

United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification
Performance Review and Assessment of Implementation System

Fourth UNCCD reporting cycle, 2010–2011 leg
Report for Madagascar

Table of contents

- Impact indicators
 - Strategic Objectives 1, 2 and 3
 - General information on impact indicators on strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3
 - Core indicator S-(1/2/3): Poverty Rate
 - Reporting on the indicator
 - Interpretation of indicator status/trend and policy implications
 - Feedback
 - Core indicator S-5: Land Cover Status
 - Reporting on land cover
 - Reporting on the indicator
 - Land Cover Type #1 — Formations sempervirentes
 - Land Cover Type #2 — Formations forestières décidues
 - Land Cover Type #3 — Formations forestières azonales
 - Land Cover Type #4 — Peuplements forestiers artificiels
 - Land Cover Type #5 — Autres occupations du sol
 - Interpretation of indicator status/trend and policy implications
 - Feedback
 - Reporting on land productivity
 - Feedback
 - Additional indicators on strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3
 - Strategic Objective 4
 - Indicator SO-4-3
 - Indicator SO-4-6
 - Indicator SO-4-7
- Performance Indicators
 - Operational Objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-1 for Outcome 1.1
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-3 for Outcome 1.3
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-4 for Outcome 1.3
 - Operational Objective 2: Policy framework
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-5 for Outcomes 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-7 for Outcome 2.5
 - Operational Objective 3: Science, technology and knowledge
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-8 for Outcomes 3.1 and 3.2
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-9 for Outcome 3.1 and 3.2
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-10 for Outcome 3.3 and 3.4
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-11 for Outcome 3.5
 - Operational Objective 4: Capacity building
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-13 for Outcomes 4.1 and 4.2
 - Operational Objective 5: Financing and technology transfer
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-14 for Outcome 5.1
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-16 for Outcome 5.2
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-17 for Outcome 5.3
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-18 for Outcome 5.5
- Standard Financial Annex
 - Financial Commitment #1 — Projet d'Appui au Développement de Menabe et Melaky (AD2M)
 - Financial Commitment #2 — Prêt complémentaire - Projet de réhabilitation du périmètre du Bas Mangoky
 - Financial Commitment #3 — Projet de réhabilitation du périmètre de Manombo
 - Financial Commitment #4 — Stabilizing Rural Populations through improved Systems for Sustainable

Land Management and Local Governance of Lands in Southern Madagascar

- Financial Commitment #5 — Projet de Soutien au Développement Rural (PSDR), Financement Additionnel
- Financial Commitment #6 — Programme de Lutte Anti Erosive (PLAE)
- Financial Commitment #7 — Gestion durable des ressources naturelles pour la conservation de trois régions hotspot de la biodiversité à Madagascar, Plateau Mahafaly
- Financial Commitment #8 — Projet de Sécurisation de l'Approvisionnement en Semences de l'Androy.
- Financial Commitment #9 — Appui à la diffusion des techniques agro-écologiques
- Financial Commitment #10 — Strengthening and Accessing Livelihood Opportunities for Household Impact (SALOHI)
- Financial Commitment #11 — Sustainable Land Management in Madagascar (SuLaMa)
- Financial Commitment #12 — Appui au Renforcement des Organisations Professionnelles et aux Services Agricoles (AROPA)
- Programme and Project Sheets
 - Programme/Project #1 — Projet d'Appui au Développement de Menabe et Melaky
 - Programme/Project #2 — Prêt complémentaire - Projet de réhabilitation du périmètre du Bas Mangoky
 - Programme/Project #3 — Projet de réhabilitation du périmètre de Manombo (PRPM)
 - Programme/Project #4 — Stabilisation de la population à travers l'identification de système de gestion durable des terres et de gouvernance locale dans le Sud de Madagascar
 - Programme/Project #5 — Projet de Soutien au Développement Rural (PSDR)
 - Programme/Project #6 — Programme de Lutte Anti Erosive (PLAE)
 - Programme/Project #7 — Gestion durable des ressources naturelles pour la conservation de trois régions hotspot de la biodiversité à Madagascar, Plateau Mahafaly
 - Programme/Project #8 — Projet de Sécurisation de l'Approvisionnement en Semences de l'Androy (PSASA)
 - Programme/Project #9 — Appui à la diffusion de techniques agro-écologiques
 - Programme/Project #10 — Strengthening and Accessing Livelihood Opportunities for Household Impact
 - Programme/Project #11 — Sustainable Land Management in Madagascar (SuLaMa)
 - Programme/Project #12 — Projet d'appui au renforcement des organisations professionnelles et aux services agricoles (AROPA)
- Additional Information
 - Reporting process-related issues
 - Accommodation of specific requests within COP decisions
 - Reporting on the implementation of NAP
 - Human resources
 - Financial resources
 - Any other country-specific issues
- Submission form

General information section

GENERAL INFORMATION ON THE REPORTING ENTITY	
Reporting country	Madagascar
Name and surname of the person submitting the report	Herivololona Ralalarimanana
Affiliation and contact details	unccd@mef.gov.mg

Affected Country Parties

Impact indicators

Strategic Objectives 1, 2 and 3

Following [decision 17/COP.9](#), affected country Parties are requested to report on two mandatory impact indicators (i.e.: proportion of population living below the poverty line and land cover status), through the associated metrics identified during the iterative process for the refinement of the set of impact indicators (i.e.: poverty rate, land cover and land productivity).

Affected country Parties might also decide to report on the remaining nine impact indicators provisionally accepted by the COP (see [decision 17/COP.9](#)) but considered optional for inclusion in reports.

Alternative indicators considered more suitable than the provisionally accepted indicators may also be reported on. The condition for reporting on alternative indicators is that these fit into the underlying logic of measuring progress against strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3 of the Strategy.

Reporting is guided by means of templates, one for each of the two mandatory indicators, plus one generic reporting template for the remaining nine impact indicators and alternative indicators. Detailed reporting guidelines are available for the two mandatory impact indicators.

General information on impact indicators on strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3

Definition of affected areas

Does your National Action Programme (NAP) identify areas of the country which are affected by Desertification, Land Degradation and Drought (DLDD)?

Yes

If no, does any other national planning document identify areas of the country which are affected by DLDD?

No answer required.

Please specify the national document that identifies areas affected by DLDD in your country.

No answer required.

Please specify which areas of the country are considered as affected by DLDD (e.g. name of relevant provinces, states and districts):

Région Atsimo Andrefana (Districts d'Ampanihy, Ankazoabo, Benenitra, Beroroha, Betioky, Morombe, Sakaraha, Toliara I, Toliara II), Région Androy (Districts d'Ambovombe, Bekily, Beloha, Tsihombe), Région Menabe (Districts de Mahabo, Manja, Miandrivazo, Morondava), Région Anosy : District d'Amboasary A

Please specify the estimated total number of square kilometres of the national territory occupied by areas affected by DLDD:

134278 km²

Please specify the percentage of the national land area occupied by areas affected by DLDD:

23 %

Please specify the definition used to identify areas affected by DLDD in your country:

Lors de l'élaboration du PAN et compte tenu du contexte de pauvreté prévalant dans le pays, entraînant la DDTS, les zones les plus exposées à la désertification ont été priorisées et de ce fait sont jugées comme zones (potentiellement) touchées.

Mais cette délimitation mérite d'être améliorée sur des bases scientifiques

Please specify what methods were used to identify areas affected by DLDD in your country:

Dans le cadre de l'élaboration du PAN, les zones les plus exposées à la DDTS ont été identifiées sur la base du degré de précipitation, de la nature du sol et de la végétation. Ont été ainsi jugées exposées les zones qui ont un climat sec, des sols facilement emportables et érodables, et une couverture végétale qui ne possède pas assez de capacité de rétention de la couche superficielle nutritive du sol. A ces facteurs

s'ajoute la pauvreté de la population qui augmente également les risques de DDTs. Il importe de signaler que l'élaboration du PAN a été conduite de façon participative, avec l'implication des différents départements ministériels concernés et des populations résidant dans les zones potentiellement touchées. Les données disponibles actuellement donnent raison à cette priorisation : en effet, en prenant l'exemple de la déforestation (qui induit à terme à la perte de productivité biologique de la terre), la Région Atsimo Andrefana figure parmi les dix régions de Madagascar (sur les 22 régions existantes) où le taux de déforestation est élevé (de l'ordre de 1,19% par an entre 1990 et 2000 par rapport à un taux global de déforestation de 0,83% par an pour la même période pour le pays). Par rapport à la pauvreté, les Régions Androy et Atsimo Andrefana sont les plus démunies : Androy est la région enregistrant l'Indice de Développement Humain le plus faible du pays (0,393) tandis qu'Atsimo Andrefana est placée en 5^e position (0,425) en comparaison avec une moyenne nationale de 0,5.

If available, please provide the geographic datasets which show the areas affected by DLDD.

Ideally, the data should be provided as a shapefile or raster (Geotiff) format with geographic coordinates on the WGS84 datum (The file should have a maximum size of 25MB). If the raw data are not available please provide a map showing the extent of areas affected by DLDD. Ideally, the map should have a scale of less than 1:250,000 and be provided in a Tiff format (The file should have a maximum size of 25MB). To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map (resolution of map, year of the map, land cover classes and corresponding colours, borders etc)

Attachments:

- [Carte des zones prioritaires du PAN \(Madagascar\).docx](#), 210 kB

Definition of rural areas

Does any national planning document define rural areas in your country?

Yes

Please specify the national document that defines rural areas in your country.

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
DSM/INSTAT	2010	Enquete aupres des menages	INSTAT	www.instat.mg

Please state the national definition of rural areas in your country:

Par opposition au milieu urbain qui est constitué par la Capitale, les chefs-lieux de province et les chefs-lieux de Fivondronana, le milieu rural est constitué par le reste des régions. La notion d'urbain ou de rural a été définie avec les limites administratives lors du Recensement Général de la population et de l'Habitat de 1993.

Human population estimates

Please state estimates of the human population living in the national area of your country, in rural areas of your country and areas affected by DLDD in your country.

Include details on the methodology used to establish these estimates.

Year	Number of people living in the national area	Number of people living in rural areas	Number of people living in affected areas	Method used	Was every region (or equivalent sub-national unit) of your country covered in the assessment?	Please state the approximate proportion of the national human population surveyed during the assessment
2000	15506470	11677030	---	Stratified random survey	Yes	80%

Year	Number of people living in the national area	Number of people living in rural areas	Number of people living in affected areas	Method used	Was every region (or equivalent sub-national unit) of your country covered in the assessment?	Please state the approximate proportion of the national human population surveyed during the assessment
2001	15982560	12482379	---	Stratified random survey	Yes	80%
2002	16473480	12734000	---	Stratified random survey	Yes	80%
2003	16979740	12757540	---	Stratified random survey	Yes	80%
2004	17501870	13139450	---	Stratified random survey	Yes	80%
2005	18040340	14071465	---	Stratified random survey	Yes	80%
2006	18595470	14459837	---	Stratified random survey	Yes	80%
2007	19008820	14680512	---	Stratified random survey	Yes	80%
2008	19312550	15083102	---	Stratified random survey	Yes	80%
2009	19723560	15467216	---	Stratified random survey	Yes	80%
2010	20200000	16099400	---	Stratified random survey	Yes	80%
2011	20696532	16495136	---	Stratified random survey	Yes	80%

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
DSM/INSTAT	2010	Enquête Permanente auprès de ménages (EPM	INSTAT	www.instat?mg

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
		2010)		
DSM/INSTAT	2006	Enquête Permanente auprès de ménages (2005)	INSTAT	www.instat?mg
DDSS/INSTAT	1993	Recensement Générale de la Population et de l	INSTAT	www.instat?mg
Ministère de l'Agriculture	2001	Recensements Agricoles	Ministère de l	www.maep.mg

Core indicator S-(1/2/3): Poverty Rate

UNCCD Strategic Objective(s) for which the indicator applies

Strategic Objective 1: To improve the living conditions of affected populations

UNCCD Core indicator S-(1/2/3)

Improvement in the livelihoods of people potentially impacted by the process of desertification/land degradation and drought

Name of the indicator

Proportion of population living below the poverty line

Metric

Poverty Rate

Purpose of the indicator

To measure and monitor changes in poverty, as a proxy for human well-being. This can be used to identify deprived livelihoods, assess the impacts of desertification and assess progress made by the Parties in combating desertification.

Understanding of the indicator

The **poverty line** describes an absolute threshold below which people are considered to be poor. The **poverty rate** describes the percentage of the human population living below the poverty line. Ideally, Parties report the poverty rate for affected areas using the rural poverty line (poverty line specific to rural areas). In addition, the rural poverty line should be used to report the poverty rate in rural areas. In the absence of the rural poverty line, the national poverty line should be used to report the poverty rate in affected and rural areas or, if these are not available, in the country as a whole. Finally, if no poverty lines are available, the generic poverty line of US\$ 2.00/capita/day should be used to assess poverty rates.

Data needed

Size of human population, a poverty line and the number of people falling below the poverty line.

Relevant terms in the glossary

'absolute poverty', 'absolute poverty line', 'consumption', 'consumption survey', 'currency', 'data', 'data analysis', 'data source', 'desertification/land degradation and drought (DLDD)', 'ecosystem services', 'e-SMART', 'expert knowledge', 'income', 'income survey', 'indicator', 'indicator metadata', 'metric', 'national poverty line', 'national poverty rate', 'nationwide census', 'non stratified random survey', 'population census', 'poverty line', 'relative poverty', 'relative poverty lines', 'rural poverty line', 'rural poverty rate', 'stratified random sampling', 'stratified random survey'.

Reporting on the indicator

Rural poverty line

Does your country have a poverty line that is specific to rural areas (rural poverty line)?

No

If yes, please state the rural poverty line in your country for the most recent years and the method used to define the rural poverty line.

Please choose the method category which best represents that used in the assessment

No answer required.

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

No answer required.

If yes, please state the number of people and the percentage of the population living below the rural poverty line in affected areas.

Furthermore, please state the method used to assess the poverty rate in affected areas and the proportion of the population included in the assessment.

No answer required.

If yes, please state the number of people and the percentage of the population living below the rural poverty line in rural areas.

Furthermore, please state the method used to assess the poverty rate in rural areas and the proportion of the population included in the assessment.

No answer required.

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

No answer required.

National poverty line

If data related to the rural poverty line was provided, please **do not** respond to this section.

If no rural poverty line is available, does your country have a national poverty line?

Yes

If yes, please state the national poverty line in your country for the most recent years and the method used to define the national poverty line.

Please choose the method category which best represents that used in the assessment.

Year	National poverty line (value)	Currency	Method used
2000	---	---	---
2001	197280	MGA	Consumption survey
2002	234760	MGA	Consumption survey
2003	---	---	---
2004	---	---	---
2005	305344	MGA	Consumption survey
2006	---	---	---
2007	---	---	---
2008	---	---	---
2009	---	---	---
2010	468800	MGA	Consumption survey
2011	468800	MGA	Consumption survey

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
DSM/INSTAT	2010	Enquête Permanente auprès des Ménages	INSTAT	www.instat.mg

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
DSM/INSTAT	2006	Enquête Permanente auprès des Ménages	INSTAT	www.instat.mg
DSM/INSTAT	2001	Enquête Permanente auprès des Ménages	INSTAT	www.instat.mg

Please state the number of people and the percentage of the population living below the national poverty line in affected areas.

Year	Affected areas			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the human population living in affected areas surveyed during the poverty assessment
2000	---	---	---	---
2001	---	---	---	---
2002	---	---	---	---
2003	---	---	---	---
2004	---	---	---	---
2005	---	---	---	---
2006	---	---	---	---
2007	---	---	---	---
2008	---	---	---	---
2009	---	---	---	---
2010	---	---	---	---
2011	---	---	---	---

Please state the number of people and the percentage of the population living below the national poverty line in rural areas.

Year	Rural areas			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the human population living in rural areas surveyed during the poverty assessment
2000	---	---	---	---
2001	9985903	80	Stratified random survey	5%
2002	11002176	86	Stratified random survey	5%
2003	---	---	---	---
2004	---	---	---	---
2005	10342527	74	Stratified random survey	5%

Year	Rural areas			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the human population living in rural areas surveyed during the poverty assessment
2006	---	---	---	---
2007	---	---	---	---
2008	---	---	---	---
2009	---	---	---	---
2010	13189690	82	Stratified random survey	5%
2011	---	---	---	---

If neither of these is available, please state the percentage of the population living below the national poverty line in the country as a whole.

Year	Country			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the national human population surveyed during the poverty assessment
2000	---	---	Nationwide census	---
2001	11123862	70	Stratified random survey	5%
2002	13294098	81	Stratified random survey	5%
2003	---	---	---	---
2004	---	---	---	---
2005	12393714	69	Stratified random survey	5%
2006	---	---	---	---
2007	---	---	---	---
2008	---	---	---	---
2009	---	---	---	---
2010	15401600	77	Stratified random survey	5%
2011	---	---	---	---

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
DSM/INSTAT	2010	Enquête Permanente auprès des Ménages (EPM 2010)	INSTAT	www.instat.mg

International poverty line

If data related to the rural poverty line or to the national poverty line was provided, please **do not** respond to this section.

If neither the rural poverty line nor the national poverty line are available, please state the number of people and the percentage of the population living below the international poverty line (US\$ 2.00/capita/day) in affected areas.

Year	Affected areas			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the human population living in affected areas surveyed during the poverty assessment
2000	---	---	---	---
2001	---	---	---	---
2002	---	---	---	---
2003	---	---	---	---
2004	---	---	---	---
2005	---	---	---	---
2006	---	---	---	---
2007	---	---	---	---
2008	---	---	---	---
2009	---	---	---	---
2010	---	---	---	---
2011	---	---	---	---

If there is no national poverty line, please state the number of people and the percentage of the population living below the international poverty line (US\$ 2.00/capita/day) in rural areas.

Year	Rural areas			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the human population living in rural areas surveyed during the poverty assessment
2000	---	---	---	---
2001	---	---	---	---
2002	---	---	---	---
2003	---	---	---	---
2004	---	---	---	---
2005	---	---	---	---
2006	---	---	---	---
2007	---	---	---	---

Year	Rural areas			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the human population living in rural areas surveyed during the poverty assessment
2008	---	---	---	---
2009	---	---	---	---
2010	---	---	---	---
2011	---	---	---	---

If neither of these is available, please state the percentage of the population living below the international poverty line (US\$ 2.00/capita/day) in the country as a whole.

Year	Country			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the national human population surveyed during the poverty assessment
2000	---	---	---	---
2001	---	---	---	---
2002	---	---	---	---
2003	---	---	---	---
2004	---	---	---	---
2005	---	---	---	---
2006	---	---	---	---
2007	---	---	---	---
2008	---	---	---	---
2009	---	---	---	---
2010	---	---	---	---
2011	---	---	---	---

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
---	---	---	---	---

Contact details

General information on the national contact person for this indicator

Name and surname

DIRECTION DES STATISTIQUES ECONOMIQUES

Institution

INSTITUT NATIONAL DE LA STATISTIQUE

Address

Institut National de la Statistique
Anosy - Antananarivo - 101
Madagascar

Email

dginstat@moov.mg; rakoto_eric@yahoo;fr

Telephone

+ 261 20 22 245 22

Interpretation of indicator status/trend and policy implications

Poverty in affected areas

Did you provide data on poverty in affected areas?

No

If yes, please state whether you provided data for more than one year.

No answer required.

If no, do you see a pattern in the data?

No answer required.

Please explain the pattern emerging from the data and how it relates to DLDD.

No answer required.

If yes, does the poverty rate in affected areas change over time?

No answer required.

If no, please explain possible reasons why the poverty rate in affected areas does not change over time.

No answer required.

If yes, does the poverty rate in affected areas increase or decrease?

No answer required.

Please explain the pattern emerging from the data.

No answer required.

Is there a functional relationship between DLDD and the poverty rate in affected areas?

No answer required.

Please describe how DLDD affects the poverty rate in affected areas.

No answer required.

If DLDD does not affect the poverty rate in affected areas, please describe the other factor(s) responsible for changes in the poverty rate in affected areas.

No answer required.

Please upload a graph showing the percentage of human population below the poverty line in affected areas, covering all years for which data are provided in section "Poverty Rate" / sub-section "Reporting on the indicator".

The graph should be provided as jpg or pdf with a maximum file size of 2MB

No answer required.

To facilitate understanding please explain clearly what can be seen in the diagram (keys, classes, etc).

No answer required.

Poverty in rural areas

Did you provide data on poverty in rural areas?

