

# **United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification**

**Performance Review and Assessment of Implementation System**

**4th Reporting and Review Cycle - 2010**

**Report for Samoa**

# Table of Contents

- Performance Indicators
  - Operational Objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-1 for Outcome 1.1
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-3 for Outcome 1.3
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-4 for Outcome 1.3
  - Operational Objective 2: Policy framework
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-5 for Outcomes 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-7 for Outcome 2.5
  - Operational Objective 3: Science, technology and knowledge
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-8 for Outcomes 3.1 and 3.2
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-9 for Outcome 3.1 and 3.2
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-10 for Outcome 3.3 and 3.4
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-11 for Outcome 3.5
  - Operational Objective 4: Capacity building
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-13 for Outcomes 4.1 and 4.2
  - Operational Objective 5: Financing and technology transfer
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-14 for Outcome 5.1
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-16 for Outcome 5.2
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-17 for Outcome 5.3
    - Performance indicator CONS-O-18 for Outcome 5.5
- Standard Financial Annex
  - Financial Commitment #1 — Permaculture Demonstration and Training Centre
  - Financial Commitment #2 — Vaitele Urban Governance Pilot Project
  - Financial Commitment #3 — Capacity Building and Mainstreaming of Sustainable Land Management Project
  - Financial Commitment #4 — Vaitele fou Degraded Quarry Site Rehabilitation Demonstration Project
- Programme and Project Sheets
  - Programme/Project #1 — Permaculture Demonstration and Training Centre Project
  - Programme/Project #2 — Samoa's Capacity Building and Mainstreaming of Sustainable Land Management Project
  - Programme/Project #3 — Vaitele Fou Degraded Quarry Site Rehabilitation Demonstration Project
- Additional Information
  - Reporting process-related issues
  - Accommodation of specific requests within COP decisions
  - Reporting on the implementation of NAP
    - Human resources
    - Financial resources
  - Any other country-specific issues
- Best Practices
  - Best Practice #1 — Organic farming and certification of organic farms to international standards
- Submission Form

## General Information Section

GENERAL INFORMATION ON THE REPORTING ENTITY	
Reporting country *	Samoa

Name and surname of the person submitting the report *	Aiono Mose Pouvi Sua
Affiliation and contact details *	mfat@mfat.gov.ws

# Performance Indicators

## C. Performance indicators

Performance indicators are for measuring progress against the five operational objectives of The Strategy, in line with decision 3/COP.8. The year 2008 (the first year of the Strategy) serves as the baseline year.

Affected country Parties are requested to report on the following fourteen performance indicators out of the eighteen consolidated performance indicators presented in ICCD/CRIC(8)/5/Add.1 and Add.2.

Reporting is guided by means of templates, one for each performance indicator. Within the templates, shaded areas contain information and explanatory texts and white areas are for reporting purposes and need to be filled in by affected country Parties with relevant quantitative data, selection of multiple choice boxes, or narrative information.

## Operational Objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education

---

### Performance indicator CONS-O-1 for Outcome 1.1

*Operational Objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education*

*Performance indicator CONS-O-1 for Outcome 1.1*

*Number and size of information events organized on the subject of DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity, and audience reached by media addressing DLDD and DLDD synergies.*

*Understanding of the indicator*

*At the national and local level, the indicator measures the performance of Convention-related communication strategies, in particular, whether DLDD issues and synergies are being communicated and if so, whether the communication is considered to be effective. Effectiveness is assessed through the appraisal of the media campaigns carried out; the assumption is that the stronger the media campaigns on DLDD issues and synergies, the higher the probability of passing the messages on to the target audience. The focus of the indicator is on information activities specifically dedicated to DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity. Other reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on Convention-related communication strategies at subregional, regional and global level.*

*Data needed*

*Information on events/media specifically addressing DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity.*

*Attendance lists of events (meetings, workshops, seminars), programmes/projects' documents, estimate of target audience for major media events (campaigns, radio and television programmes, etc.).*

*Events organized and media produced by the UNCCD NFP or organized/produced by third parties not directly reporting to the Convention (TV channels, newspaper editors, etc.) shall be considered.*

*Data sources (indicative only)*

*International and national media (newspapers) advertising the events at national and local level, the Internet, the organizers of the events, programmes/projects' final reports.*

*Check the glossary for*

*'NFP', 'ICT', 'Information events', 'Media products', 'STIs', 'CSOs'*

*Media products have been grouped into: (a) Paper media products (articles, press releases, leaflets, flyers, brochures and comics, etc.); (b) radio and television programmes; (c) other ICT (websites, CDs, DVD, etc.).*

*Overall target*

*By 2018, 30 per cent of the global population is informed about DLDD and DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity*

---

### **Number of information events**

Year	Number of information events	Estimated number of participants in the information events
2008	10	10000
2009	15	15000
2010		
2011		
2012		
2013		
2014		
2015		
2016		
2017		
2018		

***Estimated number of persons reached by media products and by key stakeholders***

Media products have been grouped into: (a) Paper media products (articles, press releases, leaflets, flyers, brochures and comics, etc.); (b) radio and television programmes; (c) other ICT (websites, CDs, DVD, etc.).

Year	Stakeholder	Paper media products	Radio and TV	other ICT
2008	Public at Large	10	10	12
	Civil society organizations	5	5	2
	Science and technology institutions	3	3	3
2009	Public at Large	10	10	12
	Civil society organizations	5	5	2
	Science and technology institutions	3	3	3
2010	Public at Large			
	Civil society organizations			
	Science and technology institutions			
2011	Public at Large			
	Civil society organizations			
	Science and technology institutions			
2012	Public at Large			
	Civil society organizations			
	Science and technology institutions			
2013	Public at Large			
	Civil society organizations			
	Science and technology institutions			

2014	Public at Large			
	Civil society organizations			
	Science and technology institutions			
2015	Public at Large			
	Civil society organizations			
	Science and technology institutions			
2016	Public at Large			
	Civil society organizations			
	Science and technology institutions			
2017	Public at Large			
	Civil society organizations			
	Science and technology institutions			
2018	Public at Large			
	Civil society organizations			
	Science and technology institutions			

#### **Sources of information**

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

- Samoa Observer, Newslane, Savali, SBC TV1, TV3, Kingdom TV, Star TV, <http://www.mnre.gov.ws>, <http://www.sprep.org>, <http://www.maf.gov.ws>, <http://www.mof.gov.ws>, <http://www.mesc.gov.ws>, <http://www.mwti.gov.ws>

#### **Attachments:**

none

#### **National contribution to the target**

On the basis of the information you have provided above, estimate the proportion (%) of the population in your country which is informed about DLDD and DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity at the time of reporting?

Estimated share of total country population =

**45 %**

#### **Qualitative assessment**

Is the information you have provided on communication processes part of a national communication strategy addressing environmental issues?

**Yes**

### **Performance indicator CONS-O-3 for Outcome 1.3**

*Operational Objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education*

*Performance indicator CONS-O-3 for Outcome 1.3*

*Number of CSOs and science and technology institutions participating in the Convention processes.*

#### *Understanding of the indicator*

*At the national level, the indicator measures the level of participation of civil society organizations (CSOs) and science and technology institutions (STIs) in DLDD-related programmes and projects. The indicator will outline whether the active involvement of these stakeholders in country-based initiatives increases over time and whether programmes/projects are valid tools for the engagement of, and receiving contributions from, CSOs and STIs at the field level. Other reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on the involvement of CSOs and STIs at subregional, regional and global level; in particular, the secretariat and the GM will report on the involvement of CSOs and STIs at the institutional level.*

#### *Data needed*

*The specification of the organizations involved in the programmes/projects as reported in the PPSs.*

#### *Data sources (indicative only)*

*PPSs submitted to the UNCCD as part of the reporting exercise.*

#### *Check the glossary for*

*'STIs', 'CSOs', 'PPS', 'Convention processes'*

#### *Overall target*

*A steady growth in the participation of CSOs and science and technology institutions in the Convention processes is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy.*

---

***In the PPSs you have specified the number of CSOs and the number of STIs involved in each programme/project. Add these numbers and give the totals by year in the table below.***

<b>Year</b>	<b>Number of CSOs involved in DLDD-related programmes/projects</b>	<b>Number of STIs involved in DLDD-related programmes/projects</b>
2008	5	3
2009	5	3
2010		
2011		
2012		
2013		
2014		
2015		
2016		
2017		
2018		

---

#### ***Sources of information***

Programme and project sheets (PPSs) submitted to UNCCD

*No answer required*

---

#### ***National contribution to the target***

At the time of reporting, is your government undertaking concrete initiatives to increase the participation of CSOs and STIs in DLDD-related programmes and projects?

**Yes**

### Qualitative assessment

Specify the reasons for the increasing and/or decreasing trend of the participation of CSOs and STIs to DLDD-related programmes/projects. (tick as many boxes as necessary and rate the level of importance )

		Not important	Important	Very important
X	Increased networking and collaboration opportunities		X	
X	Increased access to information and to national and/or international financing opportunities		X	
X	Increased willingness of the government in working with CSOs			X
X	Increased interest of donors in working with CSOs		X	
X	Strengthened organizational, project management and fund-raising capacity of CSOs		X	
X	Increased funding opportunities requiring partnership with the STIs		X	
X	Strengthened organizational, project management and fund-raising capacity of the STIs			X
X	Other			X

### Other (specify) (max 30 words)

**Government also welcomes and support participation of village organisations and groups especially among women and youth in awareness and activities addressing LDD in the country**

### Reasons for decreasing for CSOs

		Not important	Important	Very important
X	Costly participatory processes			X
X	Low organizational, fund-raising and project management capacity of CSOs			X
X	Government policies and/or the legal environment do not foster the engagement of CSOs		X	
X	Diminishing funding			X
X	Other			X

### Other (specify) (max 30 words)

**The expansion of CSO activities requires active participation of village individuals and groups which is still very weak at this stage but crucially necessary to build their human and financial resources.**

### Reasons for decreasing for STIs

		Not important	Important	Very important
X	DLDD topics are not prioritized by national STIs		X	
X	Low organizational, fund-raising and project management capacity of STIs			X



X	Decreased networking opportunities at national and international level		X
X	Diminishing funding		X
X	Other		X

**Other (specify) (max 30 words)**

**Weak research and development for addressing LDD in primary, secondary and tertiary curriculum and courses.**

**Performance indicator CONS-O-4 for Outcome 1.3**

*Operational Objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education*

*Performance indicator CONS-O-4 for Outcome 1.3*

*Number and type of DLDD-related initiatives of CSOs and science and technology institutions in the field of education.*

*Understanding of the indicator*

*The indicator measures the number and type of DLDD-related initiatives undertaken by CSOs and STIs in the education sector at the national level. The assumption is that the higher the number of DLDD-related education initiatives undertaken by these stakeholders, the stronger their interest in addressing DLDD problems. A distinction is made between activities carried out in the formal education sector and in the non-formal education sector. This indicator focuses on “education” because “awareness” and “advocacy” are already measured through indicators CONS-O-1 and CONS-O-2, respectively. Other reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on the involvement of CSOs and STIs at subregional, regional and global level.*

*Data needed*

*Information on initiatives undertaken in the field of education that may be found in: written communications by CSOs and STIs to the NFP; contractual and/or programme/project-related documents; records of academic bodies and their curricula.*

*Only initiatives in the field of education (formal and non-formal) directly relating to DLDD issues are to be considered.*

*Data sources (indicative only)*

*CSOs and STIs operating in the country.*

*Check the glossary for*

*‘CSOs’, ‘STIs’, ‘NFP’, ‘Formal education’, ‘Non-formal education’.*

*Overall target*

*A steady growth in the number of DLDD-related education initiatives undertaken by CSOs and science and technology institutions is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy*

**Number of DLDD-related initiatives undertaken**

<b>Year</b>	<b>Number of DLDD-related initiatives undertaken by CSOs formal education</b>	<b>Number of DLDD-related initiatives undertaken by CSOs non-formal education</b>	<b>Number of DLDD-related initiatives undertaken by STIs formal education</b>	<b>Number of DLDD-related initiatives undertaken by STIs non-formal education</b>
2008	3	3	2	2
2009	3	3	2	2
2010				
2011				
2012				

2013			
2014			
2015			
2016			
2017			
2018			

**Sources of information**

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

- **Annual reports of the following CSOs - METI, Siosiomaga Society, Women in Business, Farmers Association, and STIs - National University of Samoa, USP Alafua and Scientific Research Organisation of Samoa.**

**Attachments:**

none

**National contribution to the target**

At the time of reporting, is your government undertaking concrete initiatives to increase the delivery of DLDD-related initiatives in the education sector by CSOs and STIs?

**Yes**

**Qualitative assessment**

Specify the reasons for the increasing and/or decreasing trend of DLDD-related education initiatives undertaken by CSOs and STIs.

(tick as many boxes as necessary and rate the level of importance)

		Not important	Important	Very important
X	Increased access to funding			X
X	Increased awareness of DLDD-related problems and of the need for action			X
X	Increased knowledge of DLDD-related topics and enhanced skills of trainers/teachers			X
X	Government policies are more supportive of education initiatives		X	
X	International donors are more supportive of education-focussed initiatives.			X
X	Other			X

**Other (specify) (max 30 words)**

**Increased involvement of CSOs and STIs in the development of formal and non-formal LDD educational curriculums of children (6-11 year old) and junior youth (12-15) in the country.**

**Reasons for decreasing for CSOs**

	Not important	Important	Very important

Lack of financial resources			
Insufficient awareness and knowledge by national CSOs of DLDD-related issues			
Limited capillary presence of national CSOs at the grass-root level			
Other			

**Other (specify) (max 30 words)**

**No answer provided**

**Reasons for decreasing for STIs**

	<b>Not important</b>	<b>Important</b>	<b>Very important</b>
Lack of financial resources			
National STIs are more focussed on research activities than on education and training			
Other			

**Other (specify) (max 30 words)**

**No answer provided**

## Operational Objective 2: Policy framework

---

### Performance indicator CONS-O-5 for Outcomes 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3

*Operational Objective 2: Policy framework*

*Performance indicator CONS-O-5 for Outcomes 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3*

*Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities to have finalized the formulation/revision of NAPs/SRAPs/RAPs aligned to The Strategy, taking into account biophysical and socio-economic information, national planning and policies, and integration into investment frameworks.*

*Understanding of the indicator*

*At the national level, the indicator measures the performance of affected country Parties in formulating or revising their NAPs in alignment with The Strategy. While providing information on this process, the indicator also outlines whether: (a) the analysis of DLDD drivers, barriers to possible solutions, and measures that may eventually overcome these barriers, has been carried out; (b) the alignment process has been supported by biophysical and socio-economic baseline information; (c) the action programmes have been included in integrated investment frameworks; and (d) the action programmes have been integrated with other existing national plans and policies. The indicator will inform on the extent to which Parties have responded to decision 3/COP.8, paragraph 45, and on the feasibility of assessing the progress of The Strategy over its implementation period (2008–2018). Subregional and regional reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on formulation or revision of SRAPs and RAPs in alignment with The Strategy.*

*Data needed*

*UNCCD NAP. Only a NAP formally approved by the relevant governmental authorities is to be considered as 'finalized'. Other relevant planning documents.*

*Data sources (indicative only)*

*UNCCD NFP.*

*Check the glossary for*

*'Finalized', 'NAP', 'NFP', 'driver', 'barrier', 'integrated investment framework', 'baseline'*

*Overall target*

*By 2014, at least 80 per cent of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities have formulated/revise a NAP/SRAP/RAP aligned to The Strategy.*

---

### **NAP Adoption and Revision**

Had your country already adopted a NAP prior to The Strategy?

**Yes**

**If yes, has your country revised the NAP in alignment with The Strategy?**

**No**

**If you have revised the NAP in alignment with The Strategy, specify the date of its approval.**

**No answer provided**

**If you have not revised the NAP in alignment with The Strategy, specify why the process was not initiated. (tick as many boxes as necessary and rate the level of importance)**

	Not important	Important	Very Important
Not a priority for the government			
Lack of capacities			
Lack of financial resources			

	Understaffing			
	Lack of time			
	Poor internal coordination among relevant ministries			
X	Other			X

**Other (specify) (max 30 words)**

Has not yet been attempted as the Coordinating Unit have just been informed of the NAP Alignment requirement. To be realigned under the SLM Project in 2011.

**If your country had no NAP adopted prior to The Strategy, have you formulated an aligned NAP after The Strategy's adoption in 2008?**

**No answer provided**

**If yes, specify the date of its approval.**

**No answer provided**

**If at the time of reporting you have not formulated a NAP aligned to The Strategy specify why the process was not initiated. (tick as many boxes as necessary and rate the level of importance)**

	Not important	Important	Very Important
Not a priority for the government			
Lack of capacities			
Lack of financial resources			
Understaffing			
Lack of time			
Poor internal coordination among relevant ministries			
Other			

**Other (specify) (max 30 words)**

**No answer provided**

**The questions below apply only to those countries having a NAP aligned to The Strategy**

**If you have a NAP, is it supported by biophysical and socio-economic baseline information?**

**No answer provided**

**If you have a NAP, does it assess DLDD drivers?**

**No answer provided**

**If you have a NAP, does it assess the barriers to sustainable land management?**

**No answer provided**

**If yes, does the NAP include recommendations to remove these barriers?**

**No answer provided**

**If you have a NAP, has it been included into an integrated investment framework?**

**No answer provided**

**If you have a NAP, has it been integrated into national development planning and relevant sectoral and investment plans and policies?**

**No answer provided**

**If yes, has the NAP been integrated into your country's Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper?**

**No answer provided**

**Did you refer to the Guidelines on the alignment of action programmes with The Strategy as proposed in ICCD/COP(9)/2/Add.1 while developing or reviewing your action plan?**

**No answer provided**

---

**Sources of information**

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

- Samoa's GEF/UNDP funded SLM Project quarterly reports for 2008 and 2009, Annual Reports 2008 and 2009 of the Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment

**Attachments:**

none

---

**National contribution to the target**

If you do not have an approved NAP aligned to The Strategy at the time of reporting, when do you plan to have it developed and approved?

2010-11

---

**Qualitative assessment**

Has the formulation and/or alignment of the NAP been supported by external assistance?

Yes

**If yes, did you receive assistance from one or more of the following institutions? (more than one box can be ticked)**

- Secretariat
- GM
- Multilateral (UN agencies, IGOs, international financing institutions, etc.)

**If yes, which type of assistance did you receive?**

Financial Support

**Identify the major difficulties experienced in the formulation/alignment process (tick as many boxes as necessary and rate the level of importance).**

		Not important	Important	Very Important
X	Not a priority for the government	X		
X	Poor availability of biophysical and socio- economic baseline information		X	
X	Existing investment frameworks are not fully compatible with the NAP			X
X	Streamlining the NAP into existing plans and policies is too time-consuming		X	
	Other			

**Other (specify) (max 30 words)**

**No answer provided**

---

**Performance indicator CONS-O-7 for Outcome 2.5**

Performance indicator CONS-O-7 for Outcome 2.5

Number of initiatives for synergistic planning/programming of the three Rio Conventions or mechanisms for joint implementation, at all levels.

#### Understanding of the indicator

The indicator measures the existence of synergistic processes through the number of instruments (i.e. joint planning/programming and/or operational mechanisms) in place at the national level which foster the introduction of or strengthen the mutually reinforcing measures among the three Rio Conventions. The assumption is that the higher the number of enabling instruments in place, the higher the possibility of achieving synergies in implementation. This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on synergistic processes at the subregional, regional and global level.

#### Data needed

Planning/programming documents and legislative/regulatory documents.

Only operational mechanisms which have the achievement of joint implementation, synergies, convergence, and the introduction or strengthening