	---	---	---
2005	---	---	---	---
2006	---	---	---	---
2007	---	---	---	---
2008	---	---	---	---
2009	---	---	---	---
2010	---	---	---	---
2011	---	---	---	---

If there is no national poverty line, please state the number of people and the percentage of the population living below the international poverty line (US\$ 2.00/capita/day) in rural areas.

Year	Rural areas			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the human population living in rural areas surveyed during the poverty assessment
2000	---	---	---	---
2001	---	---	---	---
2002	---	---	---	---
2003	---	---	---	---
2004	---	---	---	---
2005	---	---	---	---
2006	---	---	---	---
2007	---	---	---	---

Year	Rural areas			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the human population living in rural areas surveyed during the poverty assessment
2008	---	---	---	---
2009	---	---	---	---
2010	---	---	---	---
2011	---	---	---	---

If neither of these is available, please state the percentage of the population living below the international poverty line (US\$ 2.00/capita/day) in the country as a whole.

Year	Country			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the national human population surveyed during the poverty assessment
2000	---	---	---	---
2001	---	---	---	---
2002	---	---	---	---
2003	---	---	---	---
2004	---	---	---	---
2005	---	---	---	---
2006	---	---	---	---
2007	---	---	---	---
2008	---	---	---	---
2009	---	---	---	---
2010	---	---	---	---
2011	---	---	---	---

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
---	---	---	---	---

Contact details

General information on the national contact person for this indicator

Name and surname

Hussein Nasrallah - Focal Point

Institution

Ministry of Agriculture

Address

Ministry of Agriculture. Beirut. Lebanon

Email

studies@agriculture.gov.lb

Telephone

+96170956700

Interpretation of indicator status/trend and policy implications

Poverty in affected areas

Did you provide data on poverty in affected areas?

No

If yes, please state whether you provided data for more than one year.

No answer required.

If no, do you see a pattern in the data?

No answer required.

Please explain the pattern emerging from the data and how it relates to DLDD.

No answer required.

If yes, does the poverty rate in affected areas change over time?

No answer required.

If no, please explain possible reasons why the poverty rate in affected areas does not change over time.

No answer required.

If yes, does the poverty rate in affected areas increase or decrease?

No answer required.

Please explain the pattern emerging from the data.

No answer required.

Is there a functional relationship between DLDD and the poverty rate in affected areas?

No answer required.

Please describe how DLDD affects the poverty rate in affected areas.

No answer required.

If DLDD does not affect the poverty rate in affected areas, please describe the other factor(s) responsible for changes in the poverty rate in affected areas.

No answer required.

Please upload a graph showing the percentage of human population below the poverty line in affected areas, covering all years for which data are provided in section "Poverty Rate" / sub-section "Reporting on the indicator".

The graph should be provided as jpg or pdf with a maximum file size of 2MB

No answer required.

To facilitate understanding please explain clearly what can be seen in the diagram (keys, classes, etc).

No answer required.

Poverty in rural areas

Did you provide data on poverty in rural areas?

Yes

If yes, please state whether you provided data for more than one year.

No

If no, do you see a pattern in the data?

No

Please explain the pattern emerging from the data and how it relates to DLDD.

No answer required.

If yes, does the poverty rate in rural areas change over time?

No answer required.

If no, please explain possible reasons why the poverty rate in rural areas does not change over time.

No answer required.

If yes, does the poverty rate in rural areas increase or decrease?

No answer required.

Please explain the pattern emerging from the data.

No answer required.

Is there a functional relationship between DLDD and the poverty rate in rural areas?

No answer required.

Please describe how DLDD affects the poverty rate in rural areas.

No answer required.

If DLDD does not affect the poverty rate in rural areas, please describe the other factor(s) responsible for changes in the poverty rate in rural areas.

No answer required.

Please upload a graph showing the percentage of human population below the poverty line in rural areas, covering all years for which data are provided in section "Poverty Rate" / sub-section "Reporting on the indicator".

The graph should be provided as jpg or pdf with a maximum file size of 2MB

No answer required.

To facilitate understanding please explain clearly what can be seen in the diagram (keys, classes, etc).

No answer required.

Please state the actions and policies that you currently have in place or any that will be implemented in the future to address the implications of the indicator trend or lack thereof for addressing DLDD in your country.

No answer required.

Poverty at the national level

If data was provided for either affected or rural areas, please **do not** respond to this section.

Did you provide data on poverty at the national level?

Yes

If yes, please state whether you provided data for more than one year.

No

If no, do you see a pattern in the data?

No

Please explain the pattern emerging from the data and how it relates to DLDD.

No answer required.

If yes, does the poverty rate at national level change over time?

No answer required.

If no, please explain possible reasons why the poverty rate at national level does not change over time.

No answer required.

If yes, does the poverty rate at national level increase or decrease?

No answer required.

Please explain the pattern emerging from the data.

No answer required.

Is there a functional relationship between DLDD and the poverty rate at the national level?

No answer required.

Please describe how DLDD affects the poverty rate at the national level.

No answer required.

If DLDD does not affect the poverty rate at the national level, please describe the other factor(s) responsible for changes in the poverty rate at the national level.

No answer required.

Please upload a graph showing the percentage of the national population below the poverty line, covering all years for which data are provided in section “Reporting on the indicator”.

The graph should be provided as jpg or pdf with a maximum file size of 2MB

No answer required.

To facilitate understanding please explain clearly what can be seen in the diagram (keys, classes, etc).

No answer required.

Please state the actions and policies that you currently have in place or any that will be implemented in the future to address the implications of the indicator trend or lack thereof for addressing DLDD in your country.

No answer required.

Feedback

Report on specific COP requests – iterative process on indicators

Decision 13/COP.9 (paragraphs 2, 3 and 4) and decision 17/COP.9 envisage an iterative process to refine the set of performance and impact indicators. As a tool to implement this iterative process, affected country Parties can provide here their suggestions and recommendations for improvement. Using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 = (no, not at all) and 5 = (yes, very much), please rate the indicator. Please write any comments related to your assessment under the column “remarks”.

Assessment Criteria (e-SMART)	Score	Remarks
Relevant – Does the indicator provide information about changes in primary processes unambiguously related to DLDD and UNCCD implementation?	4	Providing information on this indicator requires strong collaboration with institutions in charge of statistics and human development in order to better catch figures related to poverty in rural and in affected areas.
Relevant – Is the indicator relevant for DLDD national planning purposes, including monitoring of the National Action Programme (NAP)?	4	The indicator could be used for improving the information related to rural poverty. It could also be used to better orient projects and interventions in affected areas.

Assessment Criteria (e-SMART)	Score	Remarks
Relevant – Can policymakers easily understand the indicator?	4	The indicator is easy to understand.
Specific – Is the indicator based on well-understood and generally accepted conceptual models of the system to which it is applied so that changes in its value will have clear meaning regarding the process of concern?	4	---
Specific – Is the requested spatial scale (national vs. affected areas) of the indicator appropriate for its monitoring purposes?	4	Yes, however it requires efforts by the countries in order to develop the tools to obtain relevant information.
Measurable – Are the definitions of the indicator and its constitutive elements clear and not ambiguous?	4	---
Measurable – Are the proposed methodologies for the measurement of this indicator sufficiently clear to ensure reliable data?	4	The proposed methodologies are clear, although obtaining relevant data requires further efforts by the countries
Time-bound – Is the indicator sensitive enough to detect important changes but not so sensitive that signals are masked by natural variability?	2	Changes in poverty rates and improvement in livelihood systems are not easily detectable on the short and medium ranges
Time-bound – Can the indicator detect changes at the required temporal and spatial scales and are the up-scaling / cross-scaling rules clear?	3	---
Achievable – Are reliable data and monitoring systems available to assess trends and is data collection a relatively straightforward process?	2	Monitoring systems have to be put in place at national levels
Achievable – Is the frequency of data collection in line with the monitoring and reporting requirements of the UNCCD?	3	Data on poverty is not collected on a frequent and regular basis.
Economic – Is the indicator cost-effective? Is the cost of data collection affordable and worthwhile? (consider any required cost for personnel, capital but also, recurring costs)	3	---

Core indicator S-5: Land Cover Status

UNCCD Strategic Objective(s) for which the indicator applies
Strategic Objective 2: To improve the condition of ecosystems

UNCCD Core indicator S-5

Maintenance of or increases in ecosystem function, including net primary productivity

Name of the indicator
Land cover status

Metric
Land cover / land productivity

Purpose of the indicator
The purpose of this indicator is to measure and monitor changes in land cover and land productivity. This can be used

to indicate land degradation in terms of long-term loss of ecosystem primary productivity, and assess the progress made in maintaining or improving the condition of ecosystems.

Understanding of the indicator

Land cover reflects the (bio) physical dimension of the earth's surface. This can indicate the land's ability to sustain human activity and land use. Ideally, parties should provide data on the area of each land cover type, the percentage of the total national area covered by each land cover type and any patterns and trends over time. Where available, data should also be provided on the net primary productivity of each land cover type, to give some indication of the total productive capacity of the land.

Data needed

Total national land area, classification of land cover types, the total area of each land cover type (square km) and the total area of each land cover type as a percentage of the total national land area.

Relevant terms in the glossary

'aerial photo', 'data', 'data analysis', 'data source', 'desertification/land degradation and drought (DLDD)', 'Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI)', 'e-SMART', 'expert knowledge', 'field survey', 'Fraction of photosynthetically active radiation absorbed by vegetation (FAPAR)', 'Global Inventory Modeling and Mapping Studies (GIMMS)', 'ground-truthing', 'indicator', 'land cover', 'Land Cover Classification System (LCCS)', 'land productivity', 'metric', 'minimum mapping unit (MMU)', 'Net primary productivity (NPP)', 'Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI)', 'Rainfall Use Efficiency (RUE)', 'remote sensing', 'satellite image', 'spatial resolution', 'temporal resolution'

Reporting on land cover

Reporting on the indicator

Land Cover Type #1 — Forests

Land cover type

Name

Forests

Methodology and data availability

Please provide the definition used for this land cover type for each year between 2000 and 2011 for which land cover data are available.

If available, LCCS should be used as land cover classification system.

Year	Definition of land cover type
2000	---
2001	---
2002	---
2003	---
2004	---
2005	Land spanning more than 0.5 hectares with trees higher than 5 meters and a canopy cover of more than 10 percent, or trees able to reach these thresholds in situ. It does not include land that is predominantly under agricultural or urban land use.
2006	---
2007	---
2008	---
2009	---

Year	Definition of land cover type
2010	Land spanning more than 0.5 hectares with trees higher than 5 meters and a canopy cover of more than 10 percent, or trees able to reach these thresholds in situ. It does not include land that is predominantly under agricultural or urban land use.
2011	---

Please state the methodology used to produce land cover data in your country for the most recent years and the availability of associated images.

Where remote sensing was used, state the respective year and month in which remote sensing was carried out to derive images.

Item 1

Year:

2004

Method used:

Field survey

If field survey was used, please specify the percentage of country surface sampled:

30%

If field survey was used, were the surveys extrapolated to the entire country?

Yes

If remote sensing was used, identify the type and answer the following questions:

Satellite image

Classification:

Hybrid

Please specify the spatial resolution of the image:

---m

Please specify the temporal resolution of the image:

1days

Please specify the minimum mapping unit (MMU) of the image:

---m

Please specify the year that the photo was captured:

1999

Please specify the month that the image was captured:

Percentage of country surface assessed via this classification:

Ground truthing conducted?

Yes

If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:

---%

High resolution verification?

If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:

---%

Expert opinion?

Yes

Please specify how accurate the map was judged by the experts:

The derived forest map was based on the Forest Resources Assessment undertaken by the MoA in 2004, and on satellite images. Information were ground proofed and verified. The map was judged to be very accurate by the experts as it was based on a set of scientific and field information.

Please specify the number of experts involved:

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
---	2005	Forest Resources Assessment	FAO	www.fao.org

Indicator data

For the years available, please provide the total area covered (in square kilometres) and the proportion of the total national area covered by this land cover type.

Year	square km	% of total
2000	---	---%
2001	---	---%
2002	---	---%
2003	---	---%
2004	---	---%
2005	1394	13%
2006	---	---%
2007	---	---%
2008	---	---%
2009	---	---%
2010	1400	13%
2011	---	---%

Please provide the geographic datasets which underpin the land cover information provided.

Ideally, the data should be provided as a shapefile or raster (Geotiff) format with geographic coordinates on the WGS84 datum. If the raw data are not available please provide a map showing the extent of each land cover type listed. Ideally, the map should have a scale of less than 1:250,000 and be provided in a Tiff format.

Attachments:

- [forest_map.jpg](#), 990.37 kB

To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map (resolution of map, year of the map, land cover classes and corresponding colours, borders, etc).

**Forest map of Lebanon, showing the different forest and other wooded-lands;
scale 1:200,000**

Contact details

General information on the national contact person for this indicator

Name and surname

Fady ASMAR

Institution

No answer provided.

Address

No answer provided.

Email

fady.asmar@hotmail.com

Telephone

+9613 259818

Land Cover Type #2 — Other wooded lands

Land cover type

Name

Other wooded lands

Methodology and data availability

Please provide the definition used for this land cover type for each year between 2000 and 2011 for which land cover data are available.

If available, LCCS should be used as land cover classification system.

Year	Definition of land cover type
2000	---
2001	---
2002	---
2003	---
2004	---
2005	Land spanning more than 0.5 hectares with trees higher than 5 meters and a canopy cover of more than 10 percent, or trees able to reach these thresholds in situ. It does not include land that is predominantly under agricultural or urban land use.
2006	---
2007	---
2008	---
2009	---
2010	Land spanning more than 0.5 hectares with trees higher than 5 meters and a canopy cover of more than 10 percent, or trees able to reach these thresholds in situ. It does not include land that is predominantly under agricultural or urban land use.
2011	---

Please state the methodology used to produce land cover data in your country for the most recent years and the availability of associated images.

Where remote sensing was used, state the respective year and month in which remote sensing was carried out to derive images.

Item 1

Year:

2004

Method used:

Remote sensing

If field survey was used, please specify the percentage of country surface sampled:

30%

If field survey was used, were the surveys extrapolated to the entire country?

If remote sensing was used, identify the type and answer the following questions:

Classification:

Please specify the spatial resolution of the image:
 ---m

Please specify the temporal resolution of the image:
 1days

Please specify the minimum mapping unit (MMU) of the image:
 ---m

Please specify the year that the photo was captured:
 1999

Please specify the month that the image was captured:

Percentage of country surface assessed via this classification:

Ground truthing conducted?
 Yes

If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:
 ---%

High resolution verification?

If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:
 ---%

Expert opinion?
 Yes

Please specify how accurate the map was judged by the experts:
 The derived forest map was based on the Forest Resources Assessment undertaken by the MoA in 2004, and on satellite images. Information were ground proofed and

Please specify the number of experts involved:

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
---	2005	Forest Resources Assessment	FAO	www.fao.org

Indicator data

For the years available, please provide the total area covered (in square kilometres) and the proportion of the total national area covered by this land cover type.

Year	square km	% of total
2000	---	---%
2001	---	---%
2002	---	---%
2003	---	---%

Year	square km	% of total
2004	---	---%
2005	2	---%
2006	---	---%
2007	---	---%
2008	---	---%
2009	---	---%
2010	2	---%
2011	---	---%

Please provide the geographic datasets which underpin the land cover information provided.

Ideally, the data should be provided as a shapefile or raster (Geotiff) format with geographic coordinates on the WGS84 datum. If the raw data are not available please provide a map showing the extent of each land cover type listed. Ideally, the map should have a scale of less than 1:250,000 and be provided in a Tiff format.

Attachments:

None.

To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map (resolution of map, year of the map, land cover classes and corresponding colours, borders, etc).

No answer provided.

Contact details

General information on the national contact person for this indicator

Name and surname

Fady ASMAR

Institution

No answer provided.

Address

No answer provided.

Email

fady.asmar@hotmail.com

Telephone

+9613 259818

Land Cover Type #3 — Other Land with Trees

Land cover type

Name

Other Land with Trees

Methodology and data availability

Please provide the definition used for this land cover type for each year between 2000 and 2011 for which land cover data are available.

If available, LCCS should be used as land cover classification system.

Year	Definition of land cover type
2000	---
2001	---
2002	---
2003	---
2004	---
2005	Land spanning more than 0.5 hectares with trees higher than 5 meters and a canopy cover of more than 10 percent, or trees able to reach these thresholds in situ. It does not include land that is predominantly under agricultural or urban land use.
2006	---
2007	---
2008	---
2009	---
2010	Land spanning more than 0.5 hectares with trees higher than 5 meters and a canopy cover of more than 10 percent, or trees able to reach these thresholds in situ. It does not include land that is predominantly under agricultural or urban land use.
2011	---

Please state the methodology used to produce land cover data in your country for the most recent years and the availability of associated images.

Where remote sensing was used, state the respective year and month in which remote sensing was carried out to derive images.

Item 1

Year:

2004

Method used:

Field survey

If field survey was used, please specify the percentage of country surface sampled:

30%

If field survey was used, were the surveys extrapolated to the entire country?

Yes

If remote sensing was used, identify the type and answer the following questions:

Satellite image

Classification:

Hybrid

Please specify the spatial resolution of the image:

5m

Please specify the temporal resolution of the image:

1days

Please specify the minimum mapping unit (MMU) of the image:

---m

Please specify the year that the photo was captured:

1999

Please specify the month that the image was captured:

Percentage of country surface assessed via this classification:

Ground truthing conducted?

Yes

If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:

---%

High resolution verification?

If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:

---%

Expert opinion?

Yes

Please specify how accurate the map was judged by the experts:

The derived forest map was based on the Forest Resources Assessment undertaken by the MoA in 2004, and on satellite images. Information were ground proofed and verified. The map was judged to be very accurate by the experts as it was based on a set of scientific and field information.

Please specify the number of experts involved:

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
---	2005	Forest Resources Assessment	FAO	www.fao.org

Indicator data

For the years available, please provide the total area covered (in square kilometres) and the proportion of the total national area covered by this land cover type.

Year	square km	% of total
2000	1	1%
2001	---	---%
2002	---	---%
2003	---	---%
2004	---	---%
2005	1	---%
2006	---	---%
2007	---	---%
2008	---	---%
2009	---	---%
2010	1	---%
2011	---	---%

Please provide the geographic datasets which underpin the land cover information provided.

Ideally, the data should be provided as a shapefile or raster (Geotiff) format with geographic coordinates on the WGS84 datum. If the raw data are not available please provide a map showing the extent of each land cover type

listed. Ideally, the map should have a scale of less than 1:250,000 and be provided in a Tiff format.

Attachments:

None.

To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map (resolution of map, year of the map, land cover classes and corresponding colours, borders, etc).

No answer provided.

Contact details

General information on the national contact person for this indicator

Name and surname

Fady ASMAR

Institution

No answer provided.

Address

No answer provided.

Email

fady.asmar@hotmail.com

Telephone

+9613 259818

Land Cover Type #5 — artificial area 2002

Land cover type

Name

artificial area 2002

Methodology and data availability

Please provide the definition used for this land cover type for each year between 2000 and 2011 for which land cover data are available.

If available, LCCS should be used as land cover classification system.

Year	Definition of land cover type
2000	---
2001	---
2002	Artificial areas include urban areas, activity areas, non-built up artificial areas, and nonagricultural vegetated artificial areas.
2003	---
2004	---
2005	---
2006	---
2007	---
2008	---
2009	---

Year	Definition of land cover type
2010	---
2011	---

Please state the methodology used to produce land cover data in your country for the most recent years and the availability of associated images.

Where remote sensing was used, state the respective year and month in which remote sensing was carried out to derive images.

Item 1

Year:
2002

Method used:
Remote sensing

If field survey was used, please specify the percentage of country surface sampled:

If field survey was used, were the surveys extrapolated to the entire country?

If remote sensing was used, identify the type and answer the following questions:
Satellite image

Classification:
Hybrid

Please specify the spatial resolution of the image:
5m

Please specify the temporal resolution of the image:
16days

Please specify the minimum mapping unit (MMU) of the image:
2000m

Please specify the year that the photo was captured:
1998

Please specify the month that the image was captured:
October

Percentage of country surface assessed via this classification:
100%

Ground truthing conducted?
Yes

If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:
70%

High resolution verification?
Yes

If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:
85%

Expert opinion?
Yes

Please specify how accurate the map was judged by the experts:
85%

Please specify the number of experts involved:
2

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
--------	------	-------------------	-----------	-----------------

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
Ministry of Agriculture	2002	Land Cover–Land Use Map of Lebanon:	---	www.agriculture.gov.lb

Indicator data

For the years available, please provide the total area covered (in square kilometres) and the proportion of the total national area covered by this land cover type.

Year	square km	% of total
2000	---	---%
2001	---	---%
2002	895	6%
2003	---	---%
2004	---	---%
2005	---	---%
2006	---	---%
2007	---	---%
2008	---	---%
2009	---	---%
2010	---	---%
2011	---	---%

Please provide the geographic datasets which underpin the land cover information provided.

Ideally, the data should be provided as a shapefile or raster (Geotiff) format with geographic coordinates on the WGS84 datum. If the raw data are not available please provide a map showing the extent of each land cover type listed. Ideally, the map should have a scale of less than 1:250,000 and be provided in a Tiff format.

Attachments:

None.

To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map (resolution of map, year of the map, land cover classes and corresponding colours, borders, etc).

The map is provided with legend that explains the different land cover classes.

Contact details

General information on the national contact person for this indicator

Name and surname

Hammoud Abou Diab

Institution

MOA

Address

**Ministry of Agriculture
Embassies Street Beer Hassan Beirut Lebanon**

Email

haDia@agriculture.gov.lb

Telephone

0096171353823

Land Cover Type #7 — artificial area 2002

Land cover type

Name

artificial area 2002

Methodology and data availability

Please provide the definition used for this land cover type for each year between 2000 and 2011 for which land cover data are available.

If available, LCCS should be used as land cover classification system.

Year	Definition of land cover type
2000	---
2001	---
2002	Artificial areas include urban areas, activity areas, non-built up artificial areas, and nonagricultural vegetated artificial areas.
2003	---
2004	---
2005	---
2006	---
2007	---
2008	---
2009	---
2010	---
2011	---

Please state the methodology used to produce land cover data in your country for the most recent years and the availability of associated images.

Where remote sensing was used, state the respective year and month in which remote sensing was carried out to derive images.

*Item 1***Year:**

2002

Method used:

Remote sensing

If field survey was used, please specify the percentage of country surface sampled:

If field survey was used, were the surveys extrapolated to the entire country?

If remote sensing was used, identify the type and answer the following questions:

Satellite image

Classification:

Hybrid

Please specify the spatial resolution of the image:
5m

Please specify the temporal resolution of the image:
16days

Please specify the minimum mapping unit (MMU) of the image:
2000m

Please specify the year that the photo was captured:
1998

Please specify the month that the image was captured:
October

Percentage of country surface assessed via this classification:
100%

Ground truthing conducted?
Yes

If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:
70%

High resolution verification?
Yes

If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:
85%

Expert opinion?
Yes

Please specify how accurate the map was judged by the experts:
85%

Please specify the number of experts involved:
2

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
MOA	2003	Land Cover–Land Use Map of Lebanon:	---	www.agriculture.gov.lb

Indicator data

For the years available, please provide the total area covered (in square kilometres) and the proportion of the total national area covered by this land cover type.

Year	square km	% of total
2000	---	---%
2001	---	---%
2002	895	6%
2003	---	---%
2004	---	---%
2005	---	---%
2006	---	---%
2007	---	---%
2008	---	---%

Year	square km	% of total
2009	---	---%
2010	---	---%
2011	---	---%

Please provide the geographic datasets which underpin the land cover information provided.

Ideally, the data should be provided as a shapefile or raster (Geotiff) format with geographic coordinates on the WGS84 datum. If the raw data are not available please provide a map showing the extent of each land cover type listed. Ideally, the map should have a scale of less than 1:250,000 and be provided in a Tiff format.

Attachments:

None.

To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map (resolution of map, year of the map, land cover classes and corresponding colours, borders, etc).

The map is provided with legend that explains the different land cover classes.

Contact details

General information on the national contact person for this indicator

Name and surname

Hammoud Abou Diab

Institution

Ministry of Agriculture

Address

Ministry of Agriculture
Embassies Street Beer hassan Beirut Lebanon

Email

haDiab@agriculture.gov.lb

Telephone

0096171353823

Land Cover Type #8 — artificial area 2005

Land cover type

Name

artificial area 2005

Methodology and data availability

Please provide the definition used for this land cover type for each year between 2000 and 2011 for which land cover data are available.

If available, LCCS should be used as land cover classification system.

Year	Definition of land cover type
2000	---
2001	---
2002	---
2003	---

Year	Definition of land cover type
2004	---
2005	Artificial areas include urban areas, activity areas, non-built up artificial areas, and nonagricultural vegetated artificial areas.
2006	---
2007	---
2008	---
2009	---
2010	---
2011	---

Please state the methodology used to produce land cover data in your country for the most recent years and the availability of associated images.

Where remote sensing was used, state the respective year and month in which remote sensing was carried out to derive images.

Item 1

Year:

2010

Method used:

Remote sensing

If field survey was used, please specify the percentage of country surface sampled:

If field survey was used, were the surveys extrapolated to the entire country?

If remote sensing was used, identify the type and answer the following questions:

Satellite image

Classification:

Hybrid

Please specify the spatial resolution of the image:

1m

Please specify the temporal resolution of the image:

3days

Please specify the minimum mapping unit (MMU) of the image:

2000m

Please specify the year that the photo was captured:

2005

Please specify the month that the image was captured:

September

Percentage of country surface assessed via this classification:

100%

Ground truthing conducted?

Yes

If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:

95%

High resolution verification?

Yes

If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:

95%

Expert opinion?

Yes

Please specify how accurate the map was judged by the experts:

3

Please specify the number of experts involved:

97

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
National Council for scientific Research	2010	Land cover map	---	---

Indicator data

For the years available, please provide the total area covered (in square kilometres) and the proportion of the total national area covered by this land cover type.

Year	square km	% of total
2000	---	---%
2001	---	---%
2002	---	---%
2003	---	---%
2004	---	---%
2005	574	6%
2006	---	---%
2007	---	---%
2008	---	---%
2009	---	---%
2010	---	---%
2011	---	---%

Please provide the geographic datasets which underpin the land cover information provided.

Ideally, the data should be provided as a shapefile or raster (Geotiff) format with geographic coordinates on the WGS84 datum. If the raw data are not available please provide a map showing the extent of each land cover type listed. Ideally, the map should have a scale of less than 1:250,000 and be provided in a Tiff format.

Attachments:

None.

To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map (resolution of map, year of the map, land cover classes and corresponding colours, borders, etc).

The map is produced in 2010, and generated by photo interpretation of satellite images taken between September and november 2005.

Contact details

General information on the national contact person for this indicator

Name and surname

Abou Diab Hammoud

Institution

Ministry of Agriculture

AddressMinistry of Agriculture
Embassies Street Beer Hassan Beirut Lebanon**Email**

haDiab@agriculture.gov.lb

Telephone

009

Land Cover Type #9 — Agricultural area 2002 Field crops

Land cover type

Name

Agricultural area 2002 Field crops

Methodology and data availability

Please provide the definition used for this land cover type for each year between 2000 and 2011 for which land cover data are available.

If available, LCCS should be used as land cover classification system.

Year	Definition of land cover type
2000	---
2001	---
2002	Field crops include the ploughed land that is cultivated by irrigated and non irrigated annual, biannual, and maximum tri-annual herbaceous crops. This category has been further ramified into field crops in medium to large fields, field crops in small fields and/or terraces
2003	---
2004	---
2005	---
2006	---
2007	---
2008	---
2009	---
2010	---
2011	---

Please state the methodology used to produce land cover data in your country for the most recent years and the availability of associated images.

Where remote sensing was used, state the respective year and month in which remote sensing was carried out to derive images.

Item 1

Year:

2002

Method used:

Remote sensing

If field survey was used, please specify the percentage of country surface sampled:

If field survey was used, were the surveys extrapolated to the entire country?

If remote sensing was used, identify the type and answer the following questions:

Satellite image

Classification:

Hybrid

Please specify the spatial resolution of the image:

5m

Please specify the temporal resolution of the image:

26days

Please specify the minimum mapping unit (MMU) of the image:

2000m

Please specify the year that the photo was captured:

1998

Please specify the month that the image was captured:

October

Percentage of country surface assessed via this classification:

100%

Ground truthing conducted?

Yes

If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:

85%

High resolution verification?

If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:

---%

Expert opinion?

Please specify how accurate the map was judged by the experts:

Please specify the number of experts involved:

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
---	---	---	---	---

Indicator data

For the years available, please provide the total area covered (in square kilometres) and the proportion of the total national area covered by this land cover type.

Year	square km	% of total
2000	---	---%

Year	square km	% of total
2001	---	---%
2002	1647	16%
2003	---	---%
2004	---	---%
2005	---	---%
2006	---	---%
2007	---	---%
2008	---	---%
2009	---	---%
2010	---	---%
2011	---	---%

Please provide the geographic datasets which underpin the land cover information provided.

Ideally, the data should be provided as a shapefile or raster (Geotiff) format with geographic coordinates on the WGS84 datum. If the raw data are not available please provide a map showing the extent of each land cover type listed. Ideally, the map should have a scale of less than 1:250,000 and be provided in a Tiff format.

Attachments:

None.

To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map (resolution of map, year of the map, land cover classes and corresponding colours, borders, etc).

No answer provided.

Contact details

General information on the national contact person for this indicator

Name and surname

Hammoud Abou Diab

Institution

MOA

Address

**Ministry of Agriculture
Embassies Street Beer Hassan Beirut Lebanon**

Email

haDiab@agriculture.gov.lb

Telephone

0096171353823

Land Cover Type #10 — Agricultural area -Field crops 2005

Land cover type

Name

Agricultural area -Field crops 2005

Please provide the definition used for this land cover type for each year between 2000 and 2011 for which land cover data are available.

If available, LCCS should be used as land cover classification system.

Year	Definition of land cover type
2000	---
2001	---
2002	---
2003	---
2004	---
2005	Field crops include the ploughed land that is cultivated by irrigated and non irrigated annual, biannual, and maximum tri-annual herbaceous crops. This category has been further ramified into field crops in medium to large fields, field crops in small fields and/or terraces
2006	---
2007	---
2008	---
2009	---
2010	---
2011	---

Please state the methodology used to produce land cover data in your country for the most recent years and the availability of associated images.

Where remote sensing was used, state the respective year and month in which remote sensing was carried out to derive images.

Item 1

Year:

2010

Method used:

Remote sensing

If field survey was used, please specify the percentage of country surface sampled:

If field survey was used, were the surveys extrapolated to the entire country?

If remote sensing was used, identify the type and answer the following questions:

Classification:

Hybrid

Please specify the spatial resolution of the image:

1m

Please specify the temporal resolution of the image:

3days

Please specify the minimum mapping unit (MMU) of the image:

2000m

Please specify the year that the photo was captured:
2005

Please specify the month that the image was captured:

Percentage of country surface assessed via this classification:
100%

Ground truthing conducted?
Yes

If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:
93%

High resolution verification?
Yes

If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:
93%

Expert opinion?
Yes

Please specify how accurate the map was judged by the experts:
90%

Please specify the number of experts involved:
2

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
MOA	2010	Land cover map2005	---	www.agriculture.gov.lb

Indicator data

For the years available, please provide the total area covered (in square kilometres) and the proportion of the total national area covered by this land cover type.

Year	square km	% of total
2000	---	---%
2001	---	---%
2002	---	---%
2003	---	---%
2004	---	---%
2005	1603	15%
2006	---	---%
2007	---	---%
2008	---	---%
2009	---	---%
2010	---	---%
2011	---	---%

Please provide the geographic datasets which underpin the land cover information provided.

Ideally, the data should be provided as a shapefile or raster (Geotiff) format with geographic coordinates on the

WGS84 datum. If the raw data are not available please provide a map showing the extent of each land cover type listed. Ideally, the map should have a scale of less than 1:250,000 and be provided in a Tiff format.

Attachments:

None.

To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map (resolution of map, year of the map, land cover classes and corresponding colours, borders, etc).

No answer provided.

Contact details

General information on the national contact person for this indicator

Name and surname

Hammoud Abou Diab

Institution

MOA

Address

**Ministry of Agriculture
Embassies Street Beer Hassan Beirut Lebanon**

Email

haDiab@agriculture.gov.lb

Telephone

0096171353823

Land Cover Type #11 — Permanent crops 2002

Land cover type

Name

Permanent crops 2002

Methodology and data availability

Please provide the definition used for this land cover type for each year between 2000 and 2011 for which land cover data are available.

If available, LCCS should be used as land cover classification system.

Year	Definition of land cover type
2000	---
2001	---
2002	The permanent crops category has been defined to include agricultural areas that do not follow a rotation system thus providing repeated harvests. Usually, these areas are mainly occupied by plantations of woody crops for a long period before being ploughed and replanted. This category has been divi
2003	---
2004	---
2005	---
2006	---

Year	Definition of land cover type
2007	---
2008	---
2009	---
2010	---
2011	---

Please state the methodology used to produce land cover data in your country for the most recent years and the availability of associated images.

Where remote sensing was used, state the respective year and month in which remote sensing was carried out to derive images.

Item 1

Year:

2002

Method used:

Remote sensing

If field survey was used, please specify the percentage of country surface sampled:

If field survey was used, were the surveys extrapolated to the entire country?

Yes

If remote sensing was used, identify the type and answer the following questions:

Satellite image

Classification:

Hybrid

Please specify the spatial resolution of the image:

5m

Please specify the temporal resolution of the image:

26days

Please specify the minimum mapping unit (MMU) of the image:

2000m

Please specify the year that the photo was captured:

1998

Please specify the month that the image was captured:

October

Percentage of country surface assessed via this classification:

100%

Ground truthing conducted?

Yes

If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:

85%

High resolution verification?

Yes

If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:

85%

Expert opinion?

Yes

Please specify how accurate the map was judged by the experts:

85%

Please specify the number of experts involved:

2

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
MOA	2002	Land cover map 2002	---	www.agriculture.gov.lb

Indicator data

For the years available, please provide the total area covered (in square kilometres) and the proportion of the total national area covered by this land cover type.

Year	square km	% of total
2000	---	---%
2001	---	---%
2002	1459	14%
2003	---	---%
2004	---	---%
2005	---	---%
2006	---	---%
2007	---	---%
2008	---	---%
2009	---	---%
2010	---	---%
2011	---	---%

Please provide the geographic datasets which underpin the land cover information provided.

Ideally, the data should be provided as a shapefile or raster (Geotiff) format with geographic coordinates on the WGS84 datum. If the raw data are not available please provide a map showing the extent of each land cover type listed. Ideally, the map should have a scale of less than 1:250,000 and be provided in a Tiff format.

Attachments:

None.

To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map (resolution of map, year of the map, land cover classes and corresponding colours, borders, etc).

No answer provided.

Contact details

General information on the national contact person for this indicator

Name and surname

Hammoud Abou Diab

Institution

MOA

Address

Email

haDiab@agriculture.gov.lb

Telephone

0096171353823

Land Cover Type #12 — Permanent crops 2005

Land cover type

Name

Permanent crops 2005

Methodology and data availability

Please provide the definition used for this land cover type for each year between 2000 and 2011 for which land cover data are available.

If available, LCCS should be used as land cover classification system.

Year	Definition of land cover type
2000	---
2001	---
2002	---
2003	---
2004	---
2005	The permanent crops category has been defined to include agricultural areas that do not follow a rotation system thus providing repeated harvests. Usually, these areas are mainly occupied by plantations of woody crops for a long period before being ploughed and replanted.
2006	---
2007	---
2008	---
2009	---
2010	---
2011	---

Please state the methodology used to produce land cover data in your country for the most recent years and the availability of associated images.

Where remote sensing was used, state the respective year and month in which remote sensing was carried out to derive images.

Item 1

Year:

2010

Method used:

Remote sensing

If field survey was used, please specify the percentage of country surface sampled:

If field survey was used, were the surveys extrapolated to the entire country?

Yes

If remote sensing was used, identify the type and answer the following questions:

Satellite image

Classification:

Hybrid

Please specify the spatial resolution of the image:

1m

Please specify the temporal resolution of the image:

3days

Please specify the minimum mapping unit (MMU) of the image:

2000m

Please specify the year that the photo was captured:

2005

Please specify the month that the image was captured:

September

Percentage of country surface assessed via this classification:

100%

Ground truthing conducted?

Yes

If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:

85%

High resolution verification?

If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:

90%

Expert opinion?

Yes

Please specify how accurate the map was judged by the experts:

83%

Please specify the number of experts involved:

2

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
MOA	2010	Land cover map 2005	---	www.agriculture.gov.lb

Indicator data

For the years available, please provide the total area covered (in square kilometres) and the proportion of the total national area covered by this land cover type.

Year	square km	% of total
2000	---	---%
2001	---	---%
2002	---	---%
2003	---	---%
2004	---	---%

Year	square km	% of total
2005	1267	12%
2006	---	---%
2007	---	---%
2008	---	---%
2009	---	---%
2010	---	---%
2011	---	---%

Please provide the geographic datasets which underpin the land cover information provided.

Ideally, the data should be provided as a shapefile or raster (Geotiff) format with geographic coordinates on the WGS84 datum. If the raw data are not available please provide a map showing the extent of each land cover type listed. Ideally, the map should have a scale of less than 1:250,000 and be provided in a Tiff format.

Attachments:

None.

To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map (resolution of map, year of the map, land cover classes and corresponding colours, borders, etc).

No answer provided.

Contact details

General information on the national contact person for this indicator

Name and surname

Hammoud Abou Diab

Institution

MOA

Address

Ministry of Agriculture
Embassies Street Beer Hassan Beirut Lebanon

Email

haDiab@agriculture.gov.lb

Telephone

0096171353823

Land Cover Type #13 — Agricultural area 2002 Field crops

Land cover type

Name

Agricultural area 2002 Field crops

Methodology and data availability

Please provide the definition used for this land cover type for each year between 2000 and 2011 for which land cover data are available.

If available, LCCS should be used as land cover classification system.

Year	Definition of land cover type

Year	Definition of land cover type
2000	---
2001	---
2002	This category includes all areas with intensive cultivation of vegetables, small fruits and ornamentals. It has been subdivided into open horticulture, protected agriculture, and urban sprawl on intensive agriculture.
2003	---
2004	---
2005	---
2006	---
2007	---
2008	---
2009	---
2010	---
2011	---

Please state the methodology used to produce land cover data in your country for the most recent years and the availability of associated images.

Where remote sensing was used, state the respective year and month in which remote sensing was carried out to derive images.

Item 1

Year:

2010

Method used:

Remote sensing

If field survey was used, please specify the percentage of country surface sampled:

100%

If field survey was used, were the surveys extrapolated to the entire country?

Yes

If remote sensing was used, identify the type and answer the following questions:

Satellite image

Classification:

Hybrid

Please specify the spatial resolution of the image:

5m

Please specify the temporal resolution of the image:

26days

Please specify the minimum mapping unit (MMU) of the image:

2000m

Please specify the year that the photo was captured:

1998

Please specify the month that the image was captured:

October

Percentage of country surface assessed via this classification:

100%

Ground truthing conducted?

Yes

If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:

85%

High resolution verification?

Yes

If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:

85%

Expert opinion?

Yes

Please specify how accurate the map was judged by the experts:

80

Please specify the number of experts involved:

2

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
Ministry of Agriculture	2002	---	---	www.agriculture.gov.lb

Indicator data

For the years available, please provide the total area covered (in square kilometres) and the proportion of the total national area covered by this land cover type.

Year	square km	% of total
2000	---	---%
2001	---	---%
2002	---	---%
2003	---	---%
2004	---	---%
2005	---	---%
2006	---	---%
2007	---	---%
2008	---	---%
2009	---	---%
2010	300	3%
2011	---	---%

Please provide the geographic datasets which underpin the land cover information provided.

Ideally, the data should be provided as a shapefile or raster (Geotiff) format with geographic coordinates on the WGS84 datum. If the raw data are not available please provide a map showing the extent of each land cover type listed. Ideally, the map should have a scale of less than 1:250,000 and be provided in a Tiff format.

Attachments:

None.

To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map (resolution of map, year of the map, land cover classes and corresponding colours, borders, etc).

No answer provided.

Contact details

General information on the national contact person for this indicator

Name and surname

Abou Diab Hammoud

Institution

Ministry of Agriculture

Address

Ministry of Agriculture

Embassies Street Beer Hassan Beirut Lebanon

Email

hammoudaboudiab@hotmail.com

Telephone

96171353823

Land Cover Type #14 — Grass land

Land cover type

Name

Grass land

Methodology and data availability

Please provide the definition used for this land cover type for each year between 2000 and 2011 for which land cover data are available.

If available, LCCS should be used as land cover classification system.

Year	Definition of land cover type
2000	---
2001	---
2002	Grasslands are characterized as lands dominated by grasses rather than large shrubs or trees. Grasslands occur in areas where cropping is not possible either due to the presence of shallow, stony soils or steep slopes. These native pastures are sometimes referred to as marginal lands
2003	---
2004	---
2005	---
2006	---
2007	---
2008	---
2009	---
2010	---

Year	Definition of land cover type
2011	---

Please state the methodology used to produce land cover data in your country for the most recent years and the availability of associated images.

Where remote sensing was used, state the respective year and month in which remote sensing was carried out to derive images.

Item 1

Year:
2002

Method used:
Remote sensing

If field survey was used, please specify the percentage of country surface sampled:

If field survey was used, were the surveys extrapolated to the entire country?
No

If remote sensing was used, identify the type and answer the following questions:
Satellite image

Classification:
Hybrid

Please specify the spatial resolution of the image:
5m

Please specify the temporal resolution of the image:
26days

Please specify the minimum mapping unit (MMU) of the image:
2000m

Please specify the year that the photo was captured:
1999

Please specify the month that the image was captured:
October

Percentage of country surface assessed via this classification:
100%

Ground truthing conducted?
Yes

If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:
85%

High resolution verification?
Yes

If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:
85%

Expert opinion?
Yes

Please specify how accurate the map was judged by the experts:
85%

Please specify the number of experts involved:
2

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
MOA	2002	Land Use Land Cover Map 2002	---	www.agriculture.gov.lb

Indicator data

For the years available, please provide the total area covered (in square kilometres) and the proportion of the total national area covered by this land cover type.

Year	square km	% of total
2000	---	---%
2001	---	---%
2002	---	---%
2003	---	---%
2004	---	---%
2005	---	---%
2006	---	---%
2007	---	---%
2008	---	---%
2009	---	---%
2010	---	---%
2011	---	---%

Please provide the geographic datasets which underpin the land cover information provided.

Ideally, the data should be provided as a shapefile or raster (Geotiff) format with geographic coordinates on the WGS84 datum. If the raw data are not available please provide a map showing the extent of each land cover type listed. Ideally, the map should have a scale of less than 1:250,000 and be provided in a Tiff format.

Attachments:

None.

To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map (resolution of map, year of the map, land cover classes and corresponding colours, borders, etc).

No answer provided.

Contact details

General information on the national contact person for this indicator

Name and surname

Hammoud Abou Diab

Institution

Ministry of Agriculture

Address

**Ministry of Agriculture
Embassies Street Beer Hassan Beirut Lebanon**

Email

haDiab@agriculture.gov.lb

Telephone

0096171353823

Land cover type

Name**Land cover / Use 2005**

Methodology and data availability

Please provide the definition used for this land cover type for each year between 2000 and 2011 for which land cover data are available.

If available, LCCS should be used as land cover classification system.

Year	Definition of land cover type
2000	---
2001	---
2002	---
2003	---
2004	---
2005	a preliminary legend was formulated and further ramified and restructured to comply with the CORINE multi-level classification system that enables the end-user to dynamically select the depicted types and scale. Furthermore, the legend was elaborated using the LCCS® software that has been developed
2006	---
2007	---
2008	---
2009	---
2010	---
2011	---

Please state the methodology used to produce land cover data in your country for the most recent years and the availability of associated images.

Where remote sensing was used, state the respective year and month in which remote sensing was carried out to derive images.

Item 1

Year:

2010

Method used:

Remote sensing

If field survey was used, please specify the percentage of country surface sampled:

If field survey was used, were the surveys extrapolated to the entire country?

If remote sensing was used, identify the type and answer the following questions:

Satellite image

Classification:

Hybrid

Please specify the spatial resolution of the image:
1m

Please specify the temporal resolution of the image:
3days

Please specify the minimum mapping unit (MMU) of the image:
1500m

Please specify the year that the photo was captured:
2005

Please specify the month that the image was captured:
October

Percentage of country surface assessed via this classification:
100%

Ground truthing conducted?
Yes

If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:
85%

High resolution verification?
Yes

If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:
85%

Expert opinion?
Yes

Please specify how accurate the map was judged by the experts:
85%

Please specify the number of experts involved:
2

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
National council for scientific research	2010	---	---	---
Ministry of Agriculture	2010	LCLU2005	MOA	www.agriculture.gov.lb

Indicator data

For the years available, please provide the total area covered (in square kilometres) and the proportion of the total national area covered by this land cover type.

Year	square km	% of total
2000	---	---%
2001	---	---%
2002	---	---%
2003	---	---%
2004	---	---%
2005	10000	100%
2006	---	---%
2007	---	---%

Year	square km	% of total
2008	---	---%
2009	---	---%
2010	---	---%
2011	---	---%

Please provide the geographic datasets which underpin the land cover information provided.

Ideally, the data should be provided as a shapefile or raster (Geotiff) format with geographic coordinates on the WGS84 datum. If the raw data are not available please provide a map showing the extent of each land cover type listed. Ideally, the map should have a scale of less than 1:250,000 and be provided in a Tiff format.

Attachments:

- [MOS 2005.jpg](#), 3.35 MB

To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map (resolution of map, year of the map, land cover classes and corresponding colours, borders, etc).

No answer provided.

Contact details

General information on the national contact person for this indicator

Name and surname

Hammoud Abou Diab

Institution

Ministry of Agriculture

Address

Ministry of Agriculture
Embassies Street Beer Hassan Beirut Lebanon

Email

haDiab@agriculture.gov.lb

Telephone

0096171353823

Interpretation of indicator status/trend and policy implications

Interpretation of the indicator

Did you provide data for more than one year?

Yes

If no, do you see a pattern in the data related to affected areas?

No answer required.

Please explain the pattern emerging from the data and how it relates to DLDD.

No answer required.

Does the indicator change over time?

No

If no, please explain possible reasons why the indicator does not change over time

Reforestation activities being undertaken by NGOs and government institutions are compensating for the loss of forests that is occurring because of land encroachment on forests. Forest fires are causing

severe degradation in forests and OWL. However, several initiatives are implemented for the prevention and management of fires. Some reforestation/afforestation activities are implemented in dry-lands regions of the country. Such initiatives are not very successful because of the climatic conditions. Successful reforestation is where human and climatic conditions are favorable.

If yes, is there a functional relationship between the indicator and DLDD?

No answer required.

If DLDD does not affect the indicator, please describe the other factor(s) responsible for changes in the indicator value over time.

No answer required.

Please describe how DLDD affects the indicator.

No answer required.

Does the extent of affected areas increase or decrease?

No answer required.

Please explain the pattern emerging from the data.

No answer required.

Please upload any graphs showing the temporal pattern/trend in the indicator over time, covering all years for which data is available.

The graph should be provided as jpg or pdf with a maximum file size of 2MB. To facilitate understanding please explain clearly what can be seen in the diagrams (keys, classes, resolutions etc.)

Attachments:

None.

Please state the actions and policies that you currently have in place or any that will be implemented in the future to address the implications of the indicator trend or lack thereof for addressing DLDD in your country.

Reforestation and Afforestation are merged in one definition in Lebanon. Although the 2010 FRA country report classifies as afforestation all forest plantations (10,500 ha in 2010, with an increase of 400 ha since 2005) in Lebanon, there is insufficient evidence to allow such a conclusion.

According to the National Forest and Tree Resources Assessment (NF&TRA, 2005), the majority of plantations correspond to the entire *Pinus pinea* forests (7,776 ha) in Lebanon, a species that is considered by this report as non-native in the country.

The rest of plantations mainly correspond to:

MoA actions

The MoA/Green Plan reforested approximately 2,000 hectares (mainly local conifer species) during the 60s and the 70s of the 20th century in different parts of the country. The main objective of these plantations was the restoration of the vegetation cover in degraded land.

Although since 2001 MoE was awarded the prerogative of initiating the National Reforestation Plan (NRP), the MoA Directorate of Rural Development and Natural Resources (DRDNR) is still undertaking several reforestation/afforestation activities but with a small budget. DRDNR distributes every year forest seedlings to municipalities, community based organizations, and NGOs, which then plant those seedlings usually alongside roads or on communal plots. DRDNR itself also undertakes the plantation of trees along the roadsides in several villages as well as establishing public gardens. Recent efforts to rehabilitate the MoA nurseries have already established good plant production conditions for cedar, stone pine, carob and laurel in 3 out of the 11 nurseries belonging to the MoA.

MoE reforested approximately 600 ha between 2001 and 2006 in degraded rangelands and abandoned lands in all Lebanese regions.

Civil Society

Over the past 15 years, several NGOs, working with government agencies and with the support of local and international donors, undertook many reforestation campaigns throughout Lebanon. Although there is no reliable information on the scale and impact of these campaigns, according to AFDC’s 2007 State of Lebanon Forests report the survival rate of transplanted trees is believed to vary in most cases between 10 and 40 percent at best. This low performance is most probably due to several factors, among which poor plant production conditions, inadequate plantation techniques and almost inexistent follow-up and maintenance.

NGOs uses reforestation as a tool to achieve their nature conservation and sustainable rural development objectives. Only few NGOs (i.e. Jouzour Loubnan and Lebanon Green Again) have the restoration of Lebanon forests as the organization mission and overall goal.

The reforestation campaigns organized by NGOs have several objectives, among which raising awareness on the importance of conserving forest resources, contributing to the national efforts aimed at increasing the green cover, and responding to environmental crisis, namely forest fires and desertification.

Feedback

Report on specific COP requests – iterative process on indicators

Decision 13/COP.9 (paragraphs 2, 3 and 4) and decision 17/COP.9 envisage an iterative process to refine the set of performance and impact indicators. As a tool to implement this iterative process, affected country Parties can provide here their suggestions and recommendations for improvement. Using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 = (no, not at all) and 5 = (yes, very much), please rate the indicator. Please write any comments related to your assessment under the column “remarks”.

Assessment Criteria (e-SMART)	Score	Remarks
Relevant – Does the indicator provide information about changes in primary processes unambiguously related to DLDD and UNCCD implementation?	4	The indicator provides information on all land use and land cover types, including those in the dry lands
Relevant – Is the indicator relevant for DLDD national planning purposes, including monitoring of the National Action Programme (NAP)?	4	Data on the indicator is an aid to decision making tool that should be used in all planning processes. It is foreseen to use such data during the alignment process of the NAP on the strategy
Relevant – Can policymakers easily understand the indicator?	4	---
Specific – Is the indicator based on well-understood and generally accepted conceptual models of the system to which it is applied so that changes in its value will have clear meaning regarding the process of concern?	4	---

Assessment Criteria (e-SMART)	Score	Remarks
Specific – Is the requested spatial scale (national vs. affected areas) of the indicator appropriate for its monitoring purposes?	4	---
Measurable – Are the definitions of the indicator and its constitutive elements clear and not ambiguous?	4	---
Measurable – Are the proposed methodologies for the measurement of this indicator sufficiently clear to ensure reliable data?	4	---
Time-bound – Is the indicator sensitive enough to detect important changes but not so sensitive that signals are masked by natural variability?	4	When collected on a regular basis (every 5 to 7 years) data on this indicator can detect major land-use changes especially when high resolution satellite images are used.
Time-bound – Can the indicator detect changes at the required temporal and spatial scales and are the up-scaling / cross-scaling rules clear?	4	---
Achievable – Are reliable data and monitoring systems available to assess trends and is data collection a relatively straightforward process?	3	Data and monitoring systems are not always available. They should be collected on a regular basis from a specialized institution. The institutionalization of the National Steering Committee could facilitate the regular access to available data.
Achievable – Is the frequency of data collection in line with the monitoring and reporting requirements of the UNCCD?	4	Yes, however, the information is not always available as it requires the access to recent and updated satellite images
Economic – Is the indicator cost-effective? Is the cost of data collection affordable and worthwhile? (consider any required cost for personnel, capital but also, recurring costs)	3	The acquisition of updated images is a costly process that is not accessible to all countries and institutions. The analysis of the data requires specialized personnel and relevant software and hardware. Budgets should be allocated at the national or institutional levels. Information may not always

Reporting on land productivity

Do not reply to this section if you provided no data on land cover.

Reporting on the indicator

Do not reply to this section if you provided no data on land cover.

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Please, state the methods used to estimate land productivity in your country.

No answer provided.

Please state the net primary productivity (NPP) (in kgC ha⁻¹ year⁻¹) for each land cover type described in section “Land Cover Status” / sub-section “Methodology and data availability”:

Year (2000-2011)	Land cover type	NPP (kgC ha ⁻¹ year ⁻¹)
------------------	-----------------	--

Year (2000-2011)	Land cover type	NPP (kgC ha ⁻¹ year ⁻¹)
---	---	---

For the land cover types described in section “Land Cover Status” / sub-section “Methodology and data availability”, please state whether you have data on NDVI, FAPAR, EVI or others and also state the respective value.

Year (2000-2011)	Land cover type	Value	Data type
---	---	---	---

If Others, please specify:

No answer required.

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
---	---	---	---	---

Please provide the geographic datasets which underpin the land productivity information provided.

Ideally, the data should be provided as a shapefile or raster (Geotiff) format with geographic coordinates on the WGS84 datum. If the raw data are not available please provide a map showing the extent of each land cover type listed. Ideally, the map should have a scale of less than 1:250,000 and be provided in a Tiff format.

Attachments:

None.

To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map (resolution of map, year of the map, land cover classes and corresponding colours, borders, etc).

No answer provided.

Interpretation of the indicator

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Did you provide data for more than one year?

No answer provided.

If no, do you see a pattern in the data related to affected areas?

No answer required.

Please explain the pattern emerging from the data and how it relates to DLDD.

No answer required.

Does the indicator change over time?

No answer required.

If no, please explain possible reasons why the indicator does not change over time

No answer required.

If yes, is there a functional relationship between the indicator and DLDD?

No answer required.

If DLDD does not affect the indicator, please describe the other factor(s) responsible for changes in the indicator value over time.

No answer required.

Please describe how DLDD affects the indicator.

No answer required.

Does the extent of affected areas increase or decrease?

No answer required.

Please explain the pattern emerging from the data.

No answer required.

Please upload any graphs showing the temporal pattern/trend in the indicator over time, covering all years for which data is available.

The graph should be provided as jpg or pdf with a maximum file size of 2MB. To facilitate understanding please explain clearly what can be seen in the diagrams (keys, classes, resolutions etc.)

No answer required.

Please state the actions and policies that you currently have in place or any that will be implemented in the future to address the implications of the indicator trend or lack thereof for addressing DLDD in your country.

No answer provided.

Feedback

Report on specific COP requests – iterative process on indicators

Decision 13/COP.9 (paragraphs 2, 3 and 4) and decision 17/COP.9 envisage an iterative process to refine the set of performance and impact indicators. As a tool to implement this iterative process, affected country Parties can provide here their suggestions and recommendations for improvement. Using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 = (no, not at all) and 5 = (yes, very much), please rate the indicator. Please write any comments related to your assessment under the column “remarks”.

Assessment Criteria (e-SMART)	Score	Remarks
Relevant – Does the indicator provide information about changes in primary processes unambiguously related to DLDD and UNCCD implementation?	4	---
Relevant – Is the indicator relevant for DLDD national planning purposes, including monitoring of the National Action Programme (NAP)?	4	---
Relevant – Can policymakers easily understand the indicator?	3	---
Specific – Is the indicator based on well-understood and generally accepted conceptual models of the system to which it is applied so that changes in its value will have clear meaning regarding the process of concern?	4	---
Specific – Is the requested spatial scale (national vs. affected areas) of the indicator appropriate for its monitoring purposes?	4	---
Measurable – Are the definitions of the indicator and its constitutive elements clear and not ambiguous?	4	---

Assessment Criteria (e-SMART)	Score	Remarks
Measurable – Are the proposed methodologies for the measurement of this indicator sufficiently clear to ensure reliable data?	4	---
Time-bound – Is the indicator sensitive enough to detect important changes but not so sensitive that signals are masked by natural variability?	4	---
Time-bound – Can the indicator detect changes at the required temporal and spatial scales and are the up-scaling / cross-scaling rules clear?	4	---
Achievable – Are reliable data and monitoring systems available to assess trends and is data collection a relatively straightforward process?	1	Data and monitoring systems are not always available, as they require permanent structures that may not exist at the national level. Data collection is straightforward when it is available in the focal point institutions or within an organised information sharing system.
Achievable – Is the frequency of data collection in line with the monitoring and reporting requirements of the UNCCD?	2	When data is available, it can be collected at any time.
Economic – Is the indicator cost-effective? Is the cost of data collection affordable and worthwhile? (consider any required cost for personnel, capital but also, recurring costs)	2	Budget could be a limiting factors for the acquisition of updated images and for the availability of qualified personnel

Additional indicators on strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3

This reporting template can be used to report on the nine impact indicators provisionally accepted by the COP (see decision 17/COP.9) but considered optional for inclusion in reports by affected country Parties. Detailed reporting guidelines have not been developed for these nine optional impact indicators, but a description of the indicators and associated metrics can be found in Orr, B.J. 2011. “Scientific review of the UNCCD provisionally accepted set of impact indicators to measure the implementation of strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3.” White Paper Version 1. Available from http://www.unccd.int/en/programmes/Science/Monitoring-Assessment/Documents/White%20paper_Scientific%20review%20set%20of%20indicators_Ver1.pdf

Alternative indicators considered more suitable than the provisionally accepted indicators may also be reported on using this template. The condition for reporting on alternative indicators is that these fit into the underlying logic of measuring progress against strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3 of the Strategy.

Purpose of the indicators

Water availability per capita	“To measure and monitor changes in access to water sources for the population. This can be used to assess the impacts of DLDD, and mitigation efforts, on water resources”
Change in land use	“To measure and monitor changes in the productive or protective uses of the land resource. This can be used to assess sustainability of land use.”
Food consumption per capita	“To measure and monitor changes in nutritional status. This can act as an indicator of both well-being and the availability of ecosystem services.”
Capacity of soils to sustain agro-pastoral use	“To measure and monitor changes in the status of soil health. This can be used to assess the impacts of DLDD, and mitigation efforts, on soil health.”

Degree of land degradation	“To measure and monitor changes in the extent and severity of land degradation. This can be used to assess the impact of agreements and programs to address land degradation and reclaim degraded lands.”
Plant and animal biodiversity	“To measure and monitor changes in the status of biodiversity relative to a ‘pristine’ baseline. This can be used as an indicator for overall environmental sustainability and used to assess the impacts of DLDD, and interventions, on enhancing biodiversity.”
Drought index	“Acts as an indicator for characterising sensitive and desertification-affected areas. This can be used to monitor the climatic conditions affecting water availability as a driving force of DLDD, provide early warnings of drought and assess severity and actions.”
Carbon stocks above and below ground	“To measure and monitor changes in above and below ground stocks as a global benefit. This can be used to assess the impacts of DLDD, and mitigation efforts on carbon stocks.”
Land under Sustainable Land Management (SLM)	“To act as a surrogate for measuring and monitoring a number of global benefits: (a) Climate regulation and carbon sequestration; (b) Vegetation cover and composition; and (c) Water retention and the regional hydrologic balance.”

Additional Indicator #1

Identification of the indicator / metric

On which type of additional indicator do you wish to report?

One of the impact indicators provisionally accepted by the COP

If you wish to report on one of the impact indicators provisionally accepted by the COP, please choose the indicator and metric you are reporting on.

- Land use

If other, please specify the new metric.

No answer required.

If other, please state why you choose to report on a new metric.

No answer required.

If other, please state how this new metric relates to the purpose of the indicator.

No answer required.

If you wish to report on an alternative indicator, does the new indicator replace or supplement one of the provisionally accepted indicators?

No answer required.

If it replaces, please state which provisionally accepted indicator the new indicator replaces:

No answer required.

If it replaces, please state why you decided to report on an alternative indicator:

No answer required.

If it replaces, please state how the new indicator relates to the purpose of the original indicator:

No answer required.

If it supplements, please state why you decided to report on an additional indicator:

No answer required.

If it supplements, please state the purpose of the additional indicator and how it relates to the strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3 of the Strategy:

No answer required.

If it supplements, please specify the new indicator:

No answer required.

Methodology and data availability

Please state all years for which indicator data are available, and elaborate on the data collection methods used.

Item 1

Year

2002

Data available

Land use land cover map;

2002 and 2010

Method used

Nationwide census

How often are the data used to calculate this indicator collected?

Every 7 years

Was every region (or equivalent sub-national unit) of your country covered in the assessment?

Yes

What approximate proportion of national area was covered by the assessment?

20%

Please specify the geographic area covered by the indicator data (Ideally you should name administrative units covered)

Do the indicator data cover areas in your country that have been identified as affected by DLDD?

No

If yes, are the demarcation (i.e. provinces, states and districts) and criteria used to identify affected areas the same as those described in the section "General information" / sub-section "Definition of affected areas"?

No answer required.

If no, please state the criteria used to identify areas affected by DLDD.

Precipitation; land use; slope; population;

Please upload a graph showing the change in the indicator (y-axis) over time (x-axis).

The graph should be provided as jpg or pdf file with a maximum file size of 2MB.

Attachments:

None.

Indicator data

Please state the unit of measurement in which the indicator data are reported:

No answer provided.

Please provide relevant data for all years listed in section "Additional indicators" / sub-section "Methodology and data availability" and ensure that all data provided are in the same unit of measurement specified above.

You may choose to separate the data into relevant categories (please name the categories and fill in the respective year).

Item 1

Year

Category

Data
---30w

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
---	---	---	---	---

Contact details

General information on the national contact person for this indicator

Name and surname

Hammoud Abou Diab

Institution

Ministry of Agriculture

Address

No answer provided.

Email

No answer provided.

Telephone

No answer provided.

Interpretation

Please state whether you provided data for more than one year.

Yes

If no, do you see a pattern in the data?

No answer required.

Please explain the pattern emerging from the data.

No answer required.

Does the indicator change over time?

Uncertain

If no, please explain possible reasons why the indicator does not change over time

No answer required.

If yes, does the indicator value increase or decrease?

No answer required.

Please explain the pattern emerging from the data.

No answer required.

Is there a functional relationship between the indicator and DLDD?

No answer required.

If yes, please describe how DLDD affects the indicator

No answer required.

If DLDD does not affect the indicator, please describe the other factor(s) responsible for changes in the indicator value.

No answer required.

Please upload a map showing the temporal and/or geographical pattern/trend in the indicator over time.

The map should be provided as jpg file with a maximum file size of 2MB. To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map (resolution of map, year of the map, legend and corresponding colours, borders).

Attachments:

None.

Please state the actions and policies that you currently have in place or any that will be implemented in the future to address the implications of the indicator trend or lack thereof for addressing DLDD in your country.

No answer provided.

Report on specific COP requests – iterative process on indicators

Decision 13/COP.9 (paragraphs 2, 3 and 4) and decision 17/COP.9 envisage an iterative process to refine the set of performance and impact indicators. As a tool to implement this iterative process, affected country Parties can provide here their suggestions and recommendations for improvement. Using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 = (no, not at all) and 5 = (yes, very much), please rate the indicator. Please write any comments related to your assessment under the column “remarks”.

Assessment Criteria (e-SMART)	Score	Remarks
Relevant – Does the indicator provide information about changes in primary processes unambiguously related to DLDD and UNCCD implementation?	2	---
Relevant – Is the indicator relevant for DLDD national planning purposes, including monitoring of the National Action Programme (NAP)?	3	---
Relevant – Can policymakers easily understand the indicator?	3	---
Specific – Is the indicator based on well-understood and generally accepted conceptual models of the system to which it is applied so that changes in its value will have clear meaning regarding the process of concern?	4	---
Specific – Is the requested spatial scale (national vs. affected areas) of the indicator appropriate for its monitoring purposes?	4	---
Measurable – Are the definitions of the indicator and its constitutive elements clear and not ambiguous?	3	---
Measurable – Are the proposed methodologies for the measurement of this indicator sufficiently clear to ensure reliable data?	4	---
Time-bound – Is the indicator sensitive enough to detect important changes but not so sensitive that signals are masked by natural variability?	3	---
Time-bound – Can the indicator detect changes at the required temporal and spatial scales and are the up-scaling / cross-scaling rules clear?	3	---
Achievable – Are reliable data and monitoring systems available to assess trends and is data collection a relatively straightforward process?	2	---
Achievable – Is the frequency of data collection in line with the monitoring and reporting requirements of the UNCCD?	4	---
Economic – Is the indicator cost-effective? Is the cost of data collection affordable and worthwhile? (consider any required cost for personnel, capital but also, recurring costs)	4	---

Strategic Objective 4

Indicator SO-4-3

Strategic Objective 4

To mobilize resources to support implementation of the Convention through building effective partnerships between national and international actors

Impact indicator SO-4-3 for expected impact 4.1 (Increased financial, technical and technological resources are made available to affected developing country Parties, and where appropriate Central and Eastern European countries, to implement the Convention)

Percentage change in the domestic financial commitment to the implementation of the Convention

Understanding of the indicator

It provides an indication of the trend in the supply of public finance for DLDD-related investments and other Convention-related activities by affected developing country Parties.

Data needed

- DLDD-related programmes and projects (co-)financed through domestic public budgets
- Contribution by domestic public sources to investments and other initiatives to advance SLM
- Convention-related financial commitments by affected developing country Parties

Data sources (indicative only)

- PRAIS (financial annexes)
- CRIC performance reviews of OO5 and analyses of financial flows
- Relevant country-level studies to inform the IFS process (to be used when data is not available in the PRAIS system, and/or for cross referencing and validity checks)
- Inventories of SLM funding opportunities and/or investments
- Relevant databases and publications of authoritative entities (to be used when data is not available in the PRAIS system, and/or for cross referencing and validity checks)

Check the glossary for

Integrated financing strategy; integrated investment framework

Nominal amount (USD) of financial commitments for Convention-related objectives made from domestic public budgets (i.e. national or sub-national)

Year	Nominal amount (USD)
2010	1000000
2011	1000000

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Financial department/ Ministry of Agriculture**

Attachments:

None.

Indicator SO-4-6

Strategic Objective 4

To mobilize resources to support implementation of the Convention through building effective partnerships between national and international actors

Impact indicator SO-4-6 for expected impact 4.2 (Enabling policy environments are improved for UNCCD implementation at all levels)

Number and type of legal and regulatory frameworks, economic incentives or other mechanisms securing or

facilitating the transfer of funds for the implementation of the Convention at all levels.

Understanding of the indicator

It provides a measure of the efforts made by Convention stakeholders to facilitate the implementation of the Convention.

Data needed

- Laws and regulations
- Economic and financial measures (e.g. fiscal rules, tax benefits, credit lines and borrowing rules, etc.)
- Cooperation frameworks (e.g. agreements, memoranda of understanding, contracts, etc.)
- Sectoral policies (e.g. trade, marketing, property rights, business development, etc.)
- Convention-specific mechanisms

Data sources (indicative only)

- Public records of Convention stakeholders
- Relevant databases and publications and other authoritative entities (to be used when data is not available in the PRAIS system, and/or for cross referencing and validity checks)
- PRAIS (CONS-O-6, CONS-O-14, CONS-O-18)

Check the glossary for
Incentive

Number of mechanisms in place in the country to facilitate the mobilization of resources for the implementation of the Convention, by type

Year	Laws and regulations	Economic and financial incentives	Cooperation frameworks	Sectoral policies
2010	0	0	0	0
2011	0	0	0	0

Qualitative assessment

Description of mechanisms

Mechanism	Description
A - Laws and regulations	---
B - Economic and financial incentives	---
C - Cooperation frameworks	---
D - Sectoral policies	---

Geographical level of application

	International	Regional	Subregional	National	Local
A					
B					
C					
D					

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **National Focal Point. Mr. Hussein Nasrallah**

Attachments:

None.

Indicator SO-4-7

Strategic Objective 4

To mobilize resources to support implementation of the Convention through building effective partnerships between national and international actors

Impact indicator SO-4-7 for expected impact 4.2 (Enabling policy environments are improved for UNCCD implementation at all levels)

Clear entrusting of institutional responsibilities for UNCCD implementation, at all levels

Understanding of the indicator

It provides an indication of the effectiveness of institutional arrangements for the implementation of the Convention with regard to the resource mobilization process

Data needed

- Evidence of institutional arrangements, instruments and mechanisms that facilitate resource mobilization, or the lack thereof
- Best practices in resource mobilization

Data sources (indicative only)

- Public records of Convention stakeholders
- Relevant databases and publications of authoritative entities
- PRAIS (Best Practices on finance and resource mobilization)

Check the glossary for

N.A.

Institutional set up, responsibilities, and arrangements to facilitate the implementation of the Convention

Year	International level	Regional level	Subregional level	National level	Local level
2010	good	good	good	good	good
2011	good	good	good	good	good

Qualitative assessment

Description of institutional arrangements

Level	Description
A - International	Participation of the National Focal Point to all meetings. The Focal Point is member of the CRIC bureau
B - Regional	Participation of the National Focal Point to all meetings. The Focal Point is member of the CRIC bureau
C - Subregional	Participation of the National Focal Point to all meetings. The Focal Point is member of the CRIC bureau
D - National	Strong involvement of the FP in the decision making process at the MoA level
E - Local	Availability of Local Points in the affected regions

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **The National Focal Point, Mr.Hussein Nasrallah**

Attachments:

None.

Performance Indicators

Operational Objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education

Performance indicator CONS-O-1 for Outcome 1.1

Number and size of information events organized on the subject of DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity, and audience reached by media addressing DLDD and DLDD synergies.

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the performance of Convention-related communication strategies, in particular whether DLDD issues and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity are being communicated and if so, whether the communication is considered to be effective. Effectiveness is assessed through the appraisal of the media campaigns carried out; the assumption is that the stronger the media campaigns on DLDD issues and synergies, the higher the probability of passing the messages on to the target audiences. The focus of the indicator is on information activities specifically dedicated to DLDD issues and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity. Other reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on Convention-related communication strategies at the subregional, regional and global levels.

Data needed

- Information on events/media specifically addressing DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity.
- Only events organized by major national DLDD stakeholders about which NFPs have been informed should be considered.
- Only the media products from the five most important national TV/radio channels and the five most relevant national newspapers should be considered.

Data sources (indicative only)

Attendance list of events (meetings, workshops, seminars), programme/project documents, major national media (TV/radio channels, newspapers), the Internet, organizers of events.

Check the glossary for

'NFP', 'Information events', 'Media products', 'National communication strategy', 'Participant'

Check the reporting manual for

'How can the number of information events and estimated number of participants in information events be determined?', 'How can the number of media products be determined?', 'How can the proportion of the population which is informed about DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity be estimated?'

Overall target

By 2018, 30 per cent of the global population is informed about DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity.

Number of information events

Year	Number of information events	Estimated number of participants in the information events
2010	50	500000
2011	50	500000

Estimated number of persons reached by media products and by key stakeholders

Year	Stakeholder	Paper media products	Radio and TV	other ICT
2010	Public at Large	---	---	---
	Civil society organizations	---	---	---
	Science and technology institutions	---	---	---

Year	Stakeholder	Paper media products	Radio and TV	other ICT
2011	Public at Large	---	---	---
	Civil society organizations	---	---	---
	Science and technology institutions	---	---	---

Number of media products made public

Year	Newspapers	Radio and TV
2010	5	10
2011	5	10

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- The information is based on the number of media (newspapers, magazines, TV, radio), each media offering one yearly event on the issues related to DLDD, and on the average number of audience/users of the media. Active NGOs are organising yearly events and printing flyers and information notes.

Attachments:

None.

National contribution to the target

On the basis of your best knowledge, estimate the proportion (%) of the population in your country which is informed about DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity at the time of reporting?

Estimated share of total country population

20 %

Qualitative assessment

Is the information you have provided on communication processes part of a national communication strategy addressing environmental issues?

No answer provided.

Is there a national communication strategy addressing DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity?

No

If yes, does the implementation of the national communication strategy complement the implementation of the UNCCD Comprehensive Communication Strategy?

No answer required.

If no, is your country implementing the UNCCD Comprehensive Communication Strategy?

No

Is your country implementing activities relating to the United Nations Decade for Deserts and the Fight Against Desertification (UNDDD)?

No

Performance indicator CONS-O-3 for Outcome 1.3

Number of civil society organizations (CSOs) and science and technology institutions (STIs) participating in the

Convention processes.

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the level of participation of CSOs and STIs in DLDD-related programmes and projects. The indicator will outline whether the active involvement of these stakeholders in country-based initiatives increases over time and whether programmes/projects are valid tools for the engagement of, and receiving contributions from, CSOs and STIs at the field level. Other reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on the involvement of CSOs and STIs at the subregional, regional and global levels; additionally, the secretariat and the GM will report on the involvement of CSOs and STIs at the institutional level.

Data needed

A list of the organizations involved in the programmes/projects in the reporting country as reported in the PPSs.

Data sources (indicative only)

PPSs submitted to the UNCCD as part of the reporting exercise.

Check the glossary for

'STIs', 'CSOs', 'PPS', 'Convention processes'

Check the reporting manual for

'Which CSOs involved in DLDD-related programmes/projects should be counted?'

Overall target

A steady growth in the participation of CSOs and STIs in the Convention processes is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy.

Number of CSOs/STIs involved in each programme/project in the country

In the PPSs you have specified the number of CSOs and the number of STIs involved in each programme/project in your country. Add these numbers and give the totals by year in the table below.

Year	Number of CSOs involved in DLDD-related programmes/projects	Number of STIs involved in DLDD-related programmes/projects
2010	5	1
2011	5	1

Provide the names of these organizations.

Name
Association for Forest Development and Conservation
Jihad el Binaá
Makhzoumi Foundation
Green Line
Arc en ciel
National Center For Remote Sensing

National contribution to the target

At the time of reporting, is your government undertaking concrete initiatives to increase the participation of CSOs and STIs in DLDD-related programmes and projects?

Yes

If yes, provide a short description of actions taken at the national level to promote participation by CSOs and

STIs in the Convention processes

CSOs are partners in most of the initiatives launched by the Ministry of Agriculture and the Ministry of Environment. Participatory processes are always in place to review policies, and implement projects and activities. The MoA distributes forest seedlings the NGOs and municipalities in order to involve them in the efforts to increase the forest cover of the country.

The National Center for Remote Sensing is one of the major partners of the MoA in all studies related to Land-Cover and Land-Use and to the monitoring of desertification and land degradation.

Qualitative assessment

Specify the reasons for the increasing and/or decreasing trend of the participation of CSOs and STIs to DLDD-related programmes/projects.

Reasons for increasing

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Increased networking and collaboration opportunities	4
Increased access to information and to national and/or international financing opportunities	4
Increased willingness of the government in working with CSOs	4
Increased interest of donors in working with CSOs	5
Strengthened organizational, project management and fund-raising capacity of CSOs	5
Increased funding opportunities requiring partnership with the STIs	4
Strengthened organizational, project management and fund-raising capacity of the STIs	4
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Reasons for decreasing for CSOs

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Costly participatory processes	---
Low organizational, fund-raising and project management capacity of CSOs	---
Government policies and/or the legal environment do not foster the engagement of CSOs	---
Diminishing funding	---
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Reasons for decreasing for STIs

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
DLDD topics are not prioritized by national STIs	---

Reason	Level of importance
Low organizational, fund-raising and project management capacity of STIs	---
Decreased networking opportunities at national and international level	---
Diminishing funding	---
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Performance indicator CONS-O-4 for Outcome 1.3

Number and type of DLDD-related initiatives of civil society organizations (CSOs) and science and technology institutions (STIs) in the field of education.

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the number of DLDD-related initiatives undertaken by CSOs and STIs in the education sector. The assumption is that the higher the number of DLDD-related education initiatives undertaken by these stakeholders, the stronger their interest in addressing DLDD problems. This indicator focuses on “education” because “awareness” and “advocacy” are already measured through indicators CONS-O-1 and CONS-O-2, respectively. Other reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on the involvement of CSOs and STIs at the subregional, regional and global levels.

Data needed

- Information on initiatives undertaken in the field of education that may be found in: written communications by CSOs and STIs to the NFP; contractual and/or programme/project-related documents; records of academic bodies and their curricula; and Internet resources made available by CSOs and STIs.
- Only initiatives in the field of education taken in the country and directly relating to DLDD issues are to be considered.

Data sources (indicative only)

CSOs and STIs operating in the country.

Check the glossary for

‘CSOs’, ‘STIs’, ‘NFP’, Education initiatives’

Check the reporting manual for

‘Which CSOs involved in DLDD-related education initiatives should be counted?’

Overall target

A steady growth in the number of DLDD-related education initiatives undertaken by CSOs and science and technology institutions is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy.

Number of DLDD-related initiatives undertaken by CSOs/STIs

Year	Number of DLDD-related initiatives undertaken by CSOs	Number of DLDD-related initiatives undertaken by STIs
2010	2	1
2011	2	1

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **National Focal Point**

Attachments:

None.

National contribution to the target

At the time of reporting, is your government undertaking concrete initiatives to increase the delivery of DLDD-related initiatives in the education sector by CSOs and STIs?

Yes

If yes, provide a short description of actions taken at the national level to increase the number of DLDD-related initiatives of CSOs and STIs in the field of education

The government has approved the introduction of specific chapters on desertification and land degradation in the official curriculum at the school level

Qualitative assessment

Specify the reasons for the increasing and/or decreasing trend of DLDD-related education initiatives undertaken by CSOs and STIs.

Reasons for increasing

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Increased access to funding	3
Increased awareness of DLDD-related problems and of the need for action	4
Increased knowledge of DLDD-related topics and enhanced skills of trainers/teachers	2
Government policies are more supportive of education initiatives	2
International donors are more supportive of education-focussed initiatives.	2
Other	0

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Reasons for decreasing for CSOs

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Lack of financial resources	4
Insufficient awareness and knowledge by national CSOs of DLDD-related issues	1
Limited capillary presence of national CSOs at the grass-root level	2
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Reasons for decreasing for STIs

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Lack of financial resources	2
National STIs are more focussed on research activities than on education and training	4

Reason	Level of importance
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Operational Objective 2: Policy framework

Performance indicator CONS-O-5 for Outcomes 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3

Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities to have finalized the formulation/revision of NAPs/SRAPs/RAPs aligned to The Strategy, taking into account biophysical and socio-economic information, national planning and policies, and integration into investment frameworks.

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the performance of affected country Parties in formulating or revising their NAPs in alignment with The Strategy. While providing information on this process, the indicator also outlines whether: (a) the analysis of DLDD drivers, barriers to possible solutions, and measures that may eventually overcome these barriers, has been carried out; (b) the alignment process has been supported by biophysical and socio-economic baseline information; (c) the action programmes have been included in integrated investment frameworks; and (d) the action programmes have been integrated with other existing national plans and policies. The indicator will inform on the extent to which Parties have responded to decision 3/COP.8, paragraph 45, and on the feasibility of assessing the progress of The Strategy over its implementation period (2008–2018). Subregional and regional reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on formulation or revision of SRAPs and RAPs in alignment with The Strategy.

Data needed

- UNCCD NAP. Only a NAP formally approved by the relevant governmental authorities is to be considered as 'finalized'
- Other relevant planning documents

Data sources (indicative only)

UNCCD NFP.

Check the glossary for

'Finalized', 'NAP', 'NFP', 'driver', 'integrated investment framework', 'baseline', 'NAP formulation', 'NAP adoption', 'NAP alignment', 'Formulation of an aligned NAP'

Overall target

By 2014, at least 80 per cent of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities have formulated/ revised a NAP/SRAP/RAP aligned to The Strategy.

NAP Adoption and Revision

Had your country already adopted a NAP prior to The Strategy, i.e. before 31.12.2007?

Yes

If your country had adopted a NAP prior to The Strategy, i.e. before 31.12.2007, specify the date of its approval.

2000-06-30

If your country had adopted a NAP prior to The Strategy, has it revised the NAP in alignment with The Strategy, i.e. after 1.1.2008?

No

If your country has revised the NAP in alignment with The Strategy, i.e. after 1.1.2008, specify the date of its approval.

No answer required.

If your country has not revised the NAP in alignment with The Strategy, specify why the process was not

initiated.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Not a priority for the government	1
Lack of capacities	2
Lack of financial resources	5
Understaffing	3
Lack of time	0
Poor internal coordination among relevant ministries	3
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

If your country had no NAP prior to The Strategy, has it formulated an aligned NAP after The Strategy's adoption, i.e. after 1.1 2008?

No answer required.

If yes, specify the date of its approval.

No answer required.

If your country has some specific issues with regard to the characteristics of the NAP and/or the status of its implementation, particularly in how they relate to its alignment with The Strategy, describe them briefly.

No answer provided.

If your country did not have a NAP by the end of the reporting period, specify why the process was not initiated.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

No answer required.

Other (specify)

No answer required.

For countries having a NAP aligned to The Strategy

Is your country's NAP supported by biophysical and socio-economic baseline information?

No answer provided.

Does your country's NAP assess DLDD drivers?

No answer provided.

Does your country's NAP assess the barriers to sustainable land management?

No answer provided.

If yes, does it include recommendations to remove these barriers?

No answer required.

Has your country's NAP been included in an integrated investment framework?

No answer provided.

Has your country's NAP been integrated into national development planning and relevant sectoral and investment plans and policies?

No answer provided.

If yes, has the NAP been integrated into your country's Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper?

No answer required.

Did your country refer to the guidelines on the alignment of action programmes with The Strategy as proposed in ICCD/COP(9)/2/Add.1 while revising the NAP to be in alignment with The Strategy or while formulating an aligned NAP?

No answer provided.

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- NAP

Attachments:

None.

National contribution to the target

If your country did not have a NAP aligned to The Strategy by the end of the reporting period, when do you plan to have it completed?

2012–2013

If you do not have an approved NAP aligned to The Strategy at the time of reporting, when do you plan to have it developed and approved?

No answer provided.

Qualitative assessment

Has the revision of the NAP to be in alignment with The Strategy or the formulation of an aligned NAP been supported by external assistance?

No answer provided.

If yes, did you receive assistance from one or more of the following institutions?

No answer required.

If yes, which type of assistance did you receive?

No answer required.

Identify the major difficulties experienced in the process of revising the NAP to be in alignment with The Strategy or in formulating an aligned NAP.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Not a priority for the government	---
Poor availability of biophysical and socio-economic baseline information	---
Existing investment frameworks are not fully compatible with the NAP	---
Streamlining the NAP into existing plans and policies is too time-consuming	---
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Performance indicator CONS-O-7 for Outcome 2.5

Number of initiatives for synergistic planning/programming of the three Rio Conventions or mechanisms for joint implementation, at all levels.

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the existence of synergistic processes through the number of instruments (i.e. joint planning/programming and/or operational mechanisms) in place which foster the introduction of, or strengthen the mutually reinforcing measures among, the three Rio Conventions. The assumption is that the higher the number of enabling instruments in place, the higher the possibility of achieving synergies in implementation. This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on synergistic processes at the subregional, regional and global levels.

Data needed

- Planning/programming documents and legislative/regulatory documents.
- Information on operational mechanisms explicitly aimed at achieving joint implementation, synergies and convergence, as well as at introducing or strengthening reinforcing measures among the Rio Conventions.

Data sources (indicative only)

Relevant national ministries.

Check the glossary for

'Joint planning/programming initiatives', 'Operational mechanisms for joint implementation or mutual reinforcement'

Check the reporting manual for

'Which synergistic instruments should be included?', 'Indicative list of activities by Parties to promote synergies among the Rio Conventions'

Overall target

By 2014, each affected country Party has either one joint national plan in place or functional mechanism(s) to ensure synergies among the three Rio Conventions.

Was your country implementing joint planning/programming initiatives for the three Rio Conventions in the reporting period?

No

If yes, specify the type of joint initiative(s)

No answer required.

Other (specify)

No answer required.

Did operational mechanisms for joint implementation or mutual reinforcement exist in your country during the reporting period?

No

If yes, specify the type of mechanism(s)

No answer required.

Other (specify)

No answer required.

Sources of information

List the synergistic instruments referred to above.

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

No answer provided.

Attachments:

None.

National contribution to the target

If your country was not implementing joint planning/programming or did not have operational mechanisms in place by the end of the last reporting period, when do you plan to have synergetic instruments in place?

2014–2015

Qualitative assessment

Has the establishment of synergistic processes for joint implementation of the Rio Conventions at national level been supported by the institutions of the Rio Conventions?

No

If yes, by the institutions of which Convention?

No answer required.

Identify the major difficulties experienced in establishing synergistic planning/programming or mechanisms for joint implementation.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Not a priority for the government	3
Lack of capacities	4
Lack of financial resources	4
Understaffing	4
Lack of time	0
Poor internal coordination among relevant ministries	5
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Operational Objective 3: Science, technology and knowledge**Performance indicator CONS-O-8 for Outcomes 3.1 and 3.2**

Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities to have established and supported a national/subregional/regional monitoring system for DLDD

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the monitoring potential of the country by quantifying the number of monitoring systems established and supported. These monitoring systems may be specifically dedicated to DLDD or may partially cover it. The indicator will inform on the extent to which it is realistic to expect more regular and coherent reporting by affected country Parties during the implementation of The Strategy and beyond. This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on UNCCD-relevant monitoring systems established and supported at the subregional, regional and global levels.

Data needed

- Information on monitoring systems established within the national ministries or other bodies/institutions
- Programme/project documents and interim or final reports

Data sources (indicative only)

Relevant national ministries, programme/project management units, other non-governmental sources.

Check the glossary for
'monitoring system', 'monitoring system specifically dedicated to DLDD', 'monitoring system partially covering DLDD'

Check the reporting manual for
'Can a monitoring system that is not an environmental monitoring system, but which accounts for the socio-economic aspects of DLDD, be considered a DLDD monitoring system?', 'Can a meteorological monitoring system be considered a DLDD monitoring system?'

Overall target

By 2018, at least 60 per cent of affected country Parties, subregional and regional reporting entities have established and supported national monitoring systems for DLDD.

Is a monitoring system specifically dedicated to DLDD established at the national level?

Yes

If yes, specify whether this system is functional

Yes

If yes, specify whether this system is regularly updated

Yes

If no DLDD-specific monitoring system is in place, is a monitoring system partially covering DLDD established at the national level?

No answer required.

List any monitoring system available at the sub-national level that can contribute to the UNCCD reporting.

No answer provided.

List the main features of the monitoring system available at the national level, in particular those that can contribute to UNCCD reporting.

No answer provided.

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- Information on protected areas is provided by the managers of the PAs. Information on the observatory and the MoA is provided by the National Focal Point, Director of Studies and Coordination at the MoA. A regional monitoring system is available at the National Center for Remote Sensing.

Attachments:

None.

National contribution to the target

If your country did not have a national monitoring system specifically dedicated to DLDD or partially covering DLDD in place by the end of the reporting period, do you plan to initiate one?

No answer provided.

If yes, when?

No answer required.

Qualitative assessment

For those countries not having a national monitoring system specifically dedicated to DLDD or partially covering DLDD, identify the major difficulties experienced in the establishment process.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Financial constraints	---
Lack of capacities	---
Human resources constraints	---
Lack of coordination among relevant ministries and unclear attribution of responsibilities	---
Lack of coordination among donor-led programme/project interventions	---
Existing initiatives are too fragmented; cannot be realistically coordinated under one umbrella	---
Existing national and/or sub-national monitoring systems use different methodologies and cannot be realistically harmonized	---
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

For those countries having a national monitoring system specifically dedicated to DLDD or partially covering DLDD, how is the system maintained?

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
By means of national resources	4
By means of external support	2
No maintenance is possible due to limited professional capacities	0
No maintenance is possible due to limited financial resources	0
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Performance indicator CONS-O-9 for Outcome 3.1 and 3.2

Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities reporting to the Convention along revised reporting guidelines on the basis of agreed indicators

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the use of biophysical and socio-economic information in defining a commonly agreed core set of impact indicators for the UNCCD and in monitoring progress against these indicators using harmonized methodologies. The indicator will inform to what extent it is possible to compile a comparable and global assessment of UNCCD impact. Subregional and regional reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on the use of impact indicators at the subregional and regional levels, if and when impact indicators for these levels will be commonly agreed upon by the Conference of the Parties.

Data needed

- Reports to the UNCCD by affected country Parties in 2012 and 2016.
- The information to report on this indicator will be compiled by affected country Parties every four years when reporting on the strategic objectives that require biophysical and socio-economic information (i.e. SO1, SO2 and SO3). **Reporting on this indicator is due in 2012 and in 2016 only.**

Data sources (indicative only)
UNCCD NFP

Check the glossary for
'NFP'

Overall target

By 2018, at least 90 per cent of affected country Parties, subregional and regional reporting entities report to the Convention in compliance with the new reporting guidelines.

Has your country reported on the two impact indicators considered by decision 13/COP.9 to be the minimum reporting requirement?

Yes

Number of impact indicators for strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3 your country has reported on in 2012 and 2016

2012

4

2016

No answer provided.

While reporting on impact indicators, did you refer to the reporting guidelines, i.e. using the common baselines and methodologies defined by the CST?

Yes

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **National Focal Point**

Attachments:

None.

National contribution to the target

If in 2012 your country has not reported on some or all of the impact indicators for the UNCCD, do you plan to do so in 2016?

Yes

If in 2012 your country has not complied with the reporting guidelines, i.e. using the common baselines and methodologies defined by the CST, do you plan to do so in 2016?

Yes

Performance indicator CONS-O-10 for Outcome 3.3 and 3.4

Number of revised NAPs/SRAPs/RAPs reflecting knowledge of DLDD drivers and their interactions, and of the interaction of DLDD with climate change and biodiversity

Understanding of the indicator

The indicator measures knowledge-transfer processes from the theoretical to the operational level. This is done through an assessment carried out by affected country Parties (self-assessment) of the levels of traditional and scientific knowledge reflected in their NAPs. The assumption is that NAPs based on sound scientific and traditional knowledge will propose more significant and effective strategies and activities for implementation at the national level, and will, ultimately, perform better than those NAPs that do not take into account available knowledge on DLDD and

DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity. The indicator will inform to what extent UNCCD implementation is likely to achieve meaningful results. Subregional and regional reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on the assessment of their SRAPs and RAPs.

Data needed

- NAP aligned to The Strategy
- Scientific literature consulted for the formulation/revision of the NAP

Data sources (indicative only)

UNCCD NFP

Check the glossary for

'NAP', 'NAP adoption', 'NAP alignment', 'NAP formulation', 'formulation of an aligned NAP', 'NFP', 'driver', 'drought', 'drought preparedness, including mitigation'

*As this indicator is meant to contribute to the country's self-assessment of its aligned NAP, **countries not having a NAP or not having revised their NAP in alignment with The Strategy do NOT report on this indicator.***

Overall target

By 2018, at least 70 per cent of revised NAPs/SRAPs/RAPs have successfully gone through a quality self-assessment.

Sources of information

UNCCD NAP formulated taking account of, or revised in alignment with, The Strategy.

Assessment of the aligned NAP

In your NAP, is the identification of biophysical and socio-economic drivers, and of their interaction, knowledge-based?

No

If yes, specify upon which type of knowledge it is based

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

No answer required.

If yes, specify upon which type of knowledge it is based

No answer provided.

If based on scientific literature, list the main reference literature consulted (add as many rows as needed). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

No answer required.

In your NAP, is the analysis of the interaction between DLDD and climate change or biodiversity knowledge-based?

No

If yes, specify upon which type of knowledge it is based.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

No answer required.

If yes, specify upon which type of knowledge it is based.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

No answer provided.

If based on scientific literature, list the main reference literature consulted (add as many rows as needed). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

No answer required.

Is drought policy and drought preparedness, including mitigation, analyzed and/or reflected in some of the actions outlined in the NAP?

No

If drought policy and drought preparedness, including mitigation, are not analyzed and/or reflected in some of the actions outlined in the NAP, when do you plan to do so?

2012–2013

National contribution to the target

If in your NAP, DLDD drivers, their interactions, and the interaction of DLDD with climate change and biodiversity are not analyzed on the basis of relevant scientific, expert and/or traditional knowledge, when do you plan to do so?

2014–2015

Qualitative assessment

If your NAP has not been developed taking into account relevant scientific and/or traditional knowledge, identify the reasons.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Relevant scientific literature is not available	0
Relevant traditional or expert knowledge is not available	0
Lack of financial resources to mobilise the necessary knowledge	3
Poor coordination among the relevant ministries prevented an internal pooling of knowledge/expertise	4
Relevant ministries could not contribute due to lack of time	4
Relevant ministries could not contribute due to lack of staff	4
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Performance indicator CONS-O-11 for Outcome 3.5

Type, number and users of DLDD-relevant knowledge-sharing systems at the global, regional, subregional and national levels described on the Convention website

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the presence of DLDD-related knowledge-sharing processes, through the quantification of the type and number of existing knowledge-sharing systems. Effectiveness of these systems is measured through quantification of their user-base. The indicator will inform to what extent scientific and traditional knowledge, including best practices, are available to and sufficiently shared with end-users. This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on existing UNCCD-relevant knowledge-sharing systems at the subregional, regional and global levels.

Data needed

- Information from websites.
- Only DLDD-relevant knowledge-sharing systems and networks shall be considered.

Data sources (indicative only)

Relevant organizations and ministries hosting knowledge-sharing systems and networks within their website

Check the glossary for

'knowledge-sharing system', 'PRAIS'

Check the reporting manual for

'How can you provide the number of users in a knowledge-sharing system?'

Overall target

By 2010 the Convention website has been restructured and includes a thematic database on knowledge-sharing systems as part of the PRAIS.

Knowledge-sharing systems

List any DLDD-relevant 'knowledge-sharing system' in your country you are aware of, providing an Internet link and estimated number of users per year.

Item 1

Name of the system

Combating Desertification in the Arab Region

Internet link

www.codanet.net

Estimated number of users per year

1000

List any DLDD-relevant 'knowledge-sharing system' in your country you are aware of, providing an Internet link and estimated number of users per year.

Add as many rows as necessary.

Name of the System

No answer provided.

Internet Link

No answer provided.

Estimated number of users per year

No answer provided.

Operational Objective 4: Capacity building

Performance indicator CONS-O-13 for Outcomes 4.1 and 4.2

Number of countries, subregional and regional reporting entities engaged in building capacity to combat DLDD on the basis of NCSA or other methodologies and instruments

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the presence of capacity-building processes through the quantification of existing DLDD-related capacity-building initiatives. The indicator will inform to what extent affected country Parties may be expected to meet their obligations foreseen by the Convention, including forthcoming ones (i.e. new reporting requirements, establishment of monitoring systems, accessing new financing mechanisms). This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on capacity-building initiatives at the subregional, regional and global levels.

Data needed

- Information on DLDD-related capacity-building initiatives; only programmes/projects mentioned in the PPSs that have DLDD-related capacity-building as a major objective are to be considered.

Data sources (indicative only)

- PPSs submitted to UNCCD as part of the reporting exercise
- Programme/project documents and interim or final reports of those programmes and projects identified through the PPSs as having DLDD-related capacity-building as a major objective

Check the glossary for

'capacity-building', 'capacity development', 'capacity-building initiative', 'NCSA', 'PPS'

Check the reporting manual for
'What can be considered as a programme or project that has DLDD-related capacity-building as a major objective?'

Overall target

By 2014, at least 90 per cent of affected country Parties, subregional and regional reporting entities implement DLDD-specific capacity-building plans or programmes/projects.

Number of DLDD-related capacity-building initiatives implemented

Year	NCSA-generated	Other initiatives
2010	0	0
2011	0	0

Provide relevant information on the size, scope, effectiveness and status of the initiatives reported.

No answer provided.

Has your country assessed DLDD-related capacity-building needs at the national level?

Yes

If yes, within the framework of which initiative?

NCSA

Other (specify)

No answer required.

If yes, has your country assessed the necessary resources for addressing capacity-building needs?

No

If yes, are these resource requirements included in an investment framework?

No

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- Meeting with the National Focal Point and his team

Attachments:

None.

National contribution to the target

If at the time of reporting there are no DLDD-specific capacity-building plans, programmes or projects implemented in your country, when do you plan to have something in place?

2014–2015

Qualitative assessment

Has your country received assistance from one or more of the following institutions to build capacities to combat DLDD?

- GEF
- Multilateral (United Nations agencies, IGOs, international financing institutions, etc.)

If yes, which type of assistance have you received?

- **Technical support**
 - **Financial support**
-

Operational Objective 5: Financing and technology transfer

Performance indicator CONS-O-14 for Outcome 5.1

Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities whose investment frameworks, established within the IFS devised by the GM or within other IFSs, reflect leveraging national, bilateral and multilateral resources for combating desertification and land degradation

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the presence of integrated financing processes allowing the leverage of national, bilateral and multilateral resources for combating desertification and land degradation, through the quantification of investment frameworks developed by country Parties within the IFS devised by the GM or other IFSs promoted by diverse international institutions. This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on the establishment of IIFs at national, subregional and regional levels.

Data needed

- Investment framework documents.
- Only investment frameworks prepared along the guidelines devised within IFSs shall be considered.

Data sources (indicative only)

Relevant national ministries

Check the glossary for
'IFS', 'NAP', 'leveraging', 'IIF'

Overall target

By 2014, at least 50 per cent of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities have developed IIFs.

Had your country developed an IIF by the end of the reporting period?

No

If yes, specify when it was developed.

No answer required.

Is your country's IIF based on the NAP?

No answer required.

If based on the NAP, who assisted in its development?

No answer required.

Other (specify)

No answer required.

If assisted, which type of assistance did you receive?

No answer required.

If assisted by the GM, was it devised within the IFS?

No answer required.

If your country has an IIF based on the NAP, does this framework allow for the leveraging of national, bilateral and multilateral resources for combating DLDD?

No answer required.

Did your country receive assistance from the GM in exploring non-traditional and innovative channels of financial resources?

No answer provided.

Short overview of the progress in implementing the IIF

Provide a short overview of the progress in implementing the IIF in your country, in particular as it relates to its functionality and efficiency in leveraging the funding necessary for implementing the Convention.

No answer provided.

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Meeting with the National Focal Point and his team**

Attachments:

None.

National contribution to the target

If your country had not developed an IIF by the end of the reporting period, do you plan to do it?

Yes

If yes, when?

2014–2015

Qualitative assessment

Identify the major difficulties experienced in developing an IIF.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Financial constraints	4
Human resources constraints	4
Lack of coordination among relevant ministries and unclear attribution of responsibilities	4
Lack of coordination among those providing support	4
National bilateral and multilateral resources are too diverse; cannot be realistically coordinated under one umbrella.	4
Other	1

Other (specify)

The IIF will be developed during the alignment process

Performance indicator CONS-O-16 for Outcome 5.2

Degree of adequacy, timeliness and predictability of financial resources made available by developed country Parties to combat DLDD

Understanding of the indicator

This is a qualitative indicator requiring the perception-based assessment by developing affected country Parties of the adequacy, timeliness and predictability of bilateral contributions received from developed country Parties for the implementation of the Convention. “Adequate”, “timely” and “predictable” resources are frequently referred to in The Strategy as being necessary to ensure proper planning and effective implementation. Subregional and regional reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on their perception-based assessments.

Data needed

-
Data sources (indicative only)

-
Check the glossary for

-
Only affected country Parties entitled to receive assistance under the UNCCD are requested to report on this indicator.

Refer your assessment to the following biennium only:

- In 2010, ~~biennium 2008–2009~~

- In 2012, biennium 2010–2011

Overall target

No target has been set for this indicator.

Bilateral assistance received

How would you rate the bilateral assistance received within the framework of UNCCD for the implementation of The Strategy and of the Convention?

Adequacy of bilateral assistance

Not adequate

Timeliness of bilateral assistance

No answer provided.

Predictability of bilateral assistance

No answer provided.

Provide narrative justification on your above rating

No answer provided.

Additional information on any other impacting aspects

If relevant, provide additional information on whether there are any other aspects beyond adequacy, timeliness and predictability of financial support made available by developed country Parties to combat DLDD which impact proper planning and effective implementation of the Convention in your country.

No answer provided.

Qualitative assessment

Did you receive assistance in raising resources from bilateral donors?

Yes

If yes, from whom?

- **Multilateral (United Nations agencies, IGOs, international financing institutions, etc.)**

Other (specify)

No answer required.

Has the level of adequacy, timeliness and predictability of bilateral assistance constrained your country's performance in planning and implementation with respect to UNCCD?

No

Performance indicator CONS-O-17 for Outcome 5.3

Number of DLDD-related project proposals successfully submitted for financing to international financial institutions, facilities and funds, including the GEF

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the capacity of fund-raising through the quantification of project proposals successfully submitted for funding to the various financing organizations. The indicator will inform to what extent affected country Parties make increasing efforts to mobilize resources. This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on the fund-raising efforts at national, subregional and regional levels.

Data needed

Information contained in the PPSs and SFAs submitted to UNCCD.

Data sources (indicative only)

- PPSs and SFAs submitted to UNCCD as part of the reporting exercise.
- The PPS requires specification of the project 'status' thus it allows the identification of relevant projects to be considered by this indicator and the monitoring of their approval status.
- The SFA requires the specification of amounts committed to approved projects.

Check the glossary for

'PPS', 'SFA', 'project proposals', 'currency', 'successfully submitted proposals'

Overall target

A steady growth in the number of DLDD-related successfully submitted project proposals is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy.

Sources of information

PPSs and SFAs

Number of project proposals submitted (pipeline) and ongoing, by biennium

Biennium	Submitted (pipeline)	Ongoing
2010–2011	1	10

Amount of funds raised, by biennium

You can find the amount of funds raised for the ongoing projects in the corresponding SFAs. Sum these amounts and give the total in the below table.

Biennium	Currency	Total amount
2010–2011	EUR	53704534

National contribution to the target

According to the information provided above, do you think that your country is mobilizing enough resources from international financial institutions, facilities and funds through successfully submitted project proposals?

Yes

If no, does your country plan to increase its efforts in presenting project proposals to international financial institutions, facilities and funds?

No answer required.

What percentage of financing used for the implementation of DLDD-related programmes and projects comes from national sources, and what percentage from international sources?

Source	%
National sources	10
International sources	90

Qualitative assessment

Identify the reasons for the increasing or decreasing trend of project proposals successfully submitted to international financial institutions, facilities and funds.

Reasons for increasing

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Easier and more transparent application procedures	4
Increased capacities of national stakeholders to prepare applications	4
Major natural hazards occurred at the national level considerably increased the level of resources made available by the international community	1
Access to funding is increasingly facilitated by third parties such as the private sector	1
Existence of a financing strategy (IFS or others)	3
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Reasons for decreasing

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Financing opportunities are not publicized enough, lack of access to necessary information	---
Complicated application procedures, the level of complexity being worsened by the different requirements of the various donors	---
Limited financial resources are made available for DLDD-related programmes/projects, and lack of DLDD-specific allocations within donors' portfolio.	---
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Performance indicator CONS-O-18 for Outcome 5.5

Amount of financial resources and type of incentives which have enabled access to technology by affected country Parties

Understanding of the indicator

The indicator measures whether access to technology is facilitated by means of financial resources or economic and policy incentives. At the national level, the indicator will inform to what extent an enabling environment for technology transfer has been created and whether sufficient resources are dedicated to technology transfer. Subregional and regional reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on financial resources and type of incentives which have enabled access to technology at the subregional and regional levels.

Data needed

- Budgets of relevant programmes and projects
- Information on policy/regulatory, financial and fiscal incentives. Incentives facilitating access to technology are those

established and implemented at the national level, and not necessarily only within the framework of DLDD-related cooperation.

Data sources (indicative only)

- Financial documents of programmes and projects submitted as PPSs to the UNCCD as part of the reporting exercise
- National policy, regulatory and economic/financial documents

Check the glossary for

'technology transfer', 'technical support', 'incentive', 'PPS'

Check the reporting manual for

'How to disaggregate the amounts by year?', 'How to measure the effectiveness of technology transfer initiatives?'

Overall targets

- A steady growth in the financial resources allocated to facilitate access to technology by affected country Parties is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy.
- A steady growth in the number of economic and policy incentives reported upon is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy.

Estimate of amounts allocated to facilitate access to material and to knowledge aid (technology transfer)

Year	Currency	Amount
2010	---	---
2011	---	---

Estimate of amounts allocated to facilitate access to material and to knowledge aid (technology transfer)

Year	Technical support – material aid	Technical support – knowledge aid
2010	---	---
2011	---	---

Has your country established incentives intended to facilitate access to technology?

No

If yes, specify which types of incentives.

No answer required.

Provide a short overview of specific aspects and the nature of technology transfer in your country, in particular in relation to those aspects where there is a need to increase the level of technology transfer.

No answer provided.

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- Focal Point of the UNCCD

Attachments:

None.

National contribution to the target

According to the information provided above, do you think that enough resources are allocated through DLDD-related programmes and projects to facilitate access to technology by your country?

No

If your country has no incentives in place or if existing incentives to facilitate the creation of an enabling environment for technology transfer do not prove to be effective, are you planning to enforce additional measures?

Yes

If yes, when?

2014–2015

Qualitative assessment

If existing incentives do not prove to be effective, identify possible reasons.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Policy or regulatory incentives are not enforced	---
There are not enough resources to apply financial or fiscal incentives	4
The national financial and credit systems (banks, credit agencies, etc) are not supportive	3
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Identify the reasons for the increasing trend of financial resources allocated through DLDD-related programmes and projects to facilitate access to technology.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Access facilitated by the spreading of IT	---
More appropriate technologies available	---
Appropriateness of government incentives	---
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Identify the reasons for decreasing trend of financial resources allocated through DLDD-related programmes and projects to facilitate access to technology.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Technology sustainability is poor; technologies do not represent viable investments	---
Lack of fixed infrastructure for accessing technologies (those created on an ad hoc basis disappear once the support ends)	---
Lack of capacities for operation and maintenance of technologies	---
Lack of enabling policy and regulatory environments	---
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Standard Financial Annex

The CRIC has recommended that financial reporting be based on a standard financial reporting format to be used by affected country Parties and their development partners. It also indicated that emphasis in reports should be put on financial matters and also on an analysis of the impact of the activities undertaken (ICCD/CRIC(8)/5).

The purpose of the SFA is to consolidate information on resources mobilized by affected country Parties and their development partners under the framework of relevant strategies and action programmes. It facilitates the aggregation of data on financial commitments, financial flows and resources available by all relevant funding sources for activities related to the implementation of the Convention. It also helps minimize double counting in financial statistics (ICCD/CRIC(8)/5/Add.4).

The SFA is to be used by each country Party and other reporting entities to list all financial commitments they have made during the reporting period in support of institutions, programmes, projects, as well as other relevant initiatives undertaken at national or international level for the implementation of the Convention.

More specifically, for each relevant financial commitment or allocation made in the reporting period, the SFA requires a minimum set of data grouped as follows:

- a. Identification, i.e. data required to identify the reporting entity, the funding source and the activity financed;
- b. Basic data, i.e. data specifying the amount and type of financial commitment made, as well as the recipient country, region, and/or organization, and the funding period, if applicable;
- c. Classification, i.e. categorization of the funded activity according to the Rio Markers for desertification, and the UNCCD Relevant Activity Codes (RACs).

The compilation of the SFA is guided by means of a template, which responds to the recommendations of CRIC 7, and builds on the GM methodological guide for financial reporting presented to CRIC 6 as part of the report of the intergovernmental Ad Hoc Working Group to improve the procedures for communication of information.

Within the template, shaded areas contain information and explanatory texts, while white areas are for reporting purposes and need to be filled in by the reporting entities with relevant data or narrative information.

Decision 13/COP.9, paragraph 8, invites country Parties and other reporting entities to refer to common terminology and definitions. Therefore, these guidelines should be read in conjunction with the comprehensive glossary of performance indicators for the review of implementation of The Strategy and Best Practices, common to all reporting entities and contained in a separate document (ICCD/CRIC(9)/13).

Financial Commitment #1 — Support to ACSAD to implement the UNCCD ...

Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

- Lebanon - CCD Focal Point - Ministry of Agriculture

Other

No answer provided.

Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

CODAnet

Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

No answer provided.

Other

- GIZ

Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

Support to ACSAD to implement the UNCCD

Sub-title: Flood risk management

Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- **Lebanon**
 - **Jordan**
 - **Syrian Arab Republic**
-

Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

- **Arab Centre for Dry Region and Arid Land Studies**
 - **Lebanon - CCD Focal Point - Ministry of Agriculture**
 - **Jordan - CCD Focal Point - The General Corporation for the Environment Protection**
 - **Syrian Arab Republic - Central Government Institutions**
-

Other

No answer provided.

Executing Agency(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

No answer provided.

Commitment date

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

No answer provided.

Amount committed

Enter the total amount of money committed

500000 Euro

Type of funding

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

Grant

Start date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

1997-09-01

Completion date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

2014-09-30

Duration (no. of months)

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

No answer provided.

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

3

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- 3 Resource Management
 - 3.2 Natural Resource Management
 - 3.2.7 Water Conservation
 - 3.2.8 Sustainable Land Management
-

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- Project fact sheets
www.codanet.net

Attachments:

None.

Financial Commitment #2 — Support to the Arab Center for the Study of Arid Zones and Dry Lands (ACSAD) to implement the UNCCD ...

Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

- Lebanon - CCD Focal Point - Ministry of Agriculture

Other

- GIZ - German International Cooperation
-

Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

CODAnet

Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

No answer provided.

Other

- GIZ
-

Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

Support to the Arab Center for the Study of Arid Zones and Dry Lands (ACSAD) to implement the UNCCD

Promotion of ACSAD as Regional Service Provider

Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- Lebanon
 - Syrian Arab Republic
 - Jordan
-

Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

- Arab Centre for Dry Region and Arid Land Studies
- Lebanon - CCD Focal Point - Ministry of Agriculture
- Syrian Arab Republic - CCD Focal Point - Ministry of Environment
- Jordan - CCD Focal Point - The General Corporation for the Environment Protection

Other

No answer provided.

Executing Agency(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

No answer provided.

Commitment date

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

No answer provided.

Amount committed

Enter the total amount of money committed

500000 Euro

Type of funding

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

Grant

Start date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

1997-09-01

Completion date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

2014-09-30

Duration (no. of months)

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

No answer provided.

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

3

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **2 Capacity Development and Planning**
 - **2.1 Advocacy and Awareness Raising**
 - **2.1.1 Public Awareness Campaigns**
 - **2.1.2 Publications and communication material**
 - **2.2.1 Capacity-Building**
 - **2.2.6 Governance and Legislation**
 - **3 Resource Management**
 - **3.1 Production Systems**
 - **3.1.1 Agriculture**
 - **4 Mitigation and Recovery**
 - **4.1 Mitigation/Recovery**
 - **4.1.1 Adaptation to climate change**
 - **4.1.2 Drought mitigation**
 - **4.1.3 Environment Restoration**
-

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Project fact sheets**
www.codanet.net

Attachments:

None.

Financial Commitment #3 — Flood Risks Management and Water Harvesting for Livelihood in Baalbeck - Hermel Phase II

Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

- **Lebanon - Central Government Institutions**

Other

- **Ministry of Agriculture- Focal Point for the UNCCD**
-

Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

69789 DIM

Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

No answer provided.

Other

- **Government of Spain through LRF (Lebanese relief Fund)**
-

Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

Flood Risks Management and Water Harvesting for Livelihood in Baalbeck - Hermel Phase II

Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- **Lebanon**
-

Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

- **Lebanon - Central Government Institutions**

Other

- **Ministry of Agriculture**
Ministry of Energy and Water
Council for Development and Reconstruction
-

Executing Agency(ies)

Enter the full name an acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

No answer provided.

Commitment date

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

No answer provided.

Amount committed

Enter the total amount of money committed

3800000 US Dollar

Type of funding

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

Grant

Start date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

2007-10-01

Completion date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

2013-02-01

Duration (no. of months)

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

No answer provided.

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

3

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **3 Resource Management**
- **3.2 Natural Resource Management**
- **3.2.7 Water Conservation**
- **3.2.6 Land Conservation**
- **3.2.8 Sustainable Land Management**
- **4 Mitigation and Recovery**
- **4.1 Mitigation/Recovery**
- **4.1.3 Environment Restoration**
- **5 Emergency Response**

- 5.2 Land Reclamation and/or Rehabilitation
 - 5.7 Reconstruction of Water Management Systems and Infrastructure
-

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Project officer**
Project document

Attachments:

None.

Financial Commitment #4 — LEBANON: HILLY AREAS SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE DEVELOPMENT PROJECT

Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

- **Lebanon - Central Government Institutions**

Other

No answer provided.

Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

HASAD

Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

No answer provided.

Other

- **IFAD (International Fund for Agricultural Development)**
-

Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

LEBANON: HILLY AREAS SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE DEVELOPMENT PROJECT

Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- **Lebanon**
-

Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

- Lebanon - Central Government Institutions

Other

No answer provided.

Executing Agency(ies)

Enter the full name an acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

No answer provided.

Commitment date

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

2006-08-01

Amount committed

Enter the total amount of money committed

16640000 US Dollar

Type of funding

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

Loan (concessional)

Start date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

2007-01-01

Completion date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

2009-01-01

Duration (no. of months)

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

No answer provided.

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

2

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- 3 Resource Management
- 3.1 Production Systems
- 3.1.1 Agriculture
- 3.2 Natural Resource Management

- 3.2.6 Land Conservation
 - 3.2.7 Water Conservation
 - 3.2.8 Sustainable Land Management
 - 4 Mitigation and Recovery
 - 4.1 Mitigation/Recovery
 - 4.1.6 Water delivery
 - 5 Emergency Response
 - 5.1 Financial/Economic Relief
 - 5.7 Reconstruction of Water Management Systems and Infrastructure
-

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- Agriculture and Rural Development Program

Attachments:

None.

Financial Commitment #5 — Agriculture and Rural Development Program (ARDP)

Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

- Lebanon - CCD Focal Point - Ministry of Agriculture

Other

No answer provided.

Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

31120

Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

- Lebanon - CCD Focal Point - Ministry of Agriculture

Other

- Donors
-

Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

Agriculture and Rural Development Program (ARDP)

Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take

place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- Lebanon

Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

- Lebanon - CCD Focal Point - Ministry of Agriculture

Other

No answer provided.

Executing Agency(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

No answer provided.

Commitment date

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

No answer provided.

Amount committed

Enter the total amount of money committed

1400000 Euro

Type of funding

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

Grant

Start date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

2010-01-01

Completion date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

2014-01-01

Duration (no. of months)

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

No answer provided.

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

2

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **3 Resource Management**
- **3.1 Production Systems**
- **3.1.1 Agriculture**
- **3.2 Natural Resource Management**
- **3.2.2 Biodiversity Conservation**
- **3.2.6 Land Conservation**

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Agriculture and Rural Development Programme**

Attachments:

None.

Financial Commitment #6 — ADELNORD PROJECT

Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

- **Lebanon - Central Government Institutions**

Other

- **EU delegation in Lebanon**

Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

ADELNORD

Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

No answer provided.

Other

- **European Commission**

Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

ADELNORD PROJECT

Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- Lebanon

Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

No answer provided.

Other

- Council of Development and Reconstruction (CDR)

Executing Agency(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

No answer provided.

Commitment date

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

No answer provided.

Amount committed

Enter the total amount of money committed

1500000 Euro

Type of funding

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

Grant

Start date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

2010-11-01

Completion date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

2013-05-01

Duration (no. of months)

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

No answer provided.

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

2

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **2 Capacity Development and Planning**
- **2.1 Advocacy and Awareness Raising**
- **2.1.1 Public Awareness Campaigns**
- **2.2 Enabling Activities**
- **2.2.10 Resource Management Planning**
- **2.2.11 Services and Infrastructure**
- **2.2.2 Community Development**
- **3 Resource Management**
- **3.1 Production Systems**
- **3.1.1 Agriculture**
- **3.1.2 Forestry**
- **3.2 Natural Resource Management**
- **3.2.2 Biodiversity Conservation**
- **3.2.3 Forest/Scrub Management**
- **5 Emergency Response**
- **5.6 Population Health/Relocation/Rescue**

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **ADELNORD Project sheet**

Attachments:

None.

Financial Commitment #7 — Fair Trade Lebanon Mission

Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

No answer provided.

Other

- **Fair Trade Lebanon (NGO)**

Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

No answer provided.

Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

No answer provided.

Other

- Fair Trade Lebanon
-

Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

Fair Trade Lebanon Mission

Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- Lebanon
-

Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

No answer provided.

Other

- Fair Trade Lebanon
-

Executing Agency(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

No answer provided.

Commitment date

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

No answer provided.

Amount committed

Enter the total amount of money committed

250000 US Dollar

Type of funding

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

Grant

Start date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

2006-01-01

Completion date

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

No answer provided.

Duration (no. of months)

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

No answer provided.

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

2

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **1 Monitoring and Research**
 - **1.2 Knowledge, Science and Technology**
 - **1.2.1 Traditional Knowledge and Best Practices**
 - **2 Capacity Development and Planning**
 - **2.2 Enabling Activities**
 - **2.2.1 Capacity-Building**
 - **2.2.12 Social Development**
 - **3 Resource Management**
 - **3.1 Production Systems**
 - **3.1.1 Agriculture**
 - **3.1.5 Production Support**
 - **5 Emergency Response**
 - **5.1 Financial/Economic Relief**
-

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Fair Trade Lebanon project sheet**

Attachments:

None.

Financial Commitment #8 — VILLAGES: Transfer of know-how in the Mediterranean

Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

- **Lebanon - CCD Focal Point - Ministry of Agriculture**

Other

No answer provided.

Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

Villages

Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

No answer provided.

Other

- ENPI Cross Border Cooperation in the Mediterranean ; European Union
-

Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

VILLAGES: Transfer of know-how in the Mediterranean

Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- Lebanon
-

Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

- Lebanon - CCD Focal Point - Ministry of Agriculture

Other

No answer provided.

Executing Agency(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

No answer provided.

Commitment date

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

2006-10-02

Amount committed

Enter the total amount of money committed

1700000 Euro

Type of funding

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

Grant

Start date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

Completion date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable
2013-12-31

Duration (no. of months)

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months
No answer provided.

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)
1

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **3 Resource Management**
 - **3.1 Production Systems**
 - **3.1.4 Other Production Systems**
 - **3.2 Natural Resource Management**
 - **3.2.8 Sustainable Land Management**
-

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Villages Project Sheet**

www.villages-net.eu

Attachments:

None.

Financial Commitment #9 — Coping with water scarcity – the role of agriculture – Phase III – strengthening national capacity

Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

- **Lebanon - CCD Focal Point - Ministry of Agriculture**

Other

- **FAO**
-

Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

GCP/INT/124/ITA

Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

No answer provided.

Other

- **Italian Government**
-

Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

Coping with water scarcity – the role of agriculture – Phase III – strengthening national capacity

Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- **Lebanon**
-

Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

- **Lebanon - CCD Focal Point - Ministry of Agriculture**

Other

No answer provided.

Executing Agency(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

No answer provided.

Commitment date

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

2011-12-08

Amount committed

Enter the total amount of money committed

508000 US Dollar

Type of funding

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

Grant

Start date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization
2011-12-01

Completion date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable
2014-03-31

Duration (no. of months)

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months
No answer provided.

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

2

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **2 Capacity Development and Planning**
 - **2.2 Enabling Activities**
 - **2.2.1 Capacity-Building**
 - **3 Resource Management**
 - **3.1 Production Systems**
 - **3.1.1 Agriculture**
-

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Project Summary**

Attachments:

None.

Financial Commitment #10 — Adapting Forest Policies to Climate Change in the MENA countries

Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

- **Lebanon - CCD Focal Point - Ministry of Agriculture**

Other

No answer provided.

Identification code

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

No answer provided.

Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

No answer provided.

Other

- GIZ
-

Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

Adapting Forest Policies to Climate Change in the MENA countries

Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- Lebanon
-

Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

- Lebanon - CCD Focal Point - Ministry of Agriculture

Other

No answer provided.

Executing Agency(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

No answer provided.

Commitment date

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

2010-10-01

Amount committed

Enter the total amount of money committed

4000000 Euro

Type of funding

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

Grant

Start date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

2010-10-01

Completion date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

2013-12-30

Duration (no. of months)

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

No answer provided.

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

2

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- 3 Resource Management
 - 3.2 Natural Resource Management
 - 3.2.3 Forest/Scrub Management
 - 2 Capacity Development and Planning
 - 2.1 Advocacy and Awareness Raising
 - 2.1.3 Consultative platforms
 - 2.1.4 Education
 - 4 Mitigation and Recovery
 - 4.1 Mitigation/Recovery
 - 4.1.1 Adaptation to climate change
-

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- Project Summary

Attachments:

None.

Financial Commitment #11 — Sustainable management and conservation of live oak in Lebanon

Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

- Lebanon - CCD Focal Point - Ministry of Agriculture

Other

No answer provided.

Identification code

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

No answer provided.

Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

No answer provided.

Other

- AARDO

Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

Sustainable management and conservation of live oak in Lebanon

Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- Lebanon

Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

- Lebanon - CCD Focal Point - Ministry of Agriculture

Other

No answer provided.

Executing Agency(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

No answer provided.

Commitment date

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

No answer provided.

Amount committed

Enter the total amount of money committed

Type of funding

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

Grant

Start date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

2011-08-01

Completion date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

No answer provided.

Duration (no. of months)

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

No answer provided.

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

2

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **2 Capacity Development and Planning**
 - **2.2 Enabling Activities**
 - **2.2.1 Capacity-Building**
 - **3 Resource Management**
 - **3.2 Natural Resource Management**
 - **3.2.3 Forest/Scrub Management**
-

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Project Summary**

Attachments:

None.

Financial Commitment #12 — Socio-economic development of the rural population of Southern Lebanon

Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial

commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

- Lebanon - CCD Focal Point - Ministry of Agriculture

Other

No answer provided.

Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

AID 9323/CTM/LBN

Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

No answer provided.

Other

- Italian NGO C.T.M. Onlus, in collaboration with IAM Bari- (The Agronomic Mediterranean Institute of Bari), the Italian Ministry of Foreign Affairs
-

Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

Socio-economic development of the rural population of Southern Lebanon

Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- Lebanon
-

Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

- Lebanon - CCD Focal Point - Ministry of Agriculture

Other

No answer provided.

Executing Agency(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

No answer provided.

Commitment date

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

No answer provided.

Amount committed

Enter the total amount of money committed

1604185 Euro

Type of funding

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

Grant

Start date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

2011-09-01

Completion date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

2014-09-01

Duration (no. of months)

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

No answer provided.

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

2

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- 5 Emergency Response
 - 5.1 Financial/Economic Relief
-

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- Project Summary

Attachments:

None.

Financial Commitment #13 — Promotion of Innovative Trade Initiatives to Increase Market Opportunities for Dryland Products

Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

- Lebanon - Central Government Institutions

Other

No answer provided.

Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

00049964

Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

- **Lebanon - CCD Focal Point - Ministry of Agriculture**

Other

No answer provided.

Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

Promotion of Innovative Trade Initiatives to Increase Market Opportunities for Dryland Products

Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- **Lebanon**
-

Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

- **Lebanon - CCD Focal Point - Ministry of Agriculture**

Other

No answer provided.

Executing Agency(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

No answer provided.

Commitment date

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

No answer provided.

Amount committed

Enter the total amount of money committed

175000 US Dollar

Type of funding

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

Grant

Start date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

2006-09-01

Completion date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

2012-12-31

Duration (no. of months)

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

No answer provided.

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

2

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **2 Capacity Development and Planning**
 - **2.2 Enabling Activities**
 - **2.2.1 Capacity-Building**
 - **3 Resource Management**
 - **3.2 Natural Resource Management**
 - **3.2.8 Sustainable Land Management**
 - **4 Mitigation and Recovery**
 - **4.1 Mitigation/Recovery**
 - **4.1.2 Drought mitigation**
-

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Project Summary**

Attachments:

None.

Programme and Project Sheets

Programme and Project Sheets (PPS) are used to provide more detailed information on programmes or projects undertaken or completed in the reporting period. This includes programmes and projects in the pipeline, as well as final proposals submitted for funding to internal or external funding sources. All country Parties and other reporting entities involved in the financing, coordination or implementation of relevant programmes and projects are requested to prepare a PPS for each of them, and to attach them to their official report to the UNCCD.

The compilation of the PPS is guided by means of a template. These templates are intended to collect a minimum set of qualitative and quantitative data to facilitate the analysis of funding and investment flows, and the production of better financial statistics related to UNCCD implementation (ICCD/CRIC(8)/5/Add.4), with a view to enabling the CRIC to undertake an objective review of progress in the implementation of the Convention and The Strategy. The PPS also facilitate the computation of certain performance and impact indicators .

A distinctive feature of the PPS is that it allows country Parties and other reporting entities to specify which strategic and operational objectives of The Strategy are targeted by each programme or project. In addition, it allows for individual programme or project components to be categorized using the Rio Markers for desertification and Relevant Activity Codes (RACs).

Furthermore, the PPS can be used to indicate whether the objectives of other Rio Conventions (i.e. the United Nations Convention on Biological Diversity, UNCBD – and the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, UNFCCC) are also addressed by the programme or project. This is done through the use of the biodiversity and climate change Rio Markers, respectively.

The PPS offers an opportunity to increase the visibility of relevant programmes and projects, thereby creating the conditions for a better sharing of experiences and lessons, as well as the transfer of knowledge in general. It also favours collaboration and networking by facilitating the identification of potential synergies.

Lastly, the PPS also allows country Parties and other reporting entities to provide a narrative description of the expected or achieved results. This information will facilitate the qualitative assessment of progress in the implementation of The Strategy, including on returns on investment. The CRIC will use the analysis of financial information originating from the PPS to assess results, performance and impacts.

To minimize the reporting burden and avoid discrepancies in the information annexed to the reports of different entities, it is recommended that project partners identify the most suitable ways to coordinate among themselves the preparation of PPS to ensure that consistent data are reported for the same projects. It would also be advisable to compile just one PPS for large “umbrella” programmes, instead of separate PPS for each small project stemming from them.

Programme/Project #1 — Support to ACSAD to implement the UNCCD ...

Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

No answer provided.

Other

- GIZ
-

Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

CODAnet

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

Support to ACSAD to implement the UNCCD

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Cooperating Institution

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- **Local Municipalities**
-

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **Lebanon**
 - **Jordan**
 - **Syrian Arab Republic**
-

Target area size/administrative unit

Area Size

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

5800 hectares

Administrative Unit

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

Lebanon: Northern Bekaa Region (Kaa and Ras Baalbalk)

Target Group

No answer provided.

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

20000

Start date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

1997-09-01

Completion date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

2014-09-30

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Programme/Project co-financing

Source

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

No answer provided.

Other

No answer provided.

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided.

Programme/Project co-financing

Item 1

Source

Source (other)

GIZ

Currency

EUR

Amount

500000

United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

3

UNFCCC adaptation

2

UNFCCC mitigation

2

CBD

1

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2
- 3

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1

- 2
- 3
- 4

Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html.

- **140 WATER SUPPLY AND SANITATION**
- **14015 Water resources protection**
- **14020 Water supply and sanitation - large systems**
- **14040 River development**

Programme/Project Components

No answer provided.

Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **3 Resource Management**
- **3.2 Natural Resource Management**
- **3.2.7 Water Conservation**
- **3.2.8 Sustainable Land Management**

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- **Water harvesting in North Bekaa and benefiting from available water; Crop diversification and improved land cover in North Bekaa; Improved public awareness on flood risks management and training of the target municipalities on maintenance of flood management structure, in addition to reducing the impact of flood on the community and villages affected by this natural phenomenon.**

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Project fact sheets**
www.codanet.net

Attachments:

None.

Programme/Project #2 — Support to the Arab Center for the Study of Arid Zones and Dry Lands (ACSAD) to implement the UNCCD ...

Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

No answer provided.

Other

- **GIZ - German International Cooperation**
-

Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)
CODAnet

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

Support to the Arab Center for the Study of Arid Zones and Dry Lands (ACSAD) to implement the UNCCD

Promotion of ACSAD as Regional Service Provider

Sub-Title: Conservation Agriculture

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Cooperating Institution

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- **Lebanese Agriculture Research Institute (LARI)**
Universities in Lebanon mainly the American University of Beirut (AUB) and the Lebanese University (LU)

Farmer cooperatives

Arc en ciel (NGO)

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **Lebanon**
 - **Jordan**
 - **Syrian Arab Republic**
-

Target area size/administrative unit

Area Size

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

1500 hectares

Administrative Unit

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

No answer provided.

Target Group

No answer provided.

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

1000

Start date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

1997-09-01

Completion date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

2014-09-30

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Programme/Project co-financing

Source

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

No answer provided.

Other

No answer provided.

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided.

Programme/Project co-financing

Item 1

Source

Source (other)

GIZ

Currency

EUR

Amount

500000

United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

3

UNFCCC adaptation

2

UNFCCC mitigation

2

CBD

1

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
 - 2
 - 3
-

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
 - 2
 - 3
 - 4
 - 5
-

Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html.

- **110 EDUCATION**
 - **31130 Agricultural land resources**
 - **31140 Agricultural water resources**
 - **31161 Food crop production**
 - **31163 Livestock**
 - **31166 Agricultural extension**
 - **31181 Agricultural education/training**
 - **31182 Agricultural research**
 - **31191 Agricultural services**
 - **31194 Agricultural co-operatives**
 - **311 AGRICULTURE**
 - **114 Post-secondary education**
 - **11420 Higher education**
 - **11430 Advanced technical and managerial training**
-

Programme/Project Components

No answer provided.

Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **3 Resource Management**
- **3.1 Production Systems**
- **3.1.1 Agriculture**
- **2 Capacity Development and Planning**
- **2.1 Advocacy and Awareness Raising**
- **2.1.1 Public Awareness Campaigns**
- **2.1.2 Publications and communication material**
- **2.2 Enabling Activities**
- **2.2.1 Capacity-Building**
- **2.2.6 Governance and Legislation**
- **4 Mitigation and Recovery**
- **4.1 Mitigation/Recovery**
- **4.1.1 Adaptation to climate change**
- **4.1.2 Drought mitigation**
- **4.1.3 Environment Restoration**

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- **Water harvesting in North Bekaa and benefiting from water available. Crop diversification and improved land cover in North Bekaa and improved public awareness on flood risks management and training of the target municipality on maintenance of flood management structure, in addition to reducing the impact of flood on the community and villages affected by this natural phenomenon.**

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Project fact sheets**
www.codanet.net

Attachments:

None.

Programme/Project #3 — Flood Risks Management and Water Harvesting for Livelihood in Baalbeck - Hermel Phase II

Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

- **Lebanon - Central Government Institutions**

Other

- **Ministry of Agriculture- Focal Point for the UNCCD**
-

Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

Flood Risks Management and Water Harvesting for Livelihood in Baalbeck - Hermel Phase II

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Recipient

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- **Local Municipalities**
-

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **Lebanon**
-

Target area size/administrative unit**Area Size**

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

25000 hectares

Administrative Unit

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

Baalbeck-Hermel

Target Group

No answer provided.

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

50000

Start date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

2007-10-01

Completion date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

2013-02-01

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Programme/Project co-financing

Source

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

No answer provided.

Other

No answer provided.

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided.

Programme/Project co-financing

Item 1

Source

Source (other)

Government of Spain through LRF

Currency

USD

Amount

3800000

United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

3

UNFCCC adaptation

2

UNFCCC mitigation

2

CBD

1

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
 - 2
 - 3
-

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html.

- **140 WATER SUPPLY AND SANITATION**
- **14015 Water resources protection**
- **14040 River development**

Programme/Project Components

No answer provided.

Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **3 Resource Management**
- **3.2 Natural Resource Management**
- **3.2.7 Water Conservation**
- **3.2.6 Land Conservation**
- **3.2.8 Sustainable Land Management**
- **4 Mitigation and Recovery**
- **4.1 Mitigation/Recovery**
- **4.1.3 Environment Restoration**
- **5 Emergency Response**
- **5.2 Land Reclamation and/or Rehabilitation**
- **5.7 Reconstruction of Water Management Systems and Infrastructure**

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- **Assist the Government of Lebanon in its recovery and reform efforts in Baalbeck-Hermel through better land management practices, namely flood risk reduction and improved access to irrigation water and networks to achieve crop diversification and improve productivity. This will be achieved through the construction of stone walls, check dams and water collection reservoirs to prevent runoff water from reaching villages and farms and through the restoration of land cover to reduce soil erosion.**

-Implementation of a flood risk management structures over an area of 250 km² in Upper Aarsal and Ras Baalbeck.

-Improve soil conservation in Ras Baalback and Aarsal

-Improve public awareness on flood risk management and training

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Project Officer. Project Document**

Attachments:

None.

Programme/Project #4 — LEBANON: HILLY AREAS SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE DEVELOPMENT PROJECT

Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

- **Lebanon - Central Government Institutions**

Other

No answer provided.

Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

HASAD

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

LEBANON: HILLY AREAS SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE DEVELOPMENT PROJECT

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Executing Agency

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- **Local Municipalities**
-

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **Lebanon**
-

Target area size/administrative unit

Area Size

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

15000 hectares

Administrative Unit

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

Akkar-Dannieh, North of Baalbeck, South of and lower Litani, Other potential areas

Target Group

No answer provided.

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

24000

Start date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

2007-01-01

Completion date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

2009-01-01

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Completed

Programme/Project co-financing

Source

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

No answer provided.

Other

No answer provided.

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided.

Programme/Project co-financing

Item 1

Source

Source (other)

IFAD (International Fund for Agricultural Development)

Currency

USD

Amount

16640000

United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

2

UNFCCC adaptation

1

UNFCCC mitigation

1

CBD

0

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
-

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
 - 2
 - 3
-

Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html.

- **140 WATER SUPPLY AND SANITATION**
 - **14015 Water resources protection**
 - **311 AGRICULTURE**
 - **31120 Agricultural development**
 - **31140 Agricultural water resources**
 - **31161 Food crop production**
 - **31181 Agricultural education/training**
-

Programme/Project Components

No answer provided.

Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **3 Resource Management**
- **3.1 Production Systems**

- 3.1.1 Agriculture
- 3.2.6 Land Conservation
- 3.2.7 Water Conservation
- 3.2.8 Sustainable Land Management
- 4 Mitigation and Recovery
- 4.1 Mitigation/Recovery
- 4.1.6 Water delivery
- 5 Emergency Response
- 5.1 Financial/Economic Relief
- 5.7 Reconstruction of Water Management Systems and Infrastructure

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- **The overall goal of the project is to reduce rural poverty by substantially increasing the agricultural productivity and income of the targeted households in the project area. This would be achieved through: (i) improvements in soil and water management and development of small- and medium-scale water harvesting and soil and water conservation measures; (ii) improvements in agricultural productivity and market linkages for smallholder farmers facilitated by the technical support services provided; and (iii) strengthening the capacities of the project implementing agencies and farmers' organizations.**

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Agriculture and Rural Development Program**

Attachments:

None.

Programme/Project #5 — Agriculture and Rural Development Program (ARDP)

Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

- **Lebanon - CCD Focal Point - Ministry of Agriculture**

Other

No answer provided.

Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

DAC-CODE: 31120, SECTOR: Agriculture Development

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

Agriculture and Rural Development Program (ARDP)

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Executing Agency

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- **Local Municipalities**
-

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **Lebanon**
-

Target area size/administrative unit

Area Size

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

1000000 hectares

Administrative Unit

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

All over Lebanon

Target Group

No answer provided.

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

4000000

Start date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

2010-01-01

Completion date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

2014-01-01

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Programme/Project co-financing

Source

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

No answer provided.

Other

No answer provided.

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided.

Programme/Project co-financing

Item 1

Source

Lebanon - CCD Focal Point - Ministry of Agriculture

Source (other)

Donors

Currency

EUR

Amount

14000000

United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

2

UNFCCC adaptation

1

UNFCCC mitigation

2

CBD

1

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2
- 4
- 5

Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html.

- **311 AGRICULTURE**
- **31120 Agricultural development**
- **31110 Agricultural policy and administrative management**
- **160 OTHER SOCIAL INFRASTRUCTURE AND SERVICES**
- **16010 Social/ welfare services**

Programme/Project Components

No answer provided.

Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **3 Resource Management**
- **3.1 Production Systems**
- **3.1.1 Agriculture**
- **3.2.2 Biodiversity Conservation**
- **3.2.6 Land Conservation**

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- **The expected results are:**
 - **MoA's capacity for design/implementation/monitoring of agricultural policies is strengthened; regular donor coordination meetings are held;**
 - **Agriculture products' quality is improved; better extension services are provided, agricultural products' competitiveness is increased;**
 - **Transparency and accountability through better information-sharing is increased;**
 - **Technical expertise of MoA staff is improved.**

The second component is expected to achieve the following results:

- **Increased economic development of farmers and agriculture cooperatives through easier access to credit and improved extension services;**
- **Better coordination of actors involved in rural development.**

The third component is expected to achieve the following:

- **Hill lakes built and water users' associations established;**
- **MoA's forest nurseries rehabilitated;**
- **Land management practices improved through reforestation carried out by municipalities and cooperatives.**

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Agriculture and Rural Development Programme**

Attachments:

None.

Programme/Project #6 — ADELNORD PROJECT

Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

- **Lebanon - Central Government Institutions**

Other

- **EU delegation in Lebanon**
-

Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

ADELNORD

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

ADELNORD PROJECT

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Cooperating Institution

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- **Local Municipalities**
-

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **Lebanon**
-

Target area size/administrative unit

Area Size

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

30000 hectares

Administrative Unit

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

Akkar, Minieh-Dannieh, Hermel

Target Group

No answer provided.

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

50000

Start date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

2010-11-01

Completion date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

2013-05-01

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Programme/Project co-financing

Source

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

No answer provided.

Other

No answer provided.

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided.

Programme/Project co-financing

Item 1

Source

Source (other)

European Commission

Currency

EUR

Amount

15000000

United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

2

UNFCCC adaptation

2

UNFCCC mitigation

1

CBD

1

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
 - 2
 - 3
-

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
 - 2
-

Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html.

- **160 OTHER SOCIAL INFRASTRUCTURE AND SERVICES**
 - **16010 Social/ welfare services**
 - **210 TRANSPORT AND STORAGE**
 - **21020 Road transport**
 - **311 AGRICULTURE**
 - **31110 Agricultural policy and administrative management**
 - **31120 Agricultural development**
 - **323 CONSTRUCTION**
 - **32310 Construction policy and administrative management**
 - **312 FORESTRY**
 - **31210 Forestry policy and administrative management**
-

Programme/Project Components

No answer provided.

Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **2 Capacity Development and Planning**
- **2.1 Advocacy and Awareness Raising**
- **2.1.1 Public Awareness Campaigns**

- 2.2 Enabling Activities
- 2.2.10 Resource Management Planning
- 2.2.11 Services and Infrastructure
- 2.2.2 Community Development
- 3 Resource Management
- 3.1 Production Systems
- 3.1.1 Agriculture
- 3.1.2 Forestry
- 3.2 Natural Resource Management
- 3.2.2 Biodiversity Conservation
- 3.2.3 Forest/Scrub Management
- 5 Emergency Response
- 5.6 Population Health/Relocation/Rescue

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- -Contribution to national unity and to improve the living conditions of the Lebanese population by exploiting the potential of the region that suffers from a lack of development
- Contribution to national policy planning
- Contribution to the improvement of the protection of the environment

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- ADELNORD Project sheet

Attachments:

None.

Programme/Project #7 — Fair Trade Lebanon Mission

Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

No answer provided.

Other

- Fair Trade Lebanon (NGO)

Identification code

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

No answer provided.

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

Fair Trade Lebanon Mission

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Donor (ODA)

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- **Local Communities**
-

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **Lebanon**
-

Target area size/administrative unit

Area Size

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

500000 hectares

Administrative Unit

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

Bekaa, South-Lebanon, Mount Lebanon, North-Lebanon

Target Group

No answer provided.

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

50000

Start date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

2006-01-01

Completion date

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

No answer provided.

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Programme/Project co-financing

Source

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

No answer provided.

Other

No answer provided.

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided.

Programme/Project co-financing

Item 1

Source

Source (other)

Fair Trade Lebanon

Currency

USD

Amount

250000

United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

2

UNFCCC adaptation

1

UNFCCC mitigation

1

CBD

1

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 5

Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html.

- **311 AGRICULTURE**
- **31110 Agricultural policy and administrative management**
- **31120 Agricultural development**
- **31130 Agricultural land resources**
- **31181 Agricultural education/training**
- **31193 Agricultural financial services**
- **31166 Agricultural extension**

Programme/Project Components

No answer provided.

Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **1 Monitoring and Research**
- **1.2 Knowledge, Science and Technology**
- **1.2.1 Traditional Knowledge and Best Practices**
- **2 Capacity Development and Planning**
- **2.2 Enabling Activities**
- **2.2.1 Capacity-Building**
- **2.2.12 Social Development**
- **3 Resource Management**
- **3.1 Production Systems**
- **3.1.1 Agriculture**
- **3.1.5 Production Support**
- **5 Emergency Response**
- **5.1 Financial/Economic Relief**

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- **- Allow the farmer / craftsman to sell its products at a fair price**
- **- Ensure an advance for the purchase of raw materials for the farmers**
- **- Ensure purchase continuity**
- **- Fighting exploitation of women and children**
- **- Inculcate a culture of transparency regarding costs**
- **- Preserve natural resources and the environment**

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Fair Trade Lebanon project sheet**

Attachments:

- [Carte Localisation Producteurs FTL.pdf](#), 1.04 MB
-

Programme/Project #8 — VILLAGES: Transfer of know-how in the Mediterranean

Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

- **Lebanon - CCD Focal Point - Ministry of Agriculture**

Other

No answer provided.

Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

Villages

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

VILLAGES: Transfer of know-how in the Mediterranean

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Implementing agency

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- **Local municipalities**
-

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **Lebanon**
-

Target area size/administrative unit

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Area Size

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

No answer provided.

Administrative Unit

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

No answer provided.

Target Group

No answer provided.

Beneficiaries

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

No answer provided.

Start date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

2007-01-01

Completion date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

2013-12-31

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Programme/Project co-financing

Source

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

No answer provided.

Other

No answer provided.

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided.

Programme/Project co-financing

Item 1

Source

Source (other)

ENPI Cross Border Cooperation in the Mediterranean ; European Union

Currency

EUR

Amount

1700000

United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

3

UNFCCC adaptation

1

UNFCCC mitigation

0

CBD

0

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
 - 2
-

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
 - 2
 - 3
-

Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html.

- **332 TOURISM**
 - **33210 Tourism policy and administrative management**
-

Programme/Project Components

No answer provided.

Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **2 Capacity Development and Planning**
 - **2.2 Enabling Activities**
 - **2.2.2 Community Development**
-

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- - **Improve living conditions of rural communities:each community is committed to set up its own local governance system.**
- - **Promote their capacity to elaborate and implement an integrated development strategy: village**

development, in all its dimension (economic, social, cultural, environmental), requires making the most of all endogenous resources.

- **Improve public policies: concrete results on the ground will contribute to improve public policy programming and monitoring for village development, in the North as well as South.**
- **Promote democratic participatory systems in the elaboration of local strategies, and public policies, for integrated village development: the design, adoption, implementation and evaluation of policies, strategies and projects are enriched by the integration of viewpoints and experiences of all stakeholders, in a spirit of dialogue, mutual exchange and co-responsibility.**

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Villages project sheet**

Attachments:

None.

Programme/Project #9 — Coping with water scarcity – the role of agriculture – Phase III – strengthening national capacity

Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

- **Lebanon - CCD Focal Point - Ministry of Agriculture**

Other

- **FAO**

Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

GCP/INT/124/ITA

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

Coping with water scarcity – the role of agriculture – Phase III – strengthening national capacity

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Executing Agency

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- **- Farmers**
- **Technicians and engineers involved in irrigation and water management**

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- Lebanon
-

Target area size/administrative unit

Area Size

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

No answer provided.

Administrative Unit

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

laa, Chlifa

Target Group

No answer provided.

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

10000

Start date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

2011-12-01

Completion date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

2014-03-01

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Programme/Project co-financing

Source

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

No answer provided.

Other

No answer provided.

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided.

Programme/Project co-financing

Item 1

Source

Italy - Central Government Institutions

Source (other)

Currency

USD

Amount

508000

United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

2

UNFCCC adaptation

1

UNFCCC mitigation

2

CBD

1

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2
- 4

Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html.

- **311 AGRICULTURE**
- **31120 Agricultural development**
- **31110 Agricultural policy and administrative management**
- **31140 Agricultural water resources**
- **31181 Agricultural education/training**

Programme/Project Components

No answer provided.

Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **2 Capacity Development and Planning**
 - **2.2 Enabling Activities**
 - **2.2.1 Capacity-Building**
 - **3 Resource Management**
 - **3.1 Production Systems**
 - **3.1.1 Agriculture**
-

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- **- Increase the efficiency of water use**
 - **- Training of farmers and technicians**
-

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Project Summary**

Attachments:

None.

Programme/Project #10 — Adapting Forest Policies to Climate Change in the MENA countries

Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

- **Lebanon - CCD Focal Point - Ministry of Agriculture**

Other

No answer provided.

Identification code

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

No answer provided.

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

Adapting Forest Policies to Climate Change in the MENA countries

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Executing Agency

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- **Ministry of Agriculture**
-

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **Lebanon**
-

Target area size/administrative unit

Area Size

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

1000000 hectares

Administrative Unit

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

Lebanon

Target Group

No answer provided.

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

4000000

Start date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

2010-10-01

Completion date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

2013-12-01

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Programme/Project co-financing

Source

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

No answer provided.

Other

No answer provided.

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided.

Programme/Project co-financing

Item 1

Source

Source (other)

GIZ

Currency

EUR

Amount

4000000

United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

2

UNFCCC adaptation

2

UNFCCC mitigation

2

CBD

1

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 2
- 3

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes

provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html.

- **312 FORESTRY**
- **31210 Forestry policy and administrative management**
- **31220 Forestry development**
- **31281 Forestry education/training**
- **31282 Forestry research**
- **31291 Forestry services**

Programme/Project Components

No answer provided.

Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **3 Resource Management**
- **3.2 Natural Resource Management**
- **3.2.3 Forest/Scrub Management**
- **2 Capacity Development and Planning**
- **2.1 Advocacy and Awareness Raising**
- **2.1.3 Consultative platforms**
- **2.1.4 Education**
- **4 Mitigation and Recovery**
- **4.1 Mitigation/Recovery**
- **4.1.1 Adaptation to climate change**

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- **- Strengthening the capacity of the ministry in the climate change field**
- **- Developing a national program for forest**
- **- Training staff from the Ministry of Agriculture and other departments involved in the forest sector to adapt with climate change**
- **- Enhance the role of the media in the ministry in spreading the climate change issue**

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Project Summary**

Attachments:

None.

Programme/Project #11 — Sustainable management and conservation of live oak in Lebanon

Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

- Lebanon - CCD Focal Point - Ministry of Agriculture

Other

No answer provided.

Identification code

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

No answer provided.

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

Sustainable management and conservation of live oak in Lebanon

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Executing Agency

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- Ministry of Agriculture

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- Lebanon

Target area size/administrative unit

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Area Size

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

No answer provided.

Administrative Unit

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

No answer provided.

Target Group

No answer provided.

Beneficiaries

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

No answer provided.

Start date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

2011-08-01

Completion date

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

No answer provided.

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Programme/Project co-financing

Source

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

No answer provided.

Other

No answer provided.

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided.

Programme/Project co-financing

Item 1

Source

Source (other)

AARDO

Currency

USD

Amount

81450

United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

2

UNFCCC adaptation

1

UNFCCC mitigation

1

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 2
 - 3
-

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 2
 - 3
-

Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html.

- 312 FORESTRY
 - 31210 Forestry policy and administrative management
 - 31220 Forestry development
 - 31281 Forestry education/training
 - 31282 Forestry research
 - 31291 Forestry services
-

Programme/Project Components

No answer provided.

Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- 2 Capacity Development and Planning
 - 2.2 Enabling Activities
 - 2.2.1 Capacity-Building
 - 3 Resource Management
 - 3.2 Natural Resource Management
 - 3.2.3 Forest/Scrub Management
-

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- - Skills and human capacity development through training a team of forest guards
- Develop a plan to manage and process sustainability and management of forest wealth
- Assessment of carbon stocks in ecological systems in oak forests in Lebanon

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Project Summary**

Attachments:

None.

Programme/Project #12 — Socio-economic development of the rural population of Southern Lebanon

Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

- **Lebanon - CCD Focal Point - Ministry of Agriculture**

Other

No answer provided.

Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

AID 9323/CTM/LBN

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

Socio-economic development of the rural population of Southern Lebanon

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Executing Agency

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- **- 100 women entrepreneurs on agriculture with their families - 27 cooperatives and organizations members of the LAG TYROS**
 - **- People working for social and economic development of southern Lebanon, with particular efforts in agriculture,environment,rural development,social and cultural integration**
-

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **Lebanon**
-

Target area size/administrative unit

Area Size

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

200000 hectares

Administrative Unit

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

South Lebanon, Sour

Target Group

No answer provided.

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

1500

Start date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

2011-09-01

Completion date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

2014-09-01

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Programme/Project co-financing**Source**

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

No answer provided.

Other

No answer provided.

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided.

Programme/Project co-financing

Item 1

Source

Source (other)

Italian NGO C.T.M. Onlus, in collaboration with IAM Bari- (The Agronomic Mediterranean Institute of Bari), co-financed by the Italian Ministry of Foreign Affairs.

Currency

EUR

Amount

1604185

United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

2

UNFCCC adaptation

1

UNFCCC mitigation

1

CBD

1

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 4
- 5

Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html.

- **160 OTHER SOCIAL INFRASTRUCTURE AND SERVICES**
- **16010 Social/ welfare services**
- **311 AGRICULTURE**
- **31110 Agricultural policy and administrative management**
- **31120 Agricultural development**
- **31181 Agricultural education/training**

Programme/Project Components

No answer provided.

Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **2 Capacity Development and Planning**

- **2.2 Enabling Activities**
 - **2.2.1 Capacity-Building**
 - **3 Resource Management**
 - **3.1 Production Systems**
 - **3.1.1 Agriculture**
 - **3.1.5 Production Support**
-

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- **- Contribute to the development of Southern Lebanon rural population by improving the social and economic conditions of the community and of the rural areas in Southern Lebanon.**
-

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Project Summary**

Attachments:

None.

Programme/Project #13 — Promotion of Innovative Trade Initiatives to Increase Market Opportunities for Dryland Products

Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

- **Lebanon - CCD Focal Point - Ministry of Agriculture**

Other

No answer provided.

Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

00049964

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

Promotion of Innovative Trade Initiatives to Increase Market Opportunities for Dryland Products

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Executing Agency

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- - Local Communities

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- Lebanon

Target area size/administrative unit

Area Size

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

No answer provided.

Administrative Unit

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

North Lebanon

Target Group

No answer provided.

Beneficiaries

Question marked as 'Skipped'.

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

No answer provided.

Start date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

2006-09-01

Completion date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

2012-12-31

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Programme/Project co-financing

Source

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

No answer provided.

Other

No answer provided.

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided.

Programme/Project co-financing

Item 1

Source

United Nations Development Programme

Source (other)

Currency

USD

Amount

175000

United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

3

UNFCCC adaptation

1

UNFCCC mitigation

2

CBD

1

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2
- 3

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html.

- 25010 Business support services and institutions
- 250 BUSINESS AND OTHER SERVICES

Programme/Project Components

No answer provided.

Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **2 Capacity Development and Planning**
 - **2.2 Enabling Activities**
 - **2.2.12 Social Development**
-

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- - **Creation the trademark “The Lebanese VILLAGE products” and engagement of a trade entity to adopt the Drylands logo/label “The Lebanese VILLAGE products” and deal with local and international markets, organize/participate in exhibitions and improve quality of products through capacity building of the cooperatives.**
 - **Development and design of proper labeling for each product line.**
 - **Linkages established between Lebanese eco-tour operators, traders, distributors and women cooperatives.**
 - **Training and capacity building of other women cooperatives in dry lands.**
 - **Establishment of more linkages between the women cooperatives, traders, distributors and other eco-tour operators in Lebanon.**
 - **Participation in international and local exhibitions.**
-

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Project Summary**

Attachments:

None.

Additional Information

The section on additional information is meant to provide an instrument of flexibility in the reporting exercise as well as to enrich the knowledge base of the CRIC on concrete issues faced by affected country Parties and consequently to make more targeted and specific recommendations to the COP. It allows affected country Parties to comment or report upon issues that are not covered elsewhere but that are nevertheless of importance at the national level or within the framework of the implementation of The Strategy and the Convention.

The additional information section allows feedback to be received on the reporting process and on the implementation of NAPs as well as lessons learnt, problems, constraints and bottlenecks faced in terms of human and financial resources. It is also meant to accommodate ad hoc COP requests for reporting on specific topics or new reporting requirements deriving from COP deliberations that may supersede existing ones and imply changes in implementation.

The proposed template for reporting is adjusted to the mandate of affected country Parties within the framework of the Convention, as requested by decision 13/COP.9, paragraph 17.

Reporting process-related issues

Financial resources

Could your country count on sufficient financial resources to meet UNCCD reporting obligations?

No

Which options provided by the GEF Financing for Enabling Activities under the UNCCD did your country choose to apply for?

Access through a GEF agency

Amount received (USD)

10000 USD

Did your country experience difficulties in applying for and accessing the GEF funding?

No

If yes, describe the difficulties experienced.

No answer required.

Provide an estimate of the amount invested from your country's national budget into the UNCCD reporting process.

1000 US Dollar

Human resources and knowledge

How many people were involved in your country in the UNCCD reporting process?

4 people

Estimate the total number of person/day dedicated by these persons to the UNCCD reporting process:

20 person/day

Could your country count on sufficient technical and scientific knowledge to meet UNCCD reporting obligations?

Yes

If no, describe the main reasons and the difficulties encountered.

No answer required.

Coordination, participation and consultation

Was coordination with the relevant implementing agencies satisfactory in order to apply for necessary funds?

No answer provided.

Was coordination at the national level with the relevant line ministries satisfactory in order to comprehensively and coherently report?

Yes

Was a participatory or consultative approach applied to involve all relevant stakeholders in the reporting process?

No answer provided.

Validation meeting, subregional and regional processes

Was a validation meeting held as a part of the reporting process?

No

Did your country actively cooperate with the entities entrusted with preparing the subregional and regional reports (SRAP / RAP reports) ?

No

PRAIS portal

If you are reporting online, did you receive sufficient training on access and utilization of the PRAIS portal?

No answer provided.

Did you experience difficulties with access and utilization of the PRAIS portal?

No

If you experienced difficulties, identify the reasons.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

No answer required.

Other (specify)

No answer required.

Accommodation of specific requests within COP decisions

Report on specific COP requests – iterative process on indicators

Decision 13/COP.9, paragraphs 2, 3 and 4, envisages an iterative process to refine the set of performance and impact indicators. As a tool to implement this iterative process, affected country Parties can provide here their suggestions and recommendations for improvement.

Performance indicators

Tick the cells only if you have experienced difficulties in reporting on one, or more, performance indicator(s). Indicate against which of the e-SMART criteria the indicator(s) need(s) to be improved.

	economic	Specific	Measurable	Achievable	Relevant	Time-bound
CONS-O-1						
CONS-O-3						
CONS-O-4						
CONS-O-5						
CONS-O-7						
CONS-O-8						
CONS-O-9						

CONS-O-10						
CONS-O-11						
CONS-O-13						
CONS-O-14						
CONS-O-16						
CONS-O-17						
CONS-O-18						

Impact indicators

Tick the cells only if you have experienced difficulties in reporting on one, or more, impact indicator(s). Indicate against which of the e-SMART criteria the indicator(s) need(s) to be improved.

	economic	Specific	Measurable	Achievable	Relevant	Time-bound
SO4-3						
SO4-4						
SO4-6						
SO4-7						

Reporting on the implementation of NAP

Which is the percentage of activities included in the NAP that are currently implemented?

31-60%

If you experienced difficulties in NAP implementation (i.e. the percentage is below 30%), provide description of concrete measures being taken or suggestions for measures to be taken to foster NAP implementation:

No answer required.

Human resources

Lessons learnt (report on the 2 most important only)

1. The existence of an active steering committee representing all concerned institutions and a team assisting the Focal Point would allow for an easier access to and a better analysis of the information
2. The reporting process helps the country to better analyse the gaps in the process of implementation of the convention and the need for mainstreaming DLDD into other sectors. The alignment of the NAP to the Strategy should be undertaken as soon as possible

Problems, constraints and bottlenecks currently faced by your country (report on the 2 most important only)

1. Information on the issues addressed by the reporting process is available but scattered in different institutions and not harmonized. The access to such information may not always be straight forward.
- 2.

Synergies among the Rio conventions is essential but difficult to achieve. Implementation of Rio +20 recommendations could probably facilitate the synergy process.

Financial resources

Lessons learnt (report on the 2 most important only)

1. Holistic approach should be adopted when addressing dry-land issues. Sectoral interventions contribute partially to the solution. Alignment to the Strategy would help in this regard.
2. Donor coordination should improve the efficiency of the resources invested. Alignment to the Strategy would help in this regard.

Problems, constraints and bottlenecks currently faced by your country (report on the 2 most important only)

1. Combating desertification is not yet a priority at the Government level, mainly because of the current financial and economic crisis in the country. This seriously affects the expected contribution of the government to DLDD related programs
2. As Lebanon is only partially affected by desertification and land degradation, donors' interests are oriented towards more pressing issues.

Any other country-specific issues

Any other country-specific issues

Has your country any specific issue to bring to the attention of the Conference of the Parties?

Yes

If yes, please specify under which of the following broad categories it can be classified.

Category

Capacity-building and awareness-raising

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Narrative description

There is a strong need for capacity building during and after the alignment process of the NAP to the Strategy. The capacity building should mainly address partners from other institutions in order to better understand their role in the process.

Category

Policy; legislative; institutional framework

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Narrative description

Mainstreaming of the NAP into other development process at the national level remains a major challenge. Although the alignment to the new strategy could help improving the situation, the challenge remains very strong. This will require serious efforts and provision of budget.

Submission form

Submission form	
Name of the reporting officer *	Fady Asmar
Date of submission *	15 Oct 2012
Signature	
Name of the authorizing officer	Hussein Nasrallah
Date of authorization	---
Signature	



© UNCCD