Yes

If yes, please state whether you provided data for more than one year.

Yes

If no, do you see a pattern in the data?

No answer required.

Please explain the pattern emerging from the data and how it relates to DLDD.

No answer required.

If yes, does the poverty rate in rural areas change over time?

Yes

If no, please explain possible reasons why the poverty rate in rural areas does not change over time.

No answer required.

If yes, does the poverty rate in rural areas increase or decrease?

Both increase and decrease

Please explain the pattern emerging from the data.

L'analyse de la pauvreté requiert des indicateurs de suivi, systématiques et objectifs. Est ainsi classé comme pauvre, tout individu dont la contre-valeur monétaire de ses consommations annuelles est en dessous du seuil de pauvreté national.

La consommation inclut les dépenses, les paiements en nature reçus, les autoconsommations, les valeurs locatives des biens durables, les cadeaux en nature reçus, et le loyer imputé. Il s'agit des consommations alimentaires et non alimentaires. Au seuil de pauvreté alimentaire vient donc s'ajouter un seuil de pauvreté non alimentaire étant donné qu'une personne doit aussi subvenir à des besoins essentiels autres qu'alimentaires.

D'une manière générale, les problèmes économiques tels que la hausse des prix des produits ou l'augmentation générale des prix ont été mentionnés par une grande majorité de ménages comme étant les principales sources de variation des différents facteurs déterminants la pauvreté, étant donné qu'ils sont eux aussi variables dans le temps. De plus, les problèmes de climat ont été ressentis davantage dans les régions rurales à majorité agricole. Les problèmes d'insécurité tels que les vols de bétail, de récoltes sur pied ou de violence contre des personnes, touchent davantage les campagnes que les villes.

Is there a functional relationship between DLDD and the poverty rate in rural areas?

Yes

Please describe how DLDD affects the poverty rate in rural areas.

La DDTS affecte le taux de pauvreté en milieu rural en réduisant les moyens de subsistance de la population y habitant. En effet, dans la mesure où l'économie en milieu rural repose essentiellement sur l'agriculture et que la terre figure parmi les principaux capitaux de production qui supportent les moyens de subsistance, il est évident que la DDTS affecte le taux de pauvreté. A titre d'exemple, l'exploitation anarchique de saphir dans la région Atsimo Andrefana (zone de Bezaha) entraîne l'ensablement de plusieurs hectares de rizières et la dégradation des terrains de pâture qui ne sont plus utilisables à cause des excavations et des galeries d'extraction de saphir (PRD Atsimo Andrefana, 2008).

L'érosion éolienne à laquelle la région du sud de l'île est la plus exposée, entraînant la disparition de la couche superficielle des sols et provoquant la baisse de la fertilité des sols; le recul ou l'avancement des dunes, l'ensevelissement des cuvettes, mares, champ de culture etc., affecte également le taux de pauvreté en milieu rural (Drynet No. 8, Juillet 2011). La disparition du couvert végétal, en particulier de la forêt réduit la productivité des terres et limite les moyens de subsistance à long terme.

If DLDD does not affect the poverty rate in rural areas, please describe the other factor(s) responsible for changes in the poverty rate in rural areas.

No answer required.

Please upload a graph showing the percentage of human population below the poverty line in rural areas, covering all years for which data are provided in section "Poverty Rate" / sub-section "Reporting on the indicator".

The graph should be provided as jpg or pdf with a maximum file size of 2MB

Attachments:

- [Evolution du taux de pauvreté dans les zones rurales Madagascar .pdf](#), 30.39 kB

To facilitate understanding please explain clearly what can be seen in the diagram (keys, classes, etc).

No answer provided.

Please state the actions and policies that you currently have in place or any that will be implemented in the future to address the implications of the indicator trend or lack thereof for addressing DLDD in your country.

Madagascar a élaboré le Madagascar Action Plan, document cadre stratégique de référence pour 2007 à 2012. Ce document comporte huit engagements à savoir, (1) Gouvernance responsable ; (2) Infrastructures reliées ; (3) Education transformationnelle ; (4) Développement rural et révolution verte ; (5) Santé, planning familial et lutte contre le VIH SIDA ; (6) Economie à croissance élevée ; (7) Protéger l'environnement ; et (8) Solidarité nationale. Ces différents engagements concourent pour réduire le taux de pauvreté sur tout le territoire et avec les engagements n°4 et n°8 en particulier, déclinés respectivement en programme national de développement rural et en programme environnemental, on peut avancer que le pays et notamment les zones rurales disposent des mesures et politiques afin de faire face aux répercussions de la tendance du taux de pauvreté.

L'engagement n°4 s'articule autour de plusieurs défis : sécuriser la tenure foncière, améliorer l'accès au financement rural abordable, initier une révolution verte durable, promouvoir les activités orientées vers le marché, diversifier les activités rurales, accroître la valeur ajoutée agricole et promouvoir l'agribusiness. Quant à l'engagement n°8, il sera développé dans l'indicateur « état du couvert terrestre ». Les différents programmes/projets mis en œuvre, correspondants à ces deux engagements, pour 2010 et 2011 sont d'ailleurs présentés dans les annexes financières.

Par ailleurs, dans le cadre de la mise en œuvre de la politique environnementale, et en cohérence avec la Convention des Nations Unies sur la Lutte Contre la Désertification, Madagascar a élaboré son Plan d'Action National de Lutte Contre la Désertification en 2003 et envisage de l'aligner au Plan Cadre Stratégique prochainement. Ce PAN a identifié les priorités suivantes (qui rejoignent d'ailleurs les priorités des autres politiques sectorielles) :

- Amélioration des connaissances sur le processus de désertification par le renforcement de la recherche appliquée, de l'éducation et de la formation en vue du renforcement de la capacité nationale à lutter contre la désertification ;
- Amélioration de la prévention et de la gestion des catastrophes ;
- Amélioration du capital productif et du cadre de vie des populations, notamment en milieu rural ;
- Amélioration de la production agricole ainsi que de la disponibilité et de l'accès aux denrées alimentaires ;
- Gestion durable des ressources naturelles ;
- Sécurisation foncière.
- Approche Genre

Poverty at the national level

If data was provided for either affected or rural areas, please **do not** respond to this section.

Did you provide data on poverty at the national level?

Yes

If yes, please state whether you provided data for more than one year.

Yes

If no, do you see a pattern in the data?

No answer required.

Please explain the pattern emerging from the data and how it relates to DLDD.

No answer required.

If yes, does the poverty rate at national level change over time?

No answer provided.

If no, please explain possible reasons why the poverty rate at national level does not change over time.

No answer required.

If yes, does the poverty rate at national level increase or decrease?

No answer required.

Please explain the pattern emerging from the data.

No answer required.

Is there a functional relationship between DLDD and the poverty rate at the national level?

No answer provided.

Please describe how DLDD affects the poverty rate at the national level.

No answer required.

If DLDD does not affect the poverty rate at the national level, please describe the other factor(s) responsible for changes in the poverty rate at the national level.

No answer required.

Please upload a graph showing the percentage of the national population below the poverty line, covering all years for which data are provided in section "Reporting on the indicator".

The graph should be provided as jpg or pdf with a maximum file size of 2MB

Attachments:

None.

To facilitate understanding please explain clearly what can be seen in the diagram (keys, classes, etc).

No answer provided.

Please state the actions and policies that you currently have in place or any that will be implemented in the future to address the implications of the indicator trend or lack thereof for addressing DLDD in your country.

No answer provided.

Feedback

Report on specific COP requests – iterative process on indicators

Decision 13/COP.9 (paragraphs 2, 3 and 4) and decision 17/COP.9 envisage an iterative process to refine the set of performance and impact indicators. As a tool to implement this iterative process, affected country Parties can provide here their suggestions and recommendations for improvement. Using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 = (no, not at all) and 5 = (yes, very much), please rate the indicator. Please write any comments related to your assessment under the column "remarks".

Assessment Criteria (e-SMART)	Score	Remarks
Relevant – Does the indicator provide information about changes in primary processes unambiguously related to DLDD and UNCCD implementation?	2	Dans la mesure où il est difficile de distinguer ou d'isoler la part de la lutte contre la DDTs des autres facteurs qui déterminent le taux de pauvreté, cet indicateur, pris de manière isolée, ne fournit pas des informations sur les

Assessment Criteria (e-SMART)	Score	Remarks
		changements liés à la lutte contre la DDTS
Relevant – Is the indicator relevant for DLDD national planning purposes, including monitoring of the National Action Programme (NAP)?	3	En se basant sur la même logique, l'indicateur, pris de manière isolée, n'est pas pertinent. Néanmoins, combiné avec d'autres indicateurs et en particulier avec l'état du couvert terrestre, il pourrait s'avérer très utile pour prioriser les interventions de la lutte nationale contre la DDTS
Relevant – Can policymakers easily understand the indicator?	4	Les méthodologie et définition disponibles sont relativement faciles à comprendre mais des efforts de communication sont nécessaires pour faciliter la compréhension
Specific – Is the indicator based on well-understood and generally accepted conceptual models of the system to which it is applied so that changes in its value will have clear meaning regarding the process of concern?	3	L'analyse de la pauvreté requiert des indicateurs de suivi, systématiques, objectifs, comparables au niveau national, au niveau international, et dans le temps. La série d'EPM, de 1993 à 2010, permet seulement de mesurer les disparités géographiques, et les évolutions temporelles de la pauvreté
Specific – Is the requested spatial scale (national vs. affected areas) of the indicator appropriate for its monitoring purposes?	2	Dans la mesure où l'échelle spatiale demandée permettrait d'évaluer la part (ou la contribution) des actions entreprises dans les zones touchées au taux de pauvreté au niveau national, et sous réserve de la considération de l'évolution du taux de pauvreté dans le temps, cette échelle est appropriée.
Measurable – Are the definitions of the indicator and its constitutive elements clear and not ambiguous?	5	Les méthodologie et définition disponibles sont claires et sont basées sur des calculs statistiques bien précis.
Measurable – Are the proposed methodologies for the measurement of this indicator sufficiently clear to ensure reliable data?	4	La méthodologie est basée sur une collecte des données, mais s'étend aussi aux analyses de manière à fournir en éléments de données, le calcul d'indicateurs et les analyses microéconomiques sur toutes les facettes des activités et des conditions de vie des ménages, ainsi que sur la pauvreté.
Time-bound – Is the indicator sensitive enough to detect important changes but not so sensitive that signals are masked by natural variability?	2	L'enquête EPM ne se fait pas annuellement et ne tient pas compte des variations naturelles.
Time-bound – Can the indicator detect changes at the required temporal and spatial scales and are the up-scaling / cross-scaling rules clear?	2	L'enquête EPM ne se fait pas annuellement et se limite au niveau régional.
Achievable – Are reliable data and monitoring systems available to assess trends and is data collection a relatively straightforward process?	2	L'EPM 2010 ne constitue qu'un des piliers du dispositif permanent de suivi des conditions de vie des ménages dans le cadre du Système Statistique National qui vise à instituer un système de statistique coordonné, capable de couvrir tous les besoins, récurrents et émergents, en données statistiques.

Assessment Criteria (e-SMART)	Score	Remarks
Achievable – Is the frequency of data collection in line with the monitoring and reporting requirements of the UNCCD?	2	Parfois, l'enquête EPM ne se fait pas annuellement. Depuis 1993, l'enquête auprès des ménages 2010 constitue le dernier de 8 séries d'EPM.
Economic – Is the indicator cost-effective? Is the cost of data collection affordable and worthwhile? (consider any required cost for personnel, capital but also, recurring costs)	4	L'indicateur est utilisé par de nombreux utilisateurs. En effet, la série d'EPM constitue l'unique source de données multithèmes, temporelles, utilisant des concepts internationalement reconnus, et ayant une couverture sur l'ensemble du territoire qui peut servir à analyser les situations des ménage

Core indicator S-5: Land Cover Status

UNCCD Strategic Objective(s) for which the indicator applies
Strategic Objective 2: To improve the condition of ecosystems

UNCCD Core indicator S-5

Maintenance of or increases in ecosystem function, including net primary productivity

Name of the indicator

Land cover status

Metric

Land cover / land productivity

Purpose of the indicator

The purpose of this indicator is to measure and monitor changes in land cover and land productivity. This can be used to indicate land degradation in terms of long-term loss of ecosystem primary productivity, and assess the progress made in maintaining or improving the condition of ecosystems.

Understanding of the indicator

Land cover reflects the (bio) physical dimension of the earth's surface. This can indicate the land's ability to sustain human activity and land use. Ideally, parties should provide data on the area of each land cover type, the percentage of the total national area covered by each land cover type and any patterns and trends over time. Where available, data should also be provided on the net primary productivity of each land cover type, to give some indication of the total productive capacity of the land.

Data needed

Total national land area, classification of land cover types, the total area of each land cover type (square km) and the total area of each land cover type as a percentage of the total national land area.

Relevant terms in the glossary

'aerial photo', 'data', 'data analysis', 'data source', 'desertification/land degradation and drought (DLDD)', 'Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI)', 'e-SMART', 'expert knowledge', 'field survey', 'Fraction of photosynthetically active radiation absorbed by vegetation (FAPAR)', 'Global Inventory Modeling and Mapping Studies (GIMMS)', 'ground-truthing', 'indicator' 'land cover', 'Land Cover Classification System (LCCS)', 'land productivity', 'metric', 'minimum mapping unit (MMU)', 'Net primary productivity (NPP)', 'Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI)', 'Rainfall Use Efficiency (RUE)', 'remote sensing', 'satellite image', 'spatial resolution', 'temporal resolution'

Reporting on land cover

Reporting on the indicator

Land Cover Type #1 — Formations sempervirentes

Land cover type

Name

Formations sempervirentes

Please provide the definition used for this land cover type for each year between 2000 and 2011 for which land cover data are available.

If available, LCCS should be used as land cover classification system.

Year	Definition of land cover type
2000	-Forêts denses humides sempervirentes de l'Est, du Sambirano et du Centre -Forêts sclérophylles des pentes occidentales du Centre -Forêts et fourrés sclérophylles de montagne du Centre
2001	---
2002	---
2003	---
2004	---
2005	-Forêts denses humides sempervirentes de l'Est, du Sambirano et du Centre -Forêts sclérophylles des pentes occidentales du Centre -Forêts et fourrés sclérophylles de montagne du Centre
2006	---
2007	---
2008	---
2009	---
2010	Forêts denses humides sempervirentes de l'Est, du Sambirano et du Centre -Forêts sclérophylles des pentes occidentales du Centre -Forêts et fourrés sclérophylles de montagne du Centre
2011	---

Please state the methodology used to produce land cover data in your country for the most recent years and the availability of associated images.

Where remote sensing was used, state the respective year and month in which remote sensing was carried out to derive images.

<p><i>Item 1</i></p> <p>Year: 2000</p> <p>Method used: Remote sensing</p> <p>If field survey was used, please specify the percentage of country surface sampled: 5%</p> <p>If field survey was used, were the surveys extrapolated to the entire country? Yes</p> <p>If remote sensing was used, identify the type and answer the following questions: Satellite image</p> <p>Classification: Hybrid</p> <p>Please specify the spatial resolution of the image: 30m</p> <p>Please specify the temporal resolution of the image:</p>

1days
Please specify the minimum mapping unit (MMU) of the image:
30m
Please specify the year that the photo was captured:
1996
Please specify the month that the image was captured:

Percentage of country surface assessed via this classification:
100%
Ground truthing conducted?
Yes
If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:
90%
High resolution verification?
Yes
If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:
90%
Expert opinion?
Yes
Please specify how accurate the map was judged by the experts:

Please specify the number of experts involved:
5

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
Direction Générale des Forêts	2000	Inventaire Ecologique et Forestier National	Ministère de l	www.mef.gov.mg

Indicator data

For the years available, please provide the total area covered (in square kilometres) and the proportion of the total national area covered by this land cover type.

Year	square km	% of total
2000	59180	10.08%
2001	---	---%
2002	---	---%
2003	---	---%
2004	---	---%
2005	57986	9.88%
2006	---	---%
2007	---	---%
2008	---	---%
2009	---	---%

Year	square km	% of total
2010	57468	9.79%
2011	---	---%

Please provide the geographic datasets which underpin the land cover information provided.

Ideally, the data should be provided as a shapefile or raster (Geotiff) format with geographic coordinates on the WGS84 datum. If the raw data are not available please provide a map showing the extent of each land cover type listed. Ideally, the map should have a scale of less than 1:250,000 and be provided in a Tiff format.

Attachments:

None.

To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map (resolution of map, year of the map, land cover classes and corresponding colours, borders, etc).

No answer provided.

Contact details

General information on the national contact person for this indicator

Name and surname

Service de gestion des bases de données forestières

Institution

Direction Générale des Forêts

Address

B.P 243,Nanisana, Antananarivo 101, Madagascar
www.mef.gov.mg

Email

sgbdf.dgf@mef.gov.mg

Telephone

+ 261 20 22 645 88

Land Cover Type #2 — Formations forestières décidues

Land cover type

Name

Formations forestières décidues

Methodology and data availability

Please provide the definition used for this land cover type for each year between 2000 and 2011 for which land cover data are available.

If available, LCCS should be used as land cover classification system.

Year	Definition of land cover type
2000	-Forêts denses sèches décidues de l'Ouest sous forme d'une futaie de grands arbres émergents -Forêts denses sèches décidues du Sud sous forme d'une futaie -Fourrés xérophiles du Sud sous forme d'une végétation buissonnante dense
2001	---
2002	---
2003	---

Year	Definition of land cover type
2004	---
2005	-Forêts denses sèches décidues de l'Ouest sous forme d'une futaie de grands arbres émergents -Forêts denses sèches décidues du Sud sous forme d'une futaie -Fourrés xérophiles du Sud sous forme d'une végétation buissonnante dense
2006	---
2007	---
2008	---
2009	---
2010	-Forêts denses sèches décidues de l'Ouest sous forme d'une futaie de grands arbres émergents -Forêts denses sèches décidues du Sud sous forme d'une futaie -Fourrés xérophiles du Sud sous forme d'une végétation buissonnante dense
2011	---

Please state the methodology used to produce land cover data in your country for the most recent years and the availability of associated images.

Where remote sensing was used, state the respective year and month in which remote sensing was carried out to derive images.

Item 1

Year:

2000

Method used:

Remote sensing

If field survey was used, please specify the percentage of country surface sampled:

5%

If field survey was used, were the surveys extrapolated to the entire country?

Yes

If remote sensing was used, identify the type and answer the following questions:

Aerial photo

Classification:

Hybrid

Please specify the spatial resolution of the image:

30m

Please specify the temporal resolution of the image:

1days

Please specify the minimum mapping unit (MMU) of the image:

30m

Please specify the year that the photo was captured:

1996

Please specify the month that the image was captured:

Percentage of country surface assessed via this classification:

100%

Ground truthing conducted?

Yes

If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:

90%

High resolution verification?

Yes

If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:

90%

Expert opinion?

Yes

Please specify how accurate the map was judged by the experts:

Please specify the number of experts involved:

5

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
Direction Générale des Forêt	2000	Inventaire Ecologique et Forestier National	Ministère de l	www.mef.gov.mg

Indicator data

For the years available, please provide the total area covered (in square kilometres) and the proportion of the total national area covered by this land cover type.

Year	square km	% of total
2000	63400	10.80%
2001	---	---%
2002	---	---%
2003	---	---%
2004	---	---%
2005	62628	10.67%
2006	---	---%
2007	---	---%
2008	---	---%
2009	---	---%
2010	61899	10.54%
2011	---	---%

Please provide the geographic datasets which underpin the land cover information provided.

Ideally, the data should be provided as a shapefile or raster (Geotiff) format with geographic coordinates on the WGS84 datum. If the raw data are not available please provide a map showing the extent of each land cover type listed. Ideally, the map should have a scale of less than 1:250,000 and be provided in a Tiff format.

Attachments:

None.

To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map

(resolution of map, year of the map, land cover classes and corresponding colours, borders, etc).

No answer provided.

Contact details

General information on the national contact person for this indicator

Name and surname

Service de gestion des bases des données forestières

Institution

Direction Générale des Forêts

Address

B.P 243,Nanisana, Antananarivo 101

<http://www.mef.gov.mg>

Email

sgbdf.dgf@mef.gov.mg

Telephone

+ 261 20 22 645 88

Land Cover Type #3 — Formations forestières azonales

Land cover type

Name

Formations forestières azonales

Methodology and data availability

Please provide the definition used for this land cover type for each year between 2000 and 2011 for which land cover data are available.

If available, LCCS should be used as land cover classification system.

Year	Definition of land cover type
2000	-Mangroves : des dépôts vaseux des estuaires aux eaux saumâtres soumis à l'alternance des marées, - Forêts ripicoles et/ou des alluvions : sous forme d'une forêt dense humide sempervirente bords des cours d'eau et des alluvions se présentant sous forme d'une haute futaie (de 25 m à 30 m) luxuriante
2001	---
2002	---
2003	---
2004	---
2005	-Mangroves : des dépôts vaseux des estuaires aux eaux saumâtres soumis à l'alternance des marées, - Forêts ripicoles et/ou des alluvions : sous forme d'une forêt dense humide sempervirente bords des cours d'eau et des alluvions se présentant sous forme d'une haute futaie (de 25 m à 30 m) luxuriante
2006	---
2007	---
2008	---
2009	---

Year	Definition of land cover type
2010	-Mangroves : des dépôts vaseux des estuaires aux eaux saumâtres soumis à l'alternance des marées, - Forêts ripicoles et/ou des alluvions : sous forme d'une forêt dense humide sempervirente bords des cours d'eau et des alluvions se présentant sous forme d'une haute futaie (de 25 m à 30 m) luxuriante
2011	---

Please state the methodology used to produce land cover data in your country for the most recent years and the availability of associated images.

Where remote sensing was used, state the respective year and month in which remote sensing was carried out to derive images.

Item 1

Year:

2000

Method used:

Remote sensing

If field survey was used, please specify the percentage of country surface sampled:

5%

If field survey was used, were the surveys extrapolated to the entire country?

Yes

If remote sensing was used, identify the type and answer the following questions:

Satellite image

Classification:

Hybrid

Please specify the spatial resolution of the image:

30m

Please specify the temporal resolution of the image:

1days

Please specify the minimum mapping unit (MMU) of the image:

30m

Please specify the year that the photo was captured:

1996

Please specify the month that the image was captured:

Percentage of country surface assessed via this classification:

100%

Ground truthing conducted?

Yes

If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:

90%

High resolution verification?

Yes

If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:

90%

Expert opinion?

Yes

Please specify how accurate the map was judged by the experts:

90%

Please specify the number of experts involved:

5

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
Direction Générale des Forêts	2000	Inventaire Ecologique et Forestier National	Ministère de l	www.mef.gov.mg

Indicator data

For the years available, please provide the total area covered (in square kilometres) and the proportion of the total national area covered by this land cover type.

Year	square km	% of total
2000	4488	0.76%
2001	---	---%
2002	---	---%
2003	---	---%
2004	---	---%
2005	4488	0.76%
2006	---	---%
2007	---	---%
2008	---	---%
2009	---	---%
2010	---	---%
2011	---	---%

Please provide the geographic datasets which underpin the land cover information provided.

Ideally, the data should be provided as a shapefile or raster (Geotiff) format with geographic coordinates on the WGS84 datum. If the raw data are not available please provide a map showing the extent of each land cover type listed. Ideally, the map should have a scale of less than 1:250,000 and be provided in a Tiff format.

Attachments:

None.

To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map (resolution of map, year of the map, land cover classes and corresponding colours, borders, etc).

No answer provided.

Contact details

General information on the national contact person for this indicator

Name and surname

Service de gestion des bases de données forestières

Institution

Direction Générale des Forêts

Address

B.P 243,Nanisana, Antananarivo 101

<http://www.mef.gov.mg>

Email

sgbdf.dgf@mef.gov.mg

Telephone

+ 261 20 22 645 88

Land Cover Type #4 — Peuplements forestiers artificiels

Land cover type

Name

Peuplements forestiers artificiels

Methodology and data availability

Please provide the definition used for this land cover type for each year between 2000 and 2011 for which land cover data are available.

If available, LCCS should be used as land cover classification system.

Year	Definition of land cover type
2000	Plantation de feuillus et de résineux
2001	---
2002	---
2003	---
2004	---
2005	plantation de feuillus et de résineux
2006	---
2007	---
2008	---
2009	---
2010	plantation de feuillus et de résineux
2011	---

Please state the methodology used to produce land cover data in your country for the most recent years and the availability of associated images.

Where remote sensing was used, state the respective year and month in which remote sensing was carried out to derive images.

Item 1

Year:

2000

Method used:

Remote sensing

If field survey was used, please specify the percentage of country surface sampled:

5%

If field survey was used, were the surveys extrapolated to the entire country?

Yes

If remote sensing was used, identify the type and answer the following questions:

Satellite image
Classification:
Hybrid
Please specify the spatial resolution of the image:
30m
Please specify the temporal resolution of the image:
1days
Please specify the minimum mapping unit (MMU) of the image:
30m
Please specify the year that the photo was captured:
1996
Please specify the month that the image was captured:

Percentage of country surface assessed via this classification:
100%
Ground truthing conducted?
Yes
If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:
90%
High resolution verification?
Yes
If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:
90%
Expert opinion?
Yes
Please specify how accurate the map was judged by the experts:

Please specify the number of experts involved:
5

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
Direction Générale des Forêts	2000	Inventaire Ecologique et Forestier National	Ministère de l	www.mef.gov.mg

Indicator data

For the years available, please provide the total area covered (in square kilometres) and the proportion of the total national area covered by this land cover type.

Year	square km	% of total
2000	3161	0.54%
2001	---	---%
2002	---	---%
2003	---	---%
2004	---	---%
2005	3251	0.55%

Year	square km	% of total
2006	---	---%
2007	---	---%
2008	---	---%
2009	---	---%
2010	4839	0.82%
2011	---	---%

Please provide the geographic datasets which underpin the land cover information provided.

Ideally, the data should be provided as a shapefile or raster (Geotiff) format with geographic coordinates on the WGS84 datum. If the raw data are not available please provide a map showing the extent of each land cover type listed. Ideally, the map should have a scale of less than 1:250,000 and be provided in a Tiff format.

Attachments:

None.

To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map (resolution of map, year of the map, land cover classes and corresponding colours, borders, etc).

No answer provided.

Contact details

General information on the national contact person for this indicator

Name and surname

Service de gestion des bases de données forestières

Institution

Direction Générale desForêts

Address

B.P 243,Nanisana, Antananarivo 101

<http://www.mef.gov.mg>

Email

sgbdf.dgf@mef.gov.mg

Telephone

+ 261 20 22 645 88

Land Cover Type #5 — Autres occupations du sol

Land cover type

Name

Autres occupations du sol

Methodology and data availability

Please provide the definition used for this land cover type for each year between 2000 and 2011 for which land cover data are available.

If available, LCCS should be used as land cover classification system.

Year	Definition of land cover type
2000	- Mosaïque de cultures, jachères, lambeaux orestiers, formations graminéennes, etc.

Year	Definition of land cover type
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Formations graminéennes avec éléments ligneux - -- Formations graminéennes sans éléments ligneux - Cultures permanentes - Autres occupations du sol (marécages, plans d'eau, sols nus, surfaces bâties) - Non cl
2001	---
2002	---
2003	---
2004	---
2005	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Mosaïque de cultures, jachères, lambeaux orestiers, formations graminéennes, etc. - Formations graminéennes avec éléments ligneux - -- Formations graminéennes sans éléments ligneux - Cultures permanentes - Autres occupations du sol (marécages, plans d'eau, sols nus, surfaces bâties) - Non cl
2006	---
2007	---
2008	---
2009	---
2010	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Mosaïque de cultures, jachères, lambeaux orestiers, formations graminéennes, etc. - Formations graminéennes avec éléments ligneux - -- Formations graminéennes sans éléments ligneux - Cultures permanentes - Autres occupations du sol (marécages, plans d'eau, sols nus, surfaces bâties) - Non cl
2011	---

Please state the methodology used to produce land cover data in your country for the most recent years and the availability of associated images.

Where remote sensing was used, state the respective year and month in which remote sensing was carried out to derive images.

Item 1

Year:

2000

Method used:

Remote sensing

If field survey was used, please specify the percentage of country surface sampled:

5%

If field survey was used, were the surveys extrapolated to the entire country?

Yes

If remote sensing was used, identify the type and answer the following questions:

Aerial photo

Classification:

Hybrid

Please specify the spatial resolution of the image:

30m

Please specify the temporal resolution of the image:
1days

Please specify the minimum mapping unit (MMU) of the image:
---m

Please specify the year that the photo was captured:
1996

Please specify the month that the image was captured:

Percentage of country surface assessed via this classification:
100%

Ground truthing conducted?
Yes

If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:
90%

High resolution verification?
Yes

If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:
90%

Expert opinion?
Yes

Please specify how accurate the map was judged by the experts:

Please specify the number of experts involved:
5

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
Direction Générale des Forêts	2000	Inventaire Ecologique et Forestier National	Ministère de l	www.mef.gov.mg

Indicator data

For the years available, please provide the total area covered (in square kilometres) and the proportion of the total national area covered by this land cover type.

Year	square km	% of total
2000	450910	76.81%
2001	---	---%
2002	---	---%
2003	---	---%
2004	---	---%
2005	---	---%
2006	---	---%
2007	---	---%
2008	---	---%

Year	square km	% of total
2009	---	---%
2010	---	---%
2011	---	---%

Please provide the geographic datasets which underpin the land cover information provided.

Ideally, the data should be provided as a shapefile or raster (Geotiff) format with geographic coordinates on the WGS84 datum. If the raw data are not available please provide a map showing the extent of each land cover type listed. Ideally, the map should have a scale of less than 1:250,000 and be provided in a Tiff format.

Attachments:

None.

To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map (resolution of map, year of the map, land cover classes and corresponding colours, borders, etc).

No answer provided.

Contact details

General information on the national contact person for this indicator

Name and surname

Service de gestion des bases de données forestières

Institution

Direction Générale de Forêts

Address

B.P 243,Nanisana, Antananarivo 101
<http://www.mef.gov.mg>

Email

sgbdf.dgf@mef.gov.mg

Telephone

+ 261 20 22 645 88

Interpretation of indicator status/trend and policy implications

Interpretation of the indicator

Did you provide data for more than one year?

Yes

If no, do you see a pattern in the data related to affected areas?

No answer required.

Please explain the pattern emerging from the data and how it relates to DLDD.

No answer required.

Does the indicator change over time?

Yes

If no, please explain possible reasons why the indicator does not change over time

No answer required.

If yes, is there a functional relationship between the indicator and DLDD?

Yes

If DLDD does not affect the indicator, please describe the other factor(s) responsible for changes in the indicator value over time.

No answer required.

Please describe how DLDD affects the indicator.

En réduisant la superficie des terres agricoles (agriculture et élevage), la DDTS pousse les paysans à migrer vers de nouvelles terres plus fertiles en bordure ou dans les formations arborées qui sont défrichées en faveur des activités agricoles.

Does the extent of affected areas increase or decrease?

Increase

Please explain the pattern emerging from the data.

La superficie des forêts sempervirentes et des forêts décidues a diminué de 2000 à 2010, les raisons principales de la déforestation étant le défrichement (pour l'agriculture) et les feux. Toutefois, il importe de signaler que d'autres activités économiques telles que les extractions minières ne sont pas négligeables dans leur contribution à l'augmentation des zones touchées par la DDTS.

L'augmentation de l'étendue des zones touchées par la déforestation est plus accentuée dans les forêts épineuses du sud et dans le sud-ouest de Madagascar (ces zones font partie des zones semi-arides du pays). A titre d'exemple, la déforestation (défrichement et feux) au niveau du pays a été de 124 610 hectares de 2005 à 2010. Dans l'ex-province de Toliara, cette déforestation a été de 47 913 hectares de 2005 à 2010 (soit 38% de la déforestation totale) et est la plus importante par rapport aux 5 autres ex-provinces de Madagascar.

Please upload any graphs showing the temporal pattern/trend in the indicator over time, covering all years for which data is available.

The graph should be provided as jpg or pdf with a maximum file size of 2MB. To facilitate understanding please explain clearly what can be seen in the diagrams (keys, classes, resolutions etc.)

Attachments:

- [Couverture forestière.pdf](#), 609.29 kB
- [Deforestation à Madagascar entre 2000 et 2010.pdf](#), 14.37 kB

Please state the actions and policies that you currently have in place or any that will be implemented in the future to address the implications of the indicator trend or lack thereof for addressing DLDD in your country.

Dans le cadre de l'engagement n°7 « Protéger l'environnement », plusieurs défis ont été posés : (1) augmenter les aires protégées pour la conservation de la biodiversité terrestre, lacustre, marine et côtière ; (2) réduire le processus de dégradation des ressources naturelles ; (3) développer le réflexe environnemental à tous les niveaux ; et (4) renforcer l'efficacité de la gestion des forêts.

Par rapport au premier défi, Madagascar s'est engagé à tripler la superficie des aires protégées. Ainsi, en 2009, les aires protégées couvrent environ 8% du territoire contre 3% en 2003, avec toutefois une ouverture dans la catégorie de gestion et le mode de gouvernance afin de permettre une utilisation durable des ressources naturelles et une participation plus importante des communautés locales.

Dans la perspective du défi n°2, les transferts de gestion des ressources naturelles ont été promus et en 2009, le nombre de contrats de transferts de gestion de ressources naturelles aux communautés locales est d'environ 500 dont 178 000 ha de forêts, 54 800 ha de mangroves, et 54 850 ha d'espaces de pêche.

Pour le défi n°3, l'adoption du décret de Mise en Compatibilité de l'Investissement avec l'Environnement mérite d'être soulignée. De 1997 à septembre 2011, sur les 556 dossiers déposés auprès de l'Office National pour l'Environnement, 81% ont obtenu un permis environnemental. Une Cellule Environnementale a été créée au sein de tous les Ministères afin de veiller à la considération de l'environnement dans les politiques, programmes et projets de développement du secteur concerné.

Dans le système éducatif, un module environnement a été introduit dans les programmes scolaires du niveau secondaire mais aussi dans le programme de formation de l'Ecole Nationale d'Administration de Madagascar et de l'Ecole Nationale Supérieure de la Police. Au niveau des collectivités décentralisées, un

guide vert, outil d'intégration de la dimension environnementale, a été élaboré pour aider les Maires, à gérer localement l'environnement.

Quant au quatrième défi, les bases de données, le suivi-évaluation de projets/programmes et activités, le cadre d'élaboration de politique, de contrôle et de régulation dans le domaine forestier sont renforcés.

Par ailleurs, Madagascar a entamé la 3^e phase du Programme Environnemental en 2003 dont les résultats attendus sont : (1) des modes de gestion durable des ressources naturelles renouvelables et de conservation de la biodiversité sont adoptés et appropriés par les populations, et (2) la pérennisation au niveau national de la gestion des ressources naturelles et environnementales est assurée.

Feedback

Report on specific COP requests – iterative process on indicators

Decision 13/COP.9 (paragraphs 2, 3 and 4) and decision 17/COP.9 envisage an iterative process to refine the set of performance and impact indicators. As a tool to implement this iterative process, affected country Parties can provide here their suggestions and recommendations for improvement. Using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 = (no, not at all) and 5 = (yes, very much), please rate the indicator. Please write any comments related to your assessment under the column "remarks".

Assessment Criteria (e-SMART)	Score	Remarks
Relevant – Does the indicator provide information about changes in primary processes unambiguously related to DLDD and UNCCD implementation?	5	L'indicateur est direct
Relevant – Is the indicator relevant for DLDD national planning purposes, including monitoring of the National Action Programme (NAP)?	5	Oui car il permettrait de prioriser les zones d'intervention d'une part et d'évaluer les impacts des actions entreprises d'autre part.
Relevant – Can policymakers easily understand the indicator?	4	Oui, à condition de mener des efforts de communication appropriés en fonction du niveau de compréhension des responsables politiques.
Specific – Is the indicator based on well-understood and generally accepted conceptual models of the system to which it is applied so that changes in its value will have clear meaning regarding the process of concern?	4	Oui, le rôle de l'indicateur ne pose aucune ambiguïté par rapport au sens qu'on lui accorde.
Specific – Is the requested spatial scale (national vs. affected areas) of the indicator appropriate for its monitoring purposes?	4	Oui car l'échelle permettrait d'apprécier la contribution des actions de lutte contre la DDTs par rapport au niveau national.
Measurable – Are the definitions of the indicator and its constitutive elements clear and not ambiguous?	3	La classification LCCS est en cours d'adoption par les différents groupes de recherche
Measurable – Are the proposed methodologies for the measurement of this indicator sufficiently clear to ensure reliable data?	3	Il n'y a pas de normes standardisées pour les méthodologies. Des discussions peuvent ainsi survenir sur la fiabilité des méthodes adoptées par les différents organismes intervenant dans le domaine.
Time-bound – Is the indicator sensitive enough to detect important changes but not so sensitive	3	Les variations naturelles peuvent être masquées par les variations importantes dues aux activités anthropiques

Assessment Criteria (e-SMART)	Score	Remarks
that signals are masked by natural variability?		
Time-bound – Can the indicator detect changes at the required temporal and spatial scales and are the up-scaling / cross-scaling rules clear?	4	Oui, l'indicateur est capable de détecter les changements.
Achievable – Are reliable data and monitoring systems available to assess trends and is data collection a relatively straightforward process?	2	Des systèmes de suivi existent mais compte tenu du coût élevé généré par la collecte des données, la fiabilité est discutable.
Achievable – Is the frequency of data collection in line with the monitoring and reporting requirements of the UNCCD?	2	Non, la collecte des données est réalisée en moyenne tous les 5 ans.
Economic – Is the indicator cost-effective? Is the cost of data collection affordable and worthwhile? (consider any required cost for personnel, capital but also, recurring costs)	4	Malgré le coût élevé engendré par la collecte de données, l'indicateur est utilisé par de nombreux intervenants. En effet, l'évolution de l'indicateur peut servir à analyser les causes et les conséquences des situations dans lesquelles l'état du couvert terrestre se trouve.

Reporting on land productivity

Do not reply to this section if you provided no data on land cover.

Reporting on the indicator

Do not reply to this section if you provided no data on land cover.

Please, state the methods used to estimate land productivity in your country.

No answer provided.

Please state the net primary productivity (NPP) (in kgC ha⁻¹ year⁻¹) for each land cover type described in section “Land Cover Status” / sub-section “Methodology and data availability”:

Year (2000-2011)	Land cover type	NPP (kgC ha ⁻¹ year ⁻¹)
---	---	---

For the land cover types described in section “Land Cover Status” / sub-section “Methodology and data availability”, please state whether you have data on NDVI, FAPAR, EVI or others and also state the respective value.

Year (2000-2011)	Land cover type	Value	Data type
---	---	---	---

If Others, please specify:

No answer required.

Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
---	---	---	---	---

Please provide the geographic datasets which underpin the land productivity information provided.

Ideally, the data should be provided as a shapefile or raster (Geotiff) format with geographic coordinates on the

WGS84 datum. If the raw data are not available please provide a map showing the extent of each land cover type listed. Ideally, the map should have a scale of less than 1:250,000 and be provided in a Tiff format.

Attachments:

None.

To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map (resolution of map, year of the map, land cover classes and corresponding colours, borders, etc).

No answer provided.

Interpretation of the indicator

Did you provide data for more than one year?

No answer provided.

If no, do you see a pattern in the data related to affected areas?

No answer required.

Please explain the pattern emerging from the data and how it relates to DLDD.

No answer required.

Does the indicator change over time?

No answer required.

If no, please explain possible reasons why the indicator does not change over time

No answer required.

If yes, is there a functional relationship between the indicator and DLDD?

No answer required.

If DLDD does not affect the indicator, please describe the other factor(s) responsible for changes in the indicator value over time.

No answer required.

Please describe how DLDD affects the indicator.

No answer required.

Does the extent of affected areas increase or decrease?

No answer required.

Please explain the pattern emerging from the data.

No answer required.

Please upload any graphs showing the temporal pattern/trend in the indicator over time, covering all years for which data is available.

The graph should be provided as jpg or pdf with a maximum file size of 2MB. To facilitate understanding please explain clearly what can be seen in the diagrams (keys, classes, resolutions etc.)

No answer required.

Please state the actions and policies that you currently have in place or any that will be implemented in the future to address the implications of the indicator trend or lack thereof for addressing DLDD in your country.

No answer provided.

Feedback

Report on specific COP requests – iterative process on indicators

Decision 13/COP.9 (paragraphs 2, 3 and 4) and decision 17/COP.9 envisage an iterative process to refine the set of

performance and impact indicators. As a tool to implement this iterative process, affected country Parties can provide here their suggestions and recommendations for improvement. Using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 = (no, not at all) and 5 = (yes, very much), please rate the indicator. Please write any comments related to your assessment under the column “remarks”.

Assessment Criteria (e-SMART)	Score	Remarks
Relevant – Does the indicator provide information about changes in primary processes unambiguously related to DLDD and UNCCD implementation?	---	---
Relevant – Is the indicator relevant for DLDD national planning purposes, including monitoring of the National Action Programme (NAP)?	---	---
Relevant – Can policymakers easily understand the indicator?	---	---
Specific – Is the indicator based on well-understood and generally accepted conceptual models of the system to which it is applied so that changes in its value will have clear meaning regarding the process of concern?	---	---
Specific – Is the requested spatial scale (national vs. affected areas) of the indicator appropriate for its monitoring purposes?	---	---
Measurable – Are the definitions of the indicator and its constitutive elements clear and not ambiguous?	---	---
Measurable – Are the proposed methodologies for the measurement of this indicator sufficiently clear to ensure reliable data?	---	---
Time-bound – Is the indicator sensitive enough to detect important changes but not so sensitive that signals are masked by natural variability?	---	---
Time-bound – Can the indicator detect changes at the required temporal and spatial scales and are the up-scaling / cross-scaling rules clear?	---	---
Achievable – Are reliable data and monitoring systems available to assess trends and is data collection a relatively straightforward process?	---	---
Achievable – Is the frequency of data collection in line with the monitoring and reporting requirements of the UNCCD?	---	---
Economic – Is the indicator cost-effective? Is the cost of data collection affordable and worthwhile? (consider any required cost for personnel, capital but also, recurring costs)	---	---

Additional indicators on strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3

This reporting template can be used to report on the nine impact indicators provisionally accepted by the COP (see decision 17/COP.9) but considered optional for inclusion in reports by affected country Parties. Detailed reporting guidelines have not been developed for these nine optional impact indicators, but a description of the indicators and associated metrics can be found in Orr, B.J. 2011. “Scientific review of the UNCCD provisionally accepted set of impact indicators to measure the implementation of strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3.” White Paper Version 1. Available from http://www.unccd.int/en/programmes/Science/Monitoring-Assessment/Documents/White%20paper_Scientific%20review%20set%20of%20indicators_Ver1.pdf

Alternative indicators considered more suitable than the provisionally accepted indicators may also be reported on using this template. The condition for reporting on alternative indicators is that these fit into the underlying logic of measuring progress against strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3 of the Strategy.

Purpose of the indicators

Water availability per capita	“To measure and monitor changes in access to water sources for the population. This can be used to assess the impacts of DLDD, and mitigation efforts, on water
--------------------------------------	---

	resources”
Change in land use	“To measure and monitor changes in the productive or protective uses of the land resource. This can be used to assess sustainability of land use.”
Food consumption per capita	“To measure and monitor changes in nutritional status. This can act as an indicator of both well-being and the availability of ecosystem services.”
Capacity of soils to sustain agro-pastoral use	“To measure and monitor changes in the status of soil health. This can be used to assess the impacts of DLDD, and mitigation efforts, on soil health.”
Degree of land degradation	“To measure and monitor changes in the extent and severity of land degradation. This can be used to assess the impact of agreements and programs to address land degradation and reclaim degraded lands.”
Plant and animal biodiversity	“To measure and monitor changes in the status of biodiversity relative to a ‘pristine’ baseline. This can be used as an indicator for overall environmental sustainability and used to assess the impacts of DLDD, and interventions, on enhancing biodiversity.”
Drought index	“Acts as an indicator for characterising sensitive and desertification-affected areas. This can be used to monitor the climatic conditions affecting water availability as a driving force of DLDD, provide early warnings of drought and assess severity and actions.”
Carbon stocks above and below ground	“To measure and monitor changes in above and below ground stocks as a global benefit. This can be used to assess the impacts of DLDD, and mitigation efforts on carbon stocks.”
Land under Sustainable Land Management (SLM)	“To act as a surrogate for measuring and monitoring a number of global benefits: (a) Climate regulation and carbon sequestration; (b) Vegetation cover and composition; and (c) Water retention and the regional hydrologic balance.”

None delivered.

Strategic Objective 4

Indicator SO-4-3

Strategic Objective 4

To mobilize resources to support implementation of the Convention through building effective partnerships between national and international actors

Impact indicator SO-4-3 for expected impact 4.1 (Increased financial, technical and technological resources are made available to affected developing country Parties, and where appropriate Central and Eastern European countries, to implement the Convention)

Percentage change in the domestic financial commitment to the implementation of the Convention

Understanding of the indicator

It provides an indication of the trend in the supply of public finance for DLDD-related investments and other Convention-related activities by affected developing country Parties.

Data needed

- DLDD-related programmes and projects (co-)financed through domestic public budgets
- Contribution by domestic public sources to investments and other initiatives to advance SLM
- Convention-related financial commitments by affected developing country Parties

Data sources (indicative only)

- PRAIS (financial annexes)
- CRIC performance reviews of OO5 and analyses of financial flows
- Relevant country-level studies to inform the IFS process (to be used when data is not available in the PRAIS system, and/or for cross referencing and validity checks)
- Inventories of SLM funding opportunities and/or investments
- Relevant databases and publications of authoritative entities (to be used when data is not available in the PRAIS)

system, and/or for cross referencing and validity checks)

Check the glossary for
Integrated financing strategy; integrated investment framework

Nominal amount (USD) of financial commitments for Convention-related objectives made from domestic public budgets (i.e. national or sub-national)

Year	Nominal amount (USD)
2010	4639184
2011	3554745

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- Documents de projet

Attachments:

None.

Indicator SO-4-6

Strategic Objective 4

To mobilize resources to support implementation of the Convention through building effective partnerships between national and international actors

Impact indicator SO-4-6 for expected impact 4.2 (Enabling policy environments are improved for UNCCD implementation at all levels)

Number and type of legal and regulatory frameworks, economic incentives or other mechanisms securing or facilitating the transfer of funds for the implementation of the Convention at all levels.

Understanding of the indicator

It provides a measure of the efforts made by Convention stakeholders to facilitate the implementation of the Convention.

Data needed

- Laws and regulations
- Economic and financial measures (e.g. fiscal rules, tax benefits, credit lines and borrowing rules, etc.)
- Cooperation frameworks (e.g. agreements, memoranda of understanding, contracts, etc.)
- Sectoral policies (e.g. trade, marketing, property rights, business development, etc.)
- Convention-specific mechanisms

Data sources (indicative only)

- Public records of Convention stakeholders
- Relevant databases and publications and other authoritative entities (to be used when data is not available in the PRAIS system, and/or for cross referencing and validity checks)
- PRAIS (CONS-O-6, CONS-O-14, CONS-O-18)

Check the glossary for
Incentive

Number of mechanisms in place in the country to facilitate the mobilization of resources for the implementation of the Convention, by type

Year	Laws and regulations	Economic and financial incentives	Cooperation frameworks	Sectoral policies
------	----------------------	-----------------------------------	------------------------	-------------------

Year	Laws and regulations	Economic and financial incentives	Cooperation frameworks	Sectoral policies
2010	0	0	9	2
2011	0	0	9	2

Qualitative assessment

Description of mechanisms

Mechanism	Description
A - Laws and regulations	---
B - Economic and financial incentives	---
C - Cooperation frameworks	Cadres de coopération avec les partenaires œuvrant dans la DDTS à Madagascar (Banque Mondiale, Union Européenne, FIDA, USAID, KFW, BAD, JICA, GIZ, GEF)
D - Sectoral policies	- Politique de Développement Rural (Lettre de politique) - Politique Nationale de l'Environnement

Geographical level of application

	International	Regional	Subregional	National	Local
A					
B					
C				X	
D				X	

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Ministère de l'Environnement et des Forêts**
Ministère de l'Agriculture

Attachments:

None.

Indicator SO-4-7

Strategic Objective 4

To mobilize resources to support implementation of the Convention through building effective partnerships between national and international actors

Impact indicator SO-4-7 for expected impact 4.2 (Enabling policy environments are improved for UNCCD implementation at all levels)

Clear entrusting of institutional responsibilities for UNCCD implementation, at all levels

Understanding of the indicator

It provides an indication of the effectiveness of institutional arrangements for the implementation of the Convention with regard to the resource mobilization process

Data needed

- Evidence of institutional arrangements, instruments and mechanisms that facilitate resource mobilization, or the lack thereof
- Best practices in resource mobilization

Data sources (indicative only)

- Public records of Convention stakeholders
- Relevant databases and publications of authoritative entities
- PRAIS (Best Practices on finance and resource mobilization)

Check the glossary for

N.A.

Institutional set up, responsibilities, and arrangements to facilitate the implementation of the Convention

Year	International level	Regional level	Subregional level	National level	Local level
2010	poor	---	---	poor	very poor
2011	poor	---	---	poor	very poor

Qualitative assessment

Description of institutional arrangements

Level	Description
A - International	- Mécanisme Mondial - Fond Mondial pour l'Environnement
B - Regional	- TerrAfrica
C - Subregional	---
D - National	Conférence budgétaire Loi de Finances Bureau National de Gestion des Risques et Catastrophes
E - Local	Plan Communal de développement

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Ministère de l'Environnement et des Forêts**
Ministère des Finances et du Budget

Attachments:

None.

Performance Indicators

Operational Objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education

Performance indicator CONS-O-1 for Outcome 1.1

Number and size of information events organized on the subject of DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity, and audience reached by media addressing DLDD and DLDD synergies.

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the performance of Convention-related communication strategies, in particular whether DLDD issues and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity are being communicated and if so, whether the communication is considered to be effective. Effectiveness is assessed through the appraisal of the media campaigns carried out; the assumption is that the stronger the media campaigns on DLDD issues and synergies, the higher the probability of passing the messages on to the target audiences. The focus of the indicator is on information activities specifically dedicated to DLDD issues and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity. Other reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on Convention-related communication strategies at the subregional, regional and global levels.

Data needed

- Information on events/media specifically addressing DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity.
- Only events organized by major national DLDD stakeholders about which NFPs have been informed should be considered.
- Only the media products from the five most important national TV/radio channels and the five most relevant national newspapers should be considered.

Data sources (indicative only)

Attendance list of events (meetings, workshops, seminars), programme/project documents, major national media (TV/radio channels, newspapers), the Internet, organizers of events.

Check the glossary for

'NFP', 'Information events', 'Media products', 'National communication strategy', 'Participant'

Check the reporting manual for

'How can the number of information events and estimated number of participants in information events be determined?', 'How can the number of media products be determined?', 'How can the proportion of the population which is informed about DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity be estimated?'

Overall target

By 2018, 30 per cent of the global population is informed about DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity.

Number of information events

Year	Number of information events	Estimated number of participants in the information events
2010	227	3373
2011	255	11102

Estimated number of persons reached by media products and by key stakeholders

Year	Stakeholder	Paper media products	Radio and TV	other ICT
2010	Public at Large	---	---	---
	Civil society organizations	---	---	---
	Science and technology institutions	---	---	---

Year	Stakeholder	Paper media products	Radio and TV	other ICT
2011	Public at Large	---	---	---
	Civil society organizations	---	---	---
	Science and technology institutions	---	---	---

Number of media products made public

Year	Newspapers	Radio and TV
2010	202	52
2011	181	54

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- - Projets : Appui au Développement de Menabe et Melaky (AD2M), Programme de Lutte Anti-Erosive (PLAE)
- WWF
- Midi Madagasikara
- Express de Madagascar

Attachments:

None.

National contribution to the target

On the basis of your best knowledge, estimate the proportion (%) of the population in your country which is informed about DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity at the time of reporting?

Estimated share of total country population

11 %

Qualitative assessment

Is the information you have provided on communication processes part of a national communication strategy addressing environmental issues?

No answer provided.

Is there a national communication strategy addressing DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity?

No

If yes, does the implementation of the national communication strategy complement the implementation of the UNCCD Comprehensive Communication Strategy?

No answer required.

If no, is your country implementing the UNCCD Comprehensive Communication Strategy?

Yes

Is your country implementing activities relating to the United Nations Decade for Deserts and the Fight Against Desertification (UNDDD)?

Yes

Performance indicator CONS-O-3 for Outcome 1.3

Number of civil society organizations (CSOs) and science and technology institutions (STIs) participating in the Convention processes.

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the level of participation of CSOs and STIs in DLDD-related programmes and projects. The indicator will outline whether the active involvement of these stakeholders in country-based initiatives increases over time and whether programmes/projects are valid tools for the engagement of, and receiving contributions from, CSOs and STIs at the field level. Other reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on the involvement of CSOs and STIs at the subregional, regional and global levels; additionally, the secretariat and the GM will report on the involvement of CSOs and STIs at the institutional level.

Data needed

A list of the organizations involved in the programmes/projects in the reporting country as reported in the PPSs.

Data sources (indicative only)

PPSs submitted to the UNCCD as part of the reporting exercise.

Check the glossary for

'STIs', 'CSOs', 'PPS', 'Convention processes'

Check the reporting manual for

'Which CSOs involved in DLDD-related programmes/projects should be counted?'

Overall target

A steady growth in the participation of CSOs and STIs in the Convention processes is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy.

Number of CSOs/STIs involved in each programme/project in the country

In the PPSs you have specified the number of CSOs and the number of STIs involved in each programme/project in your country. Add these numbers and give the totals by year in the table below.

Year	Number of CSOs involved in DLDD-related programmes/projects	Number of STIs involved in DLDD-related programmes/projects
2010	25	4
2011	27	11

Provide the names of these organizations.

Name
World Wildlife Fund for Nature (WWF)
Association Nationale d
Groupement des Semi Directs de Madagascar (GSDM)
Tany sy Fampanandrosoana (TAFANA)
Catholic Relief Service (CRS)
Madagascar National Parks (MNP)
Care International
ONG SOAKAPILA
ONG SARAGNA

Name
ONG CODE
ONG TOKY FAMPANDROSOANA
ONG MADE SARL
ONG MAZAVA
AHT GROUP
AGRONOMES ET VETERINAIRES SANS FRONTIERES
ASSOCIATION INTERCOMMUNALE PLATEAU MAHAFALY
TAMIA
AMPIHAMY MIRAISOA
MAHALEOTSE TAFITA
SOATENA MIAROPIA
MANIRISOA ANATSAKOA
FOKONOLONA ANTAMPIMBATO
SAHA
CENTRE INTERNATIONAL DE RECHERCHE SUR L
FOIBE FIKAROHANA HO FAMPANDROSOANA NY ENY AMBANIVOHITRA (FOFIFA)
GROUPE DE RECHERCHES ET D
ECOLE SUPERIEURE DES SCIENCES AGRONOMIQUES (ESSA)
Association Intercoopération Madagascar (AIM)
LAND O'LAKES
TRANOBEN'NY TANTSAHA
ACT FOR NATURE
ASSOCIATION VAHATRA
AGRICULTEURS FRANCAIS ET DEVELOPPEMENT INTERNATIONAL
BUREAU D'EXPERTISE SOCIALE ET DE DIFFUSION TECHNIQUE (BEST)
UNIVERSITE DE HAMBURG
UNIVERSITE DE GREIFSWALD
UNIVERSITE DE KASSEL
UNIVERSITE DE MARBURG
UNIVERSITE DE MAINZ

National contribution to the target

At the time of reporting, is your government undertaking concrete initiatives to increase the participation of

CSOs and STIs in DLDD-related programmes and projects?

Yes

If yes, provide a short description of actions taken at the national level to promote participation by CSOs and STIs in the Convention processes

Le Gouvernement facilite la participation/l'intégration des ONGs ou Institutions Scientifiques que ce soit dans la formulation que dans l'exécution des différents projets de développement ou de recherches

Qualitative assessment

Specify the reasons for the increasing and/or decreasing trend of the participation of CSOs and STIs to DLDD-related programmes/projects.

Reasons for increasing

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Increased networking and collaboration opportunities	3
Increased access to information and to national and/or international financing opportunities	3
Increased willingness of the government in working with CSOs	3
Increased interest of donors in working with CSOs	3
Strengthened organizational, project management and fund-raising capacity of CSOs	3
Increased funding opportunities requiring partnership with the STIs	3
Strengthened organizational, project management and fund-raising capacity of the STIs	2
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Reasons for decreasing for CSOs

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Costly participatory processes	---
Low organizational, fund-raising and project management capacity of CSOs	---
Government policies and/or the legal environment do not foster the engagement of CSOs	---
Diminishing funding	---
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Reasons for decreasing for STIs

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
DLDD topics are not prioritized by national STIs	---
Low organizational, fund-raising and project management capacity of STIs	---

Reason	Level of importance
Decreased networking opportunities at national and international level	---
Diminishing funding	---
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Performance indicator CONS-O-4 for Outcome 1.3

Number and type of DLDD-related initiatives of civil society organizations (CSOs) and science and technology institutions (STIs) in the field of education.

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the number of DLDD-related initiatives undertaken by CSOs and STIs in the education sector. The assumption is that the higher the number of DLDD-related education initiatives undertaken by these stakeholders, the stronger their interest in addressing DLDD problems. This indicator focuses on “education” because “awareness” and “advocacy” are already measured through indicators CONS-O-1 and CONS-O-2, respectively. Other reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on the involvement of CSOs and STIs at the subregional, regional and global levels.

Data needed

- Information on initiatives undertaken in the field of education that may be found in: written communications by CSOs and STIs to the NFP; contractual and/or programme/project-related documents; records of academic bodies and their curricula; and Internet resources made available by CSOs and STIs.
- Only initiatives in the field of education taken in the country and directly relating to DLDD issues are to be considered.

Data sources (indicative only)

CSOs and STIs operating in the country.

Check the glossary for

‘CSOs’, ‘STIs’, ‘NFP’, Education initiatives’

Check the reporting manual for

‘Which CSOs involved in DLDD-related education initiatives should be counted?’

Overall target

A steady growth in the number of DLDD-related education initiatives undertaken by CSOs and science and technology institutions is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy.

Number of DLDD-related initiatives undertaken by CSOs/STIs

Year	Number of DLDD-related initiatives undertaken by CSOs	Number of DLDD-related initiatives undertaken by STIs
2010	1	2
2011	1	2

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **World Wilde Fund for Nature (WWF)**
Ministère de l'Environnement et des Forêts
Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur
Universités

Attachments:

None.

National contribution to the target

At the time of reporting, is your government undertaking concrete initiatives to increase the delivery of DLDD-related initiatives in the education sector by CSOs and STIs?

Yes

If yes, provide a short description of actions taken at the national level to increase the number of DLDD-related initiatives of CSOs and STIs in the field of education

Le Gouvernement facilite la formulation et la mise en œuvre des initiatives liées à la DDTS dans le domaine de l'éducation par les OSC et Institutions Scientifiques et Technologiques

Qualitative assessment

Specify the reasons for the increasing and/or decreasing trend of DLDD-related education initiatives undertaken by CSOs and STIs.

Reasons for increasing

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Increased access to funding	3
Increased awareness of DLDD-related problems and of the need for action	4
Increased knowledge of DLDD-related topics and enhanced skills of trainers/trainers	3
Government policies are more supportive of education initiatives	3
International donors are more supportive of education-focussed initiatives.	3
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Reasons for decreasing for CSOs

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Lack of financial resources	---
Insufficient awareness and knowledge by national CSOs of DLDD-related issues	---
Limited capillary presence of national CSOs at the grass-root level	---
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Reasons for decreasing for STIs

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Lack of financial resources	---

Reason	Level of importance
National STIs are more focussed on research activities than on education and training	---
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Operational Objective 2: Policy framework

Performance indicator CONS-O-5 for Outcomes 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3

Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities to have finalized the formulation/revision of NAPs/SRAPs/RAPs aligned to The Strategy, taking into account biophysical and socio-economic information, national planning and policies, and integration into investment frameworks.

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the performance of affected country Parties in formulating or revising their NAPs in alignment with The Strategy. While providing information on this process, the indicator also outlines whether: (a) the analysis of DLDD drivers, barriers to possible solutions, and measures that may eventually overcome these barriers, has been carried out; (b) the alignment process has been supported by biophysical and socio-economic baseline information; (c) the action programmes have been included in integrated investment frameworks; and (d) the action programmes have been integrated with other existing national plans and policies. The indicator will inform on the extent to which Parties have responded to decision 3/COP.8, paragraph 45, and on the feasibility of assessing the progress of The Strategy over its implementation period (2008–2018). Subregional and regional reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on formulation or revision of SRAPs and RAPs in alignment with The Strategy.

Data needed

- UNCCD NAP. Only a NAP formally approved by the relevant governmental authorities is to be considered as 'finalized'
- Other relevant planning documents

Data sources (indicative only)

UNCCD NFP.

Check the glossary for

'Finalized', 'NAP', 'NFP', 'driver', 'integrated investment framework', 'baseline', 'NAP formulation', 'NAP adoption', 'NAP alignment', 'Formulation of an aligned NAP'

Overall target

By 2014, at least 80 per cent of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities have formulated/ revised a NAP/SRAP/RAP aligned to The Strategy.

NAP Adoption and Revision

Had your country already adopted a NAP prior to The Strategy, i.e. before 31.12.2007?

Yes

If your country had adopted a NAP prior to The Strategy, i.e. before 31.12.2007, specify the date of its approval.

11/03/2003

If your country had adopted a NAP prior to The Strategy, has it revised the NAP in alignment with The Strategy, i.e. after 1.1.2008?

No

If your country has revised the NAP in alignment with The Strategy, i.e. after 1.1.2008, specify the date of its approval.

No answer required.

If your country has not revised the NAP in alignment with The Strategy, specify why the process was not initiated.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Not a priority for the government	0
Lack of capacities	3
Lack of financial resources	4
Understaffing	2
Lack of time	0
Poor internal coordination among relevant ministries	1
Other	0

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

If your country had no NAP prior to The Strategy, has it formulated an aligned NAP after The Strategy's adoption, i.e. after 1.1 2008?

No answer required.

If yes, specify the date of its approval.

No answer required.

If your country has some specific issues with regard to the characteristics of the NAP and/or the status of its implementation, particularly in how they relate to its alignment with The Strategy, describe them briefly.

No answer provided.

If your country did not have a NAP by the end of the reporting period, specify why the process was not initiated.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

No answer required.

Other (specify)

No answer required.

For countries having a NAP aligned to The Strategy

Is your country's NAP supported by biophysical and socio-economic baseline information?

No answer provided.

Does your country's NAP assess DLDD drivers?

No answer provided.

Does your country's NAP assess the barriers to sustainable land management?

No answer provided.

If yes, does it include recommendations to remove these barriers?

No answer required.

Has your country's NAP been included in an integrated investment framework?

No answer provided.

Has your country's NAP been integrated into national development planning and relevant sectoral and investment plans and policies?

No answer provided.

If yes, has the NAP been integrated into your country's Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper?

No answer required.

Did your country refer to the guidelines on the alignment of action programmes with The Strategy as proposed in ICCD/COP(9)/2/Add.1 while revising the NAP to be in alignment with The Strategy or while formulating an aligned NAP?

No answer provided.

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Ministère de l'Environnement et des Forêts**
Point Focal National UNCCD

Attachments:

None.

National contribution to the target

If your country did not have a NAP aligned to The Strategy by the end of the reporting period, when do you plan to have it completed?

2012–2013

If you do not have an approved NAP aligned to The Strategy at the time of reporting, when do you plan to have it developed and approved?

No answer provided.

Qualitative assessment

Has the revision of the NAP to be in alignment with The Strategy or the formulation of an aligned NAP been supported by external assistance?

No answer provided.

If yes, did you receive assistance from one or more of the following institutions?

No answer required.

If yes, which type of assistance did you receive?

No answer required.

Identify the major difficulties experienced in the process of revising the NAP to be in alignment with The Strategy or in formulating an aligned NAP.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Not a priority for the government	---
Poor availability of biophysical and socio-economic baseline information	---
Existing investment frameworks are not fully compatible with the NAP	---
Streamlining the NAP into existing plans and policies is too time-consuming	---
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Performance indicator CONS-O-7 for Outcome 2.5

Number of initiatives for synergistic planning/programming of the three Rio Conventions or mechanisms for joint implementation, at all levels.

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the existence of synergistic processes through the number of instruments (i.e. joint planning/programming and/or operational mechanisms) in place which foster the introduction of, or strengthen the mutually reinforcing measures among, the three Rio Conventions. The assumption is that the higher the number of enabling instruments in place, the higher the possibility of achieving synergies in implementation. This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on synergistic processes at the subregional, regional and global levels.

Data needed

- Planning/programming documents and legislative/regulatory documents.
- Information on operational mechanisms explicitly aimed at achieving joint implementation, synergies and convergence, as well as at introducing or strengthening reinforcing measures among the Rio Conventions.

Data sources (indicative only)

Relevant national ministries.

Check the glossary for

'Joint planning/programming initiatives', 'Operational mechanisms for joint implementation or mutual reinforcement'

Check the reporting manual for

'Which synergistic instruments should be included?', 'Indicative list of activities by Parties to promote synergies among the Rio Conventions'

Overall target

By 2014, each affected country Party has either one joint national plan in place or functional mechanism(s) to ensure synergies among the three Rio Conventions.

Was your country implementing joint planning/programming initiatives for the three Rio Conventions in the reporting period?

No

If yes, specify the type of joint initiative(s)

No answer required.

Other (specify)

No answer required.

Did operational mechanisms for joint implementation or mutual reinforcement exist in your country during the reporting period?

No

If yes, specify the type of mechanism(s)

No answer required.

Other (specify)

No answer required.

Sources of information

List the synergistic instruments referred to above.

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Ministère de l'Environnement et des Forêts**
Points Focaux des Conventions de RIO

Attachments:

None.

National contribution to the target

If your country was not implementing joint planning/programming or did not have operational mechanisms in place by the end of the last reporting period, when do you plan to have synergetic instruments in place?

2012–2013

Qualitative assessment

Has the establishment of synergistic processes for joint implementation of the Rio Conventions at national level been supported by the institutions of the Rio Conventions?

No

If yes, by the institutions of which Convention?

No answer required.

Identify the major difficulties experienced in establishing synergistic planning/programming or mechanisms for joint implementation.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Not a priority for the government	0
Lack of capacities	2
Lack of financial resources	4
Understaffing	2
Lack of time	0
Poor internal coordination among relevant ministries	1
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Operational Objective 3: Science, technology and knowledge

Performance indicator CONS-O-8 for Outcomes 3.1 and 3.2

Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities to have established and supported a national/subregional/regional monitoring system for DLDD

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the monitoring potential of the country by quantifying the number of monitoring systems established and supported. These monitoring systems may be specifically dedicated to DLDD or may partially cover it. The indicator will inform on the extent to which it is realistic to expect more regular and coherent reporting by affected country Parties during the implementation of The Strategy and beyond. This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on UNCCD-relevant monitoring systems established and supported at the subregional, regional and global levels.

Data needed

- Information on monitoring systems established within the national ministries or other bodies/institutions
- Programme/project documents and interim or final reports

Data sources (indicative only)

Relevant national ministries, programme/project management units, other non-governmental sources.

Check the glossary for

'monitoring system', 'monitoring system specifically dedicated to DLDD', 'monitoring system partially covering DLDD'

Check the reporting manual for

'Can a monitoring system that is not an environmental monitoring system, but which accounts for the socio-economic aspects of DLDD, be considered a DLDD monitoring system?', 'Can a meteorological monitoring system be considered a DLDD monitoring system?'

Overall target

By 2018, at least 60 per cent of affected country Parties, subregional and regional reporting entities have established and supported national monitoring systems for DLDD.

Is a monitoring system specifically dedicated to DLDD established at the national level?

No

If yes, specify whether this system is functional

No answer required.

If yes, specify whether this system is regularly updated

No answer required.

If no DLDD-specific monitoring system is in place, is a monitoring system partially covering DLDD established at the national level?

Yes

List any monitoring system available at the sub-national level that can contribute to the UNCCD reporting.

No answer provided.

List the main features of the monitoring system available at the national level, in particular those that can contribute to UNCCD reporting.

- **Tableau de Bord Environnemental** : Le tableau de bord un outil d'aide à la décision produit dans le cadre du Programme Environnemental malgache. Il rassemble et dissémine les données et informations relatives à l'environnement, synthétisées en un ensemble d'indicateurs environnementaux
- **Réseau des Observatoires Ruraux** : constitue un dispositif de suivi et d'analyse des conditions de vie des ménages ruraux. Un des objectifs principaux est d'appréhender l'évolution de la situation des ménages ruraux et l'impact des mesures publiques et des chocs externes sur ces ménages.
- **Système d'Alerte Précoce/Système d'Information Rurale et de Sécurité Alimentaire**:surveillance des zones à risques par des collectes permanentes de sur la pluviométrie, l'évolution des cultures , l'élevage, la disponibilité et les prix sur le marché des denrées alimentaires et les migrations

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Ministère de l'Environnement et des Forêts/Direction Générale des Forêts**
Office National pour l'Environnement
Programme Alimentaire Mondial
Equipe Permanent de Pilotage/Plan d'Action pour le Développement Rural

Attachments:

None.

National contribution to the target

If your country did not have a national monitoring system specifically dedicated to DLDD or partially covering DLDD in place by the end of the reporting period, do you plan to initiate one?

Yes

If yes, when?

2012–2013

Qualitative assessment

For those countries not having a national monitoring system specifically dedicated to DLDD or partially covering DLDD, identify the major difficulties experienced in the establishment process.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Financial constraints	4
Lack of capacities	3
Human resources constraints	2
Lack of coordination among relevant ministries and unclear attribution of responsibilities	2
Lack of coordination among donor-led programme/project interventions	3
Existing initiatives are too fragmented; cannot be realistically coordinated under one umbrella	3
Existing national and/or sub-national monitoring systems use different methodologies and cannot be realistically harmonized	3
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

For those countries having a national monitoring system specifically dedicated to DLDD or partially covering DLDD, how is the system maintained?

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
By means of national resources	3
By means of external support	3
No maintenance is possible due to limited professional capacities	---
No maintenance is possible due to limited financial resources	---
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Performance indicator CONS-O-9 for Outcome 3.1 and 3.2

Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities reporting to the Convention along revised reporting guidelines on the basis of agreed indicators

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the use of biophysical and socio-economic information in defining a commonly agreed core set of impact indicators for the UNCCD and in monitoring progress against these indicators using harmonized methodologies. The indicator will inform to what extent it is possible to compile a comparable and global assessment of UNCCD impact. Subregional and regional reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on the use of impact indicators at the subregional and regional levels, if and when impact indicators for these levels will be commonly agreed upon by the Conference of the Parties.

Data needed

- Reports to the UNCCD by affected country Parties in 2012 and 2016.
- The information to report on this indicator will be compiled by affected country Parties every four years when reporting on the strategic objectives that require biophysical and socio-economic information (i.e. SO1, SO2 and SO3). **Reporting on this indicator is due in 2012 and in 2016 only.**

Data sources (indicative only)

UNCCD NFP

Check the glossary for

'NFP'

Overall target

By 2018, at least 90 per cent of affected country Parties, subregional and regional reporting entities report to the Convention in compliance with the new reporting guidelines.

Has your country reported on the two impact indicators considered by decision 13/COP.9 to be the minimum reporting requirement?

Yes

Number of impact indicators for strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3 your country has reported on in 2012 and 2016

2012

2

2016

No answer provided.

While reporting on impact indicators, did you refer to the reporting guidelines, i.e. using the common baselines and methodologies defined by the CST?

Yes

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Ministère de l'Environnement et des Forêts**
Point Focal National UNCCD

Attachments:

None.

National contribution to the target

If in 2012 your country has not reported on some or all of the impact indicators for the UNCCD, do you plan

to do so in 2016?

Yes

If in 2012 your country has not complied with the reporting guidelines, i.e. using the common baselines and methodologies defined by the CST, do you plan to do so in 2016?

Yes

Performance indicator CONS-O-10 for Outcome 3.3 and 3.4

Number of revised NAPs/SRAPs/RAPs reflecting knowledge of DLDD drivers and their interactions, and of the interaction of DLDD with climate change and biodiversity

Understanding of the indicator

The indicator measures knowledge-transfer processes from the theoretical to the operational level. This is done through an assessment carried out by affected country Parties (self-assessment) of the levels of traditional and scientific knowledge reflected in their NAPs. The assumption is that NAPs based on sound scientific and traditional knowledge will propose more significant and effective strategies and activities for implementation at the national level, and will, ultimately, perform better than those NAPs that do not take into account available knowledge on DLDD and DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity. The indicator will inform to what extent UNCCD implementation is likely to achieve meaningful results. Subregional and regional reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on the assessment of their SRAPs and RAPs.

Data needed

- NAP aligned to The Strategy
- Scientific literature consulted for the formulation/revision of the NAP

Data sources (indicative only)

UNCCD NFP

Check the glossary for

'NAP', 'NAP adoption', 'NAP alignment', 'NAP formulation', 'formulation of an aligned NAP', 'NFP', 'driver', 'drought', 'drought preparedness, including mitigation'

As this indicator is meant to contribute to the country's self-assessment of its aligned NAP, countries not having a NAP or not having revised their NAP in alignment with The Strategy do NOT report on this indicator.

Overall target

By 2018, at least 70 per cent of revised NAPs/SRAPs/RAPs have successfully gone through a quality self-assessment.

Sources of information

UNCCD NAP formulated taking account of, or revised in alignment with, The Strategy.

Assessment of the aligned NAP

In your NAP, is the identification of biophysical and socio-economic drivers, and of their interaction, knowledge-based?

No answer provided.

If yes, specify upon which type of knowledge it is based

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

No answer required.

If yes, specify upon which type of knowledge it is based

No answer provided.

If based on scientific literature, list the main reference literature consulted (add as many rows as needed). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

No answer required.

In your NAP, is the analysis of the interaction between DLDD and climate change or biodiversity knowledge-

based?

No answer provided.

If yes, specify upon which type of knowledge it is based.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

No answer required.

If yes, specify upon which type of knowledge it is based.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

No answer provided.

If based on scientific literature, list the main reference literature consulted (add as many rows as needed). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

No answer required.

Is drought policy and drought preparedness, including mitigation, analyzed and/or reflected in some of the actions outlined in the NAP?

No answer provided.

If drought policy and drought preparedness, including mitigation, are not analyzed and/or reflected in some of the actions outlined in the NAP, when do you plan to do so?

No answer required.

National contribution to the target

If in your NAP, DLDD drivers, their interactions, and the interaction of DLDD with climate change and biodiversity are not analyzed on the basis of relevant scientific, expert and/or traditional knowledge, when do you plan to do so?

2012–2013

Qualitative assessment

If your NAP has not been developed taking into account relevant scientific and/or traditional knowledge, identify the reasons.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Relevant scientific literature is not available	---
Relevant traditional or expert knowledge is not available	---
Lack of financial resources to mobilise the necessary knowledge	---
Poor coordination among the relevant ministries prevented an internal pooling of knowledge/expertise	---
Relevant ministries could not contribute due to lack of time	---
Relevant ministries could not contribute due to lack of staff	---
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Performance indicator CONS-O-11 for Outcome 3.5

Type, number and users of DLDD-relevant knowledge-sharing systems at the global, regional, subregional and

national levels described on the Convention website

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the presence of DLDD-related knowledge-sharing processes, through the quantification of the type and number of existing knowledge-sharing systems. Effectiveness of these systems is measured through quantification of their user-base. The indicator will inform to what extent scientific and traditional knowledge, including best practices, are available to and sufficiently shared with end-users. This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on existing UNCCD-relevant knowledge-sharing systems at the subregional, regional and global levels.

Data needed

- Information from websites.
- Only DLDD-relevant knowledge-sharing systems and networks shall be considered.

Data sources (indicative only)

Relevant organizations and ministries hosting knowledge-sharing systems and networks within their website

Check the glossary for

'knowledge-sharing system', 'PRAIS'

Check the reporting manual for

'How can you provide the number of users in a knowledge-sharing system?'

Overall target

By 2010 the Convention website has been restructured and includes a thematic database on knowledge-sharing systems as part of the PRAIS.

Knowledge-sharing systems

List any DLDD-relevant 'knowledge-sharing system' in your country you are aware of, providing an Internet link and estimated number of users per year.

Item 1

Name of the system

Ministere de l'Environnement et des Forêts

Internet link

www.mef.gov.mg

Estimated number of users per year

96000

Item 2

Name of the system

Tableau de Bord Environnemental

Internet link

www.pnae.mg

Estimated number of users per year

8500

Item 3

Name of the system

Environnement et gestion des ressources naturelles

Internet link

www.madadoc.mg

Estimated number of users per year

8000

Item 4

<p>Name of the system Association du Réseau des Systèmes d'Informations Environnementales</p> <p>Internet link www.arsie.mg</p> <p>Estimated number of users per year 8500</p>

<p><i>Item 5</i></p> <p>Name of the system Hay Zara</p> <p>Internet link www.hayzara.org</p> <p>Estimated number of users per year 8000</p>
--

List any DLDD-relevant 'knowledge-sharing system' in your country you are aware of, providing an Internet link and estimated number of users per year.

Add as many rows as necessary.

<p>Name of the System <i>No answer provided.</i></p> <p>Internet Link <i>No answer provided.</i></p> <p>Estimated number of users per year <i>No answer provided.</i></p>
--

Operational Objective 4: Capacity building

Performance indicator CONS-O-13 for Outcomes 4.1 and 4.2

Number of countries, subregional and regional reporting entities engaged in building capacity to combat DLDD on the basis of NCSA or other methodologies and instruments

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the presence of capacity-building processes through the quantification of existing DLDD-related capacity-building initiatives. The indicator will inform to what extent affected country Parties may be expected to meet their obligations foreseen by the Convention, including forthcoming ones (i.e. new reporting requirements, establishment of monitoring systems, accessing new financing mechanisms). This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on capacity-building initiatives at the subregional, regional and global levels.

Data needed

- Information on DLDD-related capacity-building initiatives; only programmes/projects mentioned in the PPSs that have DLDD-related capacity-building as a major objective are to be considered.

Data sources (indicative only)

- PPSs submitted to UNCCD as part of the reporting exercise
- Programme/project documents and interim or final reports of those programmes and projects identified through the PPSs as having DLDD-related capacity-building as a major objective

Check the glossary for

'capacity-building', 'capacity development', 'capacity-building initiative', 'NCSA', 'PPS'

Check the reporting manual for

'What can be considered as a programme or project that has DLDD-related capacity-building as a major objective?'

Overall target

By 2014, at least 90 per cent of affected country Parties, subregional and regional reporting entities implement DLDD-specific capacity-building plans or programmes/projects.

Number of DLDD-related capacity-building initiatives implemented

Year	NCSA-generated	Other initiatives
2010	0	661
2011	0	332

Provide relevant information on the size, scope, effectiveness and status of the initiatives reported.

Les renforcements de capacités liées à la DDTS portent sur des formations en textes réglementaires régissant le défrichement et les feux de brousse qui ont été dispensées aux responsables des Communes, Fokontany, Comités de gestion des forêts, Agents des ONG de terrain partenaires des Projet avec une mise à disposition de textes législatifs. La collaboration avec des responsables régionaux et locaux dans le cadre du Tableau de Bord Environnemental, fait également partie des initiatives mises en place à travers une mise à disposition d'outils de gestion efficace pour l'environnement et d'outils de veille pour la durabilité de l'exploitation des ressources naturelles dans leur champ d'action et d'influence.

Has your country assessed DLDD-related capacity-building needs at the national level?

The process is still ongoing

If yes, within the framework of which initiative?

No answer required.

Other (specify)

No answer required.

If yes, has your country assessed the necessary resources for addressing capacity-building needs?

No answer required.

If yes, are these resource requirements included in an investment framework?

No answer required.

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Ministère de l'Environnement et des Forêts**
Points Focaux UNCCD, UNFCCC et CBD
PNUD

Attachments:

None.

National contribution to the target

If at the time of reporting there are no DLDD-specific capacity-building plans, programmes or projects implemented in your country, when do you plan to have something in place?

2012–2013

Qualitative assessment

Has your country received assistance from one or more of the following institutions to build capacities to combat DLDD?

No answer provided.

If yes, which type of assistance have you received?

No answer required.

Operational Objective 5: Financing and technology transfer

Performance indicator CONS-O-14 for Outcome 5.1

Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities whose investment frameworks, established within the IFS devised by the GM or within other IFSs, reflect leveraging national, bilateral and multilateral resources for combating desertification and land degradation

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the presence of integrated financing processes allowing the leverage of national, bilateral and multilateral resources for combating desertification and land degradation, through the quantification of investment frameworks developed by country Parties within the IFS devised by the GM or other IFSs promoted by diverse international institutions. This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on the establishment of IIFs at national, subregional and regional levels.

Data needed

- Investment framework documents.
- Only investment frameworks prepared along the guidelines devised within IFSs shall be considered.

Data sources (indicative only)

Relevant national ministries

Check the glossary for

'IFS', 'NAP', 'leveraging', 'IIF'

Overall target

By 2014, at least 50 per cent of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities have developed IIFs.

Had your country developed an IIF by the end of the reporting period?

No

If yes, specify when it was developed.

No answer required.

Is your country's IIF based on the NAP?

No answer required.

If based on the NAP, who assisted in its development?

No answer required.

Other (specify)

No answer required.

If assisted, which type of assistance did you receive?

No answer required.

If assisted by the GM, was it devised within the IFS?

No answer required.

If your country has an IIF based on the NAP, does this framework allow for the leveraging of national, bilateral and multilateral resources for combating DLDD?

No answer required.

Did your country receive assistance from the GM in exploring non-traditional and innovative channels of financial resources?

No answer provided.

Short overview of the progress in implementing the IIF

Provide a short overview of the progress in implementing the IIF in your country, in particular as it relates to its functionality and efficiency in leveraging the funding necessary for implementing the Convention.

No answer provided.

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- - **Ministère de l'Environnement et des Forêts**
- **Point Focal National UNCCD**

Attachments:

None.

National contribution to the target

If your country had not developed an IIF by the end of the reporting period, do you plan to do it?

Yes

If yes, when?

2012–2013

Qualitative assessment

Identify the major difficulties experienced in developing an IIF.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Financial constraints	---
Human resources constraints	---
Lack of coordination among relevant ministries and unclear attribution of responsibilities	---
Lack of coordination among those providing support	---
National bilateral and multilateral resources are too diverse; cannot be realistically coordinated under one umbrella.	---
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Performance indicator CONS-O-16 for Outcome 5.2

Degree of adequacy, timeliness and predictability of financial resources made available by developed country Parties to combat DLDD

Understanding of the indicator

This is a qualitative indicator requiring the perception-based assessment by developing affected country Parties of the adequacy, timeliness and predictability of bilateral contributions received from developed country Parties for the implementation of the Convention. "Adequate", "timely" and "predictable" resources are frequently referred to in The Strategy as being necessary to ensure proper planning and effective implementation. Subregional and regional reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on their perception-based assessments.

Data needed

-

Data sources (indicative only)

-

Check the glossary for

-

Only affected country Parties entitled to receive assistance under the UNCCD are requested to report on this indicator.

Refer your assessment to the following biennium only:

~~- In 2010, biennium 2008–2009~~

- In 2012, biennium 2010–2011

Overall target

No target has been set for this indicator.

Bilateral assistance received

How would you rate the bilateral assistance received within the framework of UNCCD for the implementation of The Strategy and of the Convention?

Adequacy of bilateral assistance

Fairly adequate

Timeliness of bilateral assistance

Fairly timely

Predictability of bilateral assistance

Fairly predictable

Provide narrative justification on your above rating

Madagascar bénéficie des aides bilatérales mais ces aides suivent la programmation budgétaire et pluriannuelle du pays/partenaire donateur.

Additional information on any other impacting aspects

If relevant, provide additional information on whether there are any other aspects beyond adequacy, timeliness and predictability of financial support made available by developed country Parties to combat DLDD which impact proper planning and effective implementation of the Convention in your country.

No answer provided.

Qualitative assessment

Did you receive assistance in raising resources from bilateral donors?

No

If yes, from whom?

No answer required.

Other (specify)

No answer required.

Has the level of adequacy, timeliness and predictability of bilateral assistance constrained your country's performance in planning and implementation with respect to UNCCD?

No

Performance indicator CONS-O-17 for Outcome 5.3

Number of DLDD-related project proposals successfully submitted for financing to international financial institutions, facilities and funds, including the GEF

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the capacity of fund-raising through the quantification of project proposals successfully submitted for funding to the various financing organizations. The indicator will inform to what extent affected country Parties make increasing efforts to mobilize resources. This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on the fund-raising efforts at national, subregional and regional levels.

Data needed

Information contained in the PPSs and SFAs submitted to UNCCD.

Data sources (indicative only)

- PPSs and SFAs submitted to UNCCD as part of the reporting exercise.
- The PPS requires specification of the project 'status' thus it allows the identification of relevant projects to be considered by this indicator and the monitoring of their approval status.
- The SFA requires the specification of amounts committed to approved projects.

Check the glossary for

'PPS', 'SFA', 'project proposals', 'currency', 'successfully submitted proposals'

Overall target

A steady growth in the number of DLDD-related successfully submitted project proposals is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy.

Sources of information

PPSs and SFAs

Number of project proposals submitted (pipeline) and ongoing, by biennium

Biennium	Submitted (pipeline)	Ongoing
2010–2011	0	12

Amount of funds raised, by biennium

You can find the amount of funds raised for the ongoing projects in the corresponding SFAs. Sum these amounts and give the total in the below table.

Biennium	Currency	Total amount
2010–2011	USD	80422123

National contribution to the target

According to the information provided above, do you think that your country is mobilizing enough resources from international financial institutions, facilities and funds through successfully submitted project proposals?

No

If no, does your country plan to increase its efforts in presenting project proposals to international financial institutions, facilities and funds?

Yes

What percentage of financing used for the implementation of DLDD-related programmes and projects comes from national sources, and what percentage from international sources?

Source	%
National sources	10
International sources	90

Qualitative assessment

Identify the reasons for the increasing or decreasing trend of project proposals successfully submitted to international financial institutions, facilities and funds.

Reasons for increasing

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Easier and more transparent application procedures	---
Increased capacities of national stakeholders to prepare applications	---
Major natural hazards occurred at the national level considerably increased the level of resources made available by the international community	---
Access to funding is increasingly facilitated by third parties such as the private sector	---
Existence of a financing strategy (IFS or others)	---
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Reasons for decreasing

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Financing opportunities are not publicized enough, lack of access to necessary information	3
Complicated application procedures, the level of complexity being worsened by the different requirements of the various donors	3
Limited financial resources are made available for DLDD-related programmes/projects, and lack of DLDD-specific allocations within donors' portfolio.	3
Other	4

Other (specify)

Crise politique prévalent dans le pays

Performance indicator CONS-O-18 for Outcome 5.5

Amount of financial resources and type of incentives which have enabled access to technology by affected country Parties

Understanding of the indicator

The indicator measures whether access to technology is facilitated by means of financial resources or economic and policy incentives. At the national level, the indicator will inform to what extent an enabling environment for technology transfer has been created and whether sufficient resources are dedicated to technology transfer. Subregional and regional reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on financial resources and type of incentives which have enabled access to technology at the subregional and regional levels.

Data needed

- Budgets of relevant programmes and projects
- Information on policy/regulatory, financial and fiscal incentives. Incentives facilitating access to technology are those

established and implemented at the national level, and not necessarily only within the framework of DLDD-related cooperation.

Data sources (indicative only)

- Financial documents of programmes and projects submitted as PPSs to the UNCCD as part of the reporting exercise
- National policy, regulatory and economic/financial documents

Check the glossary for

'technology transfer', 'technical support', 'incentive', 'PPS'

Check the reporting manual for

'How to disaggregate the amounts by year?', 'How to measure the effectiveness of technology transfer initiatives?'

Overall targets

- A steady growth in the financial resources allocated to facilitate access to technology by affected country Parties is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy.
- A steady growth in the number of economic and policy incentives reported upon is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy.

Estimate of amounts allocated to facilitate access to material and to knowledge aid (technology transfer)

Year	Currency	Amount
2010	MGA	---
2011	MGA	---

Estimate of amounts allocated to facilitate access to material and to knowledge aid (technology transfer)

Year	Technical support – material aid	Technical support – knowledge aid
2010	---	---
2011	---	---

Has your country established incentives intended to facilitate access to technology?

Yes

If yes, specify which types of incentives.

- **Policy or regulatory incentives (for example, related to market requirements and regulations, import/export, foreign investment, research & development support, etc.)**
- **Financial incentives (for example, preferential rates, State aid, subsidies, cash grants, loan guarantees, etc.)**

Provide a short overview of specific aspects and the nature of technology transfer in your country, in particular in relation to those aspects where there is a need to increase the level of technology transfer.

Le transfert de technologie se fait dans le cadre d'un projet dont les spécificités et la nature des technologies dépend des objectifs dudit projet

De ce fait, tous les aspects liés à la DDTS nécessitent un transfert accru de technologies

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Ministère de l'Environnement et des Forêts**
Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieure
Point Focal National UNCCD

Attachments:

None.

National contribution to the target

According to the information provided above, do you think that enough resources are allocated through DLDD-related programmes and projects to facilitate access to technology by your country?

No

If your country has no incentives in place or if existing incentives to facilitate the creation of an enabling environment for technology transfer do not prove to be effective, are you planning to enforce additional measures?

Yes

If yes, when?

2012–2013

Qualitative assessment

If existing incentives do not prove to be effective, identify possible reasons.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Policy or regulatory incentives are not enforced	4
There are not enough resources to apply financial or fiscal incentives	4
The national financial and credit systems (banks, credit agencies, etc) are not supportive	5
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Identify the reasons for the increasing trend of financial resources allocated through DLDD-related programmes and projects to facilitate access to technology.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Access facilitated by the spreading of IT	1
More appropriate technologies available	1
Appropriateness of government incentives	1
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Identify the reasons for decreasing trend of financial resources allocated through DLDD-related programmes and projects to facilitate access to technology.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Technology sustainability is poor; technologies do not represent viable investments	4

Reason	Level of importance
Lack of fixed infrastructure for accessing technologies (those created on an ad hoc basis disappear once the support ends)	5
Lack of capacities for operation and maintenance of technologies	4
Lack of enabling policy and regulatory environments	4
Other	---

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Standard Financial Annex

The CRIC has recommended that financial reporting be based on a standard financial reporting format to be used by affected country Parties and their development partners. It also indicated that emphasis in reports should be put on financial matters and also on an analysis of the impact of the activities undertaken (ICCD/CRIC(8)/5).

The purpose of the SFA is to consolidate information on resources mobilized by affected country Parties and their development partners under the framework of relevant strategies and action programmes. It facilitates the aggregation of data on financial commitments, financial flows and resources available by all relevant funding sources for activities related to the implementation of the Convention. It also helps minimize double counting in financial statistics (ICCD/CRIC(8)/5/Add.4).

The SFA is to be used by each country Party and other reporting entities to list all financial commitments they have made during the reporting period in support of institutions, programmes, projects, as well as other relevant initiatives undertaken at national or international level for the implementation of the Convention.

More specifically, for each relevant financial commitment or allocation made in the reporting period, the SFA requires a minimum set of data grouped as follows:

- a. Identification, i.e. data required to identify the reporting entity, the funding source and the activity financed;
- b. Basic data, i.e. data specifying the amount and type of financial commitment made, as well as the recipient country, region, and/or organization, and the funding period, if applicable;
- c. Classification, i.e. categorization of the funded activity according to the Rio Markers for desertification, and the UNCCD Relevant Activity Codes (RACs).

The compilation of the SFA is guided by means of a template, which responds to the recommendations of CRIC 7, and builds on the GM methodological guide for financial reporting presented to CRIC 6 as part of the report of the intergovernmental Ad Hoc Working Group to improve the procedures for communication of information.

Within the template, shaded areas contain information and explanatory texts, while white areas are for reporting purposes and need to be filled in by the reporting entities with relevant data or narrative information.

Decision 13/COP.9, paragraph 8, invites country Parties and other reporting entities to refer to common terminology and definitions. Therefore, these guidelines should be read in conjunction with the comprehensive glossary of performance indicators for the review of implementation of The Strategy and Best Practices, common to all reporting entities and contained in a separate document (ICCD/CRIC(9)/13).

Financial Commitment #1 — Projet d'Appui au Développement de Menabe et Melaky (AD2M)

Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

- **Madagascar - Central Government Institutions**

Other

No answer provided.

Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

Prêt N° 689-MG Don N° 849-MG

Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

- **International Fund for Agricultural Development**

Other

No answer provided.

Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

Projet d'Appui au Développement de Menabe et Melaky (AD2M)

Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- **Madagascar**
-

Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

- **Madagascar - Central Government Institutions**

Other

No answer provided.

Executing Agency(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

No answer provided.

Commitment date

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

16/05/2006

Amount committed

Enter the total amount of money committed

23428100 US Dollar

Type of funding

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

Loan (concessional)

Start date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

13/11/2006

Completion date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

30/11/2014

Duration (no. of months)

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

No answer provided.

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

2

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **2.1.1 Public Awareness Campaigns**
 - **2.1.3 Consultative platforms**
 - **2.2.1 Capacity-Building**
 - **2.2.10 Resource Management Planning**
 - **3.1.1 Agriculture**
 - **3.1.5 Production Support**
 - **3.2.8 Sustainable Land Management**
 - **4.1.2 Drought mitigation**
-

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Ministère de l'Agriculture
Fonds International pour le Développement Agricole**

Attachments:

None.

Financial Commitment #2 — Prêt complémentaire - Projet de réhabilitation du périmètre du Bas Mangoky

Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

- **Madagascar - Central Government Institutions**

Other

No answer provided.

Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

P-MG-AAB-002

Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

- **African Development Bank**

Other

No answer provided.

Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

Prêt complémentaire - Projet de réhabilitation du périmètre du Bas Mangoky

Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- **Madagascar**
-

Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

- **Madagascar - Central Government Institutions**
-

Other

No answer provided.

Executing Agency(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

No answer provided.

Commitment date

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

03/12/2008

Amount committed

Enter the total amount of money committed

19500000 US Dollar

Type of funding

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

Loan (concessional)

Start date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

03/12/2009

Completion date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

31/12/2009

Duration (no. of months)

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

No answer provided.

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

2

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- 2.1.1 Public Awareness Campaigns
 - 2.1.3 Consultative platforms
 - 3.1.1 Agriculture
 - 3.1.5 Production Support
 - 3.2.8 Sustainable Land Management
-

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- Ministère de l'Agriculture
Banque Africaine pour le Développement

Attachments:

None.

Financial Commitment #3 — Projet de réhabilitation du périmètre de Manombo

Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

- Madagascar - Central Government Institutions

Other

No answer provided.

Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

P-MG-A00-001

Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

- African Development Bank

Other

No answer provided.

Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

Projet de réhabilitation du périmètre de Manombo

Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- **Madagascar**
-

Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

- **Madagascar - Central Government Institutions**
-

Other

No answer provided.

Executing Agency(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

No answer provided.

Commitment date

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

21/11/2007

Amount committed

Enter the total amount of money committed

14316000 US Dollar

Type of funding

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

Loan (concessional)

Start date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

09/07/2008

Completion date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

31/12/2013

Duration (no. of months)

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

No answer provided.

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

3

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **2.1.1 Public Awareness Campaigns**
 - **2.2.1 Capacity-Building**
 - **2.2.2 Community Development**
 - **3.1.1 Agriculture**
 - **3.1.5 Production Support**
 - **3.2.6 Land Conservation**
 - **3.2.8 Sustainable Land Management**
-

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Ministère de l'Agriculture
Banque Africaine pour le Développement**

Attachments:

None.

Financial Commitment #4 — Stabilizing Rural Populations through improved Systems for Sustainable Land Management and Local Governance of Lands in Southern Madagascar

Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

- **Madagascar - Central Government Institutions**
- **World Wide Fund For Nature**

Other

No answer provided.

Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

PIMS 3127

Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

- **Global Environment Facility**

Other

No answer provided.

Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

Stabilizing Rural Populations through improved Systems for Sustainable Land Management and Local Governance of Lands in Southern Madagascar

Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- **Madagascar**
-

Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

- **Madagascar - Central Government Institutions**
- **World Wide Fund For Nature**

Other

No answer provided.

Executing Agency(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

No answer provided.

Commitment date

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

01/04/2009

Amount committed

Enter the total amount of money committed

964240 US Dollar

Type of funding

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

Grant

Start date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

05/07/2010

Completion date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable
05/07/2014

Duration (no. of months)

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months
No answer provided.

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

3

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **2.1.1 Public Awareness Campaigns**
 - **2.1.3 Consultative platforms**
 - **2.2.1 Capacity-Building**
 - **2.2.6 Governance and Legislation**
 - **3.1.1 Agriculture**
 - **3.1.5 Production Support**
 - **3.2.6 Land Conservation**
 - **3.2.8 Sustainable Land Management**
-

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Ministère de l'Environnement et des Forêts**
PNUD
World Wildlife Fund for Nature

Attachments:

None.

Financial Commitment #5 — Projet de Soutien au Développement Rural (PSDR), Financement Additionnel

Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

- **Madagascar - Central Government Institutions**

Other

No answer provided.

Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

P111984

Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

- **World Bank Group**

Other

No answer provided.

Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

Projet de Soutien au Développement Rural (PSDR), Financement Additionnel

Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- **Madagascar**
-

Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

- **Madagascar - Central Government Institutions**

Other

No answer provided.

Executing Agency(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

No answer provided.

Commitment date

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

23/09/2008

Amount committed

Enter the total amount of money committed

36100000 US Dollar

Type of funding

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

Credit

Start date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

31/12/2008

Completion date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

30/06/2011

Duration (no. of months)

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

No answer provided.

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

2

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- 2.1.1 Public Awareness Campaigns
 - 2.2.1 Capacity-Building
 - 2.2.10 Resource Management Planning
 - 2.2.11 Services and Infrastructure
 - 2.2.2 Community Development
 - 2.2.6 Governance and Legislation
 - 3.1.1 Agriculture
 - 3.1.3 Livestock Systems
 - 3.1.5 Production Support
 - 3.2.6 Land Conservation
 - 3.2.8 Sustainable Land Management
-

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- Ministère de l'Agriculture
Banque Mondiale

Attachments:

None.

Financial Commitment #6 — Programme de Lutte Anti Erosive (PLAE)

Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

- **Madagascar - Central Government Institutions**

Other

No answer provided.

Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

No answer provided.

Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

- **German society for technical cooperation (GTZ)-Deutsche Gesellschaft für Technische Zusammenarbeit**

Other

- **Kreditanstalt für Wiederaufbau (KfW)Bankengruppe**
-

Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

Programme de Lutte Anti Erosive (PLAE)

Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- **Madagascar**
-

Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

- **Madagascar - Central Government Institutions**

Other

No answer provided.

Executing Agency(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

No answer provided.

Commitment date

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

01/10/2004

Amount committed

Enter the total amount of money committed

9000000 Euro

Type of funding

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

Grant

Start date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

01/09/2004

Completion date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

31/03/2013

Duration (no. of months)

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

No answer provided.

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

3

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **2.1.1 Public Awareness Campaigns**
 - **2.1.2 Publications and communication material**
 - **2.2.1 Capacity-Building**
 - **2.2.11 Services and Infrastructure**
 - **2.2.12 Social Development**
 - **2.2.2 Community Development**
 - **2.2.6 Governance and Legislation**
 - **3.1.2 Forestry**
 - **3.1.5 Production Support**
 - **3.2.6 Land Conservation**
 - **3.2.7 Water Conservation**
 - **3.2.8 Sustainable Land Management**
-

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Ministère de l'Environnement et des Forêts**
- Ministère de l'Agriculture**
- GTZ-PGME**

Attachments:

None.

Financial Commitment #7 — Gestion durable des ressources naturelles pour la conservation de trois régions hotspot de la biodiversité à Madagascar, Plateau Mahafaly

Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

- **Madagascar - Central Government Institutions**
- **World Wide Fund For Nature**

Other

No answer provided.

Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

No answer provided.

Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

- **Fonds Français pour l'Environnement Mondial**

Other

No answer provided.

Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

Gestion durable des ressources naturelles pour la conservation de trois régions hotspot de la biodiversité à Madagascar, Plateau Mahafaly

Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- **Madagascar**
-

Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

- **Madagascar - Central Government Institutions**
- **World Wide Fund For Nature**

Other

No answer provided.

Executing Agency(ies)

Enter the full name an acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

No answer provided.

Commitment date

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

01/01/2009

Amount committed

Enter the total amount of money committed

776296 Euro

Type of funding

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

Grant

Start date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

01/01/2009

Completion date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

31/12/2012

Duration (no. of months)

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

No answer provided.

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

2

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- 2.1.1 Public Awareness Campaigns
 - 2.2.1 Capacity-Building
 - 3.2.2 Biodiversity Conservation
 - 3.2.6 Land Conservation
 - 4.1.1 Adaptation to climate change
 - 4.1.2 Drought mitigation
-

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Ministère de l'Environnement et des Forêts**
Agence Française pour le Développement
World Wildlife Fund for Nature

Attachments:

None.

Financial Commitment #8 — Projet de Sécurisation de l'Approvisionnement en Semences de l'Androy.

Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

- **Madagascar - Central Government Institutions**

Other

- **Groupe de Recherches et d'Échanges Technologiques**
-

Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

No answer provided.

Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

- **EU - European Community (EC)**

Other

No answer provided.

Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

Projet de Sécurisation de l'Approvisionnement en Semences de l'Androy.

Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- **Madagascar**
-

Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

- **Madagascar - Central Government Institutions**

Other

- **Groupe de Recherches et d'Echanges Technologiques**
-

Executing Agency(ies)

Enter the full name an acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

No answer provided.

Commitment date

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

01/01/2009

Amount committed

Enter the total amount of money committed

499997 Euro

Type of funding

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

Grant

Start date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

01/01/2009

Completion date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

30/06/2011

Duration (no. of months)

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

No answer provided.

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

2

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **2.1.1 Public Awareness Campaigns**
- **2.2.1 Capacity-Building**
- **2.2.2 Community Development**
- **3.1.1 Agriculture**
- **3.1.5 Production Support**
- **3.2.6 Land Conservation**
- **4.1.1 Adaptation to climate change**

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Groupe de Recherches et d'Echanges Technologiques**

Attachments:

None.

Financial Commitment #9 — Appui à la diffusion des techniques agro-écologiques

Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

- **Madagascar - Central Government Institutions**

Other

- **Groupe des Semi Directs de Madagascar**
-

Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

CMG 1174

Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

- **French Development Agency**

Other

No answer provided.

Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

Appui à la diffusion des techniques agro-écologiques

Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- **Madagascar**
-

Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

- **Madagascar - Central Government Institutions**

Other

- **Groupe des Semi Directs de Madagascar**
-

Executing Agency(ies)

Enter the full name an acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

No answer provided.

Commitment date

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

01/01/2004

Amount committed

Enter the total amount of money committed

14262439 Euro

Type of funding

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

Grant

Start date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

01/01/2004

Completion date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

31/12/2010

Duration (no. of months)

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

No answer provided.

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

3

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **2.1.1 Public Awareness Campaigns**
- **2.2.1 Capacity-Building**
- **2.2.2 Community Development**
- **3.1.1 Agriculture**
- **3.1.5 Production Support**
- **3.2.6 Land Conservation**
- **3.2.8 Sustainable Land Management**

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Ministère de l'Agriculture
Agence Française pour le Développement
Groupe des Semi Directs de Madagascar**

Attachments:

None.

Financial Commitment #10 — Strengthening and Accessing Livelihood Opportunities for Household Impact (SALOHI)

Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

- **Madagascar - Central Government Institutions**
- **Catholic Relief Services**

Other

- **CARE International
ADRA
LAND O' LAKES**
-

Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

No answer provided.

Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

- **United States Agency for International Development**

Other

No answer provided.

Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

Strengthening and Accessing Livelihood Opportunities for Household Impact (SALOHI)

Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- **Madagascar**

Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

- **Catholic Relief Services**

Other

- **CARE International**
ADRA
LAND O' LAKES

Executing Agency(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

No answer provided.

Commitment date

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

01/07/2009

Amount committed

Enter the total amount of money committed

85 000 000 US Dollar

Type of funding

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

Grant

Start date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

01/07/2009

Completion date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

30/06/2014

Duration (no. of months)

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

No answer provided.

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

2

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **2.1.1 Public Awareness Campaigns**
- **2.2.1 Capacity-Building**
- **2.2.12 Social Development**
- **2.2.2 Community Development**
- **3.1.1 Agriculture**
- **3.1.3 Livestock Systems**
- **3.1.5 Production Support**
- **3.2.6 Land Conservation**

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Unité de gestion du Programme Salohi**

Attachments:

None.

Financial Commitment #11 — Sustainable Land Management in Madagascar (SuLaMa)

Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

- **Madagascar - Central Government Institutions**
- **World Wide Fund For Nature**

Other

No answer provided.

Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

MG0951.01

Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

- **World Wide Fund For Nature**

Other

No answer provided.

Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

Sustainable Land Management in Madagascar (SuLaMa)

Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- **Madagascar**
-

Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

- **World Wide Fund For Nature**

Other

No answer provided.

Executing Agency(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

No answer provided.

Commitment date

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

01/01/2011

Amount committed

Enter the total amount of money committed

924905 Euro

Type of funding

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

Grant

Start date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

01/01/2011

Completion date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

31/12/2015

Duration (no. of months)

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

No answer provided.

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

3

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- 1.1.2 Soil Observations
- 1.2.4 Socio-Economic Research and Science
- 1.2.1 Traditional Knowledge and Best Practices

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- World Wildlife Fund for Nature

Attachments:

None.

Financial Commitment #12 — Appui au Renforcement des Organisations Professionnelles et aux Services Agricoles (AROPA)

Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

- Madagascar - Central Government Institutions

Other

No answer provided.

Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

PRET N°753-MG-DON N°1052MG

Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

- International Fund for Agricultural Development

Other

No answer provided.

Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

Appui au Renforcement des Organisations Professionnelles et aux Services Agricoles (AROPA)

Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- Madagascar
-

Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

- Madagascar - Central Government Institutions

Other

No answer provided.

Executing Agency(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

No answer provided.

Commitment date

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

01/10/2008

Amount committed

Enter the total amount of money committed

19200000 US Dollar

Type of funding

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

Loan (concessional)

Start date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

15/01/2009

Completion date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

31/03/2018

Duration (no. of months)

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

No answer provided.

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

1

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **2.1.1 Public Awareness Campaigns**
 - **2.2.1 Capacity-Building**
 - **2.2.2 Community Development**
 - **3.1.5 Production Support**
 - **3.2.6 Land Conservation**
-

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Ministère de l'Agriculture**
Fonds International pour le Développement Agricole

Attachments:

None.

Programme and Project Sheets

Programme and Project Sheets (PPS) are used to provide more detailed information on programmes or projects undertaken or completed in the reporting period. This includes programmes and projects in the pipeline, as well as final proposals submitted for funding to internal or external funding sources. All country Parties and other reporting entities involved in the financing, coordination or implementation of relevant programmes and projects are requested to prepare a PPS for each of them, and to attach them to their official report to the UNCCD.

The compilation of the PPS is guided by means of a template. These templates are intended to collect a minimum set of qualitative and quantitative data to facilitate the analysis of funding and investment flows, and the production of better financial statistics related to UNCCD implementation (ICCD/CRIC(8)/5/Add.4), with a view to enabling the CRIC to undertake an objective review of progress in the implementation of the Convention and The Strategy. The PPS also facilitate the computation of certain performance and impact indicators .

A distinctive feature of the PPS is that it allows country Parties and other reporting entities to specify which strategic and operational objectives of The Strategy are targeted by each programme or project. In addition, it allows for individual programme or project components to be categorized using the Rio Markers for desertification and Relevant Activity Codes (RACs).

Furthermore, the PPS can be used to indicate whether the objectives of other Rio Conventions (i.e. the United Nations Convention on Biological Diversity, UNCBD – and the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, UNFCCC) are also addressed by the programme or project. This is done through the use of the biodiversity and climate change Rio Markers, respectively.

The PPS offers an opportunity to increase the visibility of relevant programmes and projects, thereby creating the conditions for a better sharing of experiences and lessons, as well as the transfer of knowledge in general. It also favours collaboration and networking by facilitating the identification of potential synergies.

Lastly, the PPS also allows country Parties and other reporting entities to provide a narrative description of the expected or achieved results. This information will facilitate the qualitative assessment of progress in the implementation of The Strategy, including on returns on investment. The CRIC will use the analysis of financial information originating from the PPS to assess results, performance and impacts.

To minimize the reporting burden and avoid discrepancies in the information annexed to the reports of different entities, it is recommended that project partners identify the most suitable ways to coordinate among themselves the preparation of PPS to ensure that consistent data are reported for the same projects. It would also be advisable to compile just one PPS for large “umbrella” programmes, instead of separate PPS for each small project stemming from them.

Programme/Project #1 — **Projet d'Appui au Développement de Menabe et Melaky**

Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

- **Madagascar - Central Government Institutions**

Other

No answer provided.

Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

PRET N° 689-MG DON N°849-MG

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

Projet d'Appui au Développement de Menabe et Melaky

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Executing Agency

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

No answer provided.

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **Madagascar**
-

Target area size/administrative unit

Area Size

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

5950 hectares

Administrative Unit

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

18 Communes

Target Group

No answer provided.

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

16000

Start date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

01/11/2006

Completion date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

01/11/2014

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Programme/Project co-financing

Source

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

No answer provided.

Other

No answer provided.

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided.

Programme/Project co-financing

Item 1

Source

International Fund for Agricultural Development

Source (other)

F

Currency

USD

Amount

13484900

Item 2

Source

EU - European Community (EC)

Source (other)

Currency

USD

Amount

1622100

Item 3

Source

Source (other)

MCA

Currency

USD

Amount

4671200

Item 4

Source

Source (other)

ONG

Currency

USD

Amount

442200

Item 5

<p>Source Madagascar - Central Government Institutions</p> <p>Source (other) ---</p> <p>Currency USD</p> <p>Amount 2680900</p>
--

<p><i>Item 6</i></p> <p>Source ---</p> <p>Source (other) BENEFICIAIRES</p> <p>Currency USD</p> <p>Amount 525800</p>

United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

3

UNFCCC adaptation

1

UNFCCC mitigation

0

CBD

0

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2

Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html.

- 31110 Agricultural policy and administrative management
 - 31120 Agricultural development
 - 31130 Agricultural land resources
 - 31181 Agricultural education/training
 - 31166 Agricultural extension
 - 21020 Road transport
 - 11230 Basic life skills for youth and adults
 - 31140 Agricultural water resources
 - 31161 Food crop production
 - 31163 Livestock
-

Programme/Project Components

No answer provided.

Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- 2.1.1 Public Awareness Campaigns
 - 2.1.3 Consultative platforms
 - 2.2.1 Capacity-Building
 - 2.2.10 Resource Management Planning
 - 2.2.2 Community Development
 - 3.1.1 Agriculture
 - 3.1.5 Production Support
 - 3.2.8 Sustainable Land Management
 - 4.1.2 Drought mitigation
-

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- - 40000 Ménages ruraux bénéficiaires dont 16000 producteurs touchés par les actions de diversification, d'intensification et d'appui techniques, soit 40% des menages ruraux
 - 14750 producteurs et productrices touchés par les CEP
 - Aménagements hydro-agricoles sur 5950 Ha bénéficiants à quelques 6000 ménages de petit producteurs
 - 1800 ménages vulnérables bénéficiants d'un projet "coup de pouce"
 - 354 alphabétisateurs villageois formés et 12250 personnes alphabétisés
-

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- Ministère de l'Agriculture
Fonds International pour le Développement Agricole
Site AD2M

Attachments:

None.

Programme/Project #2 — Prêt complémentaire - Projet de réhabilitation du périmètre du Bas Mangoky

Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

- **Madagascar - Central Government Institutions**

Other

No answer provided.

Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

P-MG-AAB-002

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

Prêt complémentaire - Projet de réhabilitation du périmètre du Bas Mangoky

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Executing Agency

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

No answer provided.

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **Madagascar**
-

Target area size/administrative unit

Area Size

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

7000 hectares

Administrative Unit

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

District

Target Group

No answer provided.

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

No answer provided.

Start date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

03/12/2009

Completion date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

03/12/2014

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Programme/Project co-financing**Source**

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

No answer provided.

Other

No answer provided.

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided.

Programme/Project co-financing

Item 1

Source

African Development Bank

Source (other)

Currency

USD

Amount

19500000

Item 2

Source

Madagascar - Central Government Institutions

Source (other)

Gouvernement

Currency

USD

Amount

1861600

United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

3

UNFCCC adaptation

1

UNFCCC mitigation

No answer provided.

CBD

1

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
 - 2
-

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
 - 2
-

Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html.

- **31110 Agricultural policy and administrative management**
 - **31120 Agricultural development**
 - **31130 Agricultural land resources**
 - **31140 Agricultural water resources**
 - **31161 Food crop production**
 - **31164 Agrarian reform**
 - **31181 Agricultural education/training**
 - **31191 Agricultural services**
-

Programme/Project Components

No answer provided.

Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **2.1.1 Public Awareness Campaigns**

- 2.1.3 Consultative platforms
 - 2.2.1 Capacity-Building
 - 2.2.11 Services and Infrastructure
 - 2.2.2 Community Development
 - 3.1.1 Agriculture
 - 3.1.5 Production Support
 - 3.1.2 Forestry
 - 3.2.7 Water Conservation
 - 3.2.6 Land Conservation
 - 3.2.8 Sustainable Land Management
-

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- - Les infrastructures d'irrigation sont réhabilitées et l'extension du périmètre est réalisée
 - La production agricole est augmentée
 - Les organisations des producteurs sont renforcées
-

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- Ministère de l'Agriculture
Banque Africaine pour le Développement

Attachments:

None.

Programme/Project #3 — Projet de réhabilitation du périmètre de Manombo (PRPM)

Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

- Madagascar - Central Government Institutions

Other

No answer provided.

Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

P-MG-A00-001

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

Projet de réhabilitation du périmètre de Manombo (PRPM)

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Executing Agency

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

No answer provided.

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **Madagascar**
-

Target area size/administrative unit

Area Size

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

5000 hectares

Administrative Unit

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

Commune Rurale

Target Group

No answer provided.

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

48000

Start date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

09/07/2008

Completion date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

31/12/2013

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Programme/Project co-financing

Source

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

No answer provided.

Other

No answer provided.

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided.

Programme/Project co-financing

Item 1

Source

African Development Bank

Source (other)

African Developpement Bank

Currency

USD

Amount

12350000

Item 2

Source

Madagascar - Central Government Institutions

Source (other)

Gouvernement

Currency

USD

Amount

1643200

United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

3

UNFCCC adaptation

1

UNFCCC mitigation

No answer provided.

CBD

1

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1

Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html.

- **31120 Agricultural development**
 - **31130 Agricultural land resources**
 - **31161 Food crop production**
 - **31164 Agrarian reform**
 - **31166 Agricultural extension**
 - **31191 Agricultural services**
-

Programme/Project Components

No answer provided.

Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **2.1.1 Public Awareness Campaigns**
 - **2.2.1 Capacity-Building**
 - **2.2.11 Services and Infrastructure**
 - **2.2.2 Community Development**
 - **2.2.6 Governance and Legislation**
 - **3.1.1 Agriculture**
 - **3.1.5 Production Support**
 - **3.2.6 Land Conservation**
 - **3.2.8 Sustainable Land Management**
-

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- **- Réhabilitation un périmètre irrigué de 5000 ha**
 - **- Intensification et durabilité de la production agricole diversifiée**
 - **- Aménagement du bassin versant**
 - **- Accès au crédit rural**
 - **- Sécurisation foncière**
-

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Ministère de l'Agriculture**
Banque Africaine pour le Développement

Attachments:

None.

Programme/Project #4 — Stabilisation de la population à travers l'identification de système de gestion durable des terres et de gouvernance locale dans le Sud de Madagascar

Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

- **Madagascar - Central Government Institutions**
- **World Wide Fund For Nature**

Other

No answer provided.

Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

PIMS 3127

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

Stabilisation de la population à travers l'identification de système de gestion durable des terres et de gouvernance locale dans le Sud de Madagascar

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Executing Agency

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- **World Wildlife Fund For Nature**
 - **Groupe de Recherches et d'Echanges Technologiques**
 - **Groupe des Semi Directs de Madagascar**
 - **Ecole Supérieure des Sciences Agronomiques**
-

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **Madagascar**
-

Target area size/administrative unit

Area Size

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

4000 hectares

Administrative Unit

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

Target Group

No answer provided.

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

No answer provided.

Start date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

05/07/2010

Completion date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

05/07/2014

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Programme/Project co-financing

Source

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

No answer provided.

Other

No answer provided.

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided.

Programme/Project co-financing

Item 1

Source

Global Environment Facility

Source (other)

Currency

USD

Amount

967240

Item 2

Source

Madagascar - Central Government Institutions

Source (other)

Currency

USD

Amount

270000

United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

3

UNFCCC adaptation

2

UNFCCC mitigation

No answer provided.

CBD

1

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2

Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html.

- **31120 Agricultural development**
- **31130 Agricultural land resources**
- **31140 Agricultural water resources**
- **31161 Food crop production**
- **31165 Agricultural alternative development**
- **31166 Agricultural extension**
- **31191 Agricultural services**
- **31220 Forestry development**

Programme/Project Components

No answer provided.

Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- 2.1.1 Public Awareness Campaigns
- 2.1.3 Consultative platforms
- 2.2.1 Capacity-Building
- 2.2.6 Governance and Legislation
- 3.1.1 Agriculture
- 3.1.5 Production Support
- 3.2.6 Land Conservation
- 3.2.8 Sustainable Land Management
- 4.1.1 Adaptation to climate change

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- - **Modèles pour des pratiques durables agro-écologiques et pastorales élaborées et appliquées pour gérer 157.000 ha de terres; 6.612.850 ha bénéficiant indirectement par le biais des changements de politiques et de répliation**
- **Des activités durables génératrices de revenus fournissent des incitations financières pour l'application du modèle de gestion durable des terres et améliorent les activités économiques au niveau communal**
- **Techniques de stabilisation des dunes efficaces mises au point ou perfectionnées pour les sables blancs littoraux AEZ**
- **Plan communaux de développement (PCD) intègrent les préoccupations de gestion durable des terres et disposent d'une législation favorable**
- **Règles et réglementations au niveau local pour la gestion et la gouvernance des ressources naturelles améliorées à tous les niveaux**
- **Les leçons apprises du projet informent le cadre d'investissement du pays relatif à la gestion durable des terres (**
- **Soutien au renforcement des capacités**

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Ministère de l'Environnement et des Forêt**
Programme des Nations Unies pour le Développement
WWF

Attachments:

None.

Programme/Project #5 — Projet de Soutien au Développement Rural (PSDR)

Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

- **Madagascar - Central Government Institutions**

Other

No answer provided.

Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

P111984

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

Projet de Soutien au Développement Rural (PSDR)

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Executing Agency

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

No answer provided.

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **Madagascar**
-

Target area size/administrative unit**Area Size**

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

No answer provided.

Administrative Unit

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

National

Target Group

No answer provided.

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

1400000

Start date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

31/12/2008

Completion date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

30/06/2012

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Programme/Project co-financing**Source**

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

No answer provided.

Other

No answer provided.

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided.

Programme/Project co-financing

Item 1

Source

World Bank Group

Source (other)

Currency

USD

Amount

30000000

Item 2

Source

Source (other)

Beneficiaires

Currency

USD

Amount

6100000

United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

2

UNFCCC adaptation

No answer provided.

UNFCCC mitigation

No answer provided.

CBD

1

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
 - 2
-

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
 - 2
-

Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html.

- 31120 Agricultural development
 - 31161 Food crop production
 - 31163 Livestock
 - 31165 Agricultural alternative development
 - 31320 Fishery development
 - 31391 Fishery services
-

Programme/Project Components

No answer provided.

Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- 2.1.1 Public Awareness Campaigns
 - 2.2.1 Capacity-Building
 - 2.2.11 Services and Infrastructure
 - 2.2.2 Community Development
 - 2.2.6 Governance and Legislation
 - 3.1.1 Agriculture
 - 3.1.3 Livestock Systems
 - 3.1.5 Production Support
 - 3.2.6 Land Conservation
 - 3.2.8 Sustainable Land Management
-

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- **Augmentation de 30% des revenus des bénéficiaires**

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Ministère de l'Agriculture**
Document de Projet/Banque Mondiale

Attachments:

None.

Programme/Project #6 — Programme de Lutte Anti Erosive (PLAE)

Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

- **Madagascar - Central Government Institutions**

Other

No answer provided.

Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

No answer provided.

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

Programme de Lutte Anti Erosive (PLAE)

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Executing Agency

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- **ONG MAZAVA**
AHT GROUP AG
-

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **Madagascar**
-

Target area size/administrative unit

Area Size

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

No answer provided.

Administrative Unit

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

Communes Rurales

Target Group

No answer provided.

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

No answer provided.

Start date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

31/10/2004

Completion date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

31/03/2013

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Programme/Project co-financing

Source

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

No answer provided.

Other

No answer provided.

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided.

Programme/Project co-financing

Item 1

Source

Germany - CCD Focal Point - Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development (BMZ)

Source (other)

KfW/GTZ

Currency

EUR

Amount

9000000

United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

3

UNFCCC adaptation

2

UNFCCC mitigation*No answer provided.***CBD**

1

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2

Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html.

- **31130 Agricultural land resources**
- **31140 Agricultural water resources**
- **31166 Agricultural extension**
- **31191 Agricultural services**
- **31220 Forestry development**
- **31291 Forestry services**

Programme/Project Components*No answer provided.*

Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **2.1.1 Public Awareness Campaigns**

- 2.1.2 Publications and communication material
 - 2.2.1 Capacity-Building
 - 2.2.11 Services and Infrastructure
 - 2.2.12 Social Development
 - 2.2.2 Community Development
 - 2.2.6 Governance and Legislation
 - 3.1.1 Agriculture
 - 3.1.2 Forestry
-

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- -Les mesures anti-érosives dans les sites d'érosion sont mises en place
 - Des techniques agropastorales sur les cultures en pente sont améliorées
 - La sécurisation foncière dans les zones traitées contre l'érosion est appuyée
 - Les groupes cibles qui mettent en œuvre les actions sont appuyés sur le plan socio-organisationnel
 - Les acquis et les expériences du projet sont diffusés à l'échelle régionale et nationale
 - Les activités du projet sont étendues dans d'autres régions
-

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Ministère de l'Environnement et des Forêts**
Ministère de l'Agriculture
Unité de Gestion du Projet PLAE

Attachments:

None.

Programme/Project #7 — Gestion durable des ressources naturelles pour la conservation de trois régions hotspot de la biodiversité à Madagascar, Plateau Mahafaly

Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

- **Madagascar - Central Government Institutions**
- **World Wide Fund For Nature**

Other

No answer provided.

Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

No answer provided.

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

Gestion durable des ressources naturelles pour la conservation de trois régions hotspot de la biodiversité à Madagascar, Plateau Mahafaly

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Executing Agency

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- **Agronomes et Vétérinaires Sans Frontières (AVSF)**
Association InterCommunal Plateau Mahafaly (AICPM)
-

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **Madagascar**
-

Target area size/administrative unit

Area Size

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

30000 hectares

Administrative Unit

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

District

Target Group

No answer provided.

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

No answer provided.

Start date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

01/01/2009

Completion date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

31/12/2012

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Programme/Project co-financing

Source

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

No answer provided.

Other

No answer provided.

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided.

Programme/Project co-financing

Item 1

Source

Fonds Français pour l'Environnement Mondial

Source (other)

Currency

EUR

Amount

776296

United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

2

UNFCCC adaptation

1

UNFCCC mitigation

No answer provided.

CBD

2

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2

Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html.

- **31120 Agricultural development**
- **31130 Agricultural land resources**
- **31220 Forestry development**
- **31291 Forestry services**

Programme/Project Components

No answer provided.

Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **2.1.1 Public Awareness Campaigns**
- **2.2.1 Capacity-Building**
- **3.1.2 Forestry**
- **3.2.2 Biodiversity Conservation**
- **3.2.6 Land Conservation**
- **3.2.8 Sustainable Land Management**
- **4.1.1 Adaptation to climate change**
- **4.1.2 Drought mitigation**

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- **Contrôle de l'exploitation charbonnière anarchique.**
Appui ciblé à la sécurisation foncière
Adoption de techniques agroécologiques adaptées au contexte local (amélioration des systèmes de culture et de la production fourragère)

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **World Wide Fund for Nature**

Attachments:

None.

Programme/Project #8 — Projet de Sécurisation de l'Approvisionnement en Semences de l'Androy (PSASA)

Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

- **Madagascar - Central Government Institutions**

Other

- **Groupe de Recherches et d'Echanges Technologiques**
-

Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

No answer provided.

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

Projet de Sécurisation de l'Approvisionnement en Semences de l'Androy (PSASA)

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Executing Agency

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- **Groupe de Recherches et d'Echanges Technologiques**
Groupe de Semi Directs de Madagascar
Centre National de la Recherche Appliquée au Développement Rural (FOFIFA)
-

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **Madagascar**
-

Target area size/administrative unit

Area Size

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

No answer provided.

Administrative Unit

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

Région

Target Group

No answer provided.

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

1000

Start date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

01/01/2009

Completion date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

30/06/2011

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Completed

Programme/Project co-financing

Source

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

No answer provided.

Other

No answer provided.

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided.

Programme/Project co-financing

Item 1

Source

EU - European Community (EC)

Source (other)

Currency

EUR

Amount

499997

United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

3

UNFCCC adaptation

2

UNFCCC mitigation

No answer provided.

CBD

1

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
 - 2
-

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
 - 2
-

Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html.

- 31120 Agricultural development
 - 31130 Agricultural land resources
 - 31150 Agricultural inputs
 - 31166 Agricultural extension
 - 31191 Agricultural services
-

Programme/Project Components

No answer provided.

Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- 2.1.1 Public Awareness Campaigns
 - 2.2.2 Community Development
 - 3.1.1 Agriculture
 - 3.1.5 Production Support
 - 3.2.6 Land Conservation
 - 3.2.8 Sustainable Land Management
 - 4.1.1 Adaptation to climate change
-

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- **La station de production d'Agnarafaly et un réseau de paysans multiplicateurs de semences sont fonctionnels et assurent la production de semences nécessaire en année normale**
Une filière semences de qualité fonctionne
Des procédures sont définies et validées par les acteurs de la filière semences et de la sécurité alimentaire dans la zone pour répondre de manière efficace aux situations de crise alimentaire
Un réseau de démonstration et d'adaptation des techniques permettant de restaurer le capital productif des sols est en place et permet de les diffuser
-

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Groupe de Recherches et d'Echanges Technologiques**

Attachments:

None.

Programme/Project #9 — Appui à la diffusion de techniques agro-écologiques

Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

- **Madagascar - Central Government Institutions**

Other

- **Groupement de Semi Directs de Madagascar**
-

Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

CMG 1174

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

Appui à la diffusion de techniques agro-écologiques

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Executing Agency

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- **Groupement Semis Direct à Madagascar**
-

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **Madagascar**
-

Target area size/administrative unit

Area Size

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

No answer provided.

Administrative Unit

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

Région

Target Group

No answer provided.

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

No answer provided.

Start date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

01/01/2004

Completion date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

31/12/2010

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Completed

Programme/Project co-financing

Source

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

No answer provided.

Other

No answer provided.

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided.

Programme/Project co-financing

Item 1

Source

French Development Agency

Source (other)

Currency

EUR

Amount

13262439

United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

3

UNFCCC adaptation

2

UNFCCC mitigation

No answer provided.

CBD

1

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
 - 2
-

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
 - 2
-

Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html.

- **31120 Agricultural development**
 - **31130 Agricultural land resources**
 - **31161 Food crop production**
 - **31166 Agricultural extension**
 - **31181 Agricultural education/training**
 - **31191 Agricultural services**
-

Programme/Project Components

No answer provided.

Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **2.1.1 Public Awareness Campaigns**
- **2.2.1 Capacity-Building**
- **2.2.2 Community Development**
- **3.1.1 Agriculture**
- **3.1.5 Production Support**
- **3.2.6 Land Conservation**
- **3.2.8 Sustainable Land Management**
- **4.1.1 Adaptation to climate change**

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- - **Augmentation de la production agricole**
- **Augmentation des revenus des bénéficiaires**
- **Protection de l'environnement dans le cadre d'un système de production durable**
- **Promotion de l'équité dans le processus d'une approche prenant compte des besoins des populations les plus pauvres et marginalisées**
- **Création de condition d'un appui à la diffusion à grande échelle du semi direct**

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Agence Française pour le Développement**
Groupement Semi Directs Madagascar

Attachments:

None.

Programme/Project #10 — Strengthening and Accessing Livelihood Opportunities for Household Impact

Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

- **Madagascar - Central Government Institutions**

Other

- **Consortium Catholic Relief Service, ADRA, CARE International et LAND O' LAKES**
-

Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

No answer provided.

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

Strengthening and Accessing Livelihood Opportunities for Household Impact

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Executing Agency

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- **Catholic Relief Service**
ADRA
CARE International
LAND O'LAKES
-

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **Madagascar**
-

Target area size/administrative unit

Area Size

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

No answer provided.

Administrative Unit

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

National

Target Group

No answer provided.

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

98500

Start date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

01/07/2009

Completion date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

30/06/2014

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Programme/Project co-financing

Source

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

No answer provided.

Other

No answer provided.

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use

abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided.

Programme/Project co-financing

Item 1

Source

United States Agency for International Development

Source (other)

Currency

USD

Amount

85000000

United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

2

UNFCCC adaptation

1

UNFCCC mitigation

No answer provided.

CBD

1

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2

Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html.

- 12240 Basic nutrition
- 31120 Agricultural development
- 31161 Food crop production

Programme/Project Components

No answer provided.

Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- 2.1.1 Public Awareness Campaigns
 - 2.2.1 Capacity-Building
 - 2.2.12 Social Development
 - 3.1.1 Agriculture
 - 3.1.3 Livestock Systems
 - 3.1.5 Production Support
 - 3.2.6 Land Conservation
-

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- - 96.000 ménages adoptent les pratiques nutritionnelles améliorées pour les enfants et les mères
 - 96.000 ménages adoptent les pratiques améliorées de prévention des maladies des enfants
 - 79.000 fermiers et 3.000 éleveurs augment leur production agricole
 - 24.000 fermiers augmentent leurs revenus à travers les activités d'agrobusiness
 - 28.000 ménages mobilisent le capital à travers leur participation dans les groupes d'épargne et crédit communautaire (VSL)
 - Les autorités dans 544 communautés sont préparées à répondre aux chocs
 - 544 communautés améliorent la gestion de leur terre, eau et/ou pistes
 - 2.500 familles extrêmement vulnérables dans les zones urbaines ont accès aux services critiques.
 - Les communautés influencent les décisions sur la sécurité alimentaire dans les 120 communes
-

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- Unité de Coordination du Programme SALOHI

Attachments:

None.

Programme/Project #11 — Sustainable Land Management in Madagascar (SuLaMa)

Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

- Madagascar - Central Government Institutions
- World Wide Fund For Nature

Other

No answer provided.

Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

Sustainable Land Management in Madagascar (SuLaMa)

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Executing Agency

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- **Université de Hambourg**
- Université de Greifswald**
- Université de Kassel**
- Université de Marburg**
- Université d'Antananarivo**
- Université de Mainz**
- Université de Tuléar**
- WWF Allemagne**
- Act for Nature (ONG)**
- Madagascar National Parks**
- Association Vahatra**

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **Madagascar**

Target area size/administrative unit**Area Size**

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

7500000 hectares

Administrative Unit

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

Région Atsimo Andrefana

Target Group

No answer provided.

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

No answer provided.

Start date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

01/01/2011

Completion date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

31/12/2015

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Programme/Project co-financing**Source**

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

No answer provided.

Other

No answer provided.

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided.

Programme/Project co-financing

Item 1

Source

World Wide Fund For Nature

Source (other)

Currency

EUR

Amount

924905

United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

3

UNFCCC adaptation

2

UNFCCC mitigation

2

CBD

2

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2
- 3

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html.

- 31182 Agricultural research
- 31282 Forestry research

Programme/Project Components

No answer provided.

Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- 1.1.2 Soil Observations
- 1.2.4 Socio-Economic Research and Science
- 1.2.1 Traditional Knowledge and Best Practices

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- **Une meilleure compréhension des fonctions et services des composantes des écosystèmes et les interactions avec et les effets sur les formes existantes d'alternatives d'utilisation des terres**
Une base de données régionale est établie
De projets de d'irrigation à petite échelle sont mis en œuvre
L'utilisation des ressources humaines locales et régionales ainsi que les capacités institutionnelles sont renforcées
Une bonne compréhension des valeurs économiques et culturelles des services et fonctions des écosystèmes et leur utilisation pour les sources de revenu alternatives
Un plan de gestion du paysage Plateau Mahafaly est élaboré
Un système standard d'indicateurs pour mesurer la santé des écosystèmes et développé

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **World Wide Fund For Nature**

Attachments:

None.

Programme/Project #12 — Projet d'appui au renforcement des organisations professionnelles et aux services agricoles (AROPA)

Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

- **Madagascar - Central Government Institutions**

Other

No answer provided.

Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

PRET N°753-MG- DON N°1052-MG

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

Projet d'appui au renforcement des organisations professionnelles et aux services agricoles (AROPA)

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Executing Agency

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- - **Association Inter coopération Madagascar (AIM)**
 - **Bureau d'expertise sociale et de diffusion technique (BEST)**
 - **Cooperative for Assistance and Relief Everywhere (CARE)**
 - **Agriculteurs Français et Développement International (AFDI)**
-

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **Madagascar**
-

Target area size/administrative unit

Area Size

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

No answer provided.

Administrative Unit

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

Régions

Target Group

No answer provided.

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

75000

Start date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

15/01/2009

Completion date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

31/03/2018

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Programme/Project co-financing

Source

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

No answer provided.

Other

No answer provided.

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided.

Programme/Project co-financing

Item 1

Source

International Fund for Agricultural Development

Source (other)

Currency

USD

Amount

19200000

United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

1

UNFCCC adaptation

1

UNFCCC mitigation*No answer provided.***CBD**

1

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1

Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html.

- 31120 Agricultural development
- 31161 Food crop production
- 31193 Agricultural financial services

Programme/Project Components*No answer provided.***Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)**

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- 2.1.1 Public Awareness Campaigns
- 2.2.1 Capacity-Building
- 3.1.5 Production Support
- 3.2.6 Land Conservation

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- - **Professionnalisation des organisations de producteurs**
- **Accès des producteurs à une offre de services agricoles adaptée à leurs besoins**
- **Amélioration du niveau de production et de commercialisation des produits.**

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Ministère de l'Agriculture**
Fonds International pour le Développement Agricole

Attachments:

None.

Additional Information

The section on additional information is meant to provide an instrument of flexibility in the reporting exercise as well as to enrich the knowledge base of the CRIC on concrete issues faced by affected country Parties and consequently to make more targeted and specific recommendations to the COP. It allows affected country Parties to comment or report upon issues that are not covered elsewhere but that are nevertheless of importance at the national level or within the framework of the implementation of The Strategy and the Convention.

The additional information section allows feedback to be received on the reporting process and on the implementation of NAPs as well as lessons learnt, problems, constraints and bottlenecks faced in terms of human and financial resources. It is also meant to accommodate ad hoc COP requests for reporting on specific topics or new reporting requirements deriving from COP deliberations that may supersede existing ones and imply changes in implementation.

The proposed template for reporting is adjusted to the mandate of affected country Parties within the framework of the Convention, as requested by decision 13/COP.9, paragraph 17.

Reporting process-related issues

Financial resources

Could your country count on sufficient financial resources to meet UNCCD reporting obligations?

Yes

Which options provided by the GEF Financing for Enabling Activities under the UNCCD did your country choose to apply for?

Access through a GEF agency

Amount received (USD)

136364 USD

Did your country experience difficulties in applying for and accessing the GEF funding?

No

If yes, describe the difficulties experienced.

No answer required.

Provide an estimate of the amount invested from your country's national budget into the UNCCD reporting process.

1000000 Malagasy Ariary

Human resources and knowledge

How many people were involved in your country in the UNCCD reporting process?

46 people

Estimate the total number of person/day dedicated by these persons to the UNCCD reporting process:

2 person/day

Could your country count on sufficient technical and scientific knowledge to meet UNCCD reporting obligations?

Yes

If no, describe the main reasons and the difficulties encountered.

No answer required.

Coordination, participation and consultation

Was coordination with the relevant implementing agencies satisfactory in order to apply for necessary funds?

No answer provided.

Was coordination at the national level with the relevant line ministries satisfactory in order to comprehensively and coherently report?

Yes

Was a participatory or consultative approach applied to involve all relevant stakeholders in the reporting process?

No answer provided.

Validation meeting, subregional and regional processes

Was a validation meeting held as a part of the reporting process?

Yes

Did your country actively cooperate with the entities entrusted with preparing the subregional and regional reports (SRAP / RAP reports) ?

No

PRAIS portal

If you are reporting online, did you receive sufficient training on access and utilization of the PRAIS portal?

No answer provided.

Did you experience difficulties with access and utilization of the PRAIS portal?

No

If you experienced difficulties, identify the reasons.

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

No answer required.

Other (specify)

No answer required.

Accommodation of specific requests within COP decisions

Report on specific COP requests – iterative process on indicators

Decision 13/COP.9, paragraphs 2, 3 and 4, envisages an iterative process to refine the set of performance and impact indicators. As a tool to implement this iterative process, affected country Parties can provide here their suggestions and recommendations for improvement.

Performance indicators

Tick the cells only if you have experienced difficulties in reporting on one, or more, performance indicator(s). Indicate against which of the e-SMART criteria the indicator(s) need(s) to be improved.

	economic	Specific	Measurable	Achievable	Relevant	Time-bound
CONS-O-1					X	
CONS-O-3					X	
CONS-O-4			X			
CONS-O-5						
CONS-O-7						
CONS-O-8						
CONS-O-9						

CONS-O-10						
CONS-O-11						
CONS-O-13						
CONS-O-14						
CONS-O-16		X				
CONS-O-17		X				
CONS-O-18		X				

Impact indicators

Tick the cells only if you have experienced difficulties in reporting on one, or more, impact indicator(s). Indicate against which of the e-SMART criteria the indicator(s) need(s) to be improved.

	economic	Specific	Measurable	Achievable	Relevant	Time-bound
SO4-3						
SO4-4						
SO4-6						
SO4-7						

Reporting on the implementation of NAP

Which is the percentage of activities included in the NAP that are currently implemented?

31-60%

If you experienced difficulties in NAP implementation (i.e. the percentage is below 30%), provide description of concrete measures being taken or suggestions for measures to be taken to foster NAP implementation:

No answer required.

Human resources

Lessons learnt (report on the 2 most important only)

1.

L'Organe de Coordination Nationale a été institué par l'arrêté N° 6162-2003/MINENEF. Et il est logé au Ministère de l'Environnement, des Eaux et Forêts considéré comme centre national de liaison. Mais, cet organe n'a pas été opérationnel pour des problèmes de ressources tant humaine que financière

2.

Par le décret 434- du 03/03/04, les cellules environnementales sont mises en place dans les ministères sectoriels. Elles sont chargées d'intégrer la dimension environnementale y compris la lutte contre la dégradation des terres, au sein de leur secteur respectif

Problems, constraints and bottlenecks currently faced by your country (report on the 2 most important only)

1.

Malgré son institutionnalisation, l'Organe de Coordination Nationale n'a pas pu jouer pleinement son rôle. D'une part, cette structure ne dispose pas assez de ressources pour assumer les missions qui lui sont assignées. En outre, les membres de cette structure occupent d'autres fonctions

2.

Force est de constater qu'il existe une répartition inégale des capacités /compétences . Elles sont souvent concentrées au niveau de la capitale et/ou les chefs lieux de Régions. Ceci est du notamment aux enclavements de certaines localités et aussi pour des motivations

Financial resources

Lessons learnt (report on the 2 most important only)

1.

L'analyse des documents de projets en cours montrent que ces projets en cours en matière de développement rural prennent en compte le respect de l'environnement en général et la gestion durable des terres et y allouent des ressources financières

2.

Dans le cadre des reconstitutions du Fonds pour l'Environnement Mondial, Madagascar a pu bénéficier des allocations que ce soit dans le GEF4 que le GEF5

Problems, constraints and bottlenecks currently faced by your country (report on the 2 most important only)

1.

Le Gouvernement alloue des fonds pour la gestion durable des terres aux ministères concernés mais ces ressources propres internes restent insuffisantes pour assurer les besoins nationaux.

2.

La situation de crise politique qui prévaut à Madagascar n'a pas permis de mobiliser des fonds nécessaires à la gestion durable des terres

Any other country-specific issues

Any other country-specific issues

Has your country any specific issue to bring to the attention of the Conference of the Parties?

Yes

If yes, please specify under which of the following broad categories it can be classified.

Category

Capacity-building and awareness-raising

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Narrative description

No answer provided.

Category

DLDD and SLM monitoring and assessment/research

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Narrative description

No answer provided.

Category

Funding/resource mobilization

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Narrative description

No answer provided.

Category

Knowledge management and decision support

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Narrative description

No answer provided.

Category

Participation; collaboration; networking

Other (specify)

No answer provided.

Narrative description

No answer provided.

Submission form

Submission form	
Name of the reporting officer *	RALALARIMANANA HERIVOLOLONA
Date of submission *	15 Oct 2012
Signature	
Name of the authorizing officer	MINISTERE DE L'ENVIRONNEMENT ET DES FORETS
Date of authorization	21 Sep 2012
Signature	



© UNCCD