United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification

Performance Review and Assessment of Implementation System

4th Reporting and Review Cycle - 2010

Report for Nepal

Table of Contents

- Performance Indicators
 - Operational Objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-1 for Outcome 1.1
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-3 for Outcome 1.3
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-4 for Outcome 1.3
 - Operational Objective 2: Policy framework
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-5 for Outcomes 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-7 for Outcome 2.5
 - Operational Objective 3: Science, technology and knowledge
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-8 for Outcomes 3.1 and 3.2
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-9 for Outcome 3.1 and 3.2
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-10 for Outcome 3.3 and 3.4
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-11 for Outcome 3.5
 - Operational Objective 4: Capacity building
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-13 for Outcomes 4.1 and 4.2
 - Operational Objective 5: Financing and technology transfer
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-14 for Outcome 5.1
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-16 for Outcome 5.2
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-17 for Outcome 5.3
 - Performance indicator CONS-O-18 for Outcome 5.5
- Standard Financial Annex
 - Financial Commitment #1
- Programme and Project Sheets
 - Programme/Project #3 BISEP-ST, Bilateral program ~~~
 - Programme/Project #4 District Soil Conservation Program
 - Programme/Project #5 Leasehold Forest and Livestock Program
 - Programme/Project #6 Livelihoods and Forestry Programme
 - Programme/Project #7 Community Development and Forest/Watershed conservation Project
 - Programme/Project #8 Nepal Swiss Community Forestry Project
 - Programme/Project #9 Renaissance of Khoriya Farming
 - ∘ Programme/Project #10 • Terai Arc Landscape Program (TAL) Sacred Himalayan Landscape Program (SHL)
 - Programme/Project #11 Sustainable Soil Management Program
 - Programme/Project #12 Watershed Management project
 - o Programme/Project #13 Watershed Management project
 - Programme/Project #14 : Western Terai Landscape Complex project
- Additional Information
 - Reporting process-related issues
 - o Accommodation of specific requests within COP decisions
 - Reporting on the implementation of NAP
 - Human resources
 - Financial resources
 - Any other country-specific issues
- Best Practices
 - Best Practice #3 Leasehold Forestry
 - Best Practice #4 Community Forestry
 - Best Practice #5 Combating Land Degradation and Climate Change Mitigation through Agroforestry in Shifiting Cultivation Blocks in Makawanpur of Central Nepal
- Submission Form

General Information Section

GENERAL INFORMATION ON THE REPORTING ENTITY			
Reporting country *	Nepal		
Name and surname of the person submitting the report *	Meena Khanal		
Affiliation and contact details *	meenakhanal@hotmail.com		

Performance Indicators

C. Performance indicators

Performance indicators are for measuring progress against the five operational objectives of The Strategy, in line with decision 3/COP.8. The year 2008 (the first year of the Strategy) serves as the baseline year.

Affected country Parties are requested to report on the following fourteen performance indicators out of the eighteen consolidated performance indicators presented in ICCD/CRIC(8)/5/Add.1 and Add.2.

Reporting is guided by means of templates, one for each performance indicator. Within the templates, shaded areas contain information and explanatory texts and white areas are for reporting purposes and need to be filled in by affected country Parties with relevant quantitative data, selection of multiple choice boxes, or narrative information.

Operational Objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education

Performance indicator CONS-O-1 for Outcome 1.1

Operational Objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education

Performance indicator CONS-O-1 for Outcome 1.1

Number and size of information events organized on the subject of DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity, and audience reached by media addressing DLDD and DLDD synergies.

Understanding of the indicator

At the national and local level, the indicator measures the performance of Convention-related communication strategies, in particular, whether DLDD issues and synergies are being communicated and if so, whether the communication is considered to be effective. Effectiveness is assessed through the appraisal of the media campaigns carried out; the assumption is that the stronger the media campaigns on DLDD issues and synergies, the higher the probability of passing the messages on to the target audience. The focus of the indicator is on information activities specifically dedicated to DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity. Other reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on Convention-related communication strategies at subregional, regional and global level.

Data needed

Information on events/media specifically addressing DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity.

Attendance lists of events (meetings, workshops, seminars), programmes/projects' documents, estimate of target audience for major media events (campaigns, radio and television programmes, etc.).

Events organized and media produced by the UNCCD NFP or organized/produced by third parties not directly reporting to the Convention (TV channels, newspaper editors, etc.) shall be considered.

Data sources (indicative only)

International and national media (newspapers) advertising the events at national and local level, the Internet, the organizers of the events, programmes/projects' final reports.

Check the glossary for

'NFP', 'ICT', 'Information events', 'Media products', 'STIs', 'CSOs'

Media products have been grouped into: (a) Paper media products (articles, press releases, leaflets, flyers, brochures and comics, etc.); (b) radio and television programmes; (c) other ICT (websites, CDs, DVD, etc.).

Overall target

By 2018, 30 per cent of the global population is informed about DLDD and DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity

Year	Number of information events	Estimated number of participants in the information events
2008	2378	134159
2009	3554	152586
2010		
2011		
2012		
2013		
2014		
2015		
2016		
2017		
2018		

Estimated number of persons reached by media products and by key stakeholders

Media products have been grouped into: (a) Paper media products (articles, press releases, leaflets, flyers, brochures and comics, etc.); (b) radio and television programmes; (c) other ICT (websites, CDs, DVD, etc.).

Year	Stakeholder	Paper media products	Radio and TV	other ICT
	Public at Large	953995	605643	
2008	Civil society organizations			
	Science and technology institutions			
	Public at Large	1338470	605643	
2009	Civil society organizations			
	Science and technology institutions			
	Public at Large			
2010	Civil society organizations			
	Science and technology institutions			
	Public at Large			
2011	Civil society organizations			
	Science and technology institutions			
	Public at Large			
2012	Civil society organizations			
	Science and technology institutions			
	Public at Large			
2013	Civil society organizations			
	Science and technology institutions			

2014	Public at Large		
	Civil society organizations		
	Science and technology institutions		
	Public at Large		
2015	Civil society organizations		
	Science and technology institutions		
	Public at Large		
2016	Civil society organizations		
	Science and technology institutions		
	Public at Large		
2017	Civil society organizations		
	Science and technology institutions		
	Public at Large		
2018	Civil society organizations		
	Science and technology institutions		

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

- 1. Annual reports, web-sites of relevant organizations,
 - 2. Written information provided by personnel of concerned organization
 - 3. Workshop/meetings

Attachments:

none

National contribution to the target

On the basis of the information you have provided above, estimate the proportion (%) of the population in your country which is informed about DLDD and DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity at the time of reporting?

Estimated share of total country population =

15 %

Qualitative assessment

Is the information you have provided on communication processes part of a national communication strategy addressing environmental issues?

No

Performance indicator CONS-O-3 for Outcome 1.3

Operational Objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education

Performance indicator CONS-O-3 for Outcome 1.3

Number of CSOs and science and technology institutions participating in the Convention processes.

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the level of participation of civil society organizations (CSOs) and science and technology institutions (STIs) in DLDD-related programmes and projects. The indicator will outline whether the active involvement of these stakeholders in country-based initiatives increases over time and whether programmes/projects are valid tools for the engagement of, and receiving contributions from, CSOs and STIs at the field level. Other reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on the involvement of CSOs and STIs at subregional, regional and global level; in particular, the secretariat and the GM will report on the involvement of CSOs and STIs at the institutional level.

Data needed

The specification of the organizations involved in the programmes/projects as reported in the PPSs.

Data sources (indicative only)

PPSs submitted to the UNCCD as part of the reporting exercise.

Check the glossary for

'STIs', 'CSOs', 'PPS', 'Convention processes'

Overall target

A steady growth in the participation of CSOs and science and technology institutions in the Convention processes is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy.

In the PPSs you have specified the number of CSOs and the number of STIs involved in each programme/project. Add these numbers and give the totals by year in the table below.

Year	Number of CSOs involved in DLDD-related programmes/projects	Number of STIs involved in DLDD-related programmes/projects
2008	530	25
2009	799	25
2010		
2011		
2012		
2013		
2014		
2015		
2016		
2017		
2018		

Sources of information

Programme and project sheets (PPSs) submitted to UNCCD No answer required

National contribution to the target

At the time of reporting, is your government undertaking concrete initiatives to increase the participation of CSOs and STIs in DLDD-related programmes and projects?

Qualitative assessment

Specify the reasons for the increasing and/or decreasing trend of the participation of CSOs and STIs to DLDD-related programmes/projects. (tick as many boxes as necessary and rate the level of importance)

		Not important	Important	Very important
Χ	Increased networking and collaboration opportunities			X
X	Increased access to information and to national and/or international financing opportunities			X
Χ	Increased willingness of the government in working with CSOs			X
Χ	Increased interest of donors in working with CSOs			X
	Strengthened organizational, project management and fund-raising capacity of CSOs			
	Increased funding opportunities requiring partnership with the STIs			
	Strengthened organizational, project management and fund-raising capacity of the STIs			
	Other			

Other (specify) (max 30 words)

Priority for Public Private Partnership(PPP) has been reflected in the government policies and plans.

Reasons for decreasing for CSOs

	Not important	Important	Very important
Costly participatory processes			
Low organizational, fund-raising and project management capacity of CSOs			
Government policies and/or the legal environment do not foster the engagement of CSOs			
Diminishing funding			
Other			

Other (specify) (max 30 words)

No answer provided

Reasons for decreasing for STIs

	Not important	Important	Very important
DLDD topics are not prioritized by national STIs			
Low organizational, fund-raising and project management capacity of STIs			
Decreased networking opportunities at national and international level			
Diminishing funding			

Other (specify) (max 30 words)

No answer provided

Performance indicator CONS-O-4 for Outcome 1.3

Operational Objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education

Performance indicator CONS-O-4 for Outcome 1.3

Number and type of DLDD-related initiatives of CSOs and science and technology institutions in the field of education.

Understanding of the indicator

The indicator measures the number and type of DLDD-related initiatives undertaken by CSOs and STIs in the education sector at the national level. The assumption is that the higher the number of DLDD-related education initiatives undertaken by these stakeholders, the stronger their interest in addressing DLDD problems. A distinction is made between activities carried out in the formal education sector and in the non-formal education sector. This indicator focuses on "education" because "awareness" and "advocacy" are already measured through indicators CONS-O-1 and CONS-O-2, respectively. Other reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on the involvement of CSOs and STIs at subregional, regional and global level.

Data needed

Information on initiatives undertaken in the field of education that may be found in: written communications by CSOs and STIs to the NFP; contractual and/or programme/project-related documents; records of academic bodies and their curricula.

Only initiatives in the field of education (formal and non-formal) directly relating to DLDD issues are to be considered.

Data sources (indicative only)

CSOs and STIs operating in the country.

Check the glossary for

'CSOs', 'STIs', 'NFP', 'Formal education', 'Non-formal education'.

Overall target

A steady growth in the number of DLDD-related education initiatives undertaken by CSOs and science and technology institutions is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy

Number of DLDD-related initiatives undertaken

Year	Number of DLDD-related initiatives undertaken by CSOs formal education	Number of DLDD-related initiatives undertaken by CSOs non-formal education	Number of DLDD-related initiatives undertaken by STIs formal education	Number of DLDD-related initiatives undertaken by STIs non-formal education
2008	118	5817	35	
2009	170	5619	30	
2010				
2011				
2012				
2013				
2014				
2015				

2016		
2017		
2018		

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

• Annual reports, web-sites, Reports from Curriculum Development Center & Informal Eduction

Development Center, and Information given by the personnel from concerned organizations etc.

Attachments:

none

National contribution to the target

At the time of reporting, is your government undertaking concrete initiatives to increase the delivery of DLDD-related initiatives in the education sector by CSOs and STIs?

Yes

Qualitative assessment

Specify the reasons for the increasing and/or decreasing trend of DLDD-related education initiatives undertaken by CSOs and STIs.

(tick as many boxes as necessary and rate the level of importance)

		Not important	Important	Very important
Χ	Increased access to funding		X	
X	Increased awareness of DLDD-related problems and of the need for action		x	
X	Increased knowledge of DLDD-related topics and enhanced skills of trainers/teachers		x	
X	Government policies are more supportive of education initiatives			X
X	International donors are more supportive of education-focussed initiatives.		X	
	Other			

Other (specify) (max 30 words)

No answer provided

Reasons for decreasing for CSOs

	Not important	Important	Very important
Lack of financial resources			
Insufficient awareness and knowledge by national CSOs of DLDD-related issues			
Limited capillary presence of national CSOs at the grass-root level			
Other			

Other (specify) (max 30 words)

No answer provided

Reasons for decreasing for STIs

	Not important	Important	Very important
Lack of financial resources			
National STIs are more focussed on research activities than on education and training			
Other			

Other (specify) (max 30 words)

Initiatives are project based so once the project is completed, no more initiative taken.

Performance indicator CONS-O-5 for Outcomes 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3

Operational Objective 2: Policy framework

Performance indicator CONS-O-5 for Outcomes 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3

Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities to have finalized the formulation/revision of NAPs/SRAPs aligned to The Strategy, taking into account biophysical and socio-economic information, national planning and policies, and integration into investment frameworks.

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the performance of affected country Parties in formulating or revising their NAPs in alignment with The Strategy. While providing information on this process, the indicator also outlines whether: (a) the analysis of DLDD drivers, barriers to possible solutions, and measures that may eventually overcome these barriers, has been carried out; (b) the alignment process has been supported by biophysical and socio-economic baseline information; (c) the action programmes have been included in integrated investment frameworks; and (d) the action programmes have been integrated with other existing national plans and policies. The indicator will inform on the extent to which Parties have responded to decision 3/COP.8, paragraph 45, and on the feasibility of assessing the progress of The Strategy over its implementation period (2008–2018). Subregional and regional reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on formulation or revision of SRAPs and RAPs in alignment with The Strategy.

Data needed

UNCCD NAP. Only a NAP formally approved by the relevant governmental authorities is to be considered as 'finalized'. Other relevant planning documents.

Data sources (indicative only)
UNCCD NFP.

Check the glossary for

'Finalized', 'NAP', 'NFP', 'driver', 'barrier', 'integrated investment framework', 'baseline'

Overall target

By 2014, at least 80 per cent of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities have formulated/revised a NAP/SRAP/RAP aligned to The Strategy.

NAP Adoption and Revision

Had your country already adopted a NAP prior to The Strategy?

Yes

If yes, has your country revised the NAP in alignment with The Strategy?

No

If you have revised the NAP in alignment with The Strategy, specify the date of its approval.

No answer provided

If you have not revised the NAP in alignment with The Strategy, specify why the process was not initiated. (tick as many boxes as necessary and rate the level of importance)

		Not important	Important	Very Important
X	Not a priority for the government		X	
X	Lack of capacities	X		
Х	Lack of financial resources			X

X	Understaffing		X	
X	Lack of time	X		
X	Poor internal coordination among relevant ministries			X
	Other			

Other (specify) (max 30 words)

No answer provided

If your country had no NAP adopted prior to The Strategy, have you formulated an aligned NAP after The Strategy's adoption in 2008?

No answer provided

If yes, specify the date of its approval.

No answer provided

If at the time of reporting you have not formulated a NAP aligned to The Strategy specify why the process was not initiated. (tick as many boxes as necessary and rate the level of importance)

	Not important	Important	Very Important
Not a priority for the government			
Lack of capacities			
Lack of financial resources			
Understaffing			
Lack of time			
Poor internal coordination among relevant ministries			
Other			

Other (specify) (max 30 words)

No answer provided

The questions below apply only to those countries having a NAP aligned to The Strategy

If you have a NAP, is it supported by biophysical and socio-economic baseline information?

No answer provided

If you have a NAP, does it assess DLDD drivers?

No answer provided

If you have a NAP, does it assess the barriers to sustainable land management?

No answer provided

If yes, does the NAP include recommendations to remove these barriers?

No answer provided

If you have a NAP, has it been included into an integrated investment framework?

No answer provided

If you have a NAP, has it been integrated into national development planning and relevant sectoral and investment plans and policies?

No answer provided

If yes, has the NAP been integrated into your country's Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper?

Did you refer to the Guidelines on the alignment of action programmes with The Strategy as proposed in ICCD/COP(9)/2/Add.1 while developing or reviewing your action plan?

No answer provided

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

• UNCCD focal point, Ministry of Environment

Attachments:

none

National contribution to the target

If you do not have an approved NAP aligned to The Strategy at the time of reporting, when do you plan to have it developed and approved?

2012-13

Qualitative assessment

Has the formulation and/or alignment of the NAP been supported by external assistance?

Yes

If yes, did you receive assistance from one or more of the following institutions? (more than one box can be ticked)

- Secretariat
- GM

If yes, which type of assistance did you receive?

Financial Support

Identify the major difficulties experienced in the formulation/alignment process (tick as many boxes as necessary and rate the level of importance).

		Not important	Important	Very Important
Χ	Not a priority for the government		X	
X	Poor availability of biophysical and socio- economic baseline information			X
Χ	Existing investment frameworks are not fully compatible with the NAP		X	
X	Streamlining the NAP into existing plans and policies is too time-consuming		X	
	Other			

Other (specify) (max 30 words)

No answer provided

Performance indicator CONS-O-7 for Outcome 2.5

Operational Objective 2: Policy framework

Performance indicator CONS-O-7 for Outcome 2.5

Number of initiatives for synergistic planning/programming of the three Rio Conventions or mechanisms for joint implementation, at

Understanding of the indicator

The indicator measures the existence of synergistic processes through the number of instruments (i.e. joint planning/programming and/or operational mechanisms) in place at the national level which foster the introduction of or strengthen the mutually reinforcing measures among the three Rio Conventions. The assumption is that the higher the number of enabling instruments in place, the higher the possibility of achieving synergies in implementation. This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on synergistic processes at the subregional, regional and global level.

Data needed

Planning/programming documents and legislative/regulatory documents.

Only operational mechanisms which have the achievement of joint implementation, synergies, convergence, and the introduction or strengthening of reinforcing measures among the Rio Conventions clearly stated in their objectives shall be considered under this indicator.

Data sources (indicative only)

Relevant national ministries.

Check the glossary for

"Joint planning/programming initiatives", "Operational mechanisms for joint implementation or mutual reinforcement"

For an indicative list of activities by Parties to promote synergies among the Rio Conventions, refer to UNEP/CBD">target='_blank'>UNEP/CBD
/COP/DC/IX/16, Annex II

Overall target

By 2014, each affected country Party has either one joint national plan in place or functional mechanism(s) to ensure synergies among the three Rio Conventions

Are you implementing joint planning/programming initiatives for the three Rio Conventions? Yes

If yes, specify the type of joint initiative(s) (tick as many boxes as necessary)

- · Review of national plans and identification of gaps in synergies
- Identification of sectors and policies that could benefit from synergies and cooperation
- Other

Other (specify) (max 30 words)

The Ministry of Environment with its capacity as DNA for UNFCCC and UNCCD has prepared NAPA, NAP and Readiness Preparation Proposal for Reducing Emission from Deforestation and Forest Degradation (REDD) with the coordination of different stakeholder.

Do operational mechanisms for joint implementation or mutual reinforcement exist in your country? Yes

If yes, specify the type of mechanism(s) (tick as many boxes as necessary)

Carry out of periodic meetings between focal points and focal point teams

Other (specify) (max 30 words)

Ministry of Environment is the focal point for UNFCCC and UNCCD. Program related to both are correlated. Ministry of Forest and Soil Conservation is the focal for CBD. Sharing events are organized regularly.

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

Focal Point-Ministry of Environment and Ministry of Forest and Soil Conservation

Attachments:

National contribution to the target

If your country is not implementing joint planning/programming or does not have operational mechanisms in place at the time of reporting, when do you plan to have something ensuring synergies in place?

No plan exists yet

Qualitative assessment

Has the establishment of synergistic processes for joint implementation of the Rio Conventions at national level been supported by the institutions of the Rio Conventions?

Yes

If yes, by which Convention? (more than one box can be ticked)

- UNCCD
- CBD
- UNFCCC

Identify the major difficulties experienced to establish synergistic planning/programming or mechanisms for joint implementation (tick as many boxes as necessary and rate the level of importance).

		Not important	Important	Very Important
Х	Not a priority for the government	X		
Χ	Lack of capacities	X		
Χ	Lack of financial resources		X	
Χ	Understaffing		X	
Χ	Lack of time		X	
Χ	Poor internal coordination among relevant ministries			X
	Other			

Other (specify) (max 30 words)

Performance indicator CONS-O-8 for Outcomes 3.1 and 3.2

Operational Objective 3: Science, technology and knowledge

Performance indicator CONS-O-8 for Outcomes 3.1 and 3.2

Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities to have established and supported a national/subregional /regional monitoring system for DLDD.

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the monitoring potential of the country by quantifying the number of monitoring systems established and supported. These monitoring systems may be specifically or partially (in the case of environmental monitoring systems) dedicated to UNCCD reporting. The indicator will inform on the extent to which it is realistic to expect more regular and coherent reporting by affected country Parties during the implementation of The Strategy and beyond. This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on UNCCD-relevant monitoring systems established and supported at the subregional, regional and global level.

Data needed

Information on monitoring systems established within the national Ministries or other bodies/institutions.

Programmes/projects' documents, fiches and summary sheets, programmes/projects' interim or final reports.

Only those monitoring systems storing all or most of the information needed for reporting to the UNCCD shall be considered.

Data sources (indicative only)

Relevant national ministries, programme/project management units, other non-governmental initiatives.

Check the glossary for

'monitoring system', 'vulnerability'

Overall target

By 2018, at least 60 per cent of affected country Parties, subregional and regional reporting entities have established and supported national monitoring systems for DLDD

Is a monitoring system for DLDD established at the national level?

Yes

If yes, specify whether this system is: Functional

Yes

If yes, specify whether this system is: Regularly Updated

Yes

If no DLDD-specific monitoring system is in place, is an environmental monitoring system partially covering DLDD established at the national level?

No answer provided

List any monitoring system available at the sub- national level that can contribute to the UNCCD reporting (add as many rows as necessary).

- 1.Forest Condition Monitoring Plots
 - 2. Forest Management Demonstration Establishment
 - 3. Annual Report Preparation
 - 4. Annual reporting and regular monitoring.

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows as necessary). If reporting online,

you may also upload relevant documents.

• Information from various organizations

Attachments:

none

National contribution to the target

If your country does not have a national monitoring system partially or totally dedicated to DLDD in place at the time of reporting, do you plan to initiate one?

Yes

If yes, when? 2012-13

Qualitative assessment

For those countries not having a national monitoring system totally or partially dedicated to DLDD, identify the major difficulties experienced in the establishment process (tick as many boxes as necessary and rate the level of importance).

		Not important	Important	Very important
Χ	Financial constraints			X
Χ	Lack of capacities		X	
Χ	Human resources constraints			X
X	Lack of coordination among relevant ministries and unclear attribution of responsibilities			X
Χ	Lack of coordination among donor-led programme/project interventions			X
X	Existing initiatives are too fragmented; cannot be realistically coordinated under one umbrella.			X
X	Existing national and/or sub-national monitoring systems use different methodologies and cannot be realistically harmonised			x
	Other			

Other (specify) (max 30 words)

No answer provided

For those countries having a national monitoring system totally or partially dedicated to DLDD, how is the system maintained? (tick as many boxes as necessary and rate the level of importance)

	Not important	Important	Very important
By means of national resources			
By means of external support			
No maintenance is possible due to limited professional capacities			
No maintenance is possible due to limited financial resources			
Other			

Other (specify) (max 30 words)

Performance indicator CONS-O-9 for Outcome 3.1 and 3.2

Operational Objective 3: Science, technology and knowledge

Performance indicator CONS-O-9 for Outcome 3.1 and 3.2

Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities reporting to the Convention along revised reporting guidelines on the basis of agreed indicators

Understanding of the indicator

The indicator measures the use of biophysical and socio-economic information at the national level in defining a commonly agreed core set of impact indicators for the UNCCD and in monitoring progress against these indicators using harmonized methodologies. The indicator will inform to what extent it is possible to compile a comparable and global assessment of UNCCD impact. Subregional and regional reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on the use of impact indicators at the subregional and regional levels, if and when impact indicators for these levels will be commonly agreed upon by the Conference of the Parties.

Data needed

Reports to the UNCCD by affected country Parties in 2012 and 2016.

The information to report on this indicator will be compiled by affected country Parties every four years when reporting on the strategic objectives that require biophysical and socio-economic information (i.e. SO1, SO2 and SO3). Reporting on this indicator is due in 2012 and in 2016 only.

Data sources (indicative only)
UNCCD NFP.

Check the glossary for 'NFP'

Overall target

By 2018, at least 90 per cent of affected country Parties, subregional and regional reporting entities report to the Convention in compliance with the new reporting guidelines.

Has your country reported on the two impact indicators considered by decision 13/COP.9 to be the minimum reporting requirement?

No answer required for this indicator in the 2010 reporting cycle

Number of impact indicators for strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3 your country has reported on in 2012 and 2016

No answer required for this indicator in the 2010 reporting cycle

2016

No answer required for this indicator in the 2010 reporting cycle

While reporting on impact indicators, did you refer to the reporting guidelines, i.e. using the common baselines and methodologies defined by the CST?

No answer required for this indicator in the 2010 reporting cycle

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows information as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

No answer required for this indicator in the 2010 reporting cycle

National contribution to the target

If in 2012 your country has not reported on some or all of the impact indicators for the UNCCD, when do you plan to do

No answer required for this indicator in the 2010 reporting cycle

If in 2012 your country has not complied with the reporting guidelines, i.e. using the common baselines and methodologies defined by the CST, when do you plan to do so?

No answer required for this indicator in the 2010 reporting cycle

Qualitative assessment

Identify the major difficulties experienced in reporting against the impact indicators:

No answer required for this indicator in the 2010 reporting cycle

Other (specify) (max 30 words)

No answer required for this indicator in the 2010 reporting cycle

Performance indicator CONS-O-10 for Outcome 3.3 and 3.4

Operational Objective 3: Science, technology and knowledge

Performance indicator CONS-O-10 for Outcome 3.3 and 3.4

Number of revised NAPs/SRAPs/RAPs reflecting knowledge of DLDD drivers and their interactions, and of the interaction of DLDD with climate change and biodiversity.

Understanding of the indicator

The indicator measures knowledge-transfer processes from the theoretical to the operational level. This is done through an assessment carried out by affected country Parties (self-assessment) of the levels of traditional and scientific knowledge reflected in their NAPs. The assumption is that NAPs based on sound scientific and traditional knowledge will propose more significant and effective strategies and activities for implementation at the national level, and will, ultimately, perform better than those NAPs that do not take into account available knowledge on DLDD and DLDD synergies. The indicator will inform to what extent UNCCD implementation is likely to achieve meaningful results. Subregional and regional reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on the assessment of their SRAPs and RAPs.

Data needed

NAP aligned to The Strategy.

Scientific literature consulted for the development of the NAP.

Data sources (indicative only)

UNCCD NFP.

Check the glossary for

'NAP', 'NFP', 'driver'

Countries not having a NAP or not having aligned their NAP to The Strategy do not report on this indicator.

The below questions are meant to guide the country's self-assessment of its aligned NAP.

Overall target

By 2018, at least 70 per cent of revised NAPs/SRAPs/RAPs have successfully gone through a quality self-assessment.

Countries not having a NAP or not having aligned their NAP to The Strategy do not report on this indicator.

The below questions are meant to guide the country's self-assessment of its aligned NAP.

In your NAP, is the identification of biophysical and socio-economic drivers, and of their interaction, knowledge-based?

No answer provided

If yes, specify upon which type of knowledge it is based (tick as many boxes as necessary and rate the level of importance).

If based on scientific literature, list the main reference literature consulted (add as many rows as needed). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

No answer provided

In your NAP, is the analysis of the interaction between drought mitigation and restoration of degraded land and climate change mitigation/ adaptation or biodiversity conservation knowledge-based?

No answer provided

If yes, specify upon which type of knowledge it is based (tick as many boxes as necessary and rate the level of importance).

No answer provided

If based on scientific literature, list the main reference literature consulted (add as many rows as needed). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

No answer provided

Is drought mitigation analyzed and/or reflected in some of the actions outlined in the NAP?

No answer provided

Attachments:

none

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above:

UNCCD National Action Programme.

No answer required

Question marked as 'No answer'.

National contribution to the target

If in your NAP, DLDD drivers, their interactions, and the interaction of DLDD with climate change and biodiversity, are not analyzed on the basis of relevant scientific, expert and/or traditional knowledge, such that the self-assessment process is not fully successful, when do you expect to adjust your NAP so that it can successfully go through the self-assessment?

No answer provided

Qualitative assessment

If your NAP has not been developed taking into account relevant scientific and/or traditional knowledge, identify the reasons (tick as many boxes as necessary and rate the level of importance).

	Not important	Important	Very important
Relevant scientific literature is not available			
Relevant traditional or expert knowledge is not available			
Lack of financial resources to mobilise the necessary knowledge			
Poor coordination among the relevant ministries prevented an internal pooling of knowledge/expertise			
Relevant ministries could not contribute due to lack of time			
Relevant ministries could not contribute due to lack of staff			
Other			

Performance indicator CONS-O-11 for Outcome 3.5

Operational Objective 3: Science, technology and knowledge

Performance indicator CONS-O-11 for Outcome 3.5

Type, number and users of DLDD-relevant knowledge-sharing systems at the global, regional, subregional and national levels described on the Convention website.

Understanding of the indicator

The indicator measures the presence at the national level of DLDD-related knowledge-sharing processes, through the quantification of the type and number of existing knowledge-sharing systems. Effectiveness of these systems is measured through quantification of their user-base. The indicator will inform to what extent scientific and traditional knowledge, including best practices, are available to and sufficiently shared with end-users. This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on existing UNCCD-relevant knowledge-sharing systems at the subregional, regional and global level.

Data needed

Information from websites.

Only DLDD-relevant knowledge-sharing systems and networks shall be considered.

Data sources (indicative only)

Relevant organizations at the national level, relevant national ministries hosting knowledge-sharing systems and networks within their websites.

Check the glossary for

'knowledge-sharing system', 'PRAIS'

List any DLDD-relevant 'knowledge-sharing system' at the country level you are aware of, providing an Internet link and estimated number of users per year (add as many rows as necessary)

Name of the System

No answer provided

Internet Link

1. www.moenv.gov.np; mofsc.gov.np; www.wwfnepal.org; www.forestaction.org; www.dof.gov.np; www.bisepst.org; www.wtlcp.org;www.dscwm.gov.np; www.dnpwc.gov.np; www.icimod.org;www.ctevt.org.np; www.sgp.undp.org;www.moac.gov.np; www.npc.gov.np; www.narc.org.np;www.dos.gov.np;www.doed.gov.np; www.npc.gov.np;

Estimated number of users per year

Operational Objective 4: Capacity building

Performance indicator CONS-O-13 for Outcomes 4.1 and 4.2

Operational Objective 4: Capacity building

Performance indicator CONS-O-13 for Outcomes 4.1 and 4.2

Number of countries, subregional and regional reporting entities engaged in building capacity to combat DLDD on the basis of NCSA or other methodologies and instruments

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level the indicator measures the presence of capacity-building processes through the quantification of existing major capacity-building initiatives. The indicator will inform to what extent affected country Parties may be expected to meet their obligations foreseen by the Convention, including forthcoming ones (i.e. new reporting requirements, establishment of environmental monitoring systems, accessing new financing mechanisms). This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on existing UNCCD-related capacity-building initiatives at the subregional, regional and global level.

Data needed

Information on DLDD-related capacity building initiatives.

Only major capacity-building plans/programmes/projects mentioned in the PPSs are to be considered.

Data sources (indicative only)

PPSs submitted to UNCCD as part of the reporting exercise

Programmes/projects' documents, fiches and summary sheets, interim or final reports of those programmes and projects identified through the PPSs as having DLDD-related capacity-building as a major objective.

Check the glossary for

'NCSA', 'PPS'

Overall target

By 2014, at least 90 per cent of affected country Parties, sub-regional and regional reporting entities implement DLDD specific capacity building plans or programs or projects.

Number of DLDD-related capacity building initiatives undertaken

Identify, if any, relevant programmes and projects through the PPSs and check corresponding programmes/projects' documents, fiches and summary sheets, and interim or final reports, to extract the information needed for completing the table below

Year	NCSA-generated	Other initiatives
2008		1360
2009		1530
2010		
2011		
2012		
2013		
2014		
2015		
2016		

2017	
2018	

Has your country assessed DLDD-related capacity building needs at the national level?

Yes

If yes, within the framework of which initiative?

NCSA

Other (specify) (max 30 words)

No answer provided

If yes, do you have assessed the necessary resources for addressing capacity building needs?

عم۷

Are these resource requirements included into an investment framework?

No

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

· Reports from various organisations and UNCCD focal point

Attachments:

none

National contribution to the target

If at the time of reporting there are no DLDD-specific capacity building plans, programmes or projects implemented in your country, when do you plan to have something in place?

2014-15

Qualitative assessment

Have you received assistance from one or more of the following institutions to build capacities to combat DLDD? (more than one box can be ticked)

- GEF
- Bilateral
- Multilateral (UN agencies, IGOs, international financing institutions, etc.)

If yes, which type of assistance have you received?

- Technical support
- Financial support

Operational Objective 5: Financing and technology transfer

Performance indicator CONS-O-14 for Outcome 5.1

Operational Objective 5: Financing and technology transfer

Performance indicator CONS-O-14 for Outcome 5.1

Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities whose investment frameworks, established within the IFS devised by the GM or within other integrated financing strategies, reflect leveraging national, bilateral and multilateral resources for combating desertification and land degradation.

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the presence of integrated financing processes allowing the leverage of national, bilateral and multilateral resources for combating desertification and land degradation, through the quantification of investment frameworks developed by country Parties within the IFS devised by the GM or other integrated financing strategies promoted by diverse international institutions. This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on the establishment of integrated investment frameworks at national, subregional and regional level.

Data needed

Investment frameworks documents.

Only investment frameworks prepared along the guidelines devised within integrated financing strategies shall be considered.

Data sources (indicative only)

Relevant national ministries.

Check the glossary for

'IFS', 'NAP' 'leveraging', 'integrated investment framework'

Overall target

By 2014, at least 50 per cent of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities have developed integrated investment frameworks.

Has your country developed an integrated investment framework?

No

If yes, specify when it was developed.

No answer provided

The questions below apply only to those countries which have an integrated investment framework.

Is your integrated investment framework based on the NAP?

No answer provided

If based on the NAP, who assisted in its development?

No answer provided

Other (specify) (max 30 words)

No answer provided

If assisted, which type of assistance did you receive?

No answer provided

If assisted by the GM, was it devised within the IFS?

No answer provided

If your country has an integrated investment framework based on the NAP, is this framework concretely allowing the leverage of national, bilateral and multilateral resources for combating DLDD?

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

• National Focal Point- Ministry of Environment

Attachments:

none

National contribution to the target

If your country has not developed an integrated investment framework at the time of reporting, do you plan to do it?

Yes

If yes, when?

2014-15

Qualitative assessment

Identify the major difficulties experienced in developing an integrated investment framework (tick as many boxes as necessary and rate the level of importance).

		Not important	Important	Very Important
Χ	Financial constraints			X
Χ	Human resources constraints	X		
X	Lack of coordination among relevant ministries and unclear attribution of responsibilities	x		
Χ	Lack of coordination among those providing support			X
X	National, bilateral and multilateral resources are too diverse; cannot be realistically coordinated under one umbrella.		X	
	Other			

Other (specify) (max 30 words)

No answer provided

Performance indicator CONS-O-16 for Outcome 5.2

Operational Objective 5: Financing and technology transfer

Performance indicator CONS-O-16 for Outcome 5.2

Degree of adequacy, timeliness and predictability of financial resources made available by developed country Parties to combat DLDD.

Understanding of the indicator

This is a qualitative indicator requiring the perception-based assessment by developing affected country Parties of the adequacy, timeliness and predictability of bilateral contributions received from developed country Parties for the implementation of the Convention. "Adequate", "timely" and "predictable" resources are frequently referred to in The Strategy as being necessary to ensure proper planning and effective implementation. Subregional and regional reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on their perception-based assessments.

Data needed

-

Data sources (indicative only)

Check the glossary for

_

Only affected country Parties entitled to receive assistance under the UNCCD are requested to report on this indicator.

Overall target

No target has been set for this indicator

How would you rate the bilateral assistance received within the framework of UNCCD for the implementation of The Strategy and of the Convention?

Adequacy of bilateral assistance

Not adequate

Timeliness of bilateral assistance

Not timely

Predictability of bilateral assistance

Not predictable

Provide narrative justification on your above rating (max 100 words)

Nepal is not able to access much of the bilateral assistance under UNCCD. Except negligible amount from GEF funding through UNDP, no assistance have been received in the knowledge of the Focal Point. However, bilateral and multilateral funding in various sectors which somehow link to address DLDD have been received.

Qualitative assessment

Did you receive assistance in raising resources from bilateral donors?

Yes

If yes, from whom? (more than one box can be ticked)

- GEF
- Multilateral (UN agencies, IGOs, international financing institutions, etc.)

Other (specify) (max 30 words)

No answer provided

Has the level of adequacy, timeliness and predictability of bilateral assistance constrained your country's performance in planning and implementation with respect to UNCCD?

Yes

Performance indicator CONS-O-17 for Outcome 5.3

Operational Objective 5: Financing and technology transfer

Performance indicator CONS-O-17 for Outcome 5.3

Number of DLDD-related project proposals successfully submitted for financing to international financial institutions, facilities and funds, including the GEF.

Understanding of the indicator

The indicator measures the capacity of fund-raising at the national level, through the quantification of project proposals successfully submitted for funding to the various financing organizations. The indicator will inform to what extent affected country Parties make increasing efforts to mobilize resources. This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on the fund-raising efforts at national, subregional and regional level.

Data needed

Information contained in the PPSs and SFAs submitted to UNCCD.

Data sources (indicative only)

PPSs and SFAs submitted to UNCCD as part of the reporting exercise.

The PPS requires specification of the project 'status' thus it allows the identification of relevant projects to be considered by this indicator and the monitoring of their approval status.

The SFA requires the specification of amounts committed to approved projects.

Check the glossary for

'PPS', 'SFA', 'Project proposals', 'currency', 'Successfully submitted proposals'

Overall target

A steady growth in the number of DLDD-related successfully submitted project proposals is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy.

Number of project proposals submitted (pipeline) and ongoing, by biennium

Biennium	submitted (pipeline)	ongoing
2008-2009		149046
2010-2011		
2012-2013		
2014-2015		
2016-2017		

Amount of funds raised, by biennium

You can find the amount of funds raised for the ongoing projects in the corresponding SFAs. Sum these amounts and give the total in the below table.

Biennium	Total amount
2008-2009	USD30000
2010-2011	
2012-2013	
2014-2015	
2016-2017	

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above: Programme and Project Sheets and Standard Financial Annexes

No answer required

National contribution to the target

According to the information provided above, do you think that you are mobilizing enough resources from international financial institutions, facilities and funds through successfully submitted project proposals?

No

If no, do you plan to increase the country's efforts in presenting project proposals to international financial institutions. facilities and funds?

Qualitative assessment

Identify the reasons for the increasing or decreasing trend of project proposals successfully submitted to international financial institutions, facilities and funds (tick as many boxes as necessary and rate the level of importance).

Reasons for increasing

		Not important	Important	Very Important
X	Easier and more transparent application procedures		X	
X	Increased capacities of national stakeholders to prepare applications		X	
X	Major natural hazards occurred at the national level considerably increased the level of resources made available by the international community		x	
X	Access to funding is increasingly facilitated by third parties such as the private sector		X	
X	Existence of a financing strategy (IFS or others)		X	
X	Other		X	

Other (specify) (max 30 words)

No proposal has been submitted with the coordination of UNCCD Nepal Focal Point

Reasons for decreasing

	Not important	Important	Very Important
Financing opportunities are not publicised enough, lack of access to necessary information			
Complicated application procedures, the level of complexity being worsened by the different requirements of the various donors			
Limited financial resources are made available for DLDD-related programmes/projects, and lack of DLDD-specific allocations within donors' portfolio.			
Other			

Other (specify) (max 30 words)

No answer provided

Performance indicator CONS-O-18 for Outcome 5.5

Operational Objective 5: Financing and technology transfer

Performance indicator CONS-O-18 for Outcome 5.5

Amount of financial resources and type of incentives which have enabled access to technology by affected country Parties.

Understanding of the indicator

The indicator measures whether access to technology is facilitated by means of financial resources or economic and policy incentives. The indicator will inform to what extent an enabling environment for technology transfer has been created at the national

level and whether sufficient resources are dedicated to technology transfer. Subregional and regional reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on financial resources and type of incentives which have enabled access to technology at the subregional and regional level.

Data needed

Budgets of relevant programmes and projects

Information on policy/regulatory, financial and fiscal incentives. Incentives facilitating access to technology shall be those established and implemented at the national level, not necessarily within the framework of DLDD-related cooperation.

Data sources (indicative only)

Financial documents of programmes and projects submitted as PPSs to the UNCCD as part of the reporting exercise.

National policy, regulatory and economic/financial documents.

Check the glossary for

'technical support', 'incentive', 'PPS'

Check the programmes and projects financial documents (budgets) and extract amounts allocated to: (1) technical support – material aid (equipment, hardware and software, machineries, etc); and (2) technical support – knowledge aid (technical assistance and advisory services). Add these amounts to provide totals in the table below.

Refer to the programmes and projects submitted as PPSs to the UNCCD and their relating budgets

Overall targets

A steady growth in the financial resources allocated to facilitate access to technology by affected country Parties is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy.

A steady growth in the number of economic and policy incentives reported upon is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy.

Estimate of amounts allocated to facilitate technology transfer

Check the programmes and projects financial documents (budgets) and extract amounts allocated to: (1) technical support – material aid (equipment, hardware and software, machineries, etc); and (2) technical support – knowledge aid (technical assistance and advisory services). Add these amounts to provide totals in the table below.

Refer to the programmes and projects submitted as PPSs to the UNCCD and their relating budgets

Year	Technical support – material aid Technical support – knowledge aid		
2008	USD94000	USD12708871	
2009	94000 USD	12708871 USD	
2010			
2011			
2012			
2013			
2014			
2015			
2016			
2017			
2018			

If yes, specify which types of incentives (more than one box can be ticked)

No answer provided

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

• Reports from various organizations- Program project sheets

Attachments:

none

National contribution to the target

According to the information provided above, do you think that enough resources are allocated through DLDD-related programmes and projects to facilitate access to technology by your country?

No

If your country has no incentives in place or if existing incentives to facilitate the creation of an enabling environment for technology transfer do not prove to be effective, are you planning to enforce additional measures?

Yes

If yes, when?

2014-15

Qualitative assessment

If existing incentives do not prove to be effective, identify possible reasons (tick as many boxes as necessary and rate the level of importance).

		Not important	Important	Very Important
X	Policy or regulatory incentives are not enforced			Χ
X	There are not enough resources to apply financial or fiscal incentives			Χ
X	The national financial and credit systems (banks, credit agencies, etc) are not supportive		X	
	Other			

Other (specify) (max 30 words)

No answer provided

Identify the reasons for the increasing or decreasing trend of financial resources allocated through DLDD-related programmes and projects to facilitate access to technology (tick as many boxes as necessary and rate the level of importance).

Reasons for increasing

	Not important	Important	Very Important
Access facilitated by the spreading of IT			
More appropriate technologies available			
Appropriateness of government incentives			
Other			

Other (specify) (max 30 words)

No answer provided

Reasons for decreasing

	Not important	Important	Very Important
Technology sustainability is poor; technologies do not represent viable investments			
Lack of fixed infrastructure for accessing technologies (those created on an ad hoc basis disappear once the support ends)			
Lack of capacities for operation and maintenance of technologies			
Lack of enabling policy and regulatory environments			
Other			

Other (specify) (max 30 words)

Standard Financial Annex

D. Standard Financial Annex

The CRIC has recommended that financial reporting be based on a standard financial reporting format to be used by affected country Parties and their development partners. It also indicated that emphasis in reports should be put on financial matters and also on an analysis of the impact of the activities undertaken (ICCD/CRIC(8)/5).

The purpose of the Standard Financial Annex (SFA) is to consolidate information on resources mobilized by affected country Parties and their development partners under the framework of relevant strategies and action programmes. It facilitates the aggregation of data on financial commitments, financial flows and resources available by all relevant funding sources for activities related to the implementation of the Convention. It also helps minimize double counting in financial statistics (ICCD/CRIC(8)/5/Add.4).

The SFA is to be used by each country Party and other reporting entities to list all financial commitments they have made during the reporting period in support of institutions, programmes, projects, as well as other relevant initiatives undertaken at national or international level for the implementation of the Convention.

More specifically, for each relevant financial commitment or allocation made in the reporting period, the SFA requires a minimum set of data grouped as follows:

Identification, i.e. data required to identify the reporting entity, the funding source and the activity financed;

Basic data, i.e. data specifying the amount and type of financial commitment made, as well as the recipient country, region, and/or organization, and the funding period, if applicable;

(c) Classification, i.e. categorization of the funded activity according to the Rio Markers for desertification, and the UNCCD Relevant Activity Codes (RACs).

The compilation of the SFA is guided by means of a template, which responds to the recommendations of CRIC 7, and builds on the GM methodological guide for financial reporting presented to CRIC 6 as part of the report of the intergovernmental Ad Hoc Working Group to improve the procedures for communication of information.

Within the template, shaded areas contain information and explanatory texts, while white areas are for reporting purposes and need to be filled in by the reporting entities with relevant data or narrative information.

Decision 13/COP.9, paragraph 8, invites country Parties and other reporting entities to refer to common terminology and definitions. Therefore, these guidelines should be read in conjunction with the comprehensive glossary presented in a separate document.

Financial Commitment #1

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

No answer provided

Other

No answer provided

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Funding Organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

No answer provided

Other

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

No answer provided

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

No answer provided

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Recipient Country(ies) or (sub) region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

No answer provided

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Recipient Organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to **No answer provided**

Other

No answer provided

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Executing Agency(ies)

Enter the full name an acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

No answer provided

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Commitment date (dd/mm/yyyy)

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization (e.g. 15/01/2011)

No answer provided

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Currency/Amount committed

Indicate the currency denomination of the financial commitment (e.g. EUR, USD, YN, etc.). Enter the total amount of money committed as a numeric field, showing the entire figure (e.g. enter 1500000 to indicate 1.5 million). Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals

No answer provided

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Type of funding

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment (e.g. grant, concessional loan, basket funding,

No answer provided

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Start date (dd/mm/yyyy)

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization (e.g.

15/01/2011)

No answer provided

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Completion date (dd/mm/yyyy)

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization (e.g. 15/01/2011), if applicable

No answer provided

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Duration (no. of months)

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

No answer provided

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions). Add as many rows as necessary.

No answer provided

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

No answer provided

Attachments:

none

Programme and Project Sheets

E. Programme and Project Sheet

Programme and Project Sheets (PPS) are used to provide more detailed information on programmes or projects undertaken or completed in the reporting period. This includes programmes and projects in the pipeline, as well as final proposals submitted for funding to internal or external funding sources. All country Parties and other reporting entities involved in the financing, coordination or implementation of relevant programmes and projects are requested to prepare a PPS for each of them, and to attach them to their official report to the UNCCD.

The compilation of the PPS is guided by means of a template. These templates are intended to collect a minimum set of qualitative and quantitative data to facilitate the analysis of funding and investment flows, and the production of better financial statistics related to UNCCD implementation (ICCD/CRIC(8)/5/Add.4), with a view to enabling the CRIC to undertake an objective review of progress in the implementation of the Convention and The Strategy. The PPS also facilitate the computation of certain performance and impact indicators.

A distinctive feature of the PPS is that it allows country Parties and other reporting entities to specify which strategic and operational objectives of The Strategy are targeted by each programme or project. In addition, it allows for individual programme or project components to be categorized using the Rio Markers for desertification and Relevant Activity Codes (RACs).

Furthermore, the PPS can be used to indicate whether the objectives of other Rio Conventions (i.e. the UN Convention on Biological Diversity, CBD – and the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change, UNFCCC) are also addressed by the programme or project. This is done through the use of the biodiversity and climate change Rio Markers, respectively.

The PPS offers an opportunity to increase the visibility of relevant programmes and projects, thereby creating the conditions for a better sharing of experiences and lessons, as well as the transfer of knowledge in general. It also favours collaboration and networking by facilitating the identification of potential synergies.

Lastly, the PPS also allows country Parties and other reporting entities to provide a narrative description of the expected or achieved results. This information will facilitate the qualitative assessment of progress in the implementation of The Strategy, including on returns on investment. The CRIC will use the analysis of financial information originating from the PPS to assess results, performance and impacts.

To minimize the reporting burden and avoid discrepancies in the information annexed to the reports of different entities, it is recommended that project partners identify the most suitable ways to coordinate among themselves the preparation of PPS to ensure that consistent data are reported for the same projects. It would also be advisable to compile just one PPS for large "umbrella" programmes, instead of separate PPS for each small project stemming from them.

In the PPS template, shaded areas contain information and explanatory texts, while white areas are for reporting purposes and need to be filled in by country Parties and other reporting entities with relevant data or narrative information.

Programme/Project #3 — BISEP-ST, Bilateral program ~~~

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable

BISEP-ST, Bilateral program

Organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting organization

No answer provided

Other

Ministry of Forest and Soil Conservation, Nepal

Indicate the role of the reporting organization in the Programme /Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Implementing agency

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science & Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

• CSOs -101 and STI - 2

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the Country(ies), Subregion(s) and/or Region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

No answer provided

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Target Area size / administrative unit

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals). Also indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project

Area Size

No answer provided

Administrative Unit

District

Target Group

Enter the different stakeholders, such as individuals, groups, or organizations, positively affected through their involvement in the implementation of an initiative/project/programme

 Forest users especially distance users, disadvantaged/marginalized group, district level forestry sector stakeholders

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

159100

Identification Code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable) 59-3/4-352 National Planning Commission, Nepal

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Start date (dd/mm/yyyy)

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known (e.g. 15/01/2011)

January2002

Completion date (dd/mm/yyyy)

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known (e.g. 15/01/2011)

December 2010

Programme/Project co-financing

Source

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

• Nepal - Central Government Institutions

Other

Government of Netherland

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)
Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

410254844 Nepalese Rupee

UN Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

1

UNFCCC adaptation

1

UNFCCC mitigation

1

CBD

1

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 3
- 4

Programme/Project Objectives

Indicate the objectives pursued by the Programme/Project, as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in document (add Quick Reference Guide document title and reference code (please see footnotes above). The OECD list of purpose is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en/2649/34447 1914325 1 1 1,00.html.

No answer provided

Programme/Project Components

Programme/Project Components

Indicate the specific Programme/Project components, if known, as specified in the related documentation. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-18.

No answer provided

Currency, Amount

Indicate the currency denomination (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount allocated to each Programme/Project component (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to each Programme/Project component (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

No answer provided

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the Programme/Project components (refer to the <u>RACs guidance note</u> for more information, examples and instructions)

No answer provided

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project (max 100 words).

- District level forest sector made more self-sufficient through linking the DFCC with forest revenue sources
 - Decentralized forest management programmes for good forest governance, developed, tested and supported
 - •Stakeholder capacity to implement good forest governance strengthened
 - •Effective partnership arrangements for forest sector management in place
 - •Forest sector monitoring systems for livelihoods, good governance and sustainable resource management in place
 - ·Learning environment for policy development established, supported and institutionalized
 - ·Livelihoods programmes for identified poor, forest dependent households supported
 - Gender and equity concerns in the forest sector recognised and being addressed
 - •Forest based enterprises piloted and supported

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

• Relevant projects

- bisepst.pdf
- Community Development and Forest and Watershed conservation project.pdf
- o District Soil Conservation Program.pdf
- o Leasehold Forestry and Livestock program.pdf
- Program and project sheet LFP.pdf
- Program and project sheet NSCFP.pdf
- o Program and project sheet SGP.pdf
- Program and project sheet WWF.pdf
- o SSMP.pdf
- o watershed management project.pdf
- WTLCP.pdf

Programme/Project #4 — District Soil Conservation Program

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable

District Soil Conservation Program

Organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting organization

No answer provided

Other

No answer provided

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting organization in the Programme /Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Implementing agency

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science & Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

· Community based organization

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the Country(ies), Subregion(s) and/or Region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- Nepal
- Southern Asia
- Asia

Target Area size / administrative unit

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals). Also indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project

Area Size

No answer provided

Administrative Unit

56 Districts of Nepal

Target Group

Enter the different stakeholders, such as individuals, groups, or organizations, positively affected through their involvement in the implementation of an initiative/project/programme

• FARMERS, WOMEN, DISADVANTAGE GROUPS etc

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided

Identification Code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable) **59-3/4-810 GoN**

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Start date (dd/mm/yyyy)

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known (e.g. 15/01/2011)

No answer provided

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Completion date (dd/mm/yyyy)

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known (e.g. 15/01/2011)

No answer provided

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Programme/Project co-financing

Source

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

No answer provided

Other

Funded by JICA

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)
Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

220862000 Nepalese Rupee

UN Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

3

UNFCCC adaptation

1

UNFCCC mitigation

1

CBD

1

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 3
- 4

Programme/Project Objectives

Indicate the objectives pursued by the Programme/Project, as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in document (add Quick Reference Guide document title and reference code (please see footnotes above). The OECD list of purpose is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en/2649/34447 1914325 1 1 1 1,00.html.

- 31220 Forestry development
- 312 FORESTRY
- 311 AGRICULTURE
- 31130 Agricultural land resources
- 31140 Agricultural water resources
- 31281 Forestry education/training

Programme/Project Components

Programme/Project Components

Indicate the specific Programme/Project components, if known, as specified in the related documentation.

Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-18.

Soil and watershed conservation

Currency, Amount

Indicate the currency denomination (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount allocated to each Programme/Project component (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to each Programme/Project component (refer to the <u>Rio Markers guidance note</u> for more information, examples and instructions)

3

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the Programme/Project components (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

- 3.2.6 Land Conservation
- 3.2.7 Water Conservation
- 3.2.5 Pasture and Range Management
- 3.2.8 Sustainable Land Management
- 2 Capacity Development and Planning
- 3 Resource Management
- 1 Monitoring and Research
- 1.2 Knowledge, Science and Technology

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project (max 100 words).

Biodiversity improvement
 Upstream and downstream linkages for adaption of water disaster watershed management

Livelihood improvement Capacity development Technology adoption

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

• Annual reprots, publications etc of Government agencies, INGOs etc.

- o bisepst.pdf
- o Community Development and Forest and Watershed conservation project.pdf
- o District Soil Conservation Program.pdf
- o Leasehold Forestry and Livestock program.pdf
- o Program and project sheet LFP.pdf
- o Program and project sheet NSCFP.pdf
- o Program and project sheet SGP.pdf
- o Program and project sheet WWF.pdf
- o SSMP.pdf
- o watershed management project.pdf
- o WTLCP.pdf

Programme/Project #5 — Leasehold Forest and Livestock Program

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable

Leasehold Forest and Livestock Program

Organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting organization

Nepal - Central Government Institutions

Other

No answer provided

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting organization in the Programme /Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Implementing agency

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science & Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

Three NGOs

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the Country(ies), Subregion(s) and/or Region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- Nepal
- Southern Asia
- Asia

Target Area size / administrative unit

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals). Also indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project

Area Size

No answer provided

Administrative Unit

22 hill Districts

Target Group

Enter the different stakeholders, such as individuals, groups, or organizations, positively affected through their involvement in the implementation of an initiative/project/programme

• Below poverty level poorest of the poor people especially female headed household and labor dependent who do not have access to land and off farm income opportunities

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

480000HHs

Identification Code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

Appraisal main report no 1582 np

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Start date (dd/mm/yyyy)

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known (e.g. 15/01/2011)

: 01/01/2005

Completion date (dd/mm/yyyy)

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known (e.g. 15/01/2011) **30/12/2013**

Programme/Project co-financing

Source

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

No answer provided

Other

NA

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)
Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

12.043 Million US Dollar

UN Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

1

UNFCCC adaptation

1

UNFCCC mitigation

1

CBD

1

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 3
- 4

Programme/Project Objectives

Indicate the objectives pursued by the Programme/Project, as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in document (add Quick Reference Guide document title and reference code (please see footnotes above). The OECD list of purpose is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en/2649/34447 1914325 1 1 1,00.html.

- 31210 Forestry policy and administrative management
- 312 FORESTRY
- 31220 Forestry development
- 31281 Forestry education/training
- 31291 Forestry services

Programme/Project Components

Programme/Project Components

Indicate the specific Programme/Project components, if known, as specified in the related documentation.

Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-18.

Leasehold program

Livestock Program

Rural Finance

Program management and coordination

Currency, Amount

Indicate the currency denomination (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount allocated to each Programme/Project component (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

12773000 US Dollar

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to each Programme/Project component (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

1

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the Programme/Project components (refer to the <u>RACs guidance note</u> for more information, examples and instructions)

- 3 Resource Management
- 3.2 Natural Resource Management
- 3.2.2 Biodiversity Conservation
- 3.2.3 Forest/Scrub Management

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project (max 100 words).

- •44300 HH will Benefited
 - Leaseholds plots are managed so as to meet household subsistence and income needs
 - ·Livestock have contribute to meeting household food and income need

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

No answer provided

Attachments:

o bisepst.pdf

- o Community Development and Forest and Watershed conservation project.pdf
- o District Soil Conservation Program.pdf
- $\circ\,$ Leasehold Forestry and Livestock program.pdf
- o Program and project sheet LFP.pdf
- o Program and project sheet NSCFP.pdf
- $\circ\,$ Program and project sheet SGP.pdf
- o Program and project sheet WWF.pdf
- o SSMP.pdf
- o watershed management project.pdf
- o WTLCP.pdf

Programme/Project #6 — Livelihoods and Forestry Programme

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable

Livelihoods and Forestry Programme

Organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting organization

Nepal - Central Government Institutions

Other

• Department for International Development (DFID) UK

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting organization in the Programme /Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Implementing agency

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science & Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

• Targeted to poor, excluded and vulnerable rural people. Stakeholders include local government, central government, forest users, civil society, private sectors

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the Country(ies), Subregion(s) and/or Region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- Asia
- Southern Asia
- Nepal

Target Area size / administrative unit

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals). Also indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project

Area Size

26874 sq.km Hectares

Administrative Unit

15 districts

Target Group

Enter the different stakeholders, such as individuals, groups, or organizations, positively affected through their involvement in the implementation of an initiative/project/programme

• Targeted to poor, excluded and vulnerable rural people. Stakeholders include local government, central government, forest users, civil society, private sectors

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

4.2 million people

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Identification Code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

No answer provided

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Start date (dd/mm/yyyy)

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known (e.g. 15/01/2011)

16/04/2001

Completion date (dd/mm/yyyy)

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known (e.g. 15/01/2011) 15/04/2011

Programme/Project co-financing

Source

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

No answer provided

Other

UK DFID

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)
Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

18.67 million euro

UN Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

1

UNFCCC adaptation

1

UNFCCC mitigation

1

CBD

1

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 3
- 4

Programme/Project Objectives

Indicate the objectives pursued by the Programme/Project, as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in document (add Quick Reference Guide document title and reference code (please see footnotes above). The OECD list of purpose is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en/2649/34447 1914325 1 1 1,00.html.

No answer provided

Programme/Project Components

Programme/Project Components

Indicate the specific Programme/Project components, if known, as specified in the related documentation. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no.

No answer provided

Currency, Amount

CONS-0-18.

Indicate the currency denomination (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount allocated to each Programme/Project component (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to each Programme/Project component (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

No answer provided

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the Programme/Project components (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

No answer provided

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project (max 100 words).

- a) Forest managers enabled to responsively manage and utilize forest resources to sustainably maximize the multiple benefits
 - b) Poor and excluded groups enabled to participate in and benefit from the forestry sector
 - c) Capacity within and coordination amongst institutions strengthened for forestry sector development and enhanced livelihoods
 - d) Innovative, inclusive and conflict sensitive approaches shared to inform forest sector planning and policies
 - e) National Level forest sector capacity and response to field reality strengthened

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

No answer provided

- bisepst.pdf
- Community Development and Forest and Watershed conservation project.pdf
- o District Soil Conservation Program.pdf

- o Leasehold Forestry and Livestock program.pdf
- o Program and project sheet LFP.pdf
- $\circ\,$ Program and project sheet NSCFP.pdf
- $\circ\,$ Program and project sheet SGP.pdf
- $\circ\,$ Program and project sheet WWF.pdf
- $\circ \ \, \mathsf{SSMP.pdf}$
- o watershed management project.pdf
- $\circ \ \ \mathsf{WTLCP.pdf}$

Programme/Project #7 — Community Development and Forest/Watershed conservation Project

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable

Community Development and Forest/Watershed conservation Project

Organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting organization

Nepal - Central Government Institutions

Other

JICA

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting organization in the Programme /Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Implementing agency

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science & Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

Community based organization

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the Country(ies), Subregion(s) and/or Region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

No answer provided

Target Area size / administrative unit

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals). Also indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project

Area Size

No answer provided

Administrative Unit

Six Districts

Target Group

Enter the different stakeholders, such as individuals, groups, or organizations, positively affected through their involvement in the implementation of an initiative/project/programme

· Poor marginalized and disadvantages group of hill

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

200,000 HH

Identification Code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Start date (dd/mm/yyyy)

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known (e.g. 15/01/2011)

Yearly renewed

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Completion date (dd/mm/yyyy)

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known (e.g. 15/01/2011)

No answer provided

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Programme/Project co-financing

Source

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

No answer provided

Other

JICA

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)
Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

394460000 Nepalese Rupee

UN Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

3

UNFCCC adaptation

1

UNFCCC mitigation

1

CBD

1

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 3

Programme/Project Objectives

Indicate the objectives pursued by the Programme/Project, as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in document (add Quick Reference Guide document title and reference code (please see footnotes above). The OECD list of purpose is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en/2649/34447 1914325 1 1 1 1,00.html.

- 312 FORESTRY
- 311 AGRICULTURE
- 31220 Forestry development
- 31281 Forestry education/training
- 31130 Agricultural land resources
- 31140 Agricultural water resources

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Programme/Project Components

Programme/Project Components

Indicate the specific Programme/Project components, if known, as specified in the related documentation. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-18.

No answer provided

Currency, Amount

Indicate the currency denomination (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount allocated to each Programme/Project component (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to each Programme/Project component (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

No answer provided

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the Programme/Project components (refer to the <u>RACs quidance note</u> for more information, examples and instructions)

No answer provided

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project (max 100 words).

- • Biodiversity improvement
 - Upstream and downstream linkages for adaption of water disaster

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

Publication and concern organization

- bisepst.pdf
- Community Development and Forest and Watershed conservation project.pdf
- o District Soil Conservation Program.pdf
- o Leasehold Forestry and Livestock program.pdf
- o Program and project sheet LFP.pdf

- o Program and project sheet NSCFP.pdf
- o Program and project sheet SGP.pdf
- o Program and project sheet WWF.pdf
- o SSMP.pdf
- o watershed management project.pdf
- o WTLCP.pdf

Programme/Project #8 — Nepal Swiss Community Forestry Project

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable

Nepal Swiss Community Forestry Project

Organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting organization

No answer provided

Other

. : MoFSC and Swiss Intercooperation and SDC

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting organization in the Programme /Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Implementing agency

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science & Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

FECOFUN and various local NGOs

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the Country(ies), Subregion(s) and/or Region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

No answer provided

Target Area size / administrative unit

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals). Also indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project

Area Size

No answer provided

Administrative Unit

Four Districts

Target Group

Enter the different stakeholders, such as individuals, groups, or organizations, positively affected through their involvement in the implementation of an initiative/project/programme

• 1024 Community Forest Users Groups

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

population of Dolakha, Ramechhap and Okhaldhunga districts

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Identification Code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

No answer provided

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Start date (dd/mm/yyyy)

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known (e.g. 15/01/2011)

16/07/2008

Completion date (dd/mm/yyyy)

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known (e.g. 15/01/2011) 15/07/2011

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Programme/Project co-financing

Source

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

No answer provided

Other

No answer provided

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)
Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided

UN Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

1

UNFCCC adaptation

1

UNFCCC mitigation

1

CBD

1

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 3
- 4

Programme/Project Objectives

Indicate the objectives pursued by the Programme/Project, as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in document (add Quick Reference Guide document title and reference code (please see footnotes above). The OECD list of purpose is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en 2649 34447 1914325 1 1 1 1,00.html.

- 312 FORESTRY
- 31220 Forestry development
- 31281 Forestry education/training
- 31291 Forestry services
- 31282 Forestry research

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Programme/Project Components

Programme/Project Components

Indicate the specific Programme/Project components, if known, as specified in the related documentation.

Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-18.

No answer provided

Currency, Amount

Indicate the currency denomination (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount allocated to each Programme/Project component (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to each Programme/Project component (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

No answer provided

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the Programme/Project components (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

No answer provided

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project (max 100 words).

No answer provided

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

• Publication and concern organization

- bisepst.pdf
- Community Development and Forest and Watershed conservation project.pdf
- District Soil Conservation Program.pdf
- o Leasehold Forestry and Livestock program.pdf
- o Program and project sheet LFP.pdf
- o Program and project sheet NSCFP.pdf
- o Program and project sheet SGP.pdf

- $\circ\,$ Program and project sheet WWF.pdf
- o SSMP.pdf
- o watershed management project.pdf
- o WTLCP.pdf

Programme/Project #9 — Renaissance of Khoriya Farming

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable

Renaissance of Khoriya Farming

Organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting organization

No answer provided

Other

MDI-Nepal

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting organization in the Programme /Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Implementing agency

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science & Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

No answer provided

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the Country(ies), Subregion(s) and/or Region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- Asia
- Southern Asia
- Nepal

Target Area size / administrative unit

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals). Also indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project

Area Size

487.39 km2 Hectares

Administrative Unit

4 VDCs

Target Group

Enter the different stakeholders, such as individuals, groups, or organizations, positively affected through their involvement in the implementation of an initiative/project/programme

Indigenous ethnic communities (Chepang & Tamang)

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

10919 (1897 HHs in Makawanpur & 2274 HHs in Udaypur (14554 beneficiary)-replication in Udaypur with WFP's assistance

Identification Code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

NEP/SGP/OP4/CORE/09/02

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Start date (dd/mm/yyyy)

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known (e.g. 15/01/2011)

19/03/2009

Completion date (dd/mm/yyyy)

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known (e.g. 15/01/2011) **28/02/2011**

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Programme/Project co-financing

Source

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

No answer provided

Other

UNDP GEF Small Grants Programme & UN World Food Programme UNDP GEF Samil Grants Programme US\$ 30,000 & World Food Programme 200 MT. Rice

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)
Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

30000 US Dollar

UN Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

1

UNFCCC adaptation

1

UNFCCC mitigation

1

CBD

1

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 3
- 4

Programme/Project Objectives

Indicate the objectives pursued by the Programme/Project, as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in document (add Quick Reference Guide document title and reference code (please see footnotes above). The OECD list of purpose is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en/2649/34447 1914325 1 1 1 1,00.html.

No answer provided

Programme/Project Components

Programme/Project Components

Indicate the specific Programme/Project components, if known, as specified in the related documentation.

Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-18.

No answer provided

Currency, Amount

Indicate the currency denomination (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount allocated to each Programme/Project component (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to each Programme/Project component (refer to the <u>Rio Markers guidance note</u> for more information, examples and instructions)

No answer provided

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the Programme/Project components (refer to the <u>RACs guidance note</u> for more information, examples and instructions)

No answer provided

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project (max 100 words).

- Reduction in GHGs (CO2, CH4, N2O) that are contributed through, forest fires, kerosene oil lamps, firewood and biomass burning.
 - Control in soil erosion that are caused through run-off water and leaching
 - Improvements on land productivity (with agroforestry) that supports livelihood of the shifting cultivators.
 - Improved biodiversity conservation.
 - Awareness creation to various stakeholders at the local level.

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

UNDP/GEF Nepal

- o bisepst.pdf
- Community Development and Forest and Watershed conservation project.pdf
- District Soil Conservation Program.pdf

- o Leasehold Forestry and Livestock program.pdf
- o Program and project sheet LFP.pdf
- $\circ\,$ Program and project sheet NSCFP.pdf
- $\circ\,$ Program and project sheet SGP.pdf
- $\circ\,$ Program and project sheet WWF.pdf
- $\circ \ \, \mathsf{SSMP.pdf}$
- o watershed management project.pdf
- $\circ \ \ \mathsf{WTLCP.pdf}$

Programme/Project #10 — • Terai Arc Landscape Program (TAL) • Sacred Himalayan Landscape Program (SHL)

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable

- Terai Arc Landscape Program (TAL)
- Sacred Himalayan Landscape Program (SHL)

Organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting organization

Nepal - Central Government Institutions

Other

World wildlife fund

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting organization in the Programme /Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Implementing agency

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science & Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- • BCF- Biodiversity Conservation Forum
 - Kangchenjunga Conservation Area Management Council
 - Sagarmatha National Park buffer zone Management Council
 - Langtang National Park and Buffer zone Management Council
 - Shey Phoksundo National Park and Buffer Zone Management Council
 - Chitwan national Par

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the Country(ies), Subregion(s) and/or Region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- Asia
- Southern Asia
- Nepal

Target Area size / administrative unit

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals). Also indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project

Area Size

65775sq km Hectares

Administrative Unit

NA

Target Group

Enter the different stakeholders, such as individuals, groups, or organizations, positively affected through their involvement in the implementation of an initiative/project/programme

People living in the buffer zones and outside the national parks in TAL and SHL

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Identification Code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

No answer provided

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Start date (dd/mm/yyyy)

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known (e.g. 15/01/2011)

01/01/1993

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Completion date (dd/mm/yyyy)

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known (e.g. 15/01/2011)

No answer provided

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Programme/Project co-financing

Source

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

No answer provided

Other

Bilateral funding from Government Aid Agencies and WWF Network

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

5 million US Dollar

UN Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

1

UNFCCC adaptation

1

UNFCCC mitigation

1

CBD

1

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 3
- 4

Programme/Project Objectives

Indicate the objectives pursued by the Programme/Project, as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in document (add Quick Reference Guide document title and reference code (please see footnotes above). The OECD list of purpose is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0.3343.en 2649 34447 1914325 1 1 1 1.00.html.

No answer provided

Programme/Project Components

Programme/Project Components

Indicate the specific Programme/Project components, if known, as specified in the related documentation. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no.

CONS-0-18.

No answer provided

Currency, Amount

Indicate the currency denomination (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount allocated to each Programme/Project component (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to each Programme/Project component (refer to the <u>Rio Markers guidance note</u> for more information, examples and instructions)

No answer provided

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the Programme/Project components (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

No answer provided

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project (max 100 words).

- Conserving biological diversity and the natural processes that sustain the Global 200 Eco-regions within Nepal
 - Establish social and economic development patterns that assure the sustainability and equitable provision of natural goods and services, improving livelihoods and quality of life for current and future generations.
 - Eliminate or mitigate critical threats to species, habitats and ecological processes that derive from climate change, over exploitation of resources, unsustainable consumption and pollution.

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

• Publication and concern organization

- o bisepst.pdf
- o Community Development and Forest and Watershed conservation project.pdf
- o District Soil Conservation Program.pdf
- o Leasehold Forestry and Livestock program.pdf
- o Program and project sheet LFP.pdf
- o Program and project sheet NSCFP.pdf
- o Program and project sheet SGP.pdf
- o Program and project sheet WWF.pdf
- o SSMP.pdf
- o watershed management project.pdf
- $\circ \ \ \mathsf{WTLCP.pdf}$

Programme/Project #11 — Sustainable Soil Management Program

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable

Sustainable Soil Management Program

Organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting organization

- Nepal Central Government Institutions
- Swiss Foundation for Technical Cooperation

Other

NA

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting organization in the Programme /Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Implementing agency

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science & Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

• : CSOs- 55 and STI- 3

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the Country(ies), Subregion(s) and/or Region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

No answer provided

Target Area size / administrative unit

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals). Also indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project

Area Size

No answer provided

Administrative Unit

12 Districts and 189 VDCs

Target Group

Enter the different stakeholders, such as individuals, groups, or organizations, positively affected through their involvement in the implementation of an initiative/project/programme

• Disadvantage Group, local farmer's,

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided

Identification Code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

No answer provided

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Start date (dd/mm/yyyy)

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known (e.g. 15/01/2011)

01/01/2003

Completion date (dd/mm/yyyy)

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known (e.g. 15/01/2011) **30/10/2010**

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Programme/Project co-financing

Source

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

No answer provided

Other

No answer provided

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)
Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided

UN Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

1

UNFCCC adaptation

1

UNFCCC mitigation

1

CBD

1

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 3
- 4

Programme/Project Objectives

Indicate the objectives pursued by the Programme/Project, as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in document (add Quick Reference Guide document title and reference code (please see footnotes above). The OECD list of purpose is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en/2649/34447 1914325 1 1 1 1,00.html.

No answer provided

Programme/Project Components

Programme/Project Components

Indicate the specific Programme/Project components, if known, as specified in the related documentation.

Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-18.

No answer provided

Currency, Amount

Indicate the currency denomination (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount allocated to each Programme/Project component (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to each Programme/Project component (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

No answer provided

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the Programme/Project components (refer to the <u>RACs guidance note</u> for more information, examples and instructions)

No answer provided

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project (max 100 words).

- More work load but better shearing in areas of gender equity promotion
 - Increase income of farmer
 - Reduced use of external inputs
 - · Soil easier to use
 - Higher food production
 - Less pressure on forest

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

No answer provided

- bisepst.pdf
- Community Development and Forest and Watershed conservation project.pdf
- District Soil Conservation Program.pdf
- Leasehold Forestry and Livestock program.pdf
- o Program and project sheet LFP.pdf
- o Program and project sheet NSCFP.pdf
- Program and project sheet SGP.pdf
- Program and project sheet WWF.pdf

- o SSMP.pdf
- $\circ \ \ \text{watershed management project.pdf}$
- o WTLCP.pdf

Programme/Project #12 — Watershed Management project

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable

Watershed Management project

Organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting organization

Nepal - Central Government Institutions

Other

NA

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting organization in the Programme /Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Implementing agency

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science & Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

· Community based organization

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the Country(ies), Subregion(s) and/or Region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- Nepal
- Southern Asia
- Asia

Target Area size / administrative unit

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals). Also indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project

Area Size

No answer provided

Administrative Unit

60 Districts

Target Group

Enter the different stakeholders, such as individuals, groups, or organizations, positively affected through their involvement in the implementation of an initiative/project/programme

• 1. Poor marginalized and disadvantages group of hill

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided

Identification Code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

: 59-3/4-200 GoN

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Start date (dd/mm/yyyy)

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known (e.g. 15/01/2011)

15/07/2009

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Completion date (dd/mm/yyyy)

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known (e.g. 15/01/2011)

No answer provided

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Programme/Project co-financing

Source

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

No answer provided

Other

No answer provided

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)
Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

2475000.00 Nepalese Rupee

UN Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

3

UNFCCC adaptation

1

UNFCCC mitigation

1

CBD

1

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 3
- 4

Programme/Project Objectives

Indicate the objectives pursued by the Programme/Project, as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in document (add Quick Reference Guide document title and reference code (please see footnotes above). The OECD list of purpose is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en/2649/34447 1914325 1 1 1,00.html.

No answer provided

Programme/Project Components

Programme/Project Components

Indicate the specific Programme/Project components, if known, as specified in the related documentation. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no.

No answer provided

Currency, Amount

CONS-0-18.

Indicate the currency denomination (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount allocated to each Programme/Project component (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to each Programme/Project component (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

No answer provided

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the Programme/Project components (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

No answer provided

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project (max 100 words).

- · o Poverty alleviation
 - o People participation in watershed management
 - o increase productivity and soil fertility of degraded land

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

No answer provided

Attachments:

- bisepst.pdf
- o Community Development and Forest and Watershed conservation project.pdf
- o District Soil Conservation Program.pdf
- Leasehold Forestry and Livestock program.pdf
- o Program and project sheet LFP.pdf
- Program and project sheet NSCFP.pdf
- Program and project sheet SGP.pdf
- o Program and project sheet WWF.pdf

- o SSMP.pdf
- $\circ \ \ \text{watershed management project.pdf}$
- o WTLCP.pdf

Programme/Project #13 — Watershed Management project

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable

Watershed Management project

Organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting organization

No answer provided

Other

MoFSC

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting organization in the Programme /Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Implementing agency

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science & Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

• Community based organization

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the Country(ies), Subregion(s) and/or Region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

No answer provided

Target Area size / administrative unit

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals). Also indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project

Area Size

No answer provided

Administrative Unit

60 Districts

Target Group

Enter the different stakeholders, such as individuals, groups, or organizations, positively affected through their involvement in the implementation of an initiative/project/programme

• 1. Poor marginalized and disadvantages group of hill

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided

Identification Code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Start date (dd/mm/yyyy)

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known (e.g. 15/01/2011)

15/07/2009

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Completion date (dd/mm/yyyy)

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known (e.g. 15/01/2011)

No answer provided

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Programme/Project co-financing

Source

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

No answer provided

Other

No answer provided

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)
Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

2475000.00 Nepalese Rupee

UN Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

3

UNFCCC adaptation

1

UNFCCC mitigation

1

CBD

1

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 3

Programme/Project Objectives

Indicate the objectives pursued by the Programme/Project, as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in document (add Quick Reference Guide document title and reference code (please see footnotes above). The OECD list of purpose is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en/2649/34447 1914325 1 1 1 1,00.html.

No answer provided

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Programme/Project Components

Programme/Project Components

Indicate the specific Programme/Project components, if known, as specified in the related documentation. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-18.

No answer provided

Currency, Amount

Indicate the currency denomination (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount allocated to each Programme/Project component (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to each Programme/Project component (refer to the <u>Rio Markers guidance note</u> for more information, examples and instructions)

No answer provided

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the Programme/Project components (refer to the <u>RACs guidance note</u> for more information, examples and instructions)

No answer provided

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project (max 100 words).

- o Poverty alleviation
 - o People participation in watershed management
 - o increase productivity and soil fertility of degraded land

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

No answer provided

Attachments:

- bisepst.pdf
- o Community Development and Forest and Watershed conservation project.pdf
- District Soil Conservation Program.pdf
- Leasehold Forestry and Livestock program.pdf
- Program and project sheet LFP.pdf
- Program and project sheet NSCFP.pdf
- o Program and project sheet SGP.pdf
- Program and project sheet WWF.pdf
- o SSMP.pdf

- watershed management project.pdf
- o WTLCP.pdf

Programme/Project #14 — : Western Terai Landscape Complex project

Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable

: Western Terai Landscape Complex project

Organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting organization

- Global Environment Facility
- United Nations Development Programme
- World Wide Fund For Nature
- Nepal Central Government Institutions
- Netherlands Organization for International Development Cooperation

Other

NA

Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting organization in the Programme /Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

Implementing agency

Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science & Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

Forest dependent community, NGOs and CBOs, District Forest office, National parks, Buffer Zone,
 District line agencies, Department of Forest

Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the Country(ies), Subregion(s) and/or Region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

No answer provided

Target Area size / administrative unit

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals). Also indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project

Area Size

3466Sq KM Hectares

Administrative Unit

52 VDCs of three western Terai Districts

Target Group

Enter the different stakeholders, such as individuals, groups, or organizations, positively affected through their involvement in the implementation of an initiative/project/programme

Forest dependent community, NGOs and CBOs, District Forest office, National parks, Buffer Zone,
 District line agencies, Department of Forest

Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known (numeric field. Do not use

abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

: 500,000 people

Identification Code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

: NEP/04/009

Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

Start date (dd/mm/yyyy)

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known (e.g. 15/01/2011)

01/08/2005

Completion date (dd/mm/yyyy)

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known (e.g. 15/01/2011) 31/07/2012

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Programme/Project co-financing

Source

Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations

No answer provided

Other

UNDP/GEF/SNV/WWF

Currency, Amount

For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)
Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

13.1 Million US Dollar

UN Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

1

UNFCCC adaptation

1

UNFCCC mitigation

1

CBD

1

Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2

Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 3
- 4

Programme/Project Objectives

Indicate the objectives pursued by the Programme/Project, as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in document (add Quick Reference Guide document title and reference code (please see footnotes above). The OECD list of purpose is also available at the following link: http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en/2649/34447 1914325 1 1 1 1,00.html.

No answer provided

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Programme/Project Components

Programme/Project Components

Indicate the specific Programme/Project components, if known, as specified in the related documentation.

Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-18.

No answer provided

Currency, Amount

Indicate the currency denomination (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount allocated to each Programme/Project component (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

No answer provided

Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to each Programme/Project component (refer to the <u>Rio Markers guidance note</u> for more information, examples and instructions)

No answer provided

Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the Programme/Project components (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

No answer provided

Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project (max 100 words).

- • Role regulation policy formulation for Landscape Conservation
 - Field based Institution developed and strengthening for Landscape conservation
 - Biodiversity conservation
 - Identification and managed corridor and connectivity for wildlife movement and habitat improvement
 - Forest dependent 3500 HH income increase with 10%
 - Increased 10% Tourist flow in project area
 - Churia conservation
 - · Based on baseline data additional 80% HHs will affiliated with community forestry

Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

• Publication and concern organization

Attachments:

- o bisepst.pdf
- o Community Development and Forest and Watershed conservation project.pdf
- o District Soil Conservation Program.pdf
- o Leasehold Forestry and Livestock program.pdf
- o Program and project sheet LFP.pdf
- o Program and project sheet NSCFP.pdf
- o Program and project sheet SGP.pdf
- o Program and project sheet WWF.pdf
- o SSMP.pdf
- watershed management project.pdf
- o WTLCP.pdf

Additional Information

F. Additional information

The section on additional information is meant to provide an instrument of flexibility in the reporting exercise as well as to enrich the knowledge base of the CRIC on concrete issues faced by affected country Parties and consequently to make more targeted and specific recommendations to the COP. It allows affected country Parties to comment or report upon issues that are not covered elsewhere but that are nevertheless of importance at the national level or within the framework of the implementation of The Strategy and the Convention.

The additional information section allows feedback to be received on the reporting process and on the implementation of NAPs as well as lessons learnt, problems, constraints and bottlenecks faced in terms of human and financial resources. It is also meant to accommodate ad hoc COP requests for reporting on specific topics or new reporting requirements deriving from COP deliberations that may supersede existing ones and imply changes in implementation.

The proposed template for reporting is adjusted to the mandate of affected country Parties within the framework of the Convention, as requested by decision 13/COP.9, paragraph 17.

Reporting process-related issues

Financial resources

Could your country count on sufficient financial resources to meet UNCCD reporting obligations?

No

Provide an estimate of the amount invested from your country's national budget into the UNCCD reporting process.

4000 US Dollar

Human resources

How many people were involved in your country in the UNCCD reporting process?

Number of people

5

Estimate the total number of person/day dedicated by these persons to the UNCCD reporting process:

Number of person/day

100

Knowledge

Could your country count on sufficient technical and scientific knowledge to meet UNCCD reporting obligations?

Yes

Coordination

Was coordination with the relevant implementing agencies satisfactory in order to apply for necessary funds?

No

Was coordination at the national level with the relevant line ministries satisfactory in order to comprehensively and coherently report?

Yes

Participation and consultation

Was a participatory or consultative approach applied to involve all relevant stakeholders in the reporting process?

Validation meeting

Was a validation meeting held as a tool to integrate stakeholders in the reporting process?

Yes

Subregional and regional processes

Did your country actively contribute to the subregional and regional reporting processes?

Yes

PRAIS portal

If you are reporting online, did you receive sufficient training on access and utilization of the PRAIS portal?

Yes

Accommodation of specific requests within COP decisions

Report on specific COP requests - iterative process on indicators

Decision 13/COP.9, paragraphs 2, 3 and 24, envisages an iterative process to refine the set of performance indicators provisionally adopted by the same decision. As a tool to implement this iterative process, affected country Parties can provide here their suggestions and recommendations for improvement.

Tick the cells only when you have experienced difficulties in reporting on one, or more, indicator(s). Indicate against which of the e-SMART criteria the indicator(s) needs to be improved.

	economic	Specific	Measurable	Achievable	Relevant	Time-bound
CONS-O-1		Х	Х	Х		Х
CONS-O-3		X				
CONS-O-4		X				X
CONS-O-5						
CONS-O-7						
CONS-O-8		X	X			X
CONS-O-9						
CONS-O-10						
CONS-O-11		X	X			
CONS-O-13		X			X	X
CONS-O-14	X	X	X	X		X
CONS-O-16			X	X		X
CONS-O-17		X	X	X		X
CONS-O-18			X			X

Reporting on the implementation of NAP

Which is the percentage of activities included in the NAP that are currently implemented? 31-60%

Human resources

Lessons learnt (report on the 2 most important only)

The reporting process has helped to create awareness of relevant stakeholders on UNCCD and reporting process.

2

1

2

1

There is a need of orientation for reporting (responsible) personnel of the concerned stakeholders about DLDD and PRAIS-portal

Problems, constraints and bottlenecks currently faced by your country (report on the 2 most important only)

DLDD encompasses various stakeholder's activities and reports/data are scattered and therefore face difficulty in compilation and validation of data.

Obviously, DLDD issues are multi-dimensional, which involve various stakeholders. However, there is no formal communication mechanism /responsible personnel exists in the concerned organization to report to the focal point.

Financial resources

Lessons learnt (report on the 2 most important only)

Need advocacy to the policy makers/planners and relevant stakeholders for resources allocation.

2

1

No answer provided

Problems, constraints and bottlenecks currently faced by your country (report on the 2 most important only)

Available financial resources was insufficient to hire the desired number of skilled manpower which impair in the speedy process of data collection and compilation

2

No answer provided

Any other country-specific issues

Has your country any specific issue to bring to the attention of the Conference of the Parties?

Yes

If yes, please specify under which of the following broad categories it can be classified.

Category

Funding/resource mobilization

Other (specify) (max 30 words)

Need to harmonies and develop synergy among the three Rio conventions as well as the INGOs/ NGOs/ Civil Society etc. to effectively address the problem related to environmental degradation specifically DLDD issues

Narrative description

No answer provided

Best Practices

G. Best practices

According to decision 13/COP. 9, Annex V, UNCCD best practices shall be collected according to seven themes: 1. SLM technologies, including adaptation; 2. Capacity building and awareness raising; 3. DLDD and SLM monitoring and assessment/research; 4. Knowledge management and decision support; 5. Policy, legislative, institutional framework; 6. Funding/resource mobilization; 7. Participation, collaboration and networking.

While themes 2 to 7 represent different elements of the enabling environment needed for the implementation and dissemination/up-scaling of sustainable land management (SLM) technologies (indirect impact), theme 1 comprises all actions on the ground that have a direct impact on desertification, land degradation and drought mitigation.

In particular, as specified in document ICCD/CRIC(8)/5/Add.5, paragraph 12, theme 1 'SLM technologies, including adaptation' refers to SLM technologies that directly contribute to the prevention, mitigation and rehabilitation of desertification and land degradation on cropland, grazing land and woodland, with the aim of improving the livelihoods of affected populations and conserving ecosystem services. Successful implementation of SLM technologies is the base for achieving strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3 of The Strategy. Theme 1 also integrates five of the strategic areas defined by decision 8/COP.4, namely: (a) sustainable land use management, including water, soil and vegetation in affected areas; (b) sustainable use and management of rangelands; (c) development of sustainable agricultural and ranching production systems; (d) development of new and renewable energy sources; and (e) launching of reforestation/afforestation programmes/ intensification of soil conservation programmes.

ICCD/CRIC(8)/5/Add.5 provides definitions for 'practice', 'good practice' and 'best practice'. These definitions are included in the common glossary that shall be referred to by Parties and other reporting entities while reporting to UNCCD, according to decision 13/COP.9, paragraph 8.

The template for reporting is based on the general structure for the documentation of best practices contained in ICCD/CRIC(8) /5/Add.5, paragraphs 40 to 43; it is tailored to the documentation of best practices related to theme 1 'SLM technologies, including adaptation'.

Best Practice #3 — Leasehold Forestry

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Property rights

Clarify if the technology described in the template, or a part of it, is covered by property rights:

No answer provided

If yes, please provide relevant information on the holder of the rights. (max 100 words)

No answer provided

Section 1. Context of the best practice: frame conditions (natural and human environment)

Title of the best practice
Leasehold Forestry

Location (if available, also include a map)
14050 hectors in 22 district

Attachments:

- o Best Practice leasehold forestry.pdf
- o Best Practice reportin sheet NSCFP.pdf
- o Best Practice reportin sheet SGP.pdf

If the location has well defined boundaries, specify its extension in hectares

Hectares (ha)

14050 hectors in 22 district

Estimated population living in the location

Number of people

No answer provided

Prevailing land use within the specified location

- Grazing land
- Woodland
- Unproductive land

Other (specify) (max 30 words)

No answer provided

Brief description of the natural environment within the specified location

Climate: (max 50 words)

o Climate: Middle Hill

Soil: (max 50 words)

Various

Topography: (max 50 words)

hill

Prevailing socio-economic conditions of those living in the location and/or nearby

Income level: (max 50 words)

No answer provided

Main income sources: (max 50 words)

No answer provided

Land tenure and land use rights: (max 50 words)

No answer provided

Short description of the best practice

max 250 words

- 1. Poverty elevation
- 2. Participation of Ultra- poor people in forest development
- 3. Control deforestation and forest degradation
- 4. Enhance soil fertility and productivity of degraded forest land

On the basis of which criteria and/or indicator(s) (not related to The Strategy) the proposed practice and corresponding technology has been considered as 'best'?

max 100 words

- 1. Use of unused degraded forest land and increased productivity
- 2. Improve livelihood ultra-poor peoples through NTFP and agro forestry management
- 3. Reduce pressure on forest through leasehold forestry management

With respect to DLDD, the best practice directly contributes to:

- Mitigation
- Adaptation

Main problems addressed by the best practice

(max 50 words)

- 1. Use of degraded forest land and increased productivity
 - 2. Improve livelihood ultra-poor peoples
 - 3. Reduce pressure on forest through leasehold forestry management

Outline specific land degradation problems addressed by the best practice

max 100 words

- 1. Poverty elevation
- 2. Participation of Ultra- poor people in forest development
- 3. Control deforestation and forest degradation
- 4. Enhance soil fertility and productivity of degraded forest land

Specify the objectives of the best practice

(max 50 words)

- 1. Use of unused degraded forest land and increased productivity
 - 2. Improve livelihood ultra-poor peoples through NTFP and agro forestry management
 - 3. Reduce pressure on forest through leasehold forestry management

Section 3. Activities

Brief description of main activities, by objective

Objective 1

(max 50 words)

- 1. Agro forestry and NTFP management practice
 - 2. Tree plantation
 - 3. Land development
 - 4. Institutional and capacity development

Objective 2

(max 50 words)

No answer provided

Objective 3

(max 50 words)

No answer provided

Objective 4

(max 50 words)

No answer provided

Short description of the technology

max 250 words

No answer provided

Section 4. Institutions/actors involved (collaboration, participation, role of stakeholders)

Name and address of the institution developing the technology

Name

- National Government initiative
- Program /projective based initiative

Address

MOFSC

Was the technology developed in partnership?

No answer provided

If yes, list the partners:

No answer provided

Specify the framework within which the technology was promoted

- National initiative government-led
- Programme/project-based initiative

Other (specify) (max 30 words)

No answer provided

Was the participation of local stakeholders, including CSOs, fostered in the development of the technology Yes

If yes, list local stakeholders involved:

Name of stakeholder Role

District based NGOs Social mobilization, Financial savings investment and mobilization and activity implementation

GOV Policy, technical and financial support

District line agencies Monitoring, planning

Network Institutional Advocacy

For the stakeholders listed above, specify their role in the design, introduction, use and maintenance of the technology, if any.

max 250 words

- Consultation
- Participatory approach

Was the population living in the location and/or nearby involved in the development of the technology? Yes

If yes, by means of what?

- Consultation
- Participatory approaches

Other (specify) (max 30 words)

No answer provided

Section 5. Contribution to impact

Specify to which strategic objectives of The Strategy the technology contributes

(more than one box can be ticked)

- 1. To improve the living conditions of affected population
- 2. To improve the conditions of affected ecosystems

Describe on-site impacts (the major two impacts by category)

Production or productivity:

- 1. (max 50 words)
 - 1 Increased Land Productivity
 - 2. Improved livelihood of the poor
 - 3. Rehabilitated very degraded land
- 2. (max 50 words)

No answer provided

Socio-economic level (including cultural level):

- 1. (max 50 words)
 - 1. Improved livelihood of the poor
 - 2. Cohesiveness in community increased.
- 2. (max 50 words)

No answer provided

Environmental level:

- 1. (max 50 words)
 - 1. Degraded lands are rehabilitated.
- 2. (max 50 words)

No answer provided

Other (specify)

1. (max 50 words)

No answer provided

2. (max 50 words)

No answer provided

Describe the major two off-site (i.e. not occurring in the location but in the surrounding areas) impacts

1. (max 50 words)

No answer provided

2. (max 50 words)

No answer provided

Impact on biodiversity and climate change

In your opinion does the best practice/technology you have proposed positively impact on biodiversity conservation? **Yes**

Explain the reasons:

max 250 words

Explain the reasons: As the project promotes forest and agriculture crops, it automatically addresses CC impacts especially mitigation and also adaptation

In your opinion does the best practice/technology you have proposed positively impact on climate change mitigation?

No answer provided

Explain the reasons:

max 250 words

No answer provided

In your opinion does the best practice/technology you have proposed positively impact on climate change adaptation?

Yes

Explain the reasons:

max 250 words

The most vulnerable people from CC is poor and ultra poor, due to the program, these groups are getting economical and ecological benefits that is directly linked to adaptation.

Has a cost-benefit analysis been carried out?

No answer provided

If yes, summarize its main conclusions:

max 250 words

No answer provided

Section 6. Connection to other UNCCD themes

Specify if the technology relates to one or more of the other UNCCD themes

- · Capacity-building and awareness-raising
- DLDD and SLM monitoring and assessment/research
- · Knowledge management and decision support
- Policy; legislative; institutional framework
- · Participation, collaboration and networking

Section 7. Adoption and replicability

Was the technology disseminated/introduced to other locations?

Yes

If yes, where? (add as many rows as necessary)

Location:

 started in three districts of Nepal and expanded to 22 districts including the Terai and also within the Community Forestry Program.

Were incentives to facilitate the take up of the technology provided?

Yes

If yes, specify which type of incentives

No answer provided

Can you identify the three main conditions that led to the success of the presented best practice/technology?

Examples of conditions leading to success may include: highly motivated local governments, farmers organized into well structured cooperatives, extremely favorable weather conditions, etc. For each 'condition of success' you are able to

identify, specify whether in your opinion such condition is: (a) linked to the local context and thus cannot be replicated elsewhere; (b) replicable elsewhere with some level of adaptation; (c) replicable elsewhere with major adaptation.

- 1. (max 50 words)
 - 1. peoples involvement in Leasehold forestry management
 - 2. degraded forest land Management
 - 3. improvement of land productivity and ecosystem

Linked with,

2. (max 50 words)

Linked with,

- Linked to the local context and thus cannot be replicated elsewhere
- Replicable elsewhere with some level of adaptation
- Replicable elsewhere with major adaptation
- 3. (max 50 words)

No answer provided

In your opinion, the best practice/technology you have proposed can be replicated, although with some level of adaptation, elsewhere?

Yes

If yes, at which level?

- Local
- Sub-national
- National

Section 8. Lessons learned

Related to human resources

(max 50 words)

- 1. Users capacity developed for leasehold forestry management
 - 2. Network developed for institutional setup and advocacy
 - 3. Below poverty level peoples participation in the leasehold forestry development
 - 5. Use of improved variety of grass/ fodder for livestock improvement

Related to Income:

Related to financial aspects

(max 50 words)

- . income generation from NTFP and agro product
 - 2. Income increased Rs20000 to 30000 per household from leasehold forestry activity

Related to technical aspects

(max 50 words)

- 1. Trees plantation with agroforestry practice
 - 2. Trees with Non timber forest product
 - 3. Degraded forest land development through peoples participation

Best Practice #4 — Community Forestry

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Property rights

Clarify if the technology described in the template, or a part of it, is covered by property rights:

No answer provided

If yes, please provide relevant information on the holder of the rights.

(max 100 words)

No answer provided

Section 1. Context of the best practice: frame conditions (natural and human environment)

Title of the best practice

Community Forestry

Location (if available, also include a map)

Nepal

Attachments:

- Best Practice leasehold forestry.pdf
- o Best Practice reportin sheet NSCFP.pdf
- o Best Practice reportin sheet SGP.pdf

If the location has well defined boundaries, specify its extension in hectares

Hectares (ha)

1.23 million

Estimated population living in the location

Number of people

8 million

Prevailing land use within the specified location

Woodland

Other (specify) (max 30 words)

No answer provided

Brief description of the natural environment within the specified location

Climate: (max 50 words)

Sub-tropical to temperate

Soil: (max 50 words)
all soil type

Topography: (max 50 words)

Mountain, Hills and terai

Prevailing socio-economic conditions of those living in the location and/or nearby

Income level: (max 50 words)

Mostly rural people are ingaged. 38% economically poor and socially disadvantaged people.

Main income sources: (max 50 words)

agriculture, wage labour etc.

Land tenure and land use rights: (max 50 words)

Product rights to community and land rights to the government.

Short description of the best practice

max 250 words

Community forestry is a best practice because local people are made responsible to protect, manage and utilize their forest resources. Doing so has helped improve forest land and there has been contribution to people's livelihood. It is proven worldwide that the concept of community forestry is successful.

On the basis of which criteria and/or indicator(s) (not related to The Strategy) the proposed practice and corresponding technology has been considered as 'best'?

max 100 words

No answer provided

Section 2. Problems addressed (direct and indirect causes) and objectives of the best practice

With respect to DLDD, the best practice directly contributes to:

- Prevention
- Mitigation
- Adaptation
- Rehabilitation

Main problems addressed by the best practice

(max 50 words)

- 1. Reversing deforestation and degradation
 - 2. Increased forest density
 - 3. Increased forest area and increased bio-diversity

Outline specific land degradation problems addressed by the best practice

max 100 words

• Reversing forest degradation by controlling over grazing, forest fire, soil erosion, encroachment and illegal felling of trees.

Specify the objectives of the best practice

(max 50 words)

- 1. Meet the demand of local people on forest products
 - 2. Sustainable management of forests.
 - 3. Empowerment of poor and marginalized local people.

Section 3. Activities

Brief description of main activities, by objective

Objective 1

(max 50 words)

- Objective 1.
 - 1. Group formation
 - 2. Training and coaching

- Regular supply of forest products through forest management activities
 Objective 2
- 1. Forest inventory
- 2. Forest survey
- 3. Forest management plan and its implementation

Objective 2

(max 50 words)

No answer provided

Objective 3

(max 50 words)

No answer provided

Objective 4

(max 50 words)

No answer provided

Short description of the technology

max 250 words

The community residing nearby forests form groups called Community Forest Users groups and legally registered to the District Forest Offices. The nearby Forests are handed over to them with Forest Operational Plan giving total forest management rights based on the plans

Technical specification of the technology: Community based forest resource management

Technical specifications of the technology - if any

max 250 words

Technical specification of the technology: Community based forest resource management

Section 4. Institutions/actors involved (collaboration, participation, role of stakeholders)

Name and address of the institution developing the technology

Name

Nepal Government, MoFSC

Address

No answer provided

Was the technology developed in partnership?

Yes

If yes, list the partners:

- 1. With the support of various donors.
 - 2.Community Forest User Groups

Specify the framework within which the technology was promoted

• National initiative - government-led

Other (specify) (max 30 words)

No answer provided

Was the participation of local stakeholders, including CSOs, fostered in the development of the technology Yes

If yes, list local stakeholders involved:

CSO- Provide institutional and technical support

For the stakeholders listed above, specify their role in the design, introduction, use and maintenance of the technology, if any.

max 250 words

Provide institutional and technical support

Was the population living in the location and/or nearby involved in the development of the technology?

Yes

If yes, by means of what?

No answer provided

Other (specify) (max 30 words)

No answer provided

Section 5. Contribution to impact

Specify to which strategic objectives of The Strategy the technology contributes

(more than one box can be ticked)

- 1. To improve the living conditions of affected population
- 2. To improve the conditions of affected ecosystems
- 3. To generate global benefits through effective implementation of the UNCCD

Describe on-site impacts (the major two impacts by category)

Production or productivity:

1. (max 50 words)

Production or productivity

- 1. Increased biomass in community forests resulting an increased off take of litter and organic manure
- 2. Availability of more grass and fodder
- 2. (max 50 words)

No answer provided

Socio-economic level (including cultural level):

- 1. (max 50 words)
 - 1. Enrollment of girl children in schools have increased
 - 2. Soil nutrition and moisture in agricultural land during dry season have also increased.
- 2. (max 50 words)

No answer provided

Environmental level:

- 1. (max 50 words)
 - Number of water springs and the volume of ground water discharge have increased.
 - 2.Incidences of landslides have reduced.
- 2. (max 50 words)

No answer provided

Other (specify)

- 1. (max 50 words)
 - 1. Encroachment of forest land along the forest boundary with private agriculture land has tremendously

reduced.

- 2 .Number of complaints and forest offences in government controlled forests is reduced.
- 2. (max 50 words)

No answer provided

Describe the major two off-site (i.e. not occurring in the location but in the surrounding areas) impacts

- 1. (max 50 words)
 - 1 Reverse Forest Degradation and Deforestation
 - 2. Meet the local demand of forest products and improved livelihood
- 2. (max 50 words)

No answer provided

Impact on biodiversity and climate change

In your opinion does the best practice/technology you have proposed positively impact on biodiversity conservation? **Yes**

Explain the reasons:

max 250 words

: More than 1.5 % forest density increased in CF area which is the sink of carbon, the avoided Deforestation and Forest Degradation also reduces carbon emissions.

In your opinion does the best practice/technology you have proposed positively impact on climate change mitigation?

Yes

Explain the reasons:

max 250 words

- Helped increase biomass
- · Helped increase forest density
- · Helped increase forest cover and vegetation both in private land and forest land

In your opinion does the best practice/technology you have proposed positively impact on climate change adaptation?

Yes

Explain the reasons:

max 250 words

- · Increase in agro-forestry area
- Plantations of new grass varieties
- Watershed conserved
- Soil conservation measures carried out

Has a cost-benefit analysis been carried out?

Yes

If yes, summarize its main conclusions:

max 250 words

70% of the cost is borne by local communities for goods and environmental services and for generating income in group's fund and household income.

Section 6. Connection to other UNCCD themes

- Capacity-building and awareness-raising
- · Policy; legislative; institutional framework
- · Participation, collaboration and networking

Section 7. Adoption and replicability

Was the technology disseminated/introduced to other locations?

Yes

If yes, where? (add as many rows as necessary)

Location:

• : throughout the country and globally

Were incentives to facilitate the take up of the technology provided?

Yes

If yes, specify which type of incentives

- Policy or regulatory incentives (for example, related to market requirements and regulations, import/export, foreign investment, research & development support, etc)
- Financial incentives (for example, preferential rates, State aid, subsidies, cash grants, loan guarantees, etc)

Can you identify the three main conditions that led to the success of the presented best practice/technology?

Examples of conditions leading to success may include: highly motivated local governments, farmers organized into well structured cooperatives, extremely favorable weather conditions, etc. For each 'condition of success' you are able to identify, specify whether in your opinion such condition is: (a) linked to the local context and thus cannot be replicated elsewhere; (b) replicable elsewhere with some level of adaptation; (c) replicable elsewhere with major adaptation.

- 1. (max 50 words)
 - 1. Enabling policy and legislative framework
 - 2. Devolution of power to local communities
 - 3. Strong and vibrant community groups and civil society organisations Linked with,
 - Replicable elsewhere with some level of adaptation
- 2. (max 50 words)

No answer provided

3. (max 50 words)

No answer provided

In your opinion, the best practice/technology you have proposed can be replicated, although with some level of adaptation, elsewhere?

Yes

If yes, at which level?

- Local
- Sub-national
- National
- Regional
- International

Section 8. Lessons learned

Related to human resources

(max 50 words)

- 1. Capacity building of human resources in government, non-government and private sector should be enhanced.
 - 2. Social inclusion and gender equality to be emphasized
 - 3. Local level human resources are necessary condition for sustainability

Related to financial aspects

(max 50 words)

- 1. Long term (at least 10 to 20 years) support for capacity building.
 - 2. Attempts should be made to generate financial resources locally
 - 3. Investment on value addition and business essential for financial sustainability.

Related to technical aspects

(max 50 words)

- 1. Recognize local knowledge and technology
 - 2. Start with simple technology easily understandable for local communities
 - 3. Emphasize local species, indigenous varieties and try to make interface between local and formal knowledge.

Best Practice #5 — Combating Land Degradation and Climate Change Mitigation through Agroforestry in Shifitng Cultivation Blocks in Makawanpur of Central Nepal

Question marked as 'No answer'.

Property rights

Clarify if the technology described in the template, or a part of it, is covered by property rights:

No answer provided

If yes, please provide relevant information on the holder of the rights.

(max 100 words)

No answer provided

Section 1. Context of the best practice: frame conditions (natural and human environment)

Title of the best practice

Combating Land Degradation and Climate Change Mitigation through Agroforestry in Shifitng Cultivation Blocks in Makawanpur of Central Nepal

Location (if available, also include a map)

Makawanpur of Central Nepal

Attachments:

- Best Practice leasehold forestry.pdf
- o Best Practice reportin sheet NSCFP.pdf
- Best Practice reportin sheet SGP.pdf

If the location has well defined boundaries, specify its extension in hectares

Hectares (ha)

244457 ha

Estimated population living in the location

Number of people

45,707

Prevailing land use within the specified location

- Cropland
- Unproductive land

Other (specify) (max 30 words)

No answer provided

Brief description of the natural environment within the specified location

Climate: (max 50 words)

No answer provided

Soil: (max 50 words)

No answer provided

Topography: (max 50 words)

No answer provided

Prevailing socio-economic conditions of those living in the location and/or nearby

Income level: (max 50 words)

o Income level: Subsistence

Main income sources: (max 50 words)

Main income source: Livestock, forestry and agriculture

Land tenure and land use rights: (max 50 words)

Land tenure and land use right: More than 85% of land not registered

Short description of the best practice

max 250 words

- 1. It lays emphasis on proper management of marginal uplands: The project considers utilization of marginal lands and land area prone to landslide under agroforestry with fruits and NTFPs in the dry area where most of the poor people live with worst form of poverty and are deprived of necessary technological breakthrough. Banana, pineapples, citrus and broom grass are locally available plant species and are user friendly, have been widely planted in such areas which otherwise would remain unproductive for a long period.
- 2. It matches the needs and resources of the targeted groups: The Chepang, Tamang and Dalits altogether 78% are impoverished, marginalized and resource-poor indigenous groups. They are largely benefitted.
- 3. It is gender-sensitive. The Project's main objective was to provide food and income security to the disadvantaged women and children by improving productivity of their dryland agriculture. In overall 80% women from disadvantaged community participated in the project.
- 4. It addresses the problems of both poverty and land degradation- desertification: In over all, the community has been able to achieve an increase in annual food security and ultimately an improvement in living standards through the sales of different agroforestry crops like banana, pineapples, broom grass and other cash crops like ginger and turmeric grown as intercrop within banana farm.

On the basis of which criteria and/or indicator(s) (not related to The Strategy) the proposed practice and corresponding technology has been considered as 'best'?

max 100 words

The project has won Ryotaro Hashimoto Asia Pacific Forum for Environment and Development Award 2008. The award carries a purse of USD20,000 and a gold medal.

Section 2. Problems addressed (direct and indirect causes) and objectives of the best practice

With respect to DLDD, the best practice directly contributes to:

- Prevention
- Mitigation
- Adaptation
- Rehabilitation

Main problems addressed by the best practice

(max 50 words)

- 1. Livelihood
 - 2. Land degradation and
 - 3. Climate change mitigation

Outline specific land degradation problems addressed by the best practice

max 100 words

•The hedgerow system with broom grass: As reported by Annual Report of NARC 2000; 40-60% erosion could be reduced by adopting hedgerow.

- Understory_Intercropping: Ginger and turmeric within banana farm
- Alley cropping system with banana, pineapples and fodders (leucaena lecocephala; Ipil-ipil)

Specify the objectives of the best practice

(max 50 words)

- 1. Control of slash and burn practices with improved agroforestry i.e. by SALT methods in Khoriya lands
 - 2. Livelihood promotion
 - 3. Capacity building and empowerment of affected families

Section 3. Activities

Brief description of main activities, by objective

Objective 1

(max 50 words)

- Appropriate Agroforestry system in slash and burn zones
 - 1. Alley cropping system fruits (like banana, pineapples) and fodders

Objective 2

(max 50 words)

• 2. Broom grass as hedgerow

Objective 3

(max 50 words)

• 3. Integration of livestock

Objective 4

(max 50 words)

No answer provided

Short description of the technology

max 250 words

SALT- 1: Sloping Agricultural Land Technology

This model focuses mainly on food crop production. It is simple in application, low in cost, but is an effective agroforestry technology with agricultural crops and forestry in a ratio of 3:1. Compared to traditional upland farming management practices, this technology substantially decreases erosion. In addition, it increases crop yield.

SALT - 2: Small Agro-livestock Technology

This model focuses on agriculture and livestock technology. It is a simple modification of SALT-I in the sense that it integrates livestock rearing with crop cultivation. The livestock species that can be raised under the system are cattle, sheep, and goats. The manure is a good source of fertilizer. Goats (the poor man's cow) are a potential source of milk, meat, hair and skin.

SALT- 3: Sustainable Agroforest Land Technology

SALT-III includes conversion of non-productive marginal land into economically productive land to supplement production from other SALT models. This model has three components: SALT I, SALT II, and a

separate plot of land to produce valuable timber. Farmers owning landholdings of about two hectares can use this model.

SALT- 4: Small Agro-fruit Livelihood Technology

SALT-IV focuses on developing a horticulture and plantation crop-based system known as the "agro-fruit livelihood technology". To improve hill agriculture and economics, commercialization of hill agriculture is required. Thus, horticulture is a promising option with comparative advantages. The objectives of this model are to produce food, increase cash income, and conserve soil on farmlands.

Technical specifications of the technology - if any

max 250 words

Sloping Agricultural Land Technology (SALT) is soil conservation oriented farming system developed in the Philippines in the late 1970s by one of the NGO called as Mindanao Baptist Rural Life Center. This has now been researched throughout the globe. The technologies under SALT are found to be effective in conserving soil and water, enhancing soil fertility and increasing crop production.

Objective 1.: Livelihood Promotion

- 1. Establishment of community irrigation schemes, micro-irrigation schemes
- 2. Selection of marketable crops under agroforestry system that is easily saleable, gives good prices and are user friendly
- 3. Technical training and capacity building

Section 4. Institutions/actors involved (collaboration, participation, role of stakeholders)

Name and address of the institution developing the technology

Name

MDI Nepal

Address

Nepal

Was the technology developed in partnership?

Yes

If yes, list the partners:

- 1. Several community of the target area (4 VDCs)
 - 2. MDI field staffs (Subject matter specialists)
 - 3. Research studies done by various institutions

Specify the framework within which the technology was promoted

- Local initiative
- National initiative non-government-led
- Programme/project-based initiative

Other (specify) (max 30 words)

No answer provided

Was the participation of local stakeholders, including CSOs, fostered in the development of the technology Yes

If yes, list local stakeholders involved:

Members of Niguretar Agricultural Cooperatives Ltd Raksirang VDC
 Member of Rupachuri Agricultural Cooperative Ltd. Manahari VDC,

Churiya Mai Agricultural Cooperative Ltd., Handikhola VDC Janchetana Agricultural Cooperative Ltd., Kankada VDC, Members of Amriso Community Organization, Silinge, Kankad

For the stakeholders listed above, specify their role in the design, introduction, use and maintenance of the technology, if any.

max 250 words

In selection of crop species

Was the population living in the location and/or nearby involved in the development of the technology? Yes

If yes, by means of what?

- Consultation
- Participatory approaches

Other (specify) (max 30 words)

No answer provided

Section 5. Contribution to impact

Specify to which strategic objectives of The Strategy the technology contributes

(more than one box can be ticked)

- 1. To improve the living conditions of affected population
- 2. To improve the conditions of affected ecosystems
- 3. To generate global benefits through effective implementation of the UNCCD

Describe on-site impacts (the major two impacts by category)

Production or productivity:

1. (max 50 words)

Production or productivity

- 1. It has been a success story that some 2.7 million plants (60% broomgrass) of different species (banana, pineapples, fodders, broom grass, goat, vegetables, honey etc.) grown in such marginal lands have been able to give annual income worth of Rs. 30-35 thousand (US \$
- 2. (max 50 words)

NA

Socio-economic level (including cultural level):

- 1. (max 50 words)
 - 1.As of August 2010, income from the sale of bananas, pineapples, broom grass, citrus, vegetables and other cash crops like ginger, turmeric (within banana crops) that were adopted after initiation of the project, totaled more than \$100,000 equivalent. Besides, the value of land has increased in
- 2. (max 50 words)

NA

Environmental level:

- 1. (max 50 words)
 - 1. The adoption of agroforestry in areas with steep slopes reduces erosion and the landslide which are the basis of land degradation and desertification. The planting of trees in an agroforestry system also takes GHG out of the atmosphere and helps in carbon sequestation. Largely reduced fuel wood
- 2. (max 50 words)

NA

Other (specify)

- 1. (max 50 words)
 - 1 Social Impacts: The impacts include i) institutional networks and linkages at local and district levels;
 - ii) Raising concerns over tenancy rights on the land under shifting cultivation between national and district level government authorities and
 - 2 iii) Education and health of local women and
- 2. (max 50 words)

NA

Describe the major two off-site (i.e. not occurring in the location but in the surrounding areas) impacts

1. (max 50 words)

No answer provided

2. (max 50 words)

No answer provided

Impact on biodiversity and climate change

In your opinion does the best practice/technology you have proposed positively impact on biodiversity conservation? **Yes**

Explain the reasons:

max 250 words

Agroforestry is a land-use method that allows trees to grow in crop and livestock areas. Studies have shown that it

- •is one way to conserve biodiversity as the farm maintains multiple crops as compared to monoculture
 •attracts species beneficial to farming, such as pollinators and offers habitat or shelter for other wild
 animals
- •improves farms by, for example, reducing soil erosion

In your opinion does the best practice/technology you have proposed positively impact on climate change mitigation?

Yes

Explain the reasons:

max 250 words

The coplete halting of slashing and burning of the vegetation curb the emission of carbon dioxide. Likewise, agroforestry locks carbon in its biomass thus reducing climate change effects and so does the practice of quitting bush burns effects.

In your opinion does the best practice/technology you have proposed positively impact on climate change adaptation?

Yes

Explain the reasons:

max 250 words

Since the slashing and burning or Khoriya farming could not yield any substantial production rather the productive soils are washed out due to erosion and landslide, theu the farmers have no option rather than to adapt Agroforestry.

- •Trees are deep rooted and have large reserves, and are less susceptible than annual crops to inter-annual variability or short-lived extreme events like droughts or floods. Thus, trees offer diversification options that can reduce production risks for small holder farmers.
- •Trees are a perennial resource that can be exploited to provide increased income during difficult periods,

thereby reducing income risks associated with climate related shocks for small holder farming families.

Has a cost-benefit analysis been carried out?

If yes, summarize its main conclusions:

max 250 words

Agroforestry system showed better result for all three criteria NPV, B-C ratio and return to labor. NPV, including household labor, was 130,951 Rs/ha in agroforestry as compared to 11,796 Rs/ha in shifting cultivation. NPV, not including household labor, was much higher (173,001 Rs/ ha) in agroforestry system than Khoriya farming (Rs/ha 33411). Similarly return to labor was almost 60% higher in agroforestry system. The B-C ratio in Khoriya farming including household labor opportunity cost was less than 1 indicating that the system was not financially profitable land use system (Table 4) when labor opportunity cost was considered.

(Based on the analysis of Mr. Rigendra Khadka; prepared for his master thesis on "Transition from slash -and -burn (Khoriya) farming to permanent agroforestry in the Middle hills of Nepal; An analysis of costs, benefits and farmers' adoption for submission to Department of International Environment and Development Studies, P.O. Box 5003, N-1432 Ås Norway, Tel.: +47 64 96 52 00 , Fax: +47 64 96 52 01 Internet: http://www.umb.no/noragric)

Section 6. Connection to other UNCCD themes

Specify if the technology relates to one or more of the other UNCCD themes

- Capacity-building and awareness-raising
- DLDD and SLM monitoring and assessment/research
- Knowledge management and decision support
- Funding/resource mobilization
- Participation, collaboration and networking

Section 7. Adoption and replicability

Was the technology disseminated/introduced to other locations?

Yes

If yes, where? (add as many rows as necessary)

Location:

• The hills and mountains collectively called uplands constitute two third of the total land area of Nepal. The proportion of land with more than 30% slope is 66.3 percent. While shifting cultivation is practiced in some land, the project components can be replicated in almost all of these sloppy areas. Regionally, Eastern Himalayan region encompassing Nepal, India, Bhutan, Bangladesh, Myanmar and South China are other potential places for scaling up of the technology as shifting cultivation in the slope lands is widely practiced in these regions too. The project has thus huge replication potential. Given the economic contributions and support provided by a wide variety of NGOs and UN agencies, the potential for replicating this project are positive. The results that were achieved have managed to positively change the environment by transitioning traditional slash and burn agriculture to a more sustainable path. The successful planning and implementation of the SALT methods of agroforestry in the hills of Makawanpur has presented an excellent example to successfully replicate in similar hills of Nepal and abroad. Many of the hill farmers in Makawanpur and Udaypur districts of the country are attracted with this technology and are gradually adopting. It is learnt that similar agroforestry system has also been

applied in East Timor by CARE International sharing experiences from UNDP GEF/SGP Makawanpur programme. Four members Mr. Tome Guterres, Trainee National Project Manager, Mr. Nivio Benevides District Risk Management Officer, Mr. Luis Berebuti, Trainee National Project Manager and Mr. Jose Da Cruz, Monitoring Officer made a cross visit to agroforestry project of Makawanpur and shared their experiences.

Were incentives to facilitate the take up of the technology provided?

If yes, specify which type of incentives

- Policy or regulatory incentives (for example, related to market requirements and regulations, import/export, foreign investment, research & development support, etc)
- Financial incentives (for example, preferential rates, State aid, subsidies, cash grants, loan guarantees, etc)
- Fiscal incentives (for example, exemption from or reduction of taxes, duties, fees, etc)

Can you identify the three main conditions that led to the success of the presented best practice/technology? Examples of conditions leading to success may include: highly motivated local governments, farmers organized into well structured cooperatives, extremely favorable weather conditions, etc. For each 'condition of success' you are able to identify, specify whether in your opinion such condition is: (a) linked to the local context and thus cannot be replicated

elsewhere; (b) replicable elsewhere with some level of adaptation; (c) replicable elsewhere with major adaptation.

- 1. (max 50 words)
 - 1. Selected agroforestry species are user friendly, quick income generating nature with established market linkages
 - 3. Social mobilization in developing Institutional plateforms (such as groups and cooperatives) were enabled Linked with,
 - · Linked to the local context and thus cannot be replicated elsewhere
 - Replicable elsewhere with some level of adaptation $\sqrt{}$
 - Replicable elsewhere with major adaptation $\sqrt{}$
- 2. (max 50 words)
 - 2. The technologies were developed through rigorous consultations with the users
- 3. (max 50 words)
 - 3. Social mobilization in developing Institutional plateforms (such as groups and cooperatives) were enabled Linked with,

In your opinion, the best practice/technology you have proposed can be replicated, although with some level of adaptation, elsewhere?

Yes

If yes, at which level?

- Local
- Sub-national
- National
- Regional

Section 8. Lessons learned

(max 50 words)

• Poor, given some outside support have been able to create capital (physical, human and social) and use it efficiently to improve their quality of life and achieve self-reliance. Complete poverty eradication in an area, which has limited resource base, human and institutional capacity, physical inaccessibility, is difficult in the short run. For poverty eradication sustained effort on a long-term basis would be required in order not to dissipate the effort that has been made by the support organization on the ground. In order to continue the effort outside support as well as local bodies would be required to achieve desired result.

Lessons have been learned from the Project, including the following:

- Initiatives based on acute local needs generally have more chances to succeed. The Project's point of departure was utilizing marginal lands under horticulture which has been found significant to affect livelihood in the shifting cultivation zones.
- Bigorous training and support can help inspire technical know-how in remote communities with low levels of literacy.
- With some outside support and resources, impoverished and marginalized indigenous people are able to implement technology-driven initiatives.
- With the same support and resources, they can also improve their quality of life and increase their self-reliance.
- Election Little is sometimes needed to help highly vulnerable groups create capital and use it efficiently to reduce their own vulnerability to natural hazards.
- Building partnership between various institutions such as local bodies, donors, government agencies and local communities is important in order to solicit more support for poverty alleviation and environmental programming.
- Poor have been able to save and invest out of income and minimizing surplus leakage by controlling available expenses because of institutional support provided by the project. In lot of cases groups have generated enough savings to reduce demand for non-institutional credit resulting in reduction of interest rate in non-formal market.

Related to financial aspects

(max 50 words)

• 1. The new initiative appealed the local people but the resource was tiny to make considerable dent in terms of area and household coverage.

Related to technical aspects

(max 50 words)

• 1. Nutrient management for the grown up plants is crucial. There must be appropriate combinations of crop species mixed with legumes and non-legumes. Presently banana, pineapples mixed with some legumes trees and grass like ipil-ipil and stylo are in combination, assuming that these plants can supply nitrogen to banana and pineapples. For some years this combinations can be able to replenish nutrient and give sustainable harvest. However, in the long run this system requires careful planning so

Submission Form

Submission Form				
Name of the Reporting Officer *	Arjun Kumar Thapa (UNCCD-STC)			
Date of Submission *	11/11/2010 5:12:13 AM			
Signature				
Name of the Authorizing Officer	Ms Meena Khanal, Officiating Secretary and NFP, Ministry of Environment, NEPAL~~~			
Date of Authorization	11/11/2010			
Signature				













© 2010 PRAIS