

**United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification**  
**Performance Review and Assessment of Implementation System**

**Fourth UNCCD reporting cycle, 2010–2011 leg**  
**Report for The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia**

# Table of contents

- Impact indicators
  - Strategic Objectives 1, 2 and 3
    - General information on impact indicators on strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3
    - Core indicator S-(1/2/3): Poverty Rate
      - Reporting on the indicator
      - Interpretation of indicator status/trend and policy implications
      - Feedback
    - Core indicator S-5: Land Cover Status
      - Reporting on land cover
        - Reporting on the indicator
          - Land Cover Type #2 — Artificial surface
          - Land Cover Type #3 — Agricultural areas
          - Land Cover Type #4 — Forest and seminatural areas
          - Land Cover Type #5 — Wetlands
          - Land Cover Type #6 — Water bodies
        - Interpretation of indicator status/trend and policy implications
        - Feedback
      - Reporting on land productivity
      - Feedback
    - Additional indicators on strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3
      - Additional Indicator #1
      - Additional Indicator #2 — Grams of fresh food per capita
      - Additional Indicator #3
  - Strategic Objective 4
    - Indicator SO-4-3
    - Indicator SO-4-6
    - Indicator SO-4-7
- Performance Indicators
  - Operational Objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-1 for Outcome 1.1
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-3 for Outcome 1.3
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-4 for Outcome 1.3
  - Operational Objective 2: Policy framework
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-5 for Outcomes 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-7 for Outcome 2.5
  - Operational Objective 3: Science, technology and knowledge
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-8 for Outcomes 3.1 and 3.2
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-9 for Outcome 3.1 and 3.2
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-10 for Outcome 3.3 and 3.4
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-11 for Outcome 3.5
  - Operational Objective 4: Capacity building
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-13 for Outcomes 4.1 and 4.2
  - Operational Objective 5: Financing and technology transfer
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-14 for Outcome 5.1
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-16 for Outcome 5.2
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-17 for Outcome 5.3
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-18 for Outcome 5.5
- Standard Financial Annex
  - Financial Commitment #1 — Development of remediation plans with financial requirements for elimination of industrial hot-spots

- Financial Commitment #2 — Multimedia educative package in the environment and sustainable development-Green package
- Financial Commitment #3 — Development of Second national communication for climate change in the Republic of Macedonia
- Financial Commitment #4 — Multimedia educative package in the environment and sustainable development-Green package junior
- Financial Commitment #5 — Old environmental burdens in the chemical plant OHIS-Skopje
- Financial Commitment #6 — Sustainable mining clean up and pollution management in Bucim and Lojane mines
- Financial Commitment #7 — Program for investments for Non Government Organisation for 2008 in the Republic of Macedonia
- Financial Commitment #8 — Tree Day-Plant your future
- Financial Commitment #9 — Technical and project programs for performing of geotechnical research for development of project documentation for recultivation of hidrotaling damp in Zletovo-Probistip
- Financial Commitment #10 — Study for industrial contamination in OKTA refinery
- Financial Commitment #11 — Strenghtthening the readines for protection of national forests from fire
- Financial Commitment #12 — Drought Management Centre for South east Europe (DMCSEE)
- Programme and Project Sheets
  - Programme/Project #1 — Development of remediation plans with financial requirements for elimination of industrial hot-spots
  - Programme/Project #2 — Multimedia educative package in the environment and sustainable development-Green package
  - Programme/Project #3 — Development of Second national communication for climate change in the Republic of Macedonia
  - Programme/Project #4 — Multimedia educative package in the environment and sustainable development-Green package junior
  - Programme/Project #5 — Old environmental burdens inthe chemical plant OHIS Skopje
  - Programme/Project #6 — Sustainable mining clean up and pollution management in Bucim and Lojane mines
  - Programme/Project #7 — Program for investments for Non Government Organisation for 2008 in the Republic of Macedonia
  - Programme/Project #8 — Tree day-Plant your future
  - Programme/Project #9 — Technical and project programs for performing of geotechnical reserach for development of project documentation for recultivation of old hidrotailing damp in Zletovo-Probistip
  - Programme/Project #10 — Study for industrial contamination in OKTA refinery
  - Programme/Project #11 — Strenghtthening the readines for protection of national forests from fire
  - Programme/Project #12 — Drought Management Centre for South East Europe (DMCSEE)
  - Programme/Project #13 — Third National Report to the UNFCCC
- Additional Information
  - Reporting process-related issues
  - Accommodation of specific requests within COP decisions
  - Reporting on the implementation of NAP
    - Human resources
    - Financial resources
  - Any other country-specific issues
- Submission form

## General information section

<b>GENERAL INFORMATION ON THE REPORTING ENTITY</b>
--

Reporting country	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia
Name and surname of the person submitting the report	Vesna Indova
Affiliation and contact details	V.Indova@moepp.gov.mk

# Affected Country Parties

## Impact indicators

### Strategic Objectives 1, 2 and 3

Following [decision 17/COP.9](#), affected country Parties are requested to report on two mandatory impact indicators (i.e.: proportion of population living below the poverty line and land cover status), through the associated metrics identified during the iterative process for the refinement of the set of impact indicators (i.e.: poverty rate, land cover and land productivity).

Affected country Parties might also decide to report on the remaining nine impact indicators provisionally accepted by the COP (see [decision 17/COP.9](#)) but considered optional for inclusion in reports.

Alternative indicators considered more suitable than the provisionally accepted indicators may also be reported on. The condition for reporting on alternative indicators is that these fit into the underlying logic of measuring progress against strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3 of the Strategy.

Reporting is guided by means of templates, one for each of the two mandatory indicators, plus one generic reporting template for the remaining nine impact indicators and alternative indicators. Detailed reporting guidelines are available for the two mandatory impact indicators.

### General information on impact indicators on strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3

---

#### Definition of affected areas

***Does your National Action Programme (NAP) identify areas of the country which are affected by Desertification, Land Degradation and Drought (DLDD)?***

No

***If no, does any other national planning document identify areas of the country which are affected by DLDD?***

No

***Please specify the national document that identifies areas affected by DLDD in your country.***

*No answer required.*

***Please specify which areas of the country are considered as affected by DLDD (e.g. name of relevant provinces, states and districts):***

*No answer provided.*

***Please specify the estimated total number of square kilometres of the national territory occupied by areas affected by DLDD:***

*No answer provided.*

***Please specify the percentage of the national land area occupied by areas affected by DLDD:***

*No answer provided.*

***Please specify the definition used to identify areas affected by DLDD in your country:***

*No answer provided.*

***Please specify what methods were used to identify areas affected by DLDD in your country:***

*No answer provided.*

***If available, please provide the geographic datasets which show the areas affected by DLDD.***

Ideally, the data should be provided as a shapefile or raster (Geotiff) format with geographic coordinates on the WGS84 datum (The file should have a maximum size of 25MB). If the raw data are not available please provide a map showing the extent of areas affected by DLDD. Ideally, the map should have a scale of less than 1:250,000 and be provided in a Tiff format (The file should have a maximum size of 25MB). To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map (resolution of map, year of the map, land cover classes and corresponding colours, borders etc)

No files attached.

## Definition of rural areas

**Does any national planning document define rural areas in your country?**

Yes

**Please specify the national document that defines rural areas in your country.**

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
---	2007	NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY (NARDS) FOR THE PERIOD 2007-2013	REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Water Economy	<a href="http://www.seerural.org/wp-content/uploads/2010/03/2007-Macedonia-National-Strategy-NSARD1.pdf">http://www.seerural.org/wp-content/uploads/2010/03/2007-Macedonia-National-Strategy-NSARD1.pdf</a>

**Please state the national definition of rural areas in your country:**

"Law on Territorial Organization of the Local Self-Government" (2004),

Villages are defined as mono-functional populated areas, in which one business activity is predominant, whereas the ground has agricultural physiognomy and function.

The principal difference between villages and towns lays in the main activity orientation of their communities (agriculture in the case of villages and secondary and tertiary sectors in the case of towns). There are also other differences, such as the size of the community, level of structures, environmental. Macedonia has a total of 84 Municipalities (33 with seat in a city, 49 with seat in a village, and 10 in the capital city of Skopje), and 1,715 villages covering 86.7% of the national territory and hosting 43% of the total population (2002 census). surroundings, etc.

## Human population estimates

**Please state estimates of the human population living in the national area of your country, in rural areas of your country and areas affected by DLDD in your country.**

Include details on the methodology used to establish these estimates.

Year	Number of people living in the national area	Number of people living in rural areas	Number of people living in affected areas	Method used	Was every region (or equivalent sub-national unit) of your country covered in the assessment?	Please state the approximate proportion of the national human population surveyed during the assessment
2000	---	---	---	---	---	---
2001	---	---	---	---	---	---
2002	2022547	872325	---	Nationwide census	Yes	---
2003	2027000	874245	---	Expert opinion	---	---
2004	2031400	876143	---	Expert opinion	---	---
2005	2035600	877954	---	Expert opinion	---	---

Year	Number of people living in the national area	Number of people living in rural areas	Number of people living in affected areas	Method used	Was every region (or equivalent sub-national unit) of your country covered in the assessment?	Please state the approximate proportion of the national human population surveyed during the assessment
2006	2039600	879679	---	Expert opinion	---	---
2007	2044000	881577	---	Expert opinion	---	---
2008	2048619	883569	---	Expert opinion	---	---
2009	2052722	885339	---	Expert opinion	---	---
2010	2057284	887307	---	Expert opinion	---	---
2011	2059794	888389	---	Expert opinion	---	---

*Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.*

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
---	2012	<a href="http://www.stat.gov.mk/IndikatorITS_en.aspx?id=2">http://www.stat.gov.mk/IndikatorITS_en.aspx?id=2</a>	Republic of Macedonia - State Statistical Office	<a href="http://www.stat.gov.mk/Default_en.aspx">http://www.stat.gov.mk/Default_en.aspx</a>
---	2012	non-published expert estimation	---	---

### Core indicator S-(1/2/3): Poverty Rate

UNCCD Strategic Objective(s) for which the indicator applies

Strategic Objective 1: To improve the living conditions of affected populations

UNCCD Core indicator S-(1/2/3)

Improvement in the livelihoods of people potentially impacted by the process of desertification/land degradation and drought

Name of the indicator

Proportion of population living below the poverty line

Metric

Poverty Rate

Purpose of the indicator

To measure and monitor changes in poverty, as a proxy for human well-being. This can be used to identify deprived livelihoods, assess the impacts of desertification and assess progress made by the Parties in combating desertification.

Understanding of the indicator

The **poverty line** describes an absolute threshold below which people are considered to be poor. The **poverty rate** describes the percentage of the human population living below the poverty line. Ideally, Parties report the poverty rate for affected areas using the rural poverty line (poverty line specific to rural areas). In addition, the rural poverty line should be used to report the poverty rate in rural areas. In the absence of the rural poverty line, the national poverty line should be used to report the poverty rate in affected and rural areas or, if these are not available, in the country

as a whole. Finally, if no poverty lines are available, the generic poverty line of US\$ 2.00/capita/day should be used to assess poverty rates.

Data needed

Size of human population, a poverty line and the number of people falling below the poverty line.

Relevant terms in the glossary

'absolute poverty', 'absolute poverty line', 'consumption', 'consumption survey', 'currency', 'data', 'data analysis', 'data source', 'desertification/land degradation and drought (DLDD)', 'ecosystem services', 'e-SMART', 'expert knowledge', 'income', 'income survey', 'indicator', 'indicator metadata', 'metric', 'national poverty line', 'national poverty rate', 'nationwide census', 'non stratified random survey' 'population census', 'poverty line', 'relative poverty' 'relative poverty lines', 'rural poverty line', 'rural poverty rate', 'stratified random sampling' 'stratified random survey'.

## Reporting on the indicator

---

### Rural poverty line

***Does your country have a poverty line that is specific to rural areas (rural poverty line)?***

**No**

***If yes, please state the rural poverty line in your country for the most recent years and the method used to define the rural poverty line.***

Please choose the method category which best represents that used in the assessment

*No answer required.*

***Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.***

*No answer required.*

***If yes, please state the number of people and the percentage of the population living below the rural poverty line in affected areas.***

Furthermore, please state the method used to assess the poverty rate in affected areas and the proportion of the population included in the assessment.

*No answer required.*

***If yes, please state the number of people and the percentage of the population living below the rural poverty line in rural areas.***

Furthermore, please state the method used to assess the poverty rate in rural areas and the proportion of the population included in the assessment.

*No answer required.*

***Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.***

*No answer required.*

---

### National poverty line

If data related to the rural poverty line was provided, please **do not** respond to this section.

***If no rural poverty line is available, does your country have a national poverty line?***

**Yes**

***If yes, please state the national poverty line in your country for the most recent years and the method used to define the national poverty line.***

Please choose the method category which best represents that used in the assessment.

Year	National poverty line (value)	Currency	Method used
2000	---	---	Consumption survey
2001	---	---	Consumption survey
2002	---	---	Consumption survey



Year	National poverty line (value)	Currency	Method used
2003	---	---	Consumption survey
2004	---	---	Consumption survey
2005	---	---	Consumption survey
2006	---	---	Consumption survey
2007	---	---	Consumption survey
2008	---	---	Consumption survey
2009	---	---	Consumption survey
2010	---	---	Consumption survey
2011	---	---	Consumption survey

*Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.*

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
---	---	---	---	---

*Please state the number of people and the percentage of the population living below the national poverty line in affected areas.*

Year	Affected areas			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the human population living in affected areas surveyed during the poverty assessment
2000	---	---	---	---
2001	---	---	---	---
2002	---	---	---	---
2003	---	---	---	---
2004	---	---	---	---
2005	---	---	---	---
2006	---	---	---	---
2007	---	---	---	---
2008	---	---	---	---
2009	---	---	---	---
2010	---	---	---	---
2011	---	---	---	---

*Please state the number of people and the percentage of the population living below the national poverty line in rural areas.*

Year	Rural areas

	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the human population living in rural areas surveyed during the poverty assessment
2000	---	---	---	---
2001	---	---	---	---
2002	---	---	---	---
2003	---	---	---	---
2004	---	28.6	---	---
2005	---	30.5	---	---
2006	---	30.3	---	---
2007	---	36.1	---	---
2008	---	43.3	---	---
2009	---	48.0	---	---
2010	---	47.1	---	---
2011	---	47.9	---	---

*If neither of these is available, please state the percentage of the population living below the national poverty line in the country as a whole.*

Year	Country			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the national human population surveyed during the poverty assessment
2000	---	---	---	---
2001	---	---	---	---
2002	---	---	---	---
2003	---	---	---	---
2004	599263	29.5	---	---
2005	610680	30.0	---	---
2006	607801	29.8	---	---
2007	600936	29.4	---	---
2008	587954	28.7	---	---
2009	638397	31.1	---	---
2010	635701	30.9	---	---
2011	626177	30.4	---	---

*Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.*

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
--------	------	-------------------	-----------	-----------------

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
---	2010	Poverty in the Republic of Macedonia 2007-2009	Republic of Macedonia State Statistical Office	<a href="http://www.stat.gov.mk/pdf/2010/4.1.10.64.pdf">http://www.stat.gov.mk/pdf/2010/4.1.10.64.pdf</a>
---	2007	Poverty in the Republic of Macedonia 2004-2006	Republic of Macedonia State Statistical Office	<a href="http://www.stat.gov.mk/pdf/2007/4.1.7.47.pdf">http://www.stat.gov.mk/pdf/2007/4.1.7.47.pdf</a>
---	2012	---	Republic of Macedonia State Statistical Office	<a href="http://www.stat.gov.mk/PrikaziSoopstenie.aspx?rbtxt=37">http://www.stat.gov.mk/PrikaziSoopstenie.aspx?rbtxt=37</a>

### International poverty line

If data related to the rural poverty line or to the national poverty line was provided, please **do not** respond to this section.

***If neither the rural poverty line nor the national poverty line are available, please state the number of people and the percentage of the population living below the international poverty line (US\$ 2.00/capita/day) in affected areas.***

Year	Affected areas			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the human population living in affected areas surveyed during the poverty assessment
2000	---	---	---	---
2001	---	---	---	---
2002	---	---	---	---
2003	---	---	---	---
2004	---	---	---	---
2005	---	---	---	---
2006	---	---	---	---
2007	---	---	---	---
2008	---	---	---	---
2009	---	---	---	---
2010	---	---	---	---
2011	---	---	---	---

***If there is no national poverty line, please state the number of people and the percentage of the population living below the international poverty line (US\$ 2.00/capita/day) in rural areas.***

Year	Rural areas			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the human population living in rural areas surveyed during the poverty assessment
2000	---	---	---	---
2001	---	---	---	---

Year	Rural areas			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the human population living in rural areas surveyed during the poverty assessment
2002	---	---	---	---
2003	---	---	---	---
2004	---	---	---	---
2005	---	---	---	---
2006	---	---	---	---
2007	---	---	---	---
2008	---	---	---	---
2009	---	---	---	---
2010	---	---	---	---
2011	---	---	---	---

*If neither of these is available, please state the percentage of the population living below the international poverty line (US\$ 2.00/capita/day) in the country as a whole.*

Year	Country			
	Number of people	Percentage of population	Method of poverty assessment	Approximate proportion of the national human population surveyed during the poverty assessment
2000	---	---	---	---
2001	---	---	---	---
2002	---	---	---	---
2003	---	---	---	---
2004	---	---	---	---
2005	---	---	---	---
2006	---	---	---	---
2007	---	---	---	---
2008	---	---	---	---
2009	---	---	---	---
2010	---	---	---	---
2011	---	---	---	---

*Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.*

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
---	---	---	---	---

---

## Contact details

General information on the national contact person for this indicator

### *Name and surname*

Vesna Indova

### *Institution*

Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning

### *Address*

bul. GOce Delcev br.8., 1000 Skopje, Macedonia

### *Email*

v.indova@moepp.gov.mk

### *Telephone*

+389 76 446 916

---

## Interpretation of indicator status/trend and policy implications

---

### Poverty in affected areas

*Did you provide data on poverty in affected areas?*

No

*If yes, please state whether you provided data for more than one year.*

No answer required.

*If no, do you see a pattern in the data?*

No answer required.

*Please explain the pattern emerging from the data and how it relates to DLDD.*

No answer required.

*If yes, does the poverty rate in affected areas change over time?*

No answer required.

*If no, please explain possible reasons why the poverty rate in affected areas does not change over time.*

No answer required.

*If yes, does the poverty rate in affected areas increase or decrease?*

No answer required.

*Please explain the pattern emerging from the data.*

No answer required.

*Is there a functional relationship between DLDD and the poverty rate in affected areas?*

No answer required.

*Please describe how DLDD affects the poverty rate in affected areas.*

No answer required.

*If DLDD does not affect the poverty rate in affected areas, please describe the other factor(s) responsible for changes in the poverty rate in affected areas.*

No answer required.

*Please upload a graph showing the percentage of human population below the poverty line in affected areas, covering all years for which data are provided in section "Poverty Rate" / sub-section "Reporting on the indicator".*

The graph should be provided as jpg or pdf with a maximum file size of 2MB

*No answer required.*

**To facilitate understanding please explain clearly what can be seen in the diagram (keys, classes, etc).**

*No answer required.*

---

## **Poverty in rural areas**

**Did you provide data on poverty in rural areas?**

**Yes**

**If yes, please state whether you provided data for more than one year.**

**Yes**

**If no, do you see a pattern in the data?**

*No answer required.*

**Please explain the pattern emerging from the data and how it relates to DLDD.**

*No answer required.*

**If yes, does the poverty rate in rural areas change over time?**

**Yes**

**If no, please explain possible reasons why the poverty rate in rural areas does not change over time.**

*No answer required.*

**If yes, does the poverty rate in rural areas increase or decrease?**

**Increase**

**Please explain the pattern emerging from the data.**

Poverty line in rural areas permanent increase from 28% (2004) up to 48% (2011). This is the first reason - dis-balance of the economy development between Skopje region and all other territory of the country.

The country's poorest people are small-scale farmers, unemployed people and pensioners.

The causes of rural poverty and underdevelopment include:

- massive unemployment resulting from the breakdown of the command economy
- lack of financial and technical services for improvements in agriculture
- lack of access to national and international markets for agricultural products

**Is there a functional relationship between DLDD and the poverty rate in rural areas?**

**Yes**

**Please describe how DLDD affects the poverty rate in rural areas.**

Most rural households depend largely on crop and livestock production for their income. Poor people in rural areas are either members of traditional small-scale agricultural households eking out a livelihood at subsistence level, or they are among the poor rural unemployed, who may be even more disadvantaged than poor landholders.

Food security and poor nutrition are not critical development issues in the country, but rural households are extremely vulnerable to external economic shocks. Because of economic transition and regional instabilities the reliability of local food production is far from assured.

While small-scale farmers can produce at least part of their food and earn some income by selling surplus production, unemployed people in rural areas have no such opportunities. They have no access to agricultural assets and few prospects for employment, since non-farm rural enterprises do not have the capacity to absorb new entrants to the labour force.

Rural poverty increased as a result of the economic transition that followed the collapse of the communist system and the break-up of the Yugoslav republic. Rural people were without adequate inputs and access to markets. They lacked financial resources for investment to improve their incomes and

living conditions. The absence of rural financial and technical services was the single greatest constraint to development of private smallholder farming in the country.

semiarid climatic conditions affect broad areas (1/3 of the country), seasonal droughts, very high rainfall variability and sudden and intense rainfall that cause high erosion processes and torrential floods; rugged terrain with steep slopes and very diversified landscapes (78% of the territory belong to hilly, hilly-mountain and mountain area);

extensive loss of forest cover as a result of frequent fires that cause huge damages (2000 – 15 million Euros; 2007 – 21 million Euros); crisis conditions in traditional agriculture, etc.

*If DLDD does not affect the poverty rate in rural areas, please describe the other factor(s) responsible for changes in the poverty rate in rural areas.*

*No answer required.*

*Please upload a graph showing the percentage of human population below the poverty line in rural areas, covering all years for which data are provided in section “Poverty Rate” / sub-section “Reporting on the indicator”.*

The graph should be provided as jpg or pdf with a maximum file size of 2MB

*No files attached.*

*To facilitate understanding please explain clearly what can be seen in the diagram (keys, classes, etc).*

Poverty line in rural areas permanent increase from 28% (2004) up to 48% (2011). The first reason is dis-balance of the economy development between Skopje region and all other territory of the country. The country's poorest people are small-scale farmers, unemployed people and pensioners.

The causes of rural poverty and underdevelopment include:

- massive unemployment resulting from the breakdown of the command economy
- lack of financial and technical services for improvements in agriculture
- lack of access to national and international markets for agricultural products

*Please state the actions and policies that you currently have in place or any that will be implemented in the future to address the implications of the indicator trend or lack thereof for addressing DLDD in your country.*

The Government of the Republic of Macedonia through the Ministry of agriculture, forestry and water economy announce A programme for support of farmers from the year 2012. The full budget for this is cca 112 million Euros, out of then 56 million Euros for subsidiaries and 56 million Euros for additional support of farmers.

---

## **Poverty at the national level**

If data was provided for either affected or rural areas, please **do not** respond to this section.

*Did you provide data on poverty at the national level?*

**Yes**

*If yes, please state whether you provided data for more than one year.*

**Yes**

*If no, do you see a pattern in the data?*

*No answer required.*

*Please explain the pattern emerging from the data and how it relates to DLDD.*

*No answer required.*

*If yes, does the poverty rate at national level change over time?*

**Yes**

*If no, please explain possible reasons why the poverty rate at national level does not change over time.*

*No answer required.*

***If yes, does the poverty rate at national level increase or decrease?***

**Both increase and decrease**

***Please explain the pattern emerging from the data.***

**Graph show sinusoidal trend.**

***Is there a functional relationship between DLDD and the poverty rate at the national level?***

**Yes**

***Please describe how DLDD affects the poverty rate at the national level.***

**More of the people in other urban areas are connected to agriculture although they live in the cities.**

**There are cca 170 000 farmer families or almost 40% of the population of the country is connected to agriculture. They suffer from DLDD effects.**

***If DLDD does not affect the poverty rate at the national level, please describe the other factor(s) responsible for changes in the poverty rate at the national level.***

**No answer required.**

***Please upload a graph showing the percentage of the national population below the poverty line, covering all years for which data are provided in section "Reporting on the indicator".***

The graph should be provided as jpg or pdf with a maximum file size of 2MB

**No files attached.**

***To facilitate understanding please explain clearly what can be seen in the diagram (keys, classes, etc).***

**In the capital city Skopje and its neighborhood live almost 1/3 of the population. Other population live in other urban areas and in other rural areas. For the period 2004-2011 there. Poverty line on national level vary as sinusoidal between 28,7% and 31,1%. Poverty line for citizens of Skopje Poverty line for Skopje radically decrease from 31.1% (2004) to 12,8% (2009). On the other hand poverty line in all other regions of the country increase. Poverty line in other urban areas in the country increase from 28% (2004) up to 44.3% (2008). Poverty line in rural areas increase permanent.**

***Please state the actions and policies that you currently have in place or any that will be implemented in the future to address the implications of the indicator trend or lack thereof for addressing DLDD in your country.***

**The Government of the Republic of Macedonia through the Ministry of agriculture, forestry and water economy announce A programme for support of farmers from the year 2012. The full budget for this is cca 112 million Euros, out of then 56 million Euros for subsidiaries and 56 million Euros for additional support of farmers.**

---

## **Feedback**

---

### **Report on specific COP requests – iterative process on indicators**

Decision 13/COP.9 (paragraphs 2, 3 and 4) and decision 17/COP.9 envisage an iterative process to refine the set of performance and impact indicators. As a tool to implement this iterative process, affected country Parties can provide here their suggestions and recommendations for improvement. Using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 = (no, not at all) and 5 = (yes, very much), please rate the indicator. Please write any comments related to your assessment under the column "remarks".

<b>Assessment Criteria (e-SMART)</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
Relevant – Does the indicator provide information about changes in primary processes unambiguously related to DLDD and UNCCD implementation?	3	Some processes depend on other factors: global economy, national economy etc.



Assessment Criteria (e-SMART)	Score	Remarks
Relevant – Is the indicator relevant for DLDD national planning purposes, including monitoring of the National Action Programme (NAP)?	3	---
Relevant – Can policymakers easily understand the indicator?	3	Not at all.
Specific – Is the indicator based on well-understood and generally accepted conceptual models of the system to which it is applied so that changes in its value will have clear meaning regarding the process of concern?	4	---
Specific – Is the requested spatial scale (national vs. affected areas) of the indicator appropriate for its monitoring purposes?	5	national vs. rural areas in our case is appropriate and related to national vs affected areas
Measurable – Are the definitions of the indicator and its constitutive elements clear and not ambiguous?	5	---
Measurable – Are the proposed methodologies for the measurement of this indicator sufficiently clear to ensure reliable data?	4	In our country, some basic data are missing for full and precise description of the indicator
Time-bound – Is the indicator sensitive enough to detect important changes but not so sensitive that signals are masked by natural variability?	3	---
Time-bound – Can the indicator detect changes at the required temporal and spatial scales and are the up-scaling / cross-scaling rules clear?	4	---
Achievable – Are reliable data and monitoring systems available to assess trends and is data collection a relatively straightforward process?	3	---
Achievable – Is the frequency of data collection in line with the monitoring and reporting requirements of the UNCCD?	2	---
Economic – Is the indicator cost-effective? Is the cost of data collection affordable and worthwhile? (consider any required cost for personnel, capital but also, recurring costs)	---	---

### Core indicator S-5: Land Cover Status

UNCCD Strategic Objective(s) for which the indicator applies  
Strategic Objective 2: To improve the condition of ecosystems

UNCCD Core indicator S-5

Maintenance of or increases in ecosystem function, including net primary productivity

Name of the indicator

Land cover status

Metric

Land cover / land productivity

Purpose of the indicator

The purpose of this indicator is to measure and monitor changes in land cover and land productivity. This can be used to indicate land degradation in terms of long-term loss of ecosystem primary productivity, and assess the progress made in maintaining or improving the condition of ecosystems.

## Understanding of the indicator

Land cover reflects the (bio) physical dimension of the earth's surface. This can indicate the land's ability to sustain human activity and land use. Ideally, parties should provide data on the area of each land cover type, the percentage of the total national area covered by each land cover type and any patterns and trends over time. Where available, data should also be provided on the net primary productivity of each land cover type, to give some indication of the total productive capacity of the land.

## Data needed

Total national land area, classification of land cover types, the total area of each land cover type (square km) and the total area of each land cover type as a percentage of the total national land area.

## Relevant terms in the glossary

'aerial photo', 'data', 'data analysis', 'data source', 'desertification/land degradation and drought (DLDD)', 'Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI)', 'e-SMART', 'expert knowledge', 'field survey', 'Fraction of photosynthetically active radiation absorbed by vegetation (FAPAR)', 'Global Inventory Modeling and Mapping Studies (GIMMS)', 'ground-truthing', 'indicator', 'land cover', 'Land Cover Classification System (LCCS)', 'land productivity', 'metric', 'minimum mapping unit (MMU)', 'Net primary productivity (NPP)', 'Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI)', 'Rainfall Use Efficiency (RUE)', 'remote sensing', 'satellite image', 'spatial resolution', 'temporal resolution'

## Reporting on land cover

### Reporting on the indicator

Land Cover Type #2 — Artificial surface

---

Land cover type

#### **Name**

**Artificial surface**

---

Methodology and data availability

***Please provide the definition used for this land cover type for each year between 2000 and 2011 for which land cover data are available.***

If available, LCCS should be used as land cover classification system.

<b>Year</b>	<b>Definition of land cover type</b>
2000	artificial areas encompass: urban fabric; industrial, commercial and transport units; mine, dump and construction sites; artificial non-agricultural vegetated areas;
2001	---
2002	---
2003	---
2004	---
2005	---
2006	artificial areas encompass: urban fabric; industrial, commercial and transport units; mine, dump and construction sites; artificial non-agricultural vegetated areas;
2007	---
2008	---
2009	---
2010	---

Year	Definition of land cover type
2011	---

**Please state the methodology used to produce land cover data in your country for the most recent years and the availability of associated images.**

Where remote sensing was used, state the respective year and month in which remote sensing was carried out to derive images.

*Item 1*

**Year:**  
2000

**Method used:**  
Remote sensing

**If field survey was used, please specify the percentage of country surface sampled:**  
---

**If field survey was used, were the surveys extrapolated to the entire country?**  
---

**If remote sensing was used, identify the type and answer the following questions:**  
Satellite image

**Classification:**  
Manual

**Please specify the spatial resolution of the image:**  
30m

**Please specify the temporal resolution of the image:**  
16days

**Please specify the minimum mapping unit (MMU) of the image:**  
500m

**Please specify the year that the photo was captured:**  
1996

**Please specify the month that the image was captured:**  
---

**Percentage of country surface assessed via this classification:**  
100%

**Ground truthing conducted?**  
Yes

**If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:**  
---%

**High resolution verification?**  
---

**If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:**  
---%

**Expert opinion?**  
No

**Please specify how accurate the map was judged by the experts:**  
The CORINE LC1990 inventory, completed in 2000, based on the images of 1995-1996, was accepted as CLC2000. In past, for land cover/use distribution was used national methodology using filed survey where accuracy is much higher and different definitions of LCU types.

**Please specify the number of experts involved:**  
---

**Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.**

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
EEA	2012	---	---	<a href="http://www.eea.europa.eu/soer/countries/mk/soertopic_view?topic=land">http://www.eea.europa.eu/soer/countries/mk/soertopic_view?topic=land</a>

Indicator data

***For the years available, please provide the total area covered (in square kilometres) and the proportion of the total national area covered by this land cover type.***

Year	square km	% of total
2000	389	1%
2001	---	---%
2002	---	---%
2003	---	---%
2004	---	---%
2005	---	---%
2006	411	1%
2007	---	---%
2008	---	---%
2009	---	---%
2010	---	---%
2011	---	---%

***Please provide the geographic datasets which underpin the land cover information provided.***

Ideally, the data should be provided as a shapefile or raster (Geotiff) format with geographic coordinates on the WGS84 datum. If the raw data are not available please provide a map showing the extent of each land cover type listed. Ideally, the map should have a scale of less than 1:250,000 and be provided in a Tiff format.

*No files attached.*

***To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map (resolution of map, year of the map, land cover classes and corresponding colours, borders, etc).***

**Temporal increase of artificial areas is result of progressive soil sealing due to the extension of settlement.**

Contact details

General information on the national contact person for this indicator

***Name and surname***

**Vesna Indova**

***Institution***

**Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning of the Republic of Macedonia**

***Address***

**Bul. Goce Delcev br.8 1000 Skopje**

**Email**

v.indova@moepp.gov.mk

**Telephone**

+389 76 446 916

Land Cover Type #3 — Agricultural areas

Land cover type

**Name****Agricultural areas**

Methodology and data availability

**Please provide the definition used for this land cover type for each year between 2000 and 2011 for which land cover data are available.**

If available, LCCS should be used as land cover classification system.

Year	Definition of land cover type
2000	agricultural areas encompass: arable land (irrigated, non-irrigated), permanently irrigated, rice fields, permanent crops, pastures or wooded meadows, heterogeneous agricultural areas, heterogeneous agricultural areas
2001	---
2002	---
2003	---
2004	---
2005	---
2006	agricultural areas encompass: arable land (irrigated, non-irrigated), permanently irrigated, rice fields, permanent crops, pastures or wooded meadows, heterogeneous agricultural areas, heterogeneous agricultural areas
2007	---
2008	---
2009	---
2010	---
2011	---

**Please state the methodology used to produce land cover data in your country for the most recent years and the availability of associated images.**

Where remote sensing was used, state the respective year and month in which remote sensing was carried out to derive images.

*Item 1***Year:**

2000

**Method used:**

Remote sensing

**If field survey was used, please specify the percentage of country surface sampled:**

---

**If field survey was used, were the surveys extrapolated to the entire country?**

---

**If remote sensing was used, identify the type and answer the following questions:**

Satellite image

**Classification:**

Manual

**Please specify the spatial resolution of the image:**

31m

**Please specify the temporal resolution of the image:**

16days

**Please specify the minimum mapping unit (MMU) of the image:**

500m

**Please specify the year that the photo was captured:**

1996

**Please specify the month that the image was captured:**

---

**Percentage of country surface assessed via this classification:**

100%

**Ground truthing conducted?**

Yes

**If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:**

---%

**High resolution verification?**

---

**If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:**

---%

**Expert opinion?**

Yes

**Please specify how accurate the map was judged by the experts:**

The CORINE LC1990 inventory, completed in 2000, based on the images of 1995-1996, was accepted as CLC2000. In past, for land cover/use distribution was used national methodology using filed survey where accuracy is much higher and different definitions of LCU types.

**Please specify the number of experts involved:**

---

**Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.**

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
EEA	2012	---	---	<a href="http://www.eea.europa.eu/soer/countries/mk/soertopic_view?topic=land">http://www.eea.europa.eu/soer/countries/mk/soertopic_view?topic=land</a>

Indicator data

**For the years available, please provide the total area covered (in square kilometres) and the proportion of the total national area covered by this land cover type.**

Year	square km	% of total
2000	9363	36%
2001	---	---%

Year	square km	% of total
2002	---	---%
2003	---	---%
2004	---	---%
2005	---	---%
2006	9334	36%
2007	---	---%
2008	---	---%
2009	---	---%
2010	---	---%
2011	---	---%

***Please provide the geographic datasets which underpin the land cover information provided.***

Ideally, the data should be provided as a shapefile or raster (Geotiff) format with geographic coordinates on the WGS84 datum. If the raw data are not available please provide a map showing the extent of each land cover type listed. Ideally, the map should have a scale of less than 1:250,000 and be provided in a Tiff format.

*No files attached.*

***To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map (resolution of map, year of the map, land cover classes and corresponding colours, borders, etc).***

**Decreasing of agricultural areas is due to soil sealing and increasing of artificial surfaces and water reservoirs (water bodies).**

---

Contact details

General information on the national contact person for this indicator

***Name and surname***

**Vesna Indova**

***Institution***

**Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning of the Republic of Macedonia**

***Address***

**Bul. Goce Delcev br.8 1000 Skopje, Republic of Macedonia**

***Email***

**v.indova@moepp.gov.mk**

***Telephone***

**+389 76 446 916**

---

Land Cover Type #4 — Forest and seminatural areas

---

Land cover type

***Name***

**Forest and seminatural areas**

**Please provide the definition used for this land cover type for each year between 2000 and 2011 for which land cover data are available.**

If available, LCCS should be used as land cover classification system.

Year	Definition of land cover type
2000	forest and semi-natural areas encompass: forests(broad-leaved, coniferous, mixed), shrubs and/or herbaceous vegetation associations (natural grassland, moors), sclerophyllous vegetation, transitional woodland/shrub, open spaces with little or no vegetation ( bare rock, sparsely vegetated areas, burnt
2001	---
2002	---
2003	---
2004	---
2005	---
2006	forest and semi-natural areas encompass: forests(broad-leaved, coniferous, mixed), shrubs and/or herbaceous vegetation associations (natural grassland, moors), sclerophyllous vegetation, transitional woodland/shrub, open spaces with little or no vegetation ( bare rock, sparsely vegetated areas, burnt
2007	---
2008	---
2009	---
2010	---
2011	---

**Please state the methodology used to produce land cover data in your country for the most recent years and the availability of associated images.**

Where remote sensing was used, state the respective year and month in which remote sensing was carried out to derive images.

*Item 1*

**Year:**

2000

**Method used:**

Remote sensing

**If field survey was used, please specify the percentage of country surface sampled:**

---

**If field survey was used, were the surveys extrapolated to the entire country?**

---

**If remote sensing was used, identify the type and answer the following questions:**

Satellite image

**Classification:**

Manual

**Please specify the spatial resolution of the image:**

30m

**Please specify the temporal resolution of the image:**

16days



**Please specify the minimum mapping unit (MMU) of the image:**

500m

**Please specify the year that the photo was captured:**

1996

**Please specify the month that the image was captured:**

---

**Percentage of country surface assessed via this classification:**

---

**Ground truthing conducted?**

---

**If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:**

---%

**High resolution verification?**

---

**If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:**

---%

**Expert opinion?**

No

**Please specify how accurate the map was judged by the experts:**

The CORINE LC1990 inventory, completed in 2000, based on the images of 1995-1996, was accepted as CLC2000. In past, for land cover/use distribution was used national methodology using filed survey where accuracy is much higher and different definitions of LCU types.

**Please specify the number of experts involved:**

---

**Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.**

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
EEA	2018	---	---	<a href="http://www.eea.europa.eu/soer/countries/mk/soertopic_view?topic=land">http://www.eea.europa.eu/soer/countries/mk/soertopic_view?topic=land</a>

Indicator data

**For the years available, please provide the total area covered (in square kilometres) and the proportion of the total national area covered by this land cover type.**

Year	square km	% of total
2000	15385	60%
2001	---	---%
2002	---	---%
2003	---	---%
2004	---	---%
2005	---	---%
2006	15376	60%
2007	---	---%
2008	---	---%

Year	square km	% of total
2009	---	---%
2010	---	---%
2011	---	---%

**Please provide the geographic datasets which underpin the land cover information provided.**

Ideally, the data should be provided as a shapefile or raster (Geotiff) format with geographic coordinates on the WGS84 datum. If the raw data are not available please provide a map showing the extent of each land cover type listed. Ideally, the map should have a scale of less than 1:250,000 and be provided in a Tiff format.

*No files attached.*

**To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map (resolution of map, year of the map, land cover classes and corresponding colours, borders, etc).**

According to Forest sector data, forest cover permanent increase. It means that decrease of area of this category (- 84400 ha) is a result of conversion of other sub-types (natural grassland, open space, sclerophylle vegetation, transitional woodland etc. to water bodies or artificial surface.

Contact details

General information on the national contact person for this indicator

**Name and surname**

Vesna Indova

**Institution**

Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning of the Republic of Macedonia

**Address**

Bul. Goce Delcev br.8 1000 Skopje

**Email**

v.indova@moepp.gov.mk

**Telephone**

+389 76 446 916

Land Cover Type #5 — Wetlands

Land cover type

**Name**

Wetlands

Methodology and data availability

**Please provide the definition used for this land cover type for each year between 2000 and 2011 for which land cover data are available.**

If available, LCCS should be used as land cover classification system.

Year	Definition of land cover type
2000	wetlands encompass: inland marshes, peatbogs
2001	---
2002	---

Year	Definition of land cover type
2003	---
2004	---
2005	---
2006	wetlands encompass: inland marshes, peatbogs
2007	---
2008	---
2009	---
2010	---
2011	---

**Please state the methodology used to produce land cover data in your country for the most recent years and the availability of associated images.**

Where remote sensing was used, state the respective year and month in which remote sensing was carried out to derive images.

*Item 1*

**Year:**

2000

**Method used:**

Remote sensing

**If field survey was used, please specify the percentage of country surface sampled:**

100%

**If field survey was used, were the surveys extrapolated to the entire country?**

---

**If remote sensing was used, identify the type and answer the following questions:**

Satellite image

**Classification:**

Manual

**Please specify the spatial resolution of the image:**

30m

**Please specify the temporal resolution of the image:**

16days

**Please specify the minimum mapping unit (MMU) of the image:**

500m

**Please specify the year that the photo was captured:**

1996

**Please specify the month that the image was captured:**

---

**Percentage of country surface assessed via this classification:**

---

**Ground truthing conducted?**

Yes

**If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:**

---%

**High resolution verification?**

---

**If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:**

---%

**Expert opinion?**

No

**Please specify how accurate the map was judged by the experts:**

water bodies encompass: water courses, water bodies

**Please specify the number of experts involved:**

---

**Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.**

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
EEA	2012	---	---	<a href="http://www.eea.europa.eu/soer/countries/mk/soertopic_view?topic=land">http://www.eea.europa.eu/soer/countries/mk/soertopic_view?topic=land</a>

Indicator data

**For the years available, please provide the total area covered (in square kilometres) and the proportion of the total national area covered by this land cover type.**

Year	square km	% of total
2000	26	0%
2001	---	---%
2002	---	---%
2003	---	---%
2004	---	---%
2005	---	---%
2006	26	0%
2007	---	---%
2008	---	---%
2009	---	---%
2010	---	---%
2011	---	---%

**Please provide the geographic datasets which underpin the land cover information provided.**

Ideally, the data should be provided as a shapefile or raster (Geotiff) format with geographic coordinates on the WGS84 datum. If the raw data are not available please provide a map showing the extent of each land cover type listed. Ideally, the map should have a scale of less than 1:250,000 and be provided in a Tiff format.

*No files attached.*

**To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map (resolution of map, year of the map, land cover classes and corresponding colours, borders, etc).**

**The areas under wetlands are below 1% (0,15 of total territory). Changes over time are minor. Increasing of 24 ha is result of arising awareness and new legislation related to wetlands (RAMSAR) convention).**

Contact details

General information on the national contact person for this indicator

**Name and surname**

Vesna Indova

**Institution**

Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning of the Republic of Macedonia

**Address**

Bul. Goce Delcev br.8 1000 Skopje

**Email**

v.indova@moepp.gov.mk

**Telephone**

+389 76 446 916

---

Land Cover Type #6 — Water bodies

---

Land cover type

**Name**

Water bodies

---

Methodology and data availability

**Please provide the definition used for this land cover type for each year between 2000 and 2011 for which land cover data are available.**

If available, LCCS should be used as land cover classification system.

Year	Definition of land cover type
2000	water bodies encompass: water courses, water bodies
2001	---
2002	---
2003	---
2004	---
2005	---
2006	water bodies encompass: water courses, water bodies
2007	---
2008	---
2009	---
2010	---
2011	---

**Please state the methodology used to produce land cover data in your country for the most recent years and the availability of associated images.**

Where remote sensing was used, state the respective year and month in which remote sensing was carried out to derive images.

Item 1

**Year:**  
2000

**Method used:**  
Remote sensing

**If field survey was used, please specify the percentage of country surface sampled:**  
---

**If field survey was used, were the surveys extrapolated to the entire country?**  
---

**If remote sensing was used, identify the type and answer the following questions:**  
Satellite image

**Classification:**  
Manual

**Please specify the spatial resolution of the image:**  
30m

**Please specify the temporal resolution of the image:**  
16days

**Please specify the minimum mapping unit (MMU) of the image:**  
500m

**Please specify the year that the photo was captured:**  
1996

**Please specify the month that the image was captured:**  
---

**Percentage of country surface assessed via this classification:**  
100%

**Ground truthing conducted?**  
Yes

**If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:**  
---%

**High resolution verification?**  
---

**If percentage accuracy is available, please specify:**  
---%

**Expert opinion?**  
No

**Please specify how accurate the map was judged by the experts:**  
The CORINE LC1990 inventory, completed in 2000, based on the images of 1995-1996, was accepted as CLC2000. In past, for land cover/use distribution was used national methodology using filed survey where accuracy is much higher and different definitions of LCU types.

**Please specify the number of experts involved:**  
---

*Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.*

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
EEA	2012	---	---	<a href="http://www.eea.europa.eu/soer/countries/mk/soertopic_view?topic=land">http://www.eea.europa.eu/soer/countries/mk/soertopic_view?topic=land</a>

Indicator data

*For the years available, please provide the total area covered (in square kilometres) and the proportion of the total national area covered by this land cover type.*

Year	square km	% of total
2000	551	2%
2001	---	---%
2002	---	---%
2003	---	---%
2004	---	---%
2005	---	---%
2006	566	2%
2007	---	---%
2008	---	---%
2009	---	---%
2010	---	---%
2011	---	---%

***Please provide the geographic datasets which underpin the land cover information provided.***

Ideally, the data should be provided as a shapefile or raster (Geotiff) format with geographic coordinates on the WGS84 datum. If the raw data are not available please provide a map showing the extent of each land cover type listed. Ideally, the map should have a scale of less than 1:250,000 and be provided in a Tiff format.

*No files attached.*

***To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map (resolution of map, year of the map, land cover classes and corresponding colours, borders, etc).***

**The increasing of the area under water bodies is due to the new water reservoirs.**

---

Contact details

General information on the national contact person for this indicator

***Name and surname***

**Vesna Indova**

***Institution***

**Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning of the Republic of Macedonia**

***Address***

**Bul. Goce Delcev br.8 1000 Skopje**

***Email***

**v.indova@moepp.gov.mk**

***Telephone***

**+389 76 446 916**

---

**Interpretation of indicator status/trend and policy implications**

Interpretation of the indicator

***Did you provide data for more than one year?***

Yes

**If no, do you see a pattern in the data related to affected areas?**

No answer required.

**Please explain the pattern emerging from the data and how it relates to DLDD.**

No answer required.

**Does the indicator change over time?**

Yes

**If no, please explain possible reasons why the indicator does not change over time**

No answer required.

**If yes, is there a functional relationship between the indicator and DLDD?**

Yes

**If DLDD does not affect the indicator, please describe the other factor(s) responsible for changes in the indicator value over time.**

No answer required.

**Please describe how DLDD affects the indicator.**

Changes in land use are result of several factors e.g.increase of population as well as land abandonment that result in conversion of productive (agricultural and forest land) to artificial areas and water bodies i.e soil sealing.

**Does the extent of affected areas increase or decrease?**

Both increase and decrease

**Please explain the pattern emerging from the data.**

No answer.

**Please upload any graphs showing the temporal pattern/trend in the indicator over time, covering all years for which data is available.**

The graph should be provided as jpg or pdf with a maximum file size of 2MB. To facilitate understanding please explain clearly what can be seen in the diagrams (keys, classes, resolutions etc.)

No files attached.

**Please state the actions and policies that you currently have in place or any that will be implemented in the future to address the implications of the indicator trend or lack thereof for addressing DLDD in your country.**

Government through the Ministry of Agriculture, forestry and water economy each year have annual programme for Support of agricultural production and rural development. Beside it, Government through the forestry sector invest in afforestation, maintenance of young forest plantation and protection from various factors (forest fires, pests, disease, illegal logging).

---

## Feedback

Report on specific COP requests – iterative process on indicators

Decision 13/COP.9 (paragraphs 2, 3 and 4) and decision 17/COP.9 envisage an iterative process to refine the set of performance and impact indicators. As a tool to implement this iterative process, affected country Parties can provide here their suggestions and recommendations for improvement. Using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 = (no, not at all) and 5 = (yes, very much), please rate the indicator. Please write any comments related to your assessment under the column “remarks”.

Assessment Criteria (e-SMART)	Score	Remarks
Relevant – Does the indicator provide information about changes	5	---



Assessment Criteria (e-SMART)	Score	Remarks
in primary processes unambiguously related to DLDD and UNCCD implementation?		
Relevant – Is the indicator relevant for DLDD national planning purposes, including monitoring of the National Action Programme (NAP)?	5	---
Relevant – Can policymakers easily understand the indicator?	4	---
Specific – Is the indicator based on well-understood and generally accepted conceptual models of the system to which it is applied so that changes in its value will have clear meaning regarding the process of concern?	5	---
Specific – Is the requested spatial scale (national vs. affected areas) of the indicator appropriate for its monitoring purposes?	5	---
Measurable – Are the definitions of the indicator and its constitutive elements clear and not ambiguous?	5	---
Measurable – Are the proposed methodologies for the measurement of this indicator sufficiently clear to ensure reliable data?	5	---
Time-bound – Is the indicator sensitive enough to detect important changes but not so sensitive that signals are masked by natural variability?	4	---
Time-bound – Can the indicator detect changes at the required temporal and spatial scales and are the up-scaling / cross-scaling rules clear?	4	---
Achievable – Are reliable data and monitoring systems available to assess trends and is data collection a relatively straightforward process?	5	RS analyze gave general view, while LPIS is much more precise and connected with actual land users. LPIS is still developing in the country.
Achievable – Is the frequency of data collection in line with the monitoring and reporting requirements of the UNCCD?	2	---
Economic – Is the indicator cost-effective? Is the cost of data collection affordable and worthwhile? (consider any required cost for personnel, capital but also, recurring costs)	---	---

### Reporting on land productivity

Do not reply to this section if you provided no data on land cover.

### Reporting on the indicator

Do not reply to this section if you provided no data on land cover.

**Please, state the methods used to estimate land productivity in your country.**

**No answer**

**Please state the net primary productivity (NPP) (in  $\text{kgC ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$ ) for each land cover type described in section “Land Cover Status” / sub-section “Methodology and data availability”:**

Year (2000-2011)	Land cover type	NPP (kgC ha <sup>-1</sup> year <sup>-1</sup> )
---	---	---

**For the land cover types described in section “Land Cover Status” / sub-section “Methodology and data availability”, please state whether you have data on NDVI, FAPAR, EVI or others and also state the respective value.**

Year (2000-2011)	Land cover type	Value	Data type
---	---	---	---

**If Others, please specify:**

*No answer required.*

**Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.**

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
---	---	---	---	---

**Please provide the geographic datasets which underpin the land productivity information provided.**

Ideally, the data should be provided as a shapefile or raster (Geotiff) format with geographic coordinates on the WGS84 datum. If the raw data are not available please provide a map showing the extent of each land cover type listed. Ideally, the map should have a scale of less than 1:250,000 and be provided in a Tiff format.

*No files attached.*

**To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map (resolution of map, year of the map, land cover classes and corresponding colours, borders, etc).**

*No answer*

## Interpretation of the indicator

**Did you provide data for more than one year?**

*No*

**If no, do you see a pattern in the data related to affected areas?**

*No*

**Please explain the pattern emerging from the data and how it relates to DLDD.**

*No answer required.*

**Does the indicator change over time?**

*No answer required.*

**If no, please explain possible reasons why the indicator does not change over time**

*No answer required.*

**If yes, is there a functional relationship between the indicator and DLDD?**

*No answer required.*

**If DLDD does not affect the indicator, please describe the other factor(s) responsible for changes in the indicator value over time.**

*No answer required.*

**Please describe how DLDD affects the indicator.**

*No answer required.*

**Does the extent of affected areas increase or decrease?**

No answer required.

**Please explain the pattern emerging from the data.**

No answer required.

**Please upload any graphs showing the temporal pattern/trend in the indicator over time, covering all years for which data is available.**

The graph should be provided as jpg or pdf with a maximum file size of 2MB. To facilitate understanding please explain clearly what can be seen in the diagrams (keys, classes, resolutions etc.)

No answer required.

**Please state the actions and policies that you currently have in place or any that will be implemented in the future to address the implications of the indicator trend or lack thereof for addressing DLDD in your country.**

No answer

---

## Feedback

---

### Report on specific COP requests – iterative process on indicators

Decision 13/COP.9 (paragraphs 2, 3 and 4) and decision 17/COP.9 envisage an iterative process to refine the set of performance and impact indicators. As a tool to implement this iterative process, affected country Parties can provide here their suggestions and recommendations for improvement. Using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 = (no, not at all) and 5 = (yes, very much), please rate the indicator. Please write any comments related to your assessment under the column “remarks”.

Assessment Criteria (e-SMART)	Score	Remarks
Relevant – Does the indicator provide information about changes in primary processes unambiguously related to DLDD and UNCCD implementation?	4	---
Relevant – Is the indicator relevant for DLDD national planning purposes, including monitoring of the National Action Programme (NAP)?	4	---
Relevant – Can policymakers easily understand the indicator?	3	Too scientific approach
Specific – Is the indicator based on well-understood and generally accepted conceptual models of the system to which it is applied so that changes in its value will have clear meaning regarding the process of concern?	3	---
Specific – Is the requested spatial scale (national vs. affected areas) of the indicator appropriate for its monitoring purposes?	3	---
Measurable – Are the definitions of the indicator and its constitutive elements clear and not ambiguous?	4	---
Measurable – Are the proposed methodologies for the measurement of this indicator sufficiently clear to ensure reliable data?	4	---
Time-bound – Is the indicator sensitive enough to detect important changes but not so sensitive that signals are masked by natural variability?	4	---
Time-bound – Can the indicator detect changes at the required temporal and spatial scales and are the up-scaling / cross-scaling rules clear?	5	---
Achievable – Are reliable data and monitoring systems available to assess trends and is data collection a relatively straightforward process?	1	No such measurement are conducted in our country.

Assessment Criteria (e-SMART)	Score	Remarks
Achievable – Is the frequency of data collection in line with the monitoring and reporting requirements of the UNCCD?	0	No data regarding NPP has been collected by the moment of reporting
Economic – Is the indicator cost-effective? Is the cost of data collection affordable and worthwhile? (consider any required cost for personnel, capital but also, recurring costs)	3	---

### Additional indicators on strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3

This reporting template can be used to report on the nine impact indicators provisionally accepted by the COP (see decision 17/COP.9) but considered optional for inclusion in reports by affected country Parties. Detailed reporting guidelines have not been developed for these nine optional impact indicators, but a description of the indicators and associated metrics can be found in Orr, B.J. 2011. “Scientific review of the UNCCD provisionally accepted set of impact indicators to measure the implementation of strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3.” White Paper Version 1. Available from [http://www.unccd.int/en/programmes/Science/Monitoring-Assessment/Documents/White%20paper\\_Scientific%20review%20set%20of%20indicators\\_Ver1.pdf](http://www.unccd.int/en/programmes/Science/Monitoring-Assessment/Documents/White%20paper_Scientific%20review%20set%20of%20indicators_Ver1.pdf)

Alternative indicators considered more suitable than the provisionally accepted indicators may also be reported on using this template. The condition for reporting on alternative indicators is that these fit into the underlying logic of measuring progress against strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3 of the Strategy.

#### Purpose of the indicators

<b>Water availability per capita</b>	“To measure and monitor changes in access to water sources for the population. This can be used to assess the impacts of DLDD, and mitigation efforts, on water resources”
<b>Change in land use</b>	“To measure and monitor changes in the productive or protective uses of the land resource. This can be used to assess sustainability of land use.”
<b>Food consumption per capita</b>	“To measure and monitor changes in nutritional status. This can act as an indicator of both well-being and the availability of ecosystem services.”
<b>Capacity of soils to sustain agro-pastoral use</b>	“To measure and monitor changes in the status of soil health. This can be used to assess the impacts of DLDD, and mitigation efforts, on soil health.”
<b>Degree of land degradation</b>	“To measure and monitor changes in the extent and severity of land degradation. This can be used to assess the impact of agreements and programs to address land degradation and reclaim degraded lands.”
<b>Plant and animal biodiversity</b>	“To measure and monitor changes in the status of biodiversity relative to a ‘pristine’ baseline. This can be used as an indicator for overall environmental sustainability and used to assess the impacts of DLDD, and interventions, on enhancing biodiversity.”
<b>Drought index</b>	“Acts as an indicator for characterising sensitive and desertification-affected areas. This can be used to monitor the climatic conditions affecting water availability as a driving force of DLDD, provide early warnings of drought and assess severity and actions.”
<b>Carbon stocks above and below ground</b>	“To measure and monitor changes in above and below ground stocks as a global benefit. This can be used to assess the impacts of DLDD, and mitigation efforts on carbon stocks.”
<b>Land under Sustainable Land Management (SLM)</b>	“To act as a surrogate for measuring and monitoring a number of global benefits: (a) Climate regulation and carbon sequestration; (b) Vegetation cover and composition; and (c) Water retention and the regional hydrologic balance.”

### Additional Indicator #1

Identification of the indicator / metric

**On which type of additional indicator do you wish to report?**

One of the impact indicators provisionally accepted by the COP

**If you wish to report on one of the impact indicators provisionally accepted by the COP, please choose the indicator and metric you are reporting on.**

- Water availability and use

**If other, please specify the new metric.**

No answer required.

**If other, please state why you choose to report on a new metric.**

No answer required.

**If other, please state how this new metric relates to the purpose of the indicator.**

No answer required.

**If you wish to report on an alternative indicator, does the new indicator replace or supplement one of the provisionally accepted indicators?**

No answer required.

**If it replaces, please state which provisionally accepted indicator the new indicator replaces:**

No answer required.

**If it replaces, please state why you decided to report on an alternative indicator:**

No answer required.

**If it replaces, please state how the new indicator relates to the purpose of the original indicator:**

No answer required.

**If it supplements, please state why you decided to report on an additional indicator:**

No answer required.

**If it supplements, please state the purpose of the additional indicator and how it relates to the strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3 of the Strategy:**

No answer required.

**If it supplements, please specify the new indicator:**

No answer required.

---

Methodology and data availability

**Please state all years for which indicator data are available, and elaborate on the data collection methods used.**

Item 1

**Year**

2010

**Data available**

Data for this indicator are available within yearly statistical reports

**Method used**

Expert opinion

**How often are the data used to calculate this indicator collected?**

---

**Was every region (or equivalent sub-national unit) of your country covered in the assessment?**

Yes

**What approximate proportion of national area was covered by the assessment?**

100%

**Please specify the geographic area covered by the indicator data (Ideally you should name administrative units covered)**

Data is on national level and not separated per regions.

**Do the indicator data cover areas in your country that have been identified as affected by DLDD?**

**Yes**

**If yes, are the demarcation (i.e. provinces, states and districts) and criteria used to identify affected areas the same as those described in the section "General information" / sub-section "Definition of affected areas"?**

*No answer provided.*

**If no, please state the criteria used to identify areas affected by DLDD.**

*No answer required.*

**Please upload a graph showing the change in the indicator (y-axis) over time (x-axis).**

The graph should be provided as jpg or pdf file with a maximum file size of 2MB.

*No files attached.*

---

Indicator data

**Please state the unit of measurement in which the indicator data are reported:**

**m3 per capita**

**Please provide relevant data for all years listed in section "Additional indicators" / sub-section "Methodology and data availability" and ensure that all data provided are in the same unit of measurement specified above.**

You may choose to separate the data into relevant categories (please name the categories and fill in the respective year).

*Item 1*

**Year**

---

**Category**

water availability per capita

**Data**

from 2330 m3 per capita (dry year) up to 3092 m3 per capita (wet year)30w

**Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.**

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
---	---	---	---	---

---

Contact details

General information on the national contact person for this indicator

**Name and surname**

**Vesna Indova**

**Institution**

**Ministry of environment and physical planning of the Republic of MAcedonia**

**Address**

**Bul Goce Delcev br. 8 1000 Skopje Republic of Macedonia**

**Email**

**v.indova@moepp.gov.mk**

**Telephone**

---

Interpretation

**Please state whether you provided data for more than one year.**

**Yes**

**If no, do you see a pattern in the data?**

*No answer required.*

**Please explain the pattern emerging from the data.**

*No answer required.*

**Does the indicator change over time?**

**No**

**If no, please explain possible reasons why the indicator does not change over time**

**The presented data are extracted for the Yearly Statistical books**

**If yes, does the indicator value increase or decrease?**

*No answer required.*

**Please explain the pattern emerging from the data.**

*No answer required.*

**Is there a functional relationship between the indicator and DLDD?**

*No answer required.*

**If yes, please describe how DLDD affects the indicator**

*No answer required.*

**If DLDD does not affect the indicator, please describe the other factor(s) responsible for changes in the indicator value.**

*No answer required.*

**Please upload a map showing the temporal and/or geographical pattern/trend in the indicator over time.**

The map should be provided as jpg file with a maximum file size of 2MB. To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map (resolution of map, year of the map, legend and corresponding colours, borders).

*No files attached.*

**Please state the actions and policies that you currently have in place or any that will be implemented in the future to address the implications of the indicator trend or lack thereof for addressing DLDD in your country.**

*No answer provided.*

---

Report on specific COP requests – iterative process on indicators

Decision 13/COP.9 (paragraphs 2, 3 and 4) and decision 17/COP.9 envisage an iterative process to refine the set of performance and impact indicators. As a tool to implement this iterative process, affected country Parties can provide here their suggestions and recommendations for improvement. Using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 = (no, not at all) and 5 = (yes, very much), please rate the indicator. Please write any comments related to your assessment under the column “remarks”.

Assessment Criteria (e-SMART)	Score	Remarks
Relevant – Does the indicator provide information about changes in primary processes unambiguously related to DLDD and UNCCD implementation?	5	---

<b>Assessment Criteria (e-SMART)</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
Relevant – Is the indicator relevant for DLDD national planning purposes, including monitoring of the National Action Programme (NAP)?	---	---
Relevant – Can policymakers easily understand the indicator?	3	Persons on the highest positions - (top and mid management level in administration) in the country are "political persons" and not all of them can understand easily.
Specific – Is the indicator based on well-understood and generally accepted conceptual models of the system to which it is applied so that changes in its value will have clear meaning regarding the process of concern?	5	---
Specific – Is the requested spatial scale (national vs. affected areas) of the indicator appropriate for its monitoring purposes?	---	---
Measurable – Are the definitions of the indicator and its constitutive elements clear and not ambiguous?	4	For some indicators it is difficult to obtain basic data for calculation.
Measurable – Are the proposed methodologies for the measurement of this indicator sufficiently clear to ensure reliable data?	4	It is clear, but some basic data is missing, especially data in time series.
Time-bound – Is the indicator sensitive enough to detect important changes but not so sensitive that signals are masked by natural variability?	4	---
Time-bound – Can the indicator detect changes at the required temporal and spatial scales and are the up-scaling / cross-scaling rules clear?	5	---
Achievable – Are reliable data and monitoring systems available to assess trends and is data collection a relatively straightforward process?	3	---
Achievable – Is the frequency of data collection in line with the monitoring and reporting requirements of the UNCCD?	3	---
Economic – Is the indicator cost-effective? Is the cost of data collection affordable and worthwhile? (consider any required cost for personnel, capital but also, recurring costs)	---	---

---

### **Additional Indicator #2 — Grams of fresh food per capita**

Identification of the indicator / metric

***On which type of additional indicator do you wish to report?***

**One of the impact indicators provisionally accepted by the COP**

***If you wish to report on one of the impact indicators provisionally accepted by the COP, please choose the indicator and metric you are reporting on.***



- Other Metric (proposed by the country as an alternative or in addition to the above)

*If other, please specify the new metric.*

Grams of fresh food per capita

*If other, please state why you choose to report on a new metric.*

Food consumption data are available in kg per year in the Yearly Statistical Reports

*If other, please state how this new metric relates to the purpose of the indicator.*

*No answer provided.*

*If you wish to report on an alternative indicator, does the new indicator replace or supplement one of the provisionally accepted indicators?*

*No answer required.*

*If it replaces, please state which provisionally accepted indicator the new indicator replaces:*

*No answer required.*

*If it replaces, please state why you decided to report on an alternative indicator:*

*No answer required.*

*If it replaces, please state how the new indicator relates to the purpose of the original indicator:*

*No answer required.*

*If it supplements, please state why you decided to report on an additional indicator:*

*No answer required.*

*If it supplements, please state the purpose of the additional indicator and how it relates to the strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3 of the Strategy:*

*No answer required.*

*If it supplements, please specify the new indicator:*

*No answer required.*

---

Methodology and data availability

**Please state all years for which indicator data are available, and elaborate on the data collection methods used.**

Item 1

**Year**

2000

**Data available**

Fruit 230 g per person per day

Vegetables 144 g per person per day

Fruit+ Vegetables 374 g per person per day (note: Situation 1996)

**Method used**

---

**How often are the data used to calculate this indicator collected?**

---

**Was every region (or equivalent sub-national unit) of your country covered in the assessment?**

Yes

**What approximate proportion of national area was covered by the assessment?**

100%

**Please specify the geographic area covered by the indicator data (Ideally you should name administrative units covered)**

National level

**Do the indicator data cover areas in your country that have been identified as affected by DLDD?**

*No answer provided.*

**If yes, are the demarcation (i.e. provinces, states and districts) and criteria used to identify affected areas the same as those described in the section "General information" / sub-section "Definition of affected areas"?**

*No answer required.*

**If no, please state the criteria used to identify areas affected by DLDD.**

*No answer required.*

**Please upload a graph showing the change in the indicator (y-axis) over time (x-axis).**

The graph should be provided as jpg or pdf file with a maximum file size of 2MB.

*No files attached.*

---

Indicator data

**Please state the unit of measurement in which the indicator data are reported:**

**g per person per day**

**Please provide relevant data for all years listed in section "Additional indicators" / sub-section "Methodology and data availability" and ensure that all data provided are in the same unit of measurement specified above.**

You may choose to separate the data into relevant categories (please name the categories and fill in the respective year).

*Item 1*

**Year**

2000

**Category**

Food consumption per capita (year 1996)

**Data**

Fruit 230 g per person per day

Vegetables 144 g per person per day

Fruit+ Vegetables 374 g per person per day

<http://www.bis.gov.uk/assets/foresight/docs/food-and-farming/drivers/dr3-food-consumption-trends.pdf>

30w

**Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.**

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
---	---	---	---	<a href="http://www.bis.gov.uk/assets/foresight/docs/food-and-farming/drivers/dr3-food-consumption-trends.pdf">http://www.bis.gov.uk/assets/foresight/docs/food-and-farming/drivers/dr3-food-consumption-trends.pdf</a>

---

Contact details

General information on the national contact person for this indicator

**Name and surname**

**Vesna Indova**

**Institution**

**Ministry of environment and physical planning of the Republic of Macedonia**

**Address**

**Bul Goce Delcev br. 8 1000 Skopje Republic of Macedonia**

**Email**

**Telephone**

+389 76 446 916

---

Interpretation

**Please state whether you provided data for more than one year.**

**No**

**If no, do you see a pattern in the data?**

**No**

**Please explain the pattern emerging from the data.**

*No answer required.*

**Does the indicator change over time?**

*No answer required.*

**If no, please explain possible reasons why the indicator does not change over time**

*No answer required.*

**If yes, does the indicator value increase or decrease?**

*No answer required.*

**Please explain the pattern emerging from the data.**

*No answer required.*

**Is there a functional relationship between the indicator and DLDD?**

*No answer required.*

**If yes, please describe how DLDD affects the indicator**

*No answer required.*

**If DLDD does not affect the indicator, please describe the other factor(s) responsible for changes in the indicator value.**

*No answer required.*

**Please upload a map showing the temporal and/or geographical pattern/trend in the indicator over time.**

The map should be provided as jpg file with a maximum file size of 2MB. To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map (resolution of map, year of the map, legend and corresponding colours, borders).

*No files attached.*

**Please state the actions and policies that you currently have in place or any that will be implemented in the future to address the implications of the indicator trend or lack thereof for addressing DLDD in your country.**

*No answer provided.*

---

Report on specific COP requests – iterative process on indicators

Decision 13/COP.9 (paragraphs 2, 3 and 4) and decision 17/COP.9 envisage an iterative process to refine the set of performance and impact indicators. As a tool to implement this iterative process, affected country Parties can provide here their suggestions and recommendations for improvement. Using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 = (no, not at all) and 5 = (yes, very much), please rate the indicator. Please write any comments related to your assessment under the column “remarks”.

Assessment Criteria (e-SMART)	Score	Remarks
Relevant – Does the indicator provide information about changes in primary processes	---	Depend on

Assessment Criteria (e-SMART)	Score	Remarks
unambiguously related to DLDD and UNCCD implementation?		many factors
Relevant – Is the indicator relevant for DLDD national planning purposes, including monitoring of the National Action Programme (NAP)?	4	Yes
Relevant – Can policymakers easily understand the indicator?	1	---
Specific – Is the indicator based on well-understood and generally accepted conceptual models of the system to which it is applied so that changes in its value will have clear meaning regarding the process of concern?	---	No information
Specific – Is the requested spatial scale (national vs. affected areas) of the indicator appropriate for its monitoring purposes?	0	It is national scale
Measurable – Are the definitions of the indicator and its constitutive elements clear and not ambiguous?	---	---
Measurable – Are the proposed methodologies for the measurement of this indicator sufficiently clear to ensure reliable data?	---	---
Time-bound – Is the indicator sensitive enough to detect important changes but not so sensitive that signals are masked by natural variability?	---	---
Time-bound – Can the indicator detect changes at the required temporal and spatial scales and are the up-scaling / cross-scaling rules clear?	---	---
Achievable – Are reliable data and monitoring systems available to assess trends and is data collection a relatively straightforward process?	---	No
Achievable – Is the frequency of data collection in line with the monitoring and reporting requirements of the UNCCD?	---	No
Economic – Is the indicator cost-effective? Is the cost of data collection affordable and worthwhile? (consider any required cost for personnel, capital but also, recurring costs)	3	---

### Additional Indicator #3

Identification of the indicator / metric

***On which type of additional indicator do you wish to report?***

**An alternative impact indicator**

***If you wish to report on one of the impact indicators provisionally accepted by the COP, please choose the indicator and metric you are reporting on.***

*No answer required.*

***If other, please specify the new metric.***

*No answer required.*

***If other, please state why you choose to report on a new metric.***

*No answer required.*

***If other, please state how this new metric relates to the purpose of the indicator.***

*No answer required.*

***If you wish to report on an alternative indicator, does the new indicator replace or supplement one of the provisionally accepted indicators?***

*No answer provided.*

**If it replaces, please state which provisionally accepted indicator the new indicator replaces:**

*No answer required.*

**If it replaces, please state why you decided to report on an alternative indicator:**

*No answer required.*

**If it replaces, please state how the new indicator relates to the purpose of the original indicator:**

*No answer required.*

**If it supplements, please state why you decided to report on an additional indicator:**

*No answer required.*

**If it supplements, please state the purpose of the additional indicator and how it relates to the strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3 of the Strategy:**

*No answer required.*

**If it supplements, please specify the new indicator:**

*No answer required.*

---

Methodology and data availability

**Please state all years for which indicator data are available, and elaborate on the data collection methods used.**

*Item 1*

**Year**

---

**Data available**

---

**Method used**

---

**How often are the data used to calculate this indicator collected?**

---

**Was every region (or equivalent sub-national unit) of your country covered in the assessment?**

---

**What approximate proportion of national area was covered by the assessment?**

---

**Please specify the geographic area covered by the indicator data (Ideally you should name administrative units covered)**

---

**Do the indicator data cover areas in your country that have been identified as affected by DLDD?**

*No answer provided.*

**If yes, are the demarcation (i.e. provinces, states and districts) and criteria used to identify affected areas the same as those described in the section "General information" / sub-section "Definition of affected areas"?**

*No answer required.*

**If no, please state the criteria used to identify areas affected by DLDD.**

*No answer required.*

**Please upload a graph showing the change in the indicator (y-axis) over time (x-axis).**

The graph should be provided as jpg or pdf file with a maximum file size of 2MB.

*No files attached.*

---

Indicator data

**Please state the unit of measurement in which the indicator data are reported:**

*No answer provided.*

**Please provide relevant data for all years listed in section “Additional indicators” / sub-section “Methodology and data availability” and ensure that all data provided are in the same unit of measurement specified above.**

You may choose to separate the data into relevant categories (please name the categories and fill in the respective year).

<p><i>Item 1</i></p> <p><b>Year</b></p> <p>---</p> <p><b>Category</b></p> <p>Plant and animal biodiversity</p> <p><b>Data</b></p> <p>Higher plants – 3700 species; Algae – 1580, Fungi – 1250, Lichens – 340, Fauna 9567 taxa, Biological resources in the Republic of Macedonia are utilised continuously. Usage includes agriculture, forestry, hunting, fishing and collection of wild plants. Significant number of taxa are endemic.30w</p>
--

**Please specify the sources used to extract the information provided above.**

Author	Year	Publication title	Publisher	Website address
---	2003	Country Study for Biodiversity of the Republic of Macedonia - First National Report	MOEPP	<a href="http://www.moepp.gov.mk/WBStorage/Files/Study%20for%20biodiversity%20of%20the%20RM.pdf">http://www.moepp.gov.mk/WBStorage/Files/Study%20for%20biodiversity%20of%20the%20RM.pdf</a>

Contact details

General information on the national contact person for this indicator

**Name and surname**

Vesna Indova

**Institution**

Ministry of environment and physical planning of the Republic of Macedonia

**Address**

Bul Goce Delcev br. 8 1000 Skopje Republic of Macedonia

**Email**

v.indova@moepp.gov.mk

**Telephone**

*No answer provided.*

Interpretation

**Please state whether you provided data for more than one year.**

No

**If no, do you see a pattern in the data?**

No

**Please explain the pattern emerging from the data.**

*No answer required.*

**Does the indicator change over time?**

*No answer required.*

**If no, please explain possible reasons why the indicator does not change over time**

*No answer required.*

**If yes, does the indicator value increase or decrease?**

*No answer required.*

**Please explain the pattern emerging from the data.**

*No answer required.*

**Is there a functional relationship between the indicator and DLDD?**

*No answer required.*

**If yes, please describe how DLDD affects the indicator**

*No answer required.*

**If DLDD does not affect the indicator, please describe the other factor(s) responsible for changes in the indicator value.**

*No answer required.*

**Please upload a map showing the temporal and/or geographical pattern/trend in the indicator over time.**

The map should be provided as jpg file with a maximum file size of 2MB. To facilitate understanding of the map provided, please explain clearly what can be seen in the map (resolution of map, year of the map, legend and corresponding colours, borders).

*No files attached.*

**Please state the actions and policies that you currently have in place or any that will be implemented in the future to address the implications of the indicator trend or lack thereof for addressing DLDD in your country.**

*No answer provided.*

---

Report on specific COP requests – iterative process on indicators

Decision 13/COP.9 (paragraphs 2, 3 and 4) and decision 17/COP.9 envisage an iterative process to refine the set of performance and impact indicators. As a tool to implement this iterative process, affected country Parties can provide here their suggestions and recommendations for improvement. Using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 = (no, not at all) and 5 = (yes, very much), please rate the indicator. Please write any comments related to your assessment under the column “remarks”.

<b>Assessment Criteria (e-SMART)</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
Relevant – Does the indicator provide information about changes in primary processes unambiguously related to DLDD and UNCCD implementation?	---	---
Relevant – Is the indicator relevant for DLDD national planning purposes, including monitoring of the National Action Programme (NAP)?	4	---
Relevant – Can policymakers easily understand the indicator?	3	---
Specific – Is the indicator based on well-understood and generally accepted conceptual models of the system to which it is applied so that changes in its value will have clear meaning regarding the process of concern?	---	---
Specific – Is the requested spatial scale (national vs. affected areas) of the indicator appropriate for its monitoring purposes?	---	No
Measurable – Are the definitions of the indicator and its constitutive elements clear and not ambiguous?	---	---

Assessment Criteria (e-SMART)	Score	Remarks
Measurable – Are the proposed methodologies for the measurement of this indicator sufficiently clear to ensure reliable data?	---	---
Time-bound – Is the indicator sensitive enough to detect important changes but not so sensitive that signals are masked by natural variability?	---	No
Time-bound – Can the indicator detect changes at the required temporal and spatial scales and are the up-scaling / cross-scaling rules clear?	---	No
Achievable – Are reliable data and monitoring systems available to assess trends and is data collection a relatively straightforward process?	---	---
Achievable – Is the frequency of data collection in line with the monitoring and reporting requirements of the UNCCD?	---	---
Economic – Is the indicator cost-effective? Is the cost of data collection affordable and worthwhile? (consider any required cost for personnel, capital but also, recurring costs)	---	---

## Strategic Objective 4

### Indicator SO-4-3

Strategic Objective 4

To mobilize resources to support implementation of the Convention through building effective partnerships between national and international actors

**Impact indicator SO-4-3 for expected impact 4.1** (Increased financial, technical and technological resources are made available to affected developing country Parties, and where appropriate Central and Eastern European countries, to implement the Convention)

Percentage change in the domestic financial commitment to the implementation of the Convention

Understanding of the indicator

It provides an indication of the trend in the supply of public finance for DLDD-related investments and other Convention-related activities by affected developing country Parties.

Data needed

- DLDD-related programmes and projects (co-)financed through domestic public budgets
- Contribution by domestic public sources to investments and other initiatives to advance SLM
- Convention-related financial commitments by affected developing country Parties

Data sources (indicative only)

- PRAIS (financial annexes)
- CRIC performance reviews of OO5 and analyses of financial flows
- Relevant country-level studies to inform the IFS process (to be used when data is not available in the PRAIS system, and/or for cross referencing and validity checks)
- Inventories of SLM funding opportunities and/or investments
- Relevant databases and publications of authoritative entities (to be used when data is not available in the PRAIS system, and/or for cross referencing and validity checks)

Check the glossary for

Integrated financing strategy; integrated investment framework

### Nominal amount (USD) of financial commitments for Convention-related objectives made from domestic public budgets (i.e. national or sub-national)

Year	Nominal amount (USD)
2010	---



Year	Nominal amount (USD)
2011	---

## Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **MOEPP - of the RM**

*No files attached.*

## Indicator SO-4-6

Strategic Objective 4

To mobilize resources to support implementation of the Convention through building effective partnerships between national and international actors

**Impact indicator SO-4-6 for expected impact 4.2** (Enabling policy environments are improved for UNCCD implementation at all levels)

Number and type of legal and regulatory frameworks, economic incentives or other mechanisms securing or facilitating the transfer of funds for the implementation of the Convention at all levels.

Understanding of the indicator

It provides a measure of the efforts made by Convention stakeholders to facilitate the implementation of the Convention.

Data needed

- Laws and regulations
- Economic and financial measures (e.g. fiscal rules, tax benefits, credit lines and borrowing rules, etc.)
- Cooperation frameworks (e.g. agreements, memoranda of understanding, contracts, etc.)
- Sectoral policies (e.g. trade, marketing, property rights, business development, etc.)
- Convention-specific mechanisms

Data sources (indicative only)

- Public records of Convention stakeholders
- Relevant databases and publications and other authoritative entities (to be used when data is not available in the PRAIS system, and/or for cross referencing and validity checks)
- PRAIS (CONS-O-6, CONS-O-14, CONS-O-18)

Check the glossary for  
Incentive

## Number of mechanisms in place in the country to facilitate the mobilization of resources for the implementation of the Convention, by type

Year	Laws and regulations	Economic and financial incentives	Cooperation frameworks	Sectoral policies
2010	15	---	---	---
2011	15	---	---	---

## Qualitative assessment

### Description of mechanisms

Mechanism	Description
A - Laws and regulations	---

Mechanism	Description
B - Economic and financial incentives	---
C - Cooperation frameworks	---
D - Sectoral policies	---

#### Geographical level of application

	International	Regional	Subregional	National	Local
A				X	
B		X			
C		X			
D				X	

#### Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning**

*No files attached.*

#### Indicator SO-4-7

Strategic Objective 4

To mobilize resources to support implementation of the Convention through building effective partnerships between national and international actors

**Impact indicator SO-4-7 for expected impact 4.2** (Enabling policy environments are improved for UNCCD implementation at all levels)

Clear entrusting of institutional responsibilities for UNCCD implementation, at all levels

Understanding of the indicator

It provides an indication of the effectiveness of institutional arrangements for the implementation of the Convention with regard to the resource mobilization process

Data needed

- Evidence of institutional arrangements, instruments and mechanisms that facilitate resource mobilization, or the lack thereof
- Best practices in resource mobilization

Data sources (indicative only)

- Public records of Convention stakeholders
- Relevant databases and publications of authoritative entities
- PRAIS (Best Practices on finance and resource mobilization)

Check the glossary for

N.A.

#### Institutional set up, responsibilities, and arrangements to facilitate the implementation of the Convention

Year	International level	Regional level	Subregional level	National level	Local level
2010	---	---	---	fair	poor

Year	International level	Regional level	Subregional level	National level	Local level
2011	---	---	---	fair	poor

## Qualitative assessment

### *Description of institutional arrangements*

Level	Description
A - International	---
B - Regional	---
C - Subregional	---
D - National	Competences are spread through various administrative units and interconnection is sometimes not on satisfactory level.
E - Local	low capacity of local administration especially in the rural municipalities.

## Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Expert judgment by experts long-term involved in this topic.**

*No files attached.*

# Performance Indicators

## Operational Objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education

### Performance indicator CONS-O-1 for Outcome 1.1

Number and size of information events organized on the subject of DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity, and audience reached by media addressing DLDD and DLDD synergies.

#### Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the performance of Convention-related communication strategies, in particular whether DLDD issues and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity are being communicated and if so, whether the communication is considered to be effective. Effectiveness is assessed through the appraisal of the media campaigns carried out; the assumption is that the stronger the media campaigns on DLDD issues and synergies, the higher the probability of passing the messages on to the target audiences. The focus of the indicator is on information activities specifically dedicated to DLDD issues and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity. Other reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on Convention-related communication strategies at the subregional, regional and global levels.

#### Data needed

- Information on events/media specifically addressing DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity.
- Only events organized by major national DLDD stakeholders about which NFPs have been informed should be considered.
- Only the media products from the five most important national TV/radio channels and the five most relevant national newspapers should be considered.

#### Data sources (indicative only)

Attendance list of events (meetings, workshops, seminars), programme/project documents, major national media (TV/radio channels, newspapers), the Internet, organizers of events.

#### Check the glossary for

'NFP', 'Information events', 'Media products', 'National communication strategy', 'Participant'

#### Check the reporting manual for

'How can the number of information events and estimated number of participants in information events be determined?', 'How can the number of media products be determined?', 'How can the proportion of the population which is informed about DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity be estimated?'

#### Overall target

By 2018, 30 per cent of the global population is informed about DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity.

---

### Number of information events

Year	Number of information events	Estimated number of participants in the information events
2010	---	---
2011	---	---

---

### Estimated number of persons reached by media products and by key stakeholders

#### Number of media products made public

Year	Newspapers	Radio and TV
2010	---	---
2011	---	---

---

## Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- no answer

*No files attached.*

---

## National contribution to the target

On the basis of your best knowledge, estimate the proportion (%) of the population in your country which is informed about DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity at the time of reporting?

### ***Estimated share of total country population***

**40 %**

---

## Qualitative assessment

***Is the information you have provided on communication processes part of a national communication strategy addressing environmental issues?***

***Is there a national communication strategy addressing DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity?***

**Yes**

***If yes, does the implementation of the national communication strategy complement the implementation of the UNCCD Comprehensive Communication Strategy?***

**Yes**

***If no, is your country implementing the UNCCD Comprehensive Communication Strategy?***

*No answer required.*

***Is your country implementing activities relating to the United Nations Decade for Deserts and the Fight Against Desertification (UNDDD)?***

**Yes**

---

## Performance indicator CONS-O-3 for Outcome 1.3

Number of civil society organizations (CSOs) and science and technology institutions (STIs) participating in the Convention processes.

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the level of participation of CSOs and STIs in DLDD-related programmes and projects. The indicator will outline whether the active involvement of these stakeholders in country-based initiatives increases over time and whether programmes/projects are valid tools for the engagement of, and receiving contributions from, CSOs and STIs at the field level. Other reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on the involvement of CSOs and STIs at the subregional, regional and global levels; additionally, the secretariat and the GM will report on the involvement of CSOs and STIs at the institutional level.

Data needed

A list of the organizations involved in the programmes/projects in the reporting country as reported in the PPSs.

Data sources (indicative only)

PPSs submitted to the UNCCD as part of the reporting exercise.

Check the glossary for

'STIs', 'CSOs', 'PPS', 'Convention processes'

Check the reporting manual for

'Which CSOs involved in DLDD-related programmes/projects should be counted?'

#### Overall target

A steady growth in the participation of CSOs and STIs in the Convention processes is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy.

#### Number of CSOs/STIs involved in each programme/project in the country

In the PPSs you have specified the number of CSOs and the number of STIs involved in each programme/project in your country. Add these numbers and give the totals by year in the table below.

Year	Number of CSOs involved in DLDD-related programmes/projects	Number of STIs involved in DLDD-related programmes/projects
2010	---	10
2011	---	10

**Provide the names of these organizations.**

Name
Ss Cyril and Methodius University - Skopje (Faculty of agriculture, INstitute of Agriculture, Faculty of forestry, Faculty of civil engineering, INstitute of livestock production, Institute of sociological and political researches, Faculty of natural sciences .....
University Goce Delcev - Stip
University St. Kliment Ohridski - Bitola
Hydrobiological Institute - Ohrid
State University - Tetovo
MANU - Mcedonian Academy of Science and arts
Real estate agency
Hydrometeorological service
Agency of extension service
Spatial planning agency
---

#### National contribution to the target

***At the time of reporting, is your government undertaking concrete initiatives to increase the participation of CSOs and STIs in DLDD-related programmes and projects?***

Yes

***If yes, provide a short description of actions taken at the national level to promote participation by CSOs and STIs in the Convention processes***

It is hard to provide the description of actions taken at national level since the actions are devoted to many sectors and institutions and data sharing is weak among institutions.

#### Qualitative assessment

Specify the reasons for the increasing and/or decreasing trend of the participation of CSOs and STIs to DLDD-related programmes/projects.

### ***Reasons for increasing***

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

<b>Reason</b>	<b>Level of importance</b>
Increased networking and collaboration opportunities	3
Increased access to information and to national and/or international financing opportunities	4
Increased willingness of the government in working with CSOs	4
Increased interest of donors in working with CSOs	5
Strengthened organizational, project management and fund-raising capacity of CSOs	2
Increased funding opportunities requiring partnership with the STIs	2
Strengthened organizational, project management and fund-raising capacity of the STIs	4
Other	---

***Other (specify)***

**No answer**

### ***Reasons for decreasing for CSOs***

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

<b>Reason</b>	<b>Level of importance</b>
Costly participatory processes	4
Low organizational, fund-raising and project management capacity of CSOs	5
Government policies and/or the legal environment do not foster the engagement of CSOs	4
Diminishing funding	5
Other	---

***Other (specify)***

**No answer**

### ***Reasons for decreasing for STIs***

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

<b>Reason</b>	<b>Level of importance</b>
DLDD topics are not prioritized by national STIs	5
Low organizational, fund-raising and project management capacity of STIs	3
Decreased networking opportunities at national and international level	2
Diminishing funding	5
Other	---

***Other (specify)***

**No answer**

---

### **Performance indicator CONS-O-4 for Outcome 1.3**

Number and type of DLDD-related initiatives of civil society organizations (CSOs) and science and technology institutions (STIs) in the field of education.

### Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the number of DLDD-related initiatives undertaken by CSOs and STIs in the education sector. The assumption is that the higher the number of DLDD-related education initiatives undertaken by these stakeholders, the stronger their interest in addressing DLDD problems. This indicator focuses on “education” because “awareness” and “advocacy” are already measured through indicators CONS-O-1 and CONS-O-2, respectively. Other reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on the involvement of CSOs and STIs at the subregional, regional and global levels.

### Data needed

- Information on initiatives undertaken in the field of education that may be found in: written communications by CSOs and STIs to the NFP; contractual and/or programme/project-related documents; records of academic bodies and their curricula; and Internet resources made available by CSOs and STIs.
- Only initiatives in the field of education taken in the country and directly relating to DLDD issues are to be considered.

### Data sources (indicative only)

CSOs and STIs operating in the country.

Check the glossary for

‘CSOs’, ‘STIs’, ‘NFP’, Education initiatives’

Check the reporting manual for

‘Which CSOs involved in DLDD-related education initiatives should be counted?’

### Overall target

A steady growth in the number of DLDD-related education initiatives undertaken by CSOs and science and technology institutions is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy.

---

## Number of DLDD-related initiatives undertaken by CSOs/STIs

Year	Number of DLDD-related initiatives undertaken by CSOs	Number of DLDD-related initiatives undertaken by STIs
2010	---	---
2011	---	---

---

## Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

*No answer provided.*

*No files attached.*

---

## National contribution to the target

***At the time of reporting, is your government undertaking concrete initiatives to increase the delivery of DLDD-related initiatives in the education sector by CSOs and STIs?***

**Yes**

***If yes, provide a short description of actions taken at the national level to increase the number of DLDD-related initiatives of CSOs and STIs in the field of education***

*No answer provided.*

---

## Qualitative assessment

Specify the reasons for the increasing and/or decreasing trend of DLDD-related education initiatives undertaken by CSOs and STIs.

### ***Reasons for increasing***



Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Increased access to funding	---
Increased awareness of DLDD-related problems and of the need for action	---
Increased knowledge of DLDD-related topics and enhanced skills of trainers/trainers	---
Government policies are more supportive of education initiatives	---
International donors are more supportive of education-focussed initiatives.	---
Other	---

**Other (specify)**

*No answer provided.*

### **Reasons for decreasing for CSOs**

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Lack of financial resources	---
Insufficient awareness and knowledge by national CSOs of DLDD-related issues	---
Limited capillary presence of national CSOs at the grass-root level	---
Other	---

**Other (specify)**

*No answer provided.*

### **Reasons for decreasing for STIs**

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Lack of financial resources	---
National STIs are more focussed on research activities than on education and training	---
Other	---

**Other (specify)**

*No answer provided.*

## **Operational Objective 2: Policy framework**

### **Performance indicator CONS-O-5 for Outcomes 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3**

Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities to have finalized the formulation/revision of NAPs/SRAPs/RAPs aligned to The Strategy, taking into account biophysical and socio-economic information, national planning and policies, and integration into investment frameworks.

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the performance of affected country Parties in formulating or revising their NAPs in alignment with The Strategy. While providing information on this process, the indicator also outlines whether: (a) the analysis of DLDD drivers, barriers to possible solutions, and measures that may eventually overcome these barriers, has been carried out; (b) the alignment process has been supported by biophysical and socio-economic baseline information; (c) the action programmes have been included in integrated investment frameworks; and (d) the action programmes have been integrated with other existing national plans and policies. The indicator will

inform on the extent to which Parties have responded to decision 3/COP.8, paragraph 45, and on the feasibility of assessing the progress of The Strategy over its implementation period (2008–2018). Subregional and regional reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on formulation or revision of SRAPs and RAPs in alignment with The Strategy.

#### Data needed

- UNCCD NAP. Only a NAP formally approved by the relevant governmental authorities is to be considered as 'finalized'
- Other relevant planning documents

#### Data sources (indicative only)

UNCCD NFP.

#### Check the glossary for

'Finalized', 'NAP', 'NFP', 'driver', 'integrated investment framework', 'baseline', 'NAP formulation', 'NAP adoption', 'NAP alignment', 'Formulation of an aligned NAP'

#### Overall target

By 2014, at least 80 per cent of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities have formulated/ revised a NAP/SRAP/RAP aligned to The Strategy.

---

### **NAP Adoption and Revision**

***Had your country already adopted a NAP prior to The Strategy, i.e. before 31.12.2007?***

**No**

***If your country had adopted a NAP prior to The Strategy, i.e. before 31.12.2007, specify the date of its approval.***

*No answer required.*

***If your country had adopted a NAP prior to The Strategy, has it revised the NAP in alignment with The Strategy, i.e. after 1.1.2008?***

*No answer required.*

***If your country has revised the NAP in alignment with The Strategy, i.e. after 1.1.2008, specify the date of its approval.***

*No answer required.*

***If your country has not revised the NAP in alignment with The Strategy, specify why the process was not initiated.***

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

*No answer required.*

#### ***Other (specify)***

*No answer required.*

***If your country had no NAP prior to The Strategy, has it formulated an aligned NAP after The Strategy's adoption, i.e. after 1.1 2008?***

**No**

***If yes, specify the date of its approval.***

*No answer required.*

***If your country has some specific issues with regard to the characteristics of the NAP and/or the status of its implementation, particularly in how they relate to its alignment with The Strategy, describe them briefly.***

In the period 2004-2005, a project National Capacity Self Assessment for implementation of RIO conventions was launched. Project was carried out in two phase: Separate reporting for 3 topics (LDD,BD,CC) and synergy report. Team that work on NCSA in the thematic area of DLDD started to develop "NAP-DLDD", but because of lack of financial sources project was not completed.

**If your country did not have a NAP by the end of the reporting period, specify why the process was not initiated.**

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Not a priority for the government	3
Lack of capacities	1
Lack of financial resources	5
Understaffing	5
Lack of time	---
Poor internal coordination among relevant ministries	3
Other	---

**Other (specify)**

*No answer provided.*

---

### **For countries having a NAP aligned to The Strategy**

***Is your country's NAP supported by biophysical and socio-economic baseline information?***

**No**

***Does your country's NAP assess DLDD drivers?***

*No answer provided.*

***Does your country's NAP assess the barriers to sustainable land management?***

*No answer provided.*

***If yes, does it include recommendations to remove these barriers?***

*No answer required.*

***Has your country's NAP been included in an integrated investment framework?***

*No answer provided.*

***Has your country's NAP been integrated into national development planning and relevant sectoral and investment plans and policies?***

*No answer provided.*

***If yes, has the NAP been integrated into your country's Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper?***

*No answer required.*

***Did your country refer to the guidelines on the alignment of action programmes with The Strategy as proposed in ICCD/COP(9)/2/Add.1 while revising the NAP to be in alignment with The Strategy or while formulating an aligned NAP?***

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Sources of information**

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning**

*No files attached.*

---

## National contribution to the target

*If your country did not have a NAP aligned to The Strategy by the end of the reporting period, when do you plan to have it completed?*

2012–2013

*If you do not have an approved NAP aligned to The Strategy at the time of reporting, when do you plan to have it developed and approved?*

---

## Qualitative assessment

*Has the revision of the NAP to be in alignment with The Strategy or the formulation of an aligned NAP been supported by external assistance?*

No

*If yes, did you receive assistance from one or more of the following institutions?*

No answer required.

*If yes, which type of assistance did you receive?*

No answer required.

*Identify the major difficulties experienced in the process of revising the NAP to be in alignment with The Strategy or in formulating an aligned NAP.*

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Not a priority for the government	---
Poor availability of biophysical and socio-economic baseline information	---
Existing investment frameworks are not fully compatible with the NAP	---
Streamlining the NAP into existing plans and policies is too time-consuming	---
Other	---

*Other (specify)*

No answer provided.

---

## Performance indicator CONS-O-7 for Outcome 2.5

Number of initiatives for synergistic planning/programming of the three Rio Conventions or mechanisms for joint implementation, at all levels.

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the existence of synergistic processes through the number of instruments (i.e. joint planning/programming and/or operational mechanisms) in place which foster the introduction of, or strengthen the mutually reinforcing measures among, the three Rio Conventions. The assumption is that the higher the number of enabling instruments in place, the higher the possibility of achieving synergies in implementation. This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on synergistic processes at the subregional, regional and global levels.

Data needed

- Planning/programming documents and legislative/regulatory documents.
- Information on operational mechanisms explicitly aimed at achieving joint implementation, synergies and convergence, as well as at introducing or strengthening reinforcing measures among the Rio Conventions.

Data sources (indicative only)

Relevant national ministries.

Check the glossary for  
'Joint planning/programming initiatives', 'Operational mechanisms for joint implementation or mutual reinforcement'

Check the reporting manual for  
'Which synergistic instruments should be included?', 'Indicative list of activities by Parties to promote synergies among the Rio Conventions'

Overall target

By 2014, each affected country Party has either one joint national plan in place or functional mechanism(s) to ensure synergies among the three Rio Conventions.

---

### **Was your country implementing joint planning/programming initiatives for the three Rio Conventions in the reporting period?**

**No**

***If yes, specify the type of joint initiative(s)***

*No answer required.*

***Other (specify)***

*No answer required.*

---

### **Did operational mechanisms for joint implementation or mutual reinforcement exist in your country during the reporting period?**

**Yes**

***If yes, specify the type of mechanism(s)***

- **Regular meetings between focal points and focal point teams**
- **Establishment of a national coordinating committee for the implementation of the Rio Conventions**

***Other (specify)***

*No answer required.*

---

### **Sources of information**

List the synergistic instruments referred to above.

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning**

*No files attached.*

---

### **National contribution to the target**

***If your country was not implementing joint planning/programming or did not have operational mechanisms in place by the end of the last reporting period, when do you plan to have synergetic instruments in place?***

**No plan exists yet**

---

### **Qualitative assessment**

***Has the establishment of synergistic processes for joint implementation of the Rio Conventions at national level been supported by the institutions of the Rio Conventions?***

**No**

***If yes, by the institutions of which Convention?***

*No answer required.*

**Identify the major difficulties experienced in establishing synergistic planning/programming or mechanisms for joint implementation.**

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Not a priority for the government	3
Lack of capacities	3
Lack of financial resources	4
Understaffing	3
Lack of time	2
Poor internal coordination among relevant ministries	0
Other	---

**Other (specify)**

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Operational Objective 3: Science, technology and knowledge**

#### **Performance indicator CONS-O-8 for Outcomes 3.1 and 3.2**

Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities to have established and supported a national/subregional/regional monitoring system for DLDD

#### Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the monitoring potential of the country by quantifying the number of monitoring systems established and supported. These monitoring systems may be specifically dedicated to DLDD or may partially cover it. The indicator will inform on the extent to which it is realistic to expect more regular and coherent reporting by affected country Parties during the implementation of The Strategy and beyond. This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on UNCCD-relevant monitoring systems established and supported at the subregional, regional and global levels.

#### Data needed

- Information on monitoring systems established within the national ministries or other bodies/institutions
- Programme/project documents and interim or final reports

#### Data sources (indicative only)

Relevant national ministries, programme/project management units, other non-governmental sources.

#### Check the glossary for

'monitoring system', 'monitoring system specifically dedicated to DLDD', 'monitoring system partially covering DLDD'

#### Check the reporting manual for

'Can a monitoring system that is not an environmental monitoring system, but which accounts for the socio-economic aspects of DLDD, be considered a DLDD monitoring system?', 'Can a meteorological monitoring system be considered a DLDD monitoring system?'

#### Overall target

By 2018, at least 60 per cent of affected country Parties, subregional and regional reporting entities have established and supported national monitoring systems for DLDD.

---

### **Is a monitoring system specifically dedicated to DLDD established at the national level?**

**No**

***If yes, specify whether this system is functional***

No answer required.

**If yes, specify whether this system is regularly updated**

No answer required.

**If no DLDD-specific monitoring system is in place, is a monitoring system partially covering DLDD established at the national level?**

Yes

**List any monitoring system available at the sub-national level that can contribute to the UNCCD reporting.**

**List the main features of the monitoring system available at the national level, in particular those that can contribute to UNCCD reporting.**

- Hydro-meteorological Monitoring gave explicit data about the DROUGHT. <http://www.meteo.gov.mk> and <http://www.dmcsee.org/>

---

## Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- Information by experts

No files attached.

---

## National contribution to the target

**If your country did not have a national monitoring system specifically dedicated to DLDD or partially covering DLDD in place by the end of the reporting period, do you plan to initiate one?**

Yes

**If yes, when?**

2016–2017

---

## Qualitative assessment

**For those countries not having a national monitoring system specifically dedicated to DLDD or partially covering DLDD, identify the major difficulties experienced in the establishment process.**

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Financial constraints	5
Lack of capacities	4
Human resources constraints	2
Lack of coordination among relevant ministries and unclear attribution of responsibilities	4
Lack of coordination among donor-led programme/project interventions	4
Existing initiatives are too fragmented; cannot be realistically coordinated under one umbrella	3
Existing national and/or sub-national monitoring systems use different methodologies and cannot be realistically harmonized	3
Other	---

**Other (specify)**

*No answer provided.*

**For those countries having a national monitoring system specifically dedicated to DLDD or partially covering DLDD, how is the system maintained?**

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
By means of national resources	5
By means of external support	5
No maintenance is possible due to limited professional capacities	---
No maintenance is possible due to limited financial resources	---
Other	---

**Other (specify)**

**In the above table are evaluated only Drought monitoring system and Hydro-meteorological monitoring.**

---

**Performance indicator CONS-O-9 for Outcome 3.1 and 3.2**

Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities reporting to the Convention along revised reporting guidelines on the basis of agreed indicators

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the use of biophysical and socio-economic information in defining a commonly agreed core set of impact indicators for the UNCCD and in monitoring progress against these indicators using harmonized methodologies. The indicator will inform to what extent it is possible to compile a comparable and global assessment of UNCCD impact. Subregional and regional reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on the use of impact indicators at the subregional and regional levels, if and when impact indicators for these levels will be commonly agreed upon by the Conference of the Parties.

Data needed

- Reports to the UNCCD by affected country Parties in 2012 and 2016.
- The information to report on this indicator will be compiled by affected country Parties every four years when reporting on the strategic objectives that require biophysical and socio-economic information (i.e. SO1, SO2 and SO3). **Reporting on this indicator is due in 2012 and in 2016 only.**

Data sources (indicative only)

UNCCD NFP

Check the glossary for

'NFP'

Overall target

By 2018, at least 90 per cent of affected country Parties, subregional and regional reporting entities report to the Convention in compliance with the new reporting guidelines.

---

**Has your country reported on the two impact indicators considered by decision 13/COP.9 to be the minimum reporting requirement?**

Yes

---

**Number of impact indicators for strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3 your country has reported on in 2012 and 2016**

**2012**

**2**



---

**While reporting on impact indicators, did you refer to the reporting guidelines, i.e. using the common baselines and methodologies defined by the CST?**

Yes

---

### Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **State Statistical Office, EEA-European Environment Agency. Ministry of Labor and Social Affairs, expert unpublished personnel data,**

*No files attached.*

---

### National contribution to the target

***If in 2012 your country has not reported on some or all of the impact indicators for the UNCCD, do you plan to do so in 2016?***

Yes

***If in 2012 your country has not complied with the reporting guidelines, i.e. using the common baselines and methodologies defined by the CST, do you plan to do so in 2016?***

*No answer provided.*

---

### Performance indicator CONS-O-10 for Outcome 3.3 and 3.4

Number of revised NAPs/SRAPs/RAPs reflecting knowledge of DLDD drivers and their interactions, and of the interaction of DLDD with climate change and biodiversity

Understanding of the indicator

The indicator measures knowledge-transfer processes from the theoretical to the operational level. This is done through an assessment carried out by affected country Parties (self-assessment) of the levels of traditional and scientific knowledge reflected in their NAPs. The assumption is that NAPs based on sound scientific and traditional knowledge will propose more significant and effective strategies and activities for implementation at the national level, and will, ultimately, perform better than those NAPs that do not take into account available knowledge on DLDD and DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity. The indicator will inform to what extent UNCCD implementation is likely to achieve meaningful results. Subregional and regional reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on the assessment of their SRAPs and RAPs.

Data needed

- NAP aligned to The Strategy
- Scientific literature consulted for the formulation/revision of the NAP

Data sources (indicative only)

UNCCD NFP

Check the glossary for

'NAP', 'NAP adoption', 'NAP alignment', 'NAP formulation', 'formulation of an aligned NAP', 'NFP', 'driver', 'drought', 'drought preparedness, including mitigation'

***As this indicator is meant to contribute to the country's self-assessment of its aligned NAP, countries not having a NAP or not having revised their NAP in alignment with The Strategy do NOT report on this indicator.***

Overall target

By 2018, at least 70 per cent of revised NAPs/SRAPs/RAPs have successfully gone through a quality self-assessment.

### Assessment of the aligned NAP

***In your NAP, is the identification of biophysical and socio-economic drivers, and of their interaction, knowledge-based?***

No

***If yes, specify upon which type of knowledge it is based***

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

*No answer required.*

***If yes, specify upon which type of knowledge it is based***

***If based on scientific literature, list the main reference literature consulted (add as many rows as needed). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.***

*No answer required.*

***In your NAP, is the analysis of the interaction between DLDD and climate change or biodiversity knowledge-based?***

*No answer provided.*

***If yes, specify upon which type of knowledge it is based.***

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

*No answer required.*

***If yes, specify upon which type of knowledge it is based.***

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

***If based on scientific literature, list the main reference literature consulted (add as many rows as needed). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.***

*No answer required.*

***Is drought policy and drought preparedness, including mitigation, analyzed and/or reflected in some of the actions outlined in the NAP?***

No

***If drought policy and drought preparedness, including mitigation, are not analyzed and/or reflected in some of the actions outlined in the NAP, when do you plan to do so?***

*No answer provided.*

---

### National contribution to the target

***If in your NAP, DLDD drivers, their interactions, and the interaction of DLDD with climate change and biodiversity are not analyzed on the basis of relevant scientific, expert and/or traditional knowledge, when do you plan to do so?***

*No answer provided.*

---

### Qualitative assessment

***If your NAP has not been developed taking into account relevant scientific and/or traditional knowledge, identify the reasons.***

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
--------	---------------------

Reason	Level of importance
Relevant scientific literature is not available	---
Relevant traditional or expert knowledge is not available	---
Lack of financial resources to mobilise the necessary knowledge	---
Poor coordination among the relevant ministries prevented an internal pooling of knowledge/expertise	---
Relevant ministries could not contribute due to lack of time	---
Relevant ministries could not contribute due to lack of staff	---
Other	---

**Other (specify)**

*No answer provided.*

---

**Performance indicator CONS-O-11 for Outcome 3.5**

Type, number and users of DLDD-relevant knowledge-sharing systems at the global, regional, subregional and national levels described on the Convention website

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the presence of DLDD-related knowledge-sharing processes, through the quantification of the type and number of existing knowledge-sharing systems. Effectiveness of these systems is measured through quantification of their user-base. The indicator will inform to what extent scientific and traditional knowledge, including best practices, are available to and sufficiently shared with end-users. This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on existing UNCCD-relevant knowledge-sharing systems at the subregional, regional and global levels.

Data needed

- Information from websites.
- Only DLDD-relevant knowledge-sharing systems and networks shall be considered.

Data sources (indicative only)

Relevant organizations and ministries hosting knowledge-sharing systems and networks within their website

Check the glossary for

'knowledge-sharing system', 'PRAIS'

Check the reporting manual for

'How can you provide the number of users in a knowledge-sharing system?'

Overall target

By 2010 the Convention website has been restructured and includes a thematic database on knowledge-sharing systems as part of the PRAIS.

---

**Knowledge-sharing systems**

**List any DLDD-relevant 'knowledge-sharing system' in your country you are aware of, providing an Internet link and estimated number of users per year.**

*Item 1*

**Name of the system**

Drought Management Centre for Southeastern Europe - DMCSEE

**Internet link**

<http://www.dmcsee.org/>

**Estimated number of users per year**  
---

*Item 2*  
**Name of the system**  
There are significant number of web-sites from various projects that touch DLDD topics.  
**Internet link**  
---  
**Estimated number of users per year**  
---

**List any DLDD-relevant ‘knowledge-sharing system’ in your country you are aware of, providing an Internet link and estimated number of users per year.**

Add as many rows as necessary.

---

## **Operational Objective 4: Capacity building**

### **Performance indicator CONS-O-13 for Outcomes 4.1 and 4.2**

Number of countries, subregional and regional reporting entities engaged in building capacity to combat DLDD on the basis of NCSA or other methodologies and instruments

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the presence of capacity-building processes through the quantification of existing DLDD-related capacity-building initiatives. The indicator will inform to what extent affected country Parties may be expected to meet their obligations foreseen by the Convention, including forthcoming ones (i.e. new reporting requirements, establishment of monitoring systems, accessing new financing mechanisms). This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on capacity-building initiatives at the subregional, regional and global levels.

Data needed

- Information on DLDD-related capacity-building initiatives; only programmes/projects mentioned in the PPSs that have DLDD-related capacity-building as a major objective are to be considered.

Data sources (indicative only)

- PPSs submitted to UNCCD as part of the reporting exercise  
- Programme/project documents and interim or final reports of those programmes and projects identified through the PPSs as having DLDD-related capacity-building as a major objective

Check the glossary for

‘capacity-building’, ‘capacity development’, ‘capacity-building initiative’, ‘NCSA’, ‘PPS’

Check the reporting manual for

‘What can be considered as a programme or project that has DLDD-related capacity-building as a major objective?’

Overall target

By 2014, at least 90 per cent of affected country Parties, subregional and regional reporting entities implement DLDD-specific capacity-building plans or programmes/projects.

---

### **Number of DLDD-related capacity-building initiatives implemented**

<b>Year</b>	<b>NCSA-generated</b>	<b>Other initiatives</b>
2010	0	---
2011	0	---

**Provide relevant information on the size, scope, effectiveness and status of the initiatives reported.**

*No answer provided.*

---

**Has your country assessed DLDD-related capacity-building needs at the national level?**

**Yes**

*If yes, within the framework of which initiative?*

**NCSA**

*Other (specify)*

*No answer required.*

*If yes, has your country assessed the necessary resources for addressing capacity-building needs?*

**Yes**

*If yes, are these resource requirements included in an investment framework?*

**No**

---

## **Sources of information**

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **NCSA - final report 2005, <http://www.thegef.org/gef/sites/thegef.org/files/documents/document/nca-macedonia-fr-ap.pdf>**

*No files attached.*

---

## **National contribution to the target**

*If at the time of reporting there are no DLDD-specific capacity-building plans, programmes or projects implemented in your country, when do you plan to have something in place?*

**No plans exist yet**

---

## **Qualitative assessment**

*Has your country received assistance from one or more of the following institutions to build capacities to combat DLDD?*

- **GEF**
- **Bilateral**
- **Multilateral (United Nations agencies, IGOs, international financing institutions, etc.)**

*If yes, which type of assistance have you received?*

- **Technical support**
- **Financial support**

---

## **Operational Objective 5: Financing and technology transfer**

### **Performance indicator CONS-O-14 for Outcome 5.1**

Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities whose investment frameworks, established within the IFS devised by the GM or within other IFSs, reflect leveraging national, bilateral and multilateral resources for combating desertification and land degradation

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the presence of integrated financing processes allowing the leverage of national, bilateral and multilateral resources for combating desertification and land degradation, through the quantification of investment frameworks developed by country Parties within the IFS devised by the GM or other IFSs promoted by diverse international institutions. This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on the establishment of IIFs at national, subregional and regional levels.

Data needed

- Investment framework documents.
- Only investment frameworks prepared along the guidelines devised within IFSs shall be considered.

Data sources (indicative only)  
Relevant national ministries

Check the glossary for  
'IFS', 'NAP', 'leveraging', 'IIF'

Overall target

By 2014, at least 50 per cent of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities have developed IIFs.

---

### **Had your country developed an IIF by the end of the reporting period?**

**No**

***If yes, specify when it was developed.***

*No answer required.*

***Is your country's IIF based on the NAP?***

*No answer required.*

***If based on the NAP, who assisted in its development?***

*No answer required.*

***Other (specify)***

*No answer required.*

***If assisted, which type of assistance did you receive?***

*No answer required.*

***If assisted by the GM, was it devised within the IFS?***

*No answer required.*

***If your country has an IIF based on the NAP, does this framework allow for the leveraging of national, bilateral and multilateral resources for combating DLDD?***

*No answer required.*

***Did your country receive assistance from the GM in exploring non-traditional and innovative channels of financial resources?***

*No answer provided.*

***Short overview of the progress in implementing the IIF***

Provide a short overview of the progress in implementing the IIF in your country, in particular as it relates to its functionality and efficiency in leveraging the funding necessary for implementing the Convention.

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Sources of information**

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Ministry of environment and Physical Planning**

*No files attached.*

---

### **National contribution to the target**

***If your country had not developed an IIF by the end of the reporting period, do you plan to do it?***

Yes

If yes, when?  
2012–2013

---

### Qualitative assessment

#### *Identify the major difficulties experienced in developing an IIF.*

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Financial constraints	5
Human resources constraints	4
Lack of coordination among relevant ministries and unclear attribution of responsibilities	3
Lack of coordination among those providing support	5
National bilateral and multilateral resources are too diverse; cannot be realistically coordinated under one umbrella.	---
Other	---

#### *Other (specify)*

*No answer provided.*

---

### Performance indicator CONS-O-16 for Outcome 5.2

Degree of adequacy, timeliness and predictability of financial resources made available by developed country Parties to combat DLDD

Understanding of the indicator

This is a qualitative indicator requiring the perception-based assessment by developing affected country Parties of the adequacy, timeliness and predictability of bilateral contributions received from developed country Parties for the implementation of the Convention. “Adequate”, “timely” and “predictable” resources are frequently referred to in The Strategy as being necessary to ensure proper planning and effective implementation. Subregional and regional reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on their perception-based assessments.

Data needed

-

Data sources (indicative only)

-

Check the glossary for

-

**Only affected country Parties entitled to receive assistance under the UNCCD are requested to report on this indicator.**

Refer your assessment to the following biennium only:

- In 2010, biennium 2008–2009

- In 2012, biennium 2010–2011

Overall target

No target has been set for this indicator.

---

### Bilateral assistance received

How would you rate the bilateral assistance received within the framework of UNCCD for the implementation of The Strategy and of the Convention?

***Adequacy of bilateral assistance***

Fairly adequate

***Timeliness of bilateral assistance***

Fairly timely

***Predictability of bilateral assistance***

Fairly predictable

***Provide narrative justification on your above rating***

*No answer provided.*

***Additional information on any other impacting aspects***

If relevant, provide additional information on whether there are any other aspects beyond adequacy, timeliness and predictability of financial support made available by developed country Parties to combat DLDD which impact proper planning and effective implementation of the Convention in your country.

*No answer provided.*

---

**Qualitative assessment**

***Did you receive assistance in raising resources from bilateral donors?***

No

***If yes, from whom?***

*No answer required.*

***Other (specify)***

*No answer required.*

***Has the level of adequacy, timeliness and predictability of bilateral assistance constrained your country's performance in planning and implementation with respect to UNCCD?***

Yes

---

**Performance indicator CONS-O-17 for Outcome 5.3**

Number of DLDD-related project proposals successfully submitted for financing to international financial institutions, facilities and funds, including the GEF

Understanding of the indicator

At the national level, the indicator measures the capacity of fund-raising through the quantification of project proposals successfully submitted for funding to the various financing organizations. The indicator will inform to what extent affected country Parties make increasing efforts to mobilize resources. This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on the fund-raising efforts at national, subregional and regional levels.

Data needed

Information contained in the PPSs and SFAs submitted to UNCCD.

Data sources (indicative only)

- PPSs and SFAs submitted to UNCCD as part of the reporting exercise.
- The PPS requires specification of the project 'status' thus it allows the identification of relevant projects to be considered by this indicator and the monitoring of their approval status.
- The SFA requires the specification of amounts committed to approved projects.

Check the glossary for

'PPS', 'SFA', 'project proposals', 'currency', 'successfully submitted proposals'

Overall target

A steady growth in the number of DLDD-related successfully submitted project proposals is recorded along the



implementation period of The Strategy.

Sources of information  
PPSs and SFAs

---

### Number of project proposals submitted (pipeline) and ongoing, by biennium

Biennium	Submitted (pipeline)	Ongoing
2010–2011	---	---

---

### Amount of funds raised, by biennium

You can find the amount of funds raised for the ongoing projects in the corresponding SFAs. Sum these amounts and give the total in the below table.

Biennium	Currency	Total amount
2010–2011	---	---

---

### National contribution to the target

*According to the information provided above, do you think that your country is mobilizing enough resources from international financial institutions, facilities and funds through successfully submitted project proposals?*

No

*If no, does your country plan to increase its efforts in presenting project proposals to international financial institutions, facilities and funds?*

Yes

*What percentage of financing used for the implementation of DLDD-related programmes and projects comes from national sources, and what percentage from international sources?*

Source	%
National sources	---
International sources	---

---

### Qualitative assessment

Identify the reasons for the increasing or decreasing trend of project proposals successfully submitted to international financial institutions, facilities and funds.

#### *Reasons for increasing*

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Easier and more transparent application procedures	---
Increased capacities of national stakeholders to prepare applications	---
Major natural hazards occurred at the national level considerably increased the level of resources made available by the international community	---
Access to funding is increasingly facilitated by third parties such as the private sector	---
Existence of a financing strategy (IFS or others)	---

Reason	Level of importance
Other	---

**Other (specify)**

*No answer provided.*

**Reasons for decreasing**

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Financing opportunities are not publicized enough, lack of access to necessary information	---
Complicated application procedures, the level of complexity being worsened by the different requirements of the various donors	---
Limited financial resources are made available for DLDD-related programmes/projects, and lack of DLDD-specific allocations within donors' portfolio.	---
Other	---

**Other (specify)**

*No answer provided.*

**Performance indicator CONS-O-18 for Outcome 5.5**

Amount of financial resources and type of incentives which have enabled access to technology by affected country Parties

Understanding of the indicator

The indicator measures whether access to technology is facilitated by means of financial resources or economic and policy incentives. At the national level, the indicator will inform to what extent an enabling environment for technology transfer has been created and whether sufficient resources are dedicated to technology transfer. Subregional and regional reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on financial resources and type of incentives which have enabled access to technology at the subregional and regional levels.

Data needed

- Budgets of relevant programmes and projects
- Information on policy/regulatory, financial and fiscal incentives. Incentives facilitating access to technology are those established and implemented at the national level, and not necessarily only within the framework of DLDD-related cooperation.

Data sources (indicative only)

- Financial documents of programmes and projects submitted as PPSs to the UNCCD as part of the reporting exercise
- National policy, regulatory and economic/financial documents

Check the glossary for

'technology transfer', 'technical support', 'incentive', 'PPS'

Check the reporting manual for

'How to disaggregate the amounts by year?', 'How to measure the effectiveness of technology transfer initiatives?'

Overall targets

- A steady growth in the financial resources allocated to facilitate access to technology by affected country Parties is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy.
- A steady growth in the number of economic and policy incentives reported upon is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy.

## Estimate of amounts allocated to facilitate access to material and to knowledge aid (technology transfer)

Year	Currency	Amount
2010	---	---
2011	---	---

*Estimate of amounts allocated to facilitate access to material and to knowledge aid (technology transfer)*

*Has your country established incentives intended to facilitate access to technology?*

Yes

*If yes, specify which types of incentives.*

- Policy or regulatory incentives (for example, related to market requirements and regulations, import/export, foreign investment, research & development support, etc.)
- Financial incentives (for example, preferential rates, State aid, subsidies, cash grants, loan guarantees, etc.)
- Fiscal incentives (for example, exemption from or reduction of taxes, duties, fees, etc.)

*Provide a short overview of specific aspects and the nature of technology transfer in your country, in particular in relation to those aspects where there is a need to increase the level of technology transfer.*

*No answer provided.*

---

## Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **Different sources: Governmental and Scientific institutions**

*No files attached.*

---

## National contribution to the target

*According to the information provided above, do you think that enough resources are allocated through DLDD-related programmes and projects to facilitate access to technology by your country?*

No

*If your country has no incentives in place or if existing incentives to facilitate the creation of an enabling environment for technology transfer do not prove to be effective, are you planning to enforce additional measures?*

*No answer provided.*

*If yes, when?*

*No answer required.*

---

## Qualitative assessment

*If existing incentives do not prove to be effective, identify possible reasons.*

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Policy or regulatory incentives are not enforced	---
There are not enough resources to apply financial or fiscal incentives	---

Reason	Level of importance
The national financial and credit systems (banks, credit agencies, etc) are not supportive	---
Other	---

**Other (specify)**

**No mechanism to evaluate the effectiveness of the incentives**

**Identify the reasons for the increasing trend of financial resources allocated through DLDD-related programmes and projects to facilitate access to technology.**

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Access facilitated by the spreading of IT	---
More appropriate technologies available	---
Appropriateness of government incentives	---
Other	---

**Other (specify)**

*No answer provided.*

**Identify the reasons for decreasing trend of financial resources allocated through DLDD-related programmes and projects to facilitate access to technology.**

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Technology sustainability is poor; technologies do not represent viable investments	---
Lack of fixed infrastructure for accessing technologies (those created on an ad hoc basis disappear once the support ends)	---
Lack of capacities for operation and maintenance of technologies	---
Lack of enabling policy and regulatory environments	---
Other	---

**Other (specify)**

*No answer provided.*

## Standard Financial Annex

The CRIC has recommended that financial reporting be based on a standard financial reporting format to be used by affected country Parties and their development partners. It also indicated that emphasis in reports should be put on financial matters and also on an analysis of the impact of the activities undertaken (ICCD/CRIC(8)/5).

The purpose of the SFA is to consolidate information on resources mobilized by affected country Parties and their development partners under the framework of relevant strategies and action programmes. It facilitates the aggregation of data on financial commitments, financial flows and resources available by all relevant funding sources for activities related to the implementation of the Convention. It also helps minimize double counting in financial statistics (ICCD/CRIC(8)/5/Add.4).

The SFA is to be used by each country Party and other reporting entities to list all financial commitments they have made during the reporting period in support of institutions, programmes, projects, as well as other relevant initiatives undertaken at national or international level for the implementation of the Convention.

More specifically, for each relevant financial commitment or allocation made in the reporting period, the SFA requires a minimum set of data grouped as follows:

- a. Identification, i.e. data required to identify the reporting entity, the funding source and the activity financed;
- b. Basic data, i.e. data specifying the amount and type of financial commitment made, as well as the recipient country, region, and/or organization, and the funding period, if applicable;
- c. Classification, i.e. categorization of the funded activity according to the Rio Markers for desertification, and the UNCCD Relevant Activity Codes (RACs).

The compilation of the SFA is guided by means of a template, which responds to the recommendations of CRIC 7, and builds on the GM methodological guide for financial reporting presented to CRIC 6 as part of the report of the intergovernmental Ad Hoc Working Group to improve the procedures for communication of information.

Within the template, shaded areas contain information and explanatory texts, while white areas are for reporting purposes and need to be filled in by the reporting entities with relevant data or narrative information.

Decision 13/COP.9, paragraph 8, invites country Parties and other reporting entities to refer to common terminology and definitions. Therefore, these guidelines should be read in conjunction with the comprehensive glossary of performance indicators for the review of implementation of The Strategy and Best Practices, common to all reporting entities and contained in a separate document (ICCD/CRIC(9)/13).

### Financial Commitment #1 — Development of remediation plans with financial requirements for elimination of industrial hot-spots

---

#### Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

*No answer provided.*

#### Other

- **Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning of the Republic of Macedonia**
- 

#### Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

**EUROPEAID/123674/D/SER/MK**

---

#### Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

*No answer provided.*

#### Other

- **European Community**
- 

### **Name of activity funded**

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

**Development of remediation plans with financial requirements for elimination of industrial hot-spots**

---

### **Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)**

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- **The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia**
- 

### **Recipient organization(s)**

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

*No answer provided.*

#### **Other**

- **European Agency for Reconstruction**
- 

### **Executing Agency(ies)**

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

---

### **Commitment date**

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Amount committed**

***Enter the total amount of money committed***

**500000 Euro**

---

### **Type of funding**

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

**Grant**

---

### **Start date**

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

**01/02/2007**

---

### **Completion date**

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

**01/03/2008**

---

### **Duration (no. of months)**

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

---

## Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

*No answer provided.*

---

## Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **4.1.3 Environment Restoration**
- 

## Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- <http://www.moep.gov.mk/default-mk.asp?>

*No files attached.*

---

## Financial Commitment #2 — Multimedia educative package in the environment and sustainable development-Green package

---

### Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

*No answer provided.*

### Other

- **Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning of the Republic of Macedonia**
- 

### Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

*No answer provided.*

---

### Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

*No answer provided.*

### Other

- **Austrian Development Agency**
  - **Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning of the Republic of Macedonia**
  - **Ministry of Education of Republic of Macedonia**
- 

### Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

**Multimedia educative package in the environment and sustainable development-Green package**

---

**Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)**

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- **The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia**
- 

**Recipient organization(s)**

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

*No answer provided.*

**Other**

- **REC-Country office Macedonia**
- 

**Executing Agency(ies)**

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

---

**Commitment date**

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

**15/01/2011**

---

**Amount committed**

*Enter the total amount of money committed*

**321000 Euro**

---

**Type of funding**

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

**Grant**

---

**Start date**

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

**01/01/2006**

---

**Completion date**

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

**30/09/2008**

---

**Duration (no. of months)**

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

---

**Rio Marker for desertification**

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

*No answer provided.*



---

## Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **1.2.1 Traditional Knowledge and Best Practices**
- 

## Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

*No answer provided.*

*No files attached.*

---

## Financial Commitment #3 — Development of Second national communication for climate change in the Republic of Macedonia

---

### Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

*No answer provided.*

### Other

- **Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning of the Republic of Macedonia**
- 

### Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

**00043486**

---

### Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

*No answer provided.*

### Other

- **GEF**
- 

### Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

**Development of Second national communication for climate change in the Republic of Macedonia**

---

### Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

*No answer provided.*

---

### Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

*No answer provided.*

**Other**

*No answer provided.*

---

**Executing Agency(ies)**

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

---

**Commitment date**

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

*No answer provided.*

---

**Amount committed**

*Enter the total amount of money committed*

**415000 US Dollar**

---

**Type of funding**

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

**Grant**

---

**Start date**

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

**01/05/2005**

---

**Completion date**

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

**01/10/2008**

---

**Duration (no. of months)**

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

---

**Rio Marker for desertification**

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

*No answer provided.*

---

**Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)**

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **2.2.10 Resource Management Planning**
- **2.2.4 Action Programmes**

---

**Sources of information**

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- [www.unfccc.org.mk](http://www.unfccc.org.mk)

*No files attached.*

---

## **Financial Commitment #4 — Multimedia educative package in the environment and sustainable development-Green package junior**

---

### **Reporting Entity**

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

*No answer provided.*

#### **Other**

- **Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning of the Republic of Macedonia**
- 

### **Identification code**

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

**8103-01/2009/1-LR/2009**

---

### **Funding organization**

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

*No answer provided.*

#### **Other**

- **Austrian Development Agency**
- 

### **Name of activity funded**

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

**Multimedia educative package in the environment and sustainable development-Green package junior**

---

### **Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)**

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- **The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia**
- 

### **Recipient organization(s)**

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

*No answer provided.*

#### **Other**

- **Regional Environmental Center (REC)-Country office Macedonia**
- 

### **Executing Agency(ies)**

Enter the full name an acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

---

### **Commitment date**

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Amount committed**

***Enter the total amount of money committed***

**530000 Euro**

---

### **Type of funding**

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

**Grant**

---

### **Start date**

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

**30/09/2012**

---

### **Completion date**

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

**30/09/2012**

---

### **Duration (no. of months)**

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

---

### **Rio Marker for desertification**

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)**

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **1.2.1 Traditional Knowledge and Best Practices**
- 

### **Sources of information**

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **www.rec.org**

*No files attached.*

---

**Financial Commitment #5 — Old environmental burdens in the chemical plant OHIS-Skopje**

---

## Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

- **Macedonia, FYROM - Municipal Institutions**

### *Other*

- **Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning of the Republic of Macedonia**
- 

## Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

**RP/3/2007**

---

## Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

*No answer provided.*

### *Other*

- **Czech Republic-Development Corporation**
- 

## Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

**Old environmental burdens in the chemical plant OHIS-Skopje**

---

## Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- **The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia**
- 

## Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

*No answer provided.*

### *Other*

*No answer provided.*

---

## Executing Agency(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

---

## Commitment date

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

*No answer provided.*

---

**Amount committed**

*Enter the total amount of money committed*

341000 Euro

---

**Type of funding**

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

Grant

---

**Start date**

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

01/07/2007

---

**Completion date**

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

01/11/2009

---

**Duration (no. of months)**

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

---

**Rio Marker for desertification**

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

*No answer provided.*

---

**Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)**

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- 4.1.3 Environment Restoration
- 

**Sources of information**

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

*No answer provided.*

*No files attached.*

---

**Financial Commitment #6 — Sustainable mining clean up and pollution management in Bucim and Lojane mines**

---

**Reporting Entity**

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

*No answer provided.*

*Other*

- **Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning of the Republic of Macedonia**
- 

### Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

**00054814**

---

### Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

*No answer provided.*

#### Other

- **Duch Government**
  - **Bucim mine in the Republic of Macedonia**
  - **Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning of the Republic of Macedonia**
- 

### Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

**Sustainable mining clean up and pollution management in Bucim and Lojane mines**

---

### Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- **The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia**
- 

### Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

*No answer provided.*

#### Other

*No answer provided.*

---

### Executing Agency(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

---

### Commitment date

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

*No answer provided.*

---

### Amount committed

**Enter the total amount of money committed**

**3028521 US Dollar**

---

### Type of funding

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

## Grant

---

### Start date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization  
**01/10/2007**

---

### Completion date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable  
**30/09/2010**

---

### Duration (no. of months)

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

---

### Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

*No answer provided.*

---

### Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **4.1.3 Environment Restoration**
  - **4.1.5 Wastewater Management**
- 

### Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **<http://mk.westernbalkansenvironment.net>**

*No files attached.*

---

## Financial Commitment #7 — Program for investments for Non Government Organisation for 2008 in the Republic of Macedonia

---

### Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

*No answer provided.*

### Other

- **Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning of the Republic of Macedonia**
- 

### Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

*No answer provided.*



---

**Funding organization**

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

*No answer provided.*

**Other**

- **Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning of the Republic of Macedonia**
- 

**Name of activity funded**

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

**Program for investments for Non Government Organisation for 2008 in the Republic of Macedonia**

---

**Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)**

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- **The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia**
- 

**Recipient organization(s)**

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

*No answer provided.*

**Other**

*No answer provided.*

---

**Executing Agency(ies)**

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

---

**Commitment date**

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

*No answer provided.*

---

**Amount committed**

***Enter the total amount of money committed***

**8350000 Macedonian Denar**

---

**Type of funding**

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

**Grant**

---

**Start date**

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

**01/01/2008**

---

**Completion date**

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable  
**31/12/2008**

---

### **Duration (no. of months)**

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

---

### **Rio Marker for desertification**

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)**

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **1.2 Knowledge, Science and Technology**
  - **4 Mitigation and Recovery**
- 

### **Sources of information**

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

*No answer provided.*

*No files attached.*

---

## **Financial Commitment #8 — Tree Day-Plant your future**

---

### **Reporting Entity**

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

- **Macedonia, FYROM. - Central Government Institutions**

#### **Other**

- **Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning of the Republic of Macedonia**
- 

### **Identification code**

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Funding organization**

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

- **Macedonia, FYROM. - Central Government Institutions**

#### **Other**

*No answer provided.*

---

**Name of activity funded**

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

**Tree Day-Plant your future**

---

**Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)**

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- **The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia**
- 

**Recipient organization(s)**

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

*No answer provided.*

**Other**

*No answer provided.*

---

**Executing Agency(ies)**

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

---

**Commitment date**

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

*No answer provided.*

---

**Amount committed**

**Enter the total amount of money committed**

0 ---

---

**Type of funding**

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

*No answer provided.*

---

**Start date**

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

01/01/2008

---

**Completion date**

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

*No answer provided.*

---

**Duration (no. of months)**

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

---

**Rio Marker for desertification**

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

*No answer provided.*

---

### Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **4 Mitigation and Recovery**
- 

### Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **www.treeday.com.mk**

*No files attached.*

---

### Financial Commitment #9 — Technical and project programs for performing of geotechnical research for development of project documentation for recultivation of hidrotaling damp in Zletovo-Probistip

---

#### Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

- **Macedonia, FYROM - Municipal Institutions**

#### *Other*

- **Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning of the Republic of Macedonia**
- 

#### Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

*No answer provided.*

---

#### Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

- **Macedonia, FYROM. - Central Government Institutions**

#### *Other*

- **Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning of the Republic of Macedonia**
- 

#### Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

**Technical and project programs for performing of geotechnical research for development of project documentation for recultivation of hidrotaling damp in Zletovo-Probistip**

---

## Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- **The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia**
- 

## Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

*No answer provided.*

### Other

*No answer provided.*

---

## Executing Agency(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

---

## Commitment date

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

*No answer provided.*

---

## Amount committed

*Enter the total amount of money committed*

**8537000 Macedonian Denar**

---

## Type of funding

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

*No answer provided.*

---

## Start date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

*No answer provided.*

---

## Completion date

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

*No answer provided.*

---

## Duration (no. of months)

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

---

## Rio Marker for desertification

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

*No answer provided.*

---

## Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **4 Mitigation and Recovery**
- 

### Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **www.moepp.gov.mk**

*No files attached.*

---

## Financial Commitment #10 — Study for industrial contamination in OKTA refinery

---

### Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

*No answer provided.*

#### Other

- **Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning of the Republic of Macedonia**
- 

### Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

*No answer provided.*

---

### Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

*No answer provided.*

#### Other

- **Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning of the Republic of Macedonia**
- 

### Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

**Study for industrial contamination in OKTA refinery**

---

### Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- **The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia**
- 

### Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

*No answer provided.*

**Other**

*No answer provided.*

---

**Executing Agency(ies)**

Enter the full name an acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

---

**Commitment date**

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

*No answer provided.*

---

**Amount committed**

*Enter the total amount of money committed*

229830 Euro

---

**Type of funding**

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

Grant

---

**Start date**

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

01/01/2009

---

**Completion date**

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

*No answer provided.*

---

**Duration (no. of months)**

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

---

**Rio Marker for desertification**

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

*No answer provided.*

---

**Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)**

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- 4 Mitigation and Recovery
- 

**Sources of information**

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

*No answer provided.*

*No files attached.*

---

## Financial Commitment #11 — Strengthening the readiness for protection of national forests from fire

---

### Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

- **Macedonia, FYROM. - Central Government Institutions**

#### Other

- **Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning of the Republic of Macedonia**
- 

### Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

*No answer provided.*

---

### Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

- **Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations**

#### Other

*No answer provided.*

---

### Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

**Strengthening the readiness for protection of national forests from fire**

---

### Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

*No answer provided.*

---

### Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

*No answer provided.*

#### Other

*No answer provided.*

---

### Executing Agency(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

---

### Commitment date

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization



*No answer provided.*

---

### **Amount committed**

*Enter the total amount of money committed*

**267768 Euro**

---

### **Type of funding**

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

**Grant**

---

### **Start date**

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

**01/11/2008**

---

### **Completion date**

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

**31/10/2010**

---

### **Duration (no. of months)**

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

---

### **Rio Marker for desertification**

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)**

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **2 Capacity Development and Planning**
- 

### **Sources of information**

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

*No answer provided.*

*No files attached.*

---

## **Financial Commitment #12 — Drought Management Centre for South east Europe (DMCSEE)**

---

### **Reporting Entity**

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

*No answer provided.*

**Other**

- **Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning of the Republic of Macedonia**
- 

### Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

*No answer provided.*

---

### Funding organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

- **European Union**

#### *Other*

*No answer provided.*

---

### Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

**Drought Management Centre for South east Europe (DMCSEE)**

---

### Recipient country(ies) or (sub)region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- **The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia**
  - **Albania**
  - **Serbia**
  - **Slovenia**
  - **Montenegro**
  - **Croatia**
  - **Greece**
  - **Bulgaria**
  - **Hungary**
- 

### Recipient organization(s)

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

*No answer provided.*

#### *Other*

*No answer provided.*

---

### Executing Agency(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

---

### Commitment date

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization

**23/12/2009**

---

### Amount committed

**Enter the total amount of money committed**

93981 Euro

---

### **Type of funding**

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment.

**Grant**

---

### **Start date**

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Completion date**

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization, if applicable

**31/03/2010**

---

### **Duration (no. of months)**

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months

---

### **Rio Marker for desertification**

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)**

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **2 Capacity Development and Planning**
  - **4 Mitigation and Recovery**
- 

### **Sources of information**

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

*No answer provided.*

*No files attached.*

## Programme and Project Sheets

Programme and Project Sheets (PPS) are used to provide more detailed information on programmes or projects undertaken or completed in the reporting period. This includes programmes and projects in the pipeline, as well as final proposals submitted for funding to internal or external funding sources. All country Parties and other reporting entities involved in the financing, coordination or implementation of relevant programmes and projects are requested to prepare a PPS for each of them, and to attach them to their official report to the UNCCD.

The compilation of the PPS is guided by means of a template. These templates are intended to collect a minimum set of qualitative and quantitative data to facilitate the analysis of funding and investment flows, and the production of better financial statistics related to UNCCD implementation (ICCD/CRIC(8)/5/Add.4), with a view to enabling the CRIC to undertake an objective review of progress in the implementation of the Convention and The Strategy. The PPS also facilitate the computation of certain performance and impact indicators .

A distinctive feature of the PPS is that it allows country Parties and other reporting entities to specify which strategic and operational objectives of The Strategy are targeted by each programme or project. In addition, it allows for individual programme or project components to be categorized using the Rio Markers for desertification and Relevant Activity Codes (RACs).

Furthermore, the PPS can be used to indicate whether the objectives of other Rio Conventions (i.e. the United Nations Convention on Biological Diversity, UNCBD – and the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, UNFCCC) are also addressed by the programme or project. This is done through the use of the biodiversity and climate change Rio Markers, respectively.

The PPS offers an opportunity to increase the visibility of relevant programmes and projects, thereby creating the conditions for a better sharing of experiences and lessons, as well as the transfer of knowledge in general. It also favours collaboration and networking by facilitating the identification of potential synergies.

Lastly, the PPS also allows country Parties and other reporting entities to provide a narrative description of the expected or achieved results. This information will facilitate the qualitative assessment of progress in the implementation of The Strategy, including on returns on investment. The CRIC will use the analysis of financial information originating from the PPS to assess results, performance and impacts.

To minimize the reporting burden and avoid discrepancies in the information annexed to the reports of different entities, it is recommended that project partners identify the most suitable ways to coordinate among themselves the preparation of PPS to ensure that consistent data are reported for the same projects. It would also be advisable to compile just one PPS for large “umbrella” programmes, instead of separate PPS for each small project stemming from them.

### Programme/Project #1 — Development of remediation plans with financial requirements for elimination of industrial hot-spots

---

#### Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

*No answer provided.*

#### Other

- **Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning of the Republic of Macedonia**
- 

#### Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

**EUROPEAID/123674/D/SER/MK**

---

#### Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

**Development of remediation plans with financial requirements for elimination of industrial hot-spots**

---

## Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

*No answer provided.*

---

## Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

*No answer provided.*

---

## Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

*No answer provided.*

---

## Target area size/administrative unit

### Area Size

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

**2571300 hectares**

### Administrative Unit

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

*No answer provided.*

---

## Target Group

---

### Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

**2022547**

---

### Start date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

**01/02/2007**

---

### Completion date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

**01/03/2008**

---

### Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

**Completed**

---

## Programme/Project co-financing

### Programme/Project co-financing

*Item 1*

**Source**

---  
**Source (other)**

---  
**Currency**

---  
**Amount**

---

---

## United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

### **UNCCD**

*No answer provided.*

### **UNFCCC adaptation**

*No answer provided.*

### **UNFCCC mitigation**

*No answer provided.*

### **CBD**

*No answer provided.*

---

## Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

*No answer provided.*

---

## Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 5

---

## Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: [http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en\\_2649\\_34447\\_1914325\\_1\\_1\\_1\\_1,00.html](http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html).

- 410 General environmental protection
- 41040 Site preservation

---

## Programme/Project Components

### Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- 2 Capacity Development and Planning
- 4 Mitigation and Recovery

---

## Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- **Support the remediation of industrial hotspots on an environmentally and financially sustainable manner by promoting donor funding to the sector.**
- 

### Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- <http://www.moepp.gov.mk/default-mk.asp>

*No files attached.*

---

### Programme/Project #2 — Multimedia educative package in the environment and sustainable development-Green package

---

#### Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

*No answer provided.*

#### Other

- **Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning of the Republic of Macedonia**
- 

#### Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

*No answer provided.*

---

#### Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

**Multimedia educative package in the environment and sustainable development-Green package**

---

#### Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

**Cooperating Institution**

---

#### Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- **Regional Environmental Center (REC)-Country office Macedonia**
- 

#### Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia**

---

**Target area size/administrative unit****Area Size**

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

**2571300 hectares**

**Administrative Unit**

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

**Republic of Macedonia**

---

**Target Group****Beneficiaries**

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

**2022547**

---

**Start date**

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

**01/01/2006**

---

**Completion date**

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

*No answer provided.*

---

**Status**

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

**Completed**

---

**Programme/Project co-financing****Programme/Project co-financing**

*Item 1*

**Source**

---

**Source (other)**

---

**Currency**

---

**Amount**

---

---

**United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers**

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

**UNCCD**

**1**

**UNFCCC adaptation**

**1**



## **UNFCCC mitigation**

1

## **CBD**

1

---

### **Strategic objectives**

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Operational objectives**

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 

### **Sector(s) of intervention**

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: [http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en\\_2649\\_34447\\_1914325\\_1\\_1\\_1\\_1,00.html](http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html).

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Programme/Project Components**

---

#### **Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)**

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

*No answer provided.*

---

#### **Expected or achieved results**

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- **To improve the environmental education base in Macedonia by: Developing a modern model of environmental education for the national education system, Raising the environmental awareness of pupils and teachers and via them that of society as a whole, investing in human resources and capacity-building**
- 

#### **Sources of information**

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **www.rec.org**

*No files attached.*

---

### **Programme/Project #3 — Development of Second national communication for climate change in the Republic of Macedonia**

---

#### **Reporting entity(ies)**

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

*No answer provided.*

#### **Other**

- **Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning of the Republic of Macedonia**
- 

#### **Identification code**

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

**00043486**

---

#### **Title**

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

**Development of Second national communication for climate change in the Republic of Macedonia**

---

#### **Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project**

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

**Executing Agency**

---

#### **Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)**

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

*No answer provided.*

---

#### **Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)**

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia**
- 

#### **Target area size/administrative unit**

##### **Area Size**

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

**2571300 hectares**

##### **Administrative Unit**

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

**Republic of Macedonia**

---

#### **Target Group**

---

#### **Beneficiaries**

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

**2022547**

---

#### **Start date**

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

**01/05/2005**

---

## Completion date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

01/10/2008

---

## Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Completed

---

## Programme/Project co-financing

### *Programme/Project co-financing*

*Item 1*

**Source**

Macedonia, FYROM. - Central Government Institutions

**Source (other)**

---

**Currency**

USD

**Amount**

10000

---

## United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

### **UNCCD**

*No answer provided.*

### **UNFCCC adaptation**

*No answer provided.*

### **UNFCCC mitigation**

*No answer provided.*

### **CBD**

*No answer provided.*

---

## Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

*No answer provided.*

---

## Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 4
- 

## Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: [http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en\\_2649\\_34447\\_1914325\\_1\\_1\\_1\\_1,00.html](http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html).

- 41010 Environmental policy and administrative management
- 

## Programme/Project Components

---

### Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

*No answer provided.*

---

### Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- **Strengthened technical and institutional capacities to mainstream climate change issue into national development policies and strategic documents**
- 

### Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- [www.unfccc.org.mk](http://www.unfccc.org.mk)

*No files attached.*

---

## Programme/Project #4 — Multimedia educative package in the environment and sustainable development-Green package junior

---

### Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

*No answer provided.*

#### *Other*

- **Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning of the Republic of Macedonia**
- 

### Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

**8103-01/2009/1-LR/2009**

---

### Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

**Multimedia educative package in the environment and sustainable development-Green package junior**

---

### Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

**Cooperating Institution**

---

### Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This

information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- **REgional Environmental Center (REC)-Country office Macedonia**
- 

### **Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)**

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia**
- 

### **Target area size/administrative unit**

#### **Area Size**

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

**2571300 hectares**

#### **Administrative Unit**

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

**Republic of Macedonia**

---

### **Target Group**

---

#### **Beneficiaries**

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

**2022547**

---

#### **Start date**

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

**30/09/2009**

---

#### **Completion date**

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

**30/09/2012**

---

#### **Status**

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

**Ongoing**

---

### **Programme/Project co-financing**

#### **Programme/Project co-financing**

*Item 1*

**Source**

---

**Source (other)**

Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning

**Currency**

EUR

**Amount**

40000

Item 2

**Source**

---

**Source (other)**

Ministry of Education of Republic of Macedonia

**Currency**

EUR

**Amount**

20000

---

**United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers**

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

**UNCCD**

*No answer provided.*

**UNFCCC adaptation**

*No answer provided.*

**UNFCCC mitigation**

*No answer provided.*

**CBD**

*No answer provided.*

---

**Strategic objectives**

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

*No answer provided.*

---

**Operational objectives**

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1

---

**Sector(s) of intervention**

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: [http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en\\_2649\\_34447\\_1914325\\_1\\_1\\_1\\_1,00.html](http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html).

- 11220 Primary education

---

**Programme/Project Components**

---

**Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)**

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

*No answer provided.*

---

**Expected or achieved results**

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- **The overall objective of the project is to support the environmental sustainable development thsingrough education in accordance with the UNECE strategy for ESD, by addressing the requests of the national legislation**

---

### Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- **www.rec.org**

*No files attached.*

---

### Programme/Project #5 — Old environmental burdens inthe chemical plant OHIS Skopje

---

#### Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

*No answer provided.*

#### Other

- **Ministry of Environmental and Physical Planning of the Republic of Macedonia**

---

#### Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

**RP/3/2007**

---

#### Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

**Old environmental burdens inthe chemical plant OHIS Skopje**

---

#### Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

**Donor (ODA)**

---

#### Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

*No answer provided.*

---

#### Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia**

---

#### Target area size/administrative unit

**Area Size**

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

**100 hectares**

**Administrative Unit**

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

**Skopje municipality**

---

**Target Group****Beneficiaries**

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

**600000**

**Start date**

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

**01/07/2007**

**Completion date**

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

**01/11/2009**

**Status**

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

**Completed**

---

**Programme/Project co-financing****Programme/Project co-financing**

*Item 1*

**Source**

---

**Source (other)**

Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning of the Republic of Macedonia

**Currency**

EUR

**Amount**

11000

---

**United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers**

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

**UNCCD**

*No answer provided.*

**UNFCCC adaptation**

*No answer provided.*

**UNFCCC mitigation**

*No answer provided.*



## **CBD**

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Strategic objectives**

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Operational objectives**

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 5
- 

### **Sector(s) of intervention**

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: [http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en\\_2649\\_34447\\_1914325\\_1\\_1\\_1\\_1,00.html](http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html).

- 41040 Site preservation
- 

### **Programme/Project Components**

---

#### **Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)**

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

*No answer provided.*

---

#### **Expected or achieved results**

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- **Identification and measurement of contamination in OHIS Skopje-power plants and development of 4 different financial requirement for remediation**
- 

#### **Sources of information**

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

*No answer provided.*

*No files attached.*

---

## **Programme/Project #6 — Sustainable mining clean up and pollution management in Bucim and Lojane mines**

---

### **Reporting entity(ies)**

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

*No answer provided.*

#### **Other**

- **Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning of the Republic of Macedonia**

---

**Identification code**

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

**00054814**

---

**Title**

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

**Sustainable mining clean up and pollution management in Bucim and Lojane mines**

---

**Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project**

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

**Cooperating Institution**

---

**Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)**

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

*No answer provided.*

---

**Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)**

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia**
- 

**Target area size/administrative unit****Area Size**

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

**30 hectares**

**Administrative Unit**

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

**Kumanovo and Radovis Mynicipality**

---

**Target Group**

---

**Beneficiaries**

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

**127703**

---

**Start date**

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

**01/10/2007**

---

**Completion date**

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

**30/09/2010**

---

## Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

**Completed**

## Programme/Project co-financing

### *Programme/Project co-financing*

*Item 1*

**Source**

---

**Source (other)**

Duch Government

**Currency**

USD

**Amount**

2118840

*Item 2*

**Source**

---

**Source (other)**

Bucim Mine-Republic of Macedonia

**Currency**

USD

**Amount**

777813

*Item 3*

**Source**

---

**Source (other)**

Ministry of Environment and Physical Planing of the Republic of Macedonia

**Currency**

USD

**Amount**

131868

## United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

### **UNCCD**

*No answer provided.*

### **UNFCCC adaptation**

*No answer provided.*

### **UNFCCC mitigation**

*No answer provided.*

### **CBD**

*No answer provided.*

---

## Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

*No answer provided.*

---

## Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 5
- 

## Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: [http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en\\_2649\\_34447\\_1914325\\_1\\_1\\_1\\_1,00.html](http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html).

*No answer provided.*

---

## Programme/Project Components

### Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

*No answer provided.*

---

### Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- **Seasuring significant progress in mitigation of negative impact of the mining operation to the environmental media in Bucim and Lojane mines**
  - **Enhansing capacities for good environmantal management**
  - **Increasing public awareness on issues related to environmental hotspots**
- 

### Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- <http://mk.westernbalkansenvironment.net>

*No files attached.*

---

## Programme/Project #7 — Program for investments for Non Government Organisation for 2008 in the Republic of Macedonia

---

### Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

*No answer provided.*

### Other

- **Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning of the Republic of Macedonia**
-

## Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

*No answer provided.*

---

## Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

**Program for investments for Non Government Organisation for 2008 in the Republic of Macedonia**

---

## Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

**Donor (ODA)**

---

## Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- **ED Green Wood-Tetovo, MDC TI Net-Skopje, Zelezara-Skopje, Youth forum-Skopje, NGO-Prilep, NGO-Ohrid, ED Multikultura-Tetovo, DDF Elven-Skopje, Students from Forest Faculty-Skopje, Harts for animals-Skopje, Eko center-Ohrid, Eko action-Tetovo, Mirogradba-Stip, Crem Ideal-Tetovo**
- 

## Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia**
- 

## Target area size/administrative unit

### **Area Size**

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

**2571300 hectares**

### **Administrative Unit**

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

**Republic of Macedonia**

---

## Target Group

---

### **Beneficiaries**

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

**2022547**

---

### **Start date**

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

**01/01/2008**

---

### **Completion date**

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

31/12/2008

---

## Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Completed

---

## Programme/Project co-financing

### *Programme/Project co-financing*

Item 1

Source

---

Source (other)

---

Currency

---

Amount

---

---

## United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

### **UNCCD**

*No answer provided.*

### **UNFCCC adaptation**

*No answer provided.*

### **UNFCCC mitigation**

*No answer provided.*

### **CBD**

*No answer provided.*

---

## Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

*No answer provided.*

---

## Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 

## Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: [http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en\\_2649\\_34447\\_1914325\\_1\\_1\\_1\\_1,00.html](http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html).

- 41081 Environmental education/ training

- 41020 Biosphere protection
  - 41040 Site preservation
- 

## Programme/Project Components

---

### Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

*No answer provided.*

---

### Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- Improvement of the environment through involvement of NGO
  - Raising the awareness for environmental issues
- 

### Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

*No answer provided.*

*No files attached.*

---

## Programme/Project #8 — Tree day-Plant your future

---

### Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

*No answer provided.*

#### *Other*

- Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning of the Republic of Macedonia
- 

### Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

*No answer provided.*

---

### Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

**Tree day-Plant your future**

---

### Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

**Cooperating Institution**

---

### Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This

information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- **NGO Tree Day, PE Makedonski sumi**

---

### **Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)**

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Target area size/administrative unit**

#### **Area Size**

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

**2571300 hectares**

#### **Administrative Unit**

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

**Republic of Macedonia**

---

### **Target Group**

#### **Beneficiaries**

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

**2022547**

---

#### **Start date**

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

**01/01/2008**

---

#### **Completion date**

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

*No answer provided.*

---

#### **Status**

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

**Ongoing**

---

### **Programme/Project co-financing**

#### **Programme/Project co-financing**

*Item 1*

**Source**

---

**Source (other)**

PE Makedonski sumi

**Currency**

---

**Amount**

---



---

## United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

### **UNCCD**

*No answer provided.*

### **UNFCCC adaptation**

*No answer provided.*

### **UNFCCC mitigation**

*No answer provided.*

### **CBD**

*No answer provided.*

---

## Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

*No answer provided.*

---

## Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 4
- 

## Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: [http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en\\_2649\\_34447\\_1914325\\_1\\_1\\_1\\_1,00.html](http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html).

- 410 General environmental protection
- 

## Programme/Project Components

---

### Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

*No answer provided.*

---

### Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- Afforestation of the Republic of Macedonia
- 

### Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- [www.treeday.com.mk](http://www.treeday.com.mk)

*No files attached.*

---

## Programme/Project #9 — Technical and project programs for performing of geotechnical reserach for development of project documentation for recultivation of old hidrotailing damp in Zletovo-Probistip

---

### Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

*No answer provided.*

#### Other

- **Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning of the Republic of Macedonia**
- 

### Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

*No answer provided.*

---

### Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

**Technical and project programs for performing of geotechnical reserach for development of project documentation for recultivation of old hidrotailing damp in Zletovo-Probistip**

---

### Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

**Funder (non ODA)**

---

### Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

*No answer provided.*

---

### Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia**
- 

### Target area size/administrative unit

#### Area Size

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

*No answer provided.*

#### Administrative Unit

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

**Municipality of Makedonska Kamenica and Zletovo**

---

### Target Group

---

**Beneficiaries**

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

11700

---

**Start date**

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

01/10/2010

---

**Completion date**

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

*No answer provided.*

---

**Status**

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

Ongoing

---

**Programme/Project co-financing*****Programme/Project co-financing***

*Item 1*

**Source**

---

**Source (other)**

---

**Currency**

---

**Amount**

---

---

**United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers**

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

**UNCCD**

*No answer provided.*

**UNFCCC adaptation**

*No answer provided.*

**UNFCCC mitigation**

*No answer provided.*

**CBD**

*No answer provided.*

---

**Strategic objectives**

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

*No answer provided.*

---

**Operational objectives**

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 5
- 

### Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: [http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en\\_2649\\_34447\\_1914325\\_1\\_1\\_1\\_1,00.html](http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html).

- 41040 Site preservation
- 

### Programme/Project Components

---

#### Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

*No answer provided.*

---

#### Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

*No answer provided.*

---

#### Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- [www.moepp.gov.mk](http://www.moepp.gov.mk)

*No files attached.*

---

### Programme/Project #10 — Study for industrial contamination in OKTA refinery

---

#### Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

*No answer provided.*

#### Other

- Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning of the Republic of Macedonia
- 

#### Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

*No answer provided.*

---

#### Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

**Study for industrial contamination in OKTA refinery**

---

#### Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

**Funder (non ODA)**

---

### **Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)**

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)**

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia**
- 

### **Target area size/administrative unit**

#### **Area Size**

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

*No answer provided.*

#### **Administrative Unit**

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

**Municipality of Ilinden**

---

### **Target Group**

---

#### **Beneficiaries**

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

**600000**

---

#### **Start date**

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

**01/01/2009**

---

#### **Completion date**

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

*No answer provided.*

---

#### **Status**

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

**Ongoing**

---

### **Programme/Project co-financing**

#### **Programme/Project co-financing**

*Item 1*

**Source**

---

**Source (other)**

---

**Currency**

---

**Amount**

---

---

**United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers**

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

**UNCCD**

*No answer provided.*

**UNFCCC adaptation**

*No answer provided.*

**UNFCCC mitigation**

*No answer provided.*

**CBD**

*No answer provided.*

---

**Strategic objectives**

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

*No answer provided.*

---

**Operational objectives**

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 5

---

**Sector(s) of intervention**

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: [http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en\\_2649\\_34447\\_1914325\\_1\\_1\\_1\\_1,00.html](http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html).

- 41040 Site preservation
- 41082 Environmental research

---

**Programme/Project Components**

---

**Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)**

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

*No answer provided.*

---

**Expected or achieved results**

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- Estimation of industrial pollution and contamination in OKTA refinery

---

## Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

*No answer provided.*

*No files attached.*

---

## Programme/Project #11 — Strenghtthening the readines for protection of national forests from fire

---

### Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

*No answer provided.*

#### **Other**

- **Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning of the Republic of Macedonia**
- 

### Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

*No answer provided.*

---

### Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

**Strenghtthening the readines for protection of national forests from fire**

---

### Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

**Other**

---

### Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

*No answer provided.*

---

### Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia**
- 

### Target area size/administrative unit

#### **Area Size**

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

**2571300 hectares**

#### **Administrative Unit**

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

**Republic of Macedonia**

---

## Target Group

---

### Beneficiaries

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

**2022547**

---

### Start date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

*No answer provided.*

---

### Completion date

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

*No answer provided.*

---

### Status

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

**Ongoing**

---

## Programme/Project co-financing

### *Programme/Project co-financing*

*Item 1*

**Source**

---

**Source (other)**

---

**Currency**

---

**Amount**

---

---

## United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

### **UNCCD**

*No answer provided.*

### **UNFCCC adaptation**

*No answer provided.*

### **UNFCCC mitigation**

*No answer provided.*

### **CBD**

*No answer provided.*

---

## Strategic objectives



Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

*No answer provided.*

---

### Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 4
- 

### Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: [http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en\\_2649\\_34447\\_1914325\\_1\\_1\\_1\\_1,00.html](http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html).

- 31281 Forestry education/training
- 

### Programme/Project Components

---

#### Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

*No answer provided.*

---

#### Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- Protection the national forests from fire
- 

#### Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

*No answer provided.*

*No files attached.*

---

### Programme/Project #12 — Drought Management Centre for South East Europe (DMCSEE)

---

#### Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

*No answer provided.*

#### Other

- Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning of the Republic of Macedonia
- 

#### Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

*No answer provided.*

---

#### Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

**Drought Management Centre for South East Europe (DMCSEE)**

---

### **Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project**

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

**Other**

---

### **Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)**

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)**

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia**
- 

### **Target area size/administrative unit**

#### **Area Size**

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

*No answer provided.*

#### **Administrative Unit**

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

**South East Europe**

---

### **Target Group**

---

#### **Beneficiaries**

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

*No answer provided.*

---

#### **Start date**

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

*No answer provided.*

---

#### **Completion date**

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

**31/03/2012**

---

#### **Status**

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

**Ongoing**

---

### **Programme/Project co-financing**

## Programme/Project co-financing

Item 1

### Source

---

### Source (other)

Government of the Republic of Macedonia

### Currency

EUR

### Amount

14000

## United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

### UNCCD

*No answer provided.*

### UNFCCC adaptation

*No answer provided.*

### UNFCCC mitigation

*No answer provided.*

### CBD

*No answer provided.*

## Strategic objectives

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

*No answer provided.*

## Operational objectives

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 4

## Sector(s) of intervention

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: [http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en\\_2649\\_34447\\_1914325\\_1\\_1\\_1\\_1,00.html](http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html).

- 410 General environmental protection

## Programme/Project Components

### Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

*No answer provided.*

---

## Expected or achieved results

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- **Drought management and diminish the impact of the drough in the region of South East Europa**
- 

## Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

*No answer provided.*

*No files attached.*

---

## Programme/Project #13 — Third National Report to the UNFCCC

---

### Reporting entity(ies)

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting entity(ies)

*No answer provided.*

### Other

- **Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning**
- 

### Identification code

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

**00075206**

---

### Title

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable.

**Third National Report to the UNFCCC**

---

### Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project

Indicate the role of the reporting entity(ies) in the Programme/Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

**Executing Agency**

---

### Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science and Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

*No answer provided.*

---

### Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) and/or region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia**
- 

### Target area size/administrative unit

**Area Size**

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares

**2571300 hectares**

**Administrative Unit**

Indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project.

**Republic of Macedonia**

---

**Target Group****Beneficiaries**

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known.

**2022547**

**Start date**

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known.

**01/11/2011**

**Completion date**

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known.

**31/10/2013**

**Status**

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

**Ongoing**

---

**Programme/Project co-financing****Programme/Project co-financing**

*Item 1*

**Source**

Macedonia, FYROM. - Central Government Institutions

**Source (other)**

---

**Currency**

USD

**Amount**

10000

---

**United Nations Conventions' Rio Markers**

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

**UNCCD**

*No answer provided.*

**UNFCCC adaptation**

*No answer provided.*

**UNFCCC mitigation**

*No answer provided.*

## **CBD**

*No answer provided.*

---

### **Strategic objectives**

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 2
- 

### **Operational objectives**

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 3
  - 4
- 

### **Sector(s) of intervention**

Indicate the sector(s) of intervention as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in the quick reference guide (ICCD/CRIC(9)/INF.11). The OECD list of purpose codes is also available at the following link: [http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en\\_2649\\_34447\\_1914325\\_1\\_1\\_1\\_1,00.html](http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html).

- **41010 Environmental policy and administrative management**
- 

### **Programme/Project Components**

---

#### **Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)**

Indicate all RACs that may apply to the Programme/Project (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions).

- **1.1.1 Indicators**
  - **1.1.2 Soil Observations**
  - **1.1.3 Weather Forecasting**
  - **1.2.4 Socio-Economic Research and Science**
  - **2.1.1 Public Awareness Campaigns**
  - **2.1.2 Publications and communication material**
  - **2.1.5 Synergies**
  - **2.2.1 Capacity-Building**
  - **3.2.1 Air and Climate Protection**
  - **3.2.2 Biodiversity Conservation**
  - **3.2.3 Forest/Scrub Management**
  - **3.2.7 Water Conservation**
  - **3.2.6 Land Conservation**
  - **4.1.1 Adaptation to climate change**
  - **4.1.2 Drought mitigation**
- 

### **Expected or achieved results**

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project.

- **By 2015, national policies better address climate change adaptation and mitigation needs and demonstration programmes respond to climate change challenges;**

**Vulnerability assessments, impact costing, policy options and integrated territorial plans for climate change adaptation developed.**

---

### **Sources of information**

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above. You may also upload relevant documents.

- [http://undp.org.mk/content/Projects/TNC\\_ProDoc\\_Final\\_31Oct%20APPROVED.pdf](http://undp.org.mk/content/Projects/TNC_ProDoc_Final_31Oct%20APPROVED.pdf)

*No files attached.*

## Additional Information

The section on additional information is meant to provide an instrument of flexibility in the reporting exercise as well as to enrich the knowledge base of the CRIC on concrete issues faced by affected country Parties and consequently to make more targeted and specific recommendations to the COP. It allows affected country Parties to comment or report upon issues that are not covered elsewhere but that are nevertheless of importance at the national level or within the framework of the implementation of The Strategy and the Convention.

The additional information section allows feedback to be received on the reporting process and on the implementation of NAPs as well as lessons learnt, problems, constraints and bottlenecks faced in terms of human and financial resources. It is also meant to accommodate ad hoc COP requests for reporting on specific topics or new reporting requirements deriving from COP deliberations that may supersede existing ones and imply changes in implementation.

The proposed template for reporting is adjusted to the mandate of affected country Parties within the framework of the Convention, as requested by decision 13/COP.9, paragraph 17.

### Reporting process-related issues

---

#### Financial resources

*Could your country count on sufficient financial resources to meet UNCCD reporting obligations?*

Yes

*Which options provided by the GEF Financing for Enabling Activities under the UNCCD did your country choose to apply for?*

Access through a GEF agency

*Amount received (USD)*

0 USD

*Did your country experience difficulties in applying for and accessing the GEF funding?*

Yes

*If yes, describe the difficulties experienced.*

Chosen Agency did not applying for GEF funding for several months.

*Provide an estimate of the amount invested from your country's national budget into the UNCCD reporting process.*

6000 US Dollar

---

#### Human resources and knowledge

*How many people were involved in your country in the UNCCD reporting process?*

6 people

*Estimate the total number of person/day dedicated by these persons to the UNCCD reporting process:*

60 person/day

*Could your country count on sufficient technical and scientific knowledge to meet UNCCD reporting obligations?*

Yes

*If no, describe the main reasons and the difficulties encountered.*

No answer required.

---

#### Coordination, participation and consultation

*Was coordination with the relevant implementing agencies satisfactory in order to apply for necessary funds?*

*Was coordination at the national level with the relevant line ministries satisfactory in order to*



*comprehensively and coherently report?*

Yes

*Was a participatory or consultative approach applied to involve all relevant stakeholders in the reporting process?*

---

### Validation meeting, subregional and regional processes

*Was a validation meeting held as a part of the reporting process?*

Yes

*Did your country actively cooperate with the entities entrusted with preparing the subregional and regional reports (SRAP / RAP reports) ?*

Yes

---

### PRAIS portal

*If you are reporting online, did you receive sufficient training on access and utilization of the PRAIS portal?*

*Did you experience difficulties with access and utilization of the PRAIS portal?*

Yes

*If you experienced difficulties, identify the reasons.*

Rate the level of importance by using a scale from 0 to 5, where 0 is not important at all and 5 is very important.

Reason	Level of importance
Slow internet access	---
Complexity of the system	3
Difficulties in getting access credentials	---
Other	3

*Other (specify)*

Difficulties with submitting procedure, because the system do not see the "No" option as answers (data).

---

### Accommodation of specific requests within COP decisions

---

#### Report on specific COP requests – iterative process on indicators

Decision 13/COP.9, paragraphs 2, 3 and 4, envisages an iterative process to refine the set of performance and impact indicators. As a tool to implement this iterative process, affected country Parties can provide here their suggestions and recommendations for improvement.

#### Performance indicators

Tick the cells only if you have experienced difficulties in reporting on one, or more, performance indicator(s). Indicate against which of the e-SMART criteria the indicator(s) need(s) to be improved.

	economic	Specific	Measurable	Achievable	Relevant	Time-bound
CONS-O-1		X				
CONS-O-3		X				
CONS-O-4		X				
CONS-O-5		X				
CONS-O-7		X				

CONS-O-8		X				
CONS-O-9		X				
CONS-O-10		X				
CONS-O-11		X				
CONS-O-13		X				
CONS-O-14		X				
CONS-O-16		X				
CONS-O-17		X				
CONS-O-18		X				

### **Impact indicators**

Tick the cells only if you have experienced difficulties in reporting on one, or more, impact indicator(s). Indicate against which of the e-SMART criteria the indicator(s) need(s) to be improved.

	economic	Specific	Measurable	Achievable	Relevant	Time-bound
SO4-3						
SO4-4						
SO4-6						
SO4-7						

## **Reporting on the implementation of NAP**

**Which is the percentage of activities included in the NAP that are currently implemented?**

0%

*If you experienced difficulties in NAP implementation (i.e. the percentage is below 30%), provide description of concrete measures being taken or suggestions for measures to be taken to foster NAP implementation:*

NAP not prepared yet

### **Human resources**

#### **Lessons learnt (report on the 2 most important only)**

1. Human resources mobilization for gathering information/ data; exchanging of data among different institutions is needed.
2. improving cooperation and coordination among different institutions / stakeholders.

#### **Problems, constraints and bottlenecks currently faced by your country (report on the 2 most important only)**

1. Lack of data and information;
- 2.

Different approaches and methodologies used for different objectives.

---

## Financial resources

---

### Lessons learnt (report on the 2 most important only)

1. Lack of financial sources
  2. No answer
- 

### Problems, constraints and bottlenecks currently faced by your country (report on the 2 most important only)

1. No answer.
  2. No answer.
- 

## Any other country-specific issues

---

### Any other country-specific issues

*Has your country any specific issue to bring to the attention of the Conference of the Parties?*  
No

*If yes, please specify under which of the following broad categories it can be classified.*  
No answer required.

## Submission form

Submission form	
Name of the reporting officer *	Vesna Indova
Date of submission *	15 Oct 2012
Signature	
Name of the authorizing officer	Vesna Indova
Date of authorization	12 Oct 2012
Signature	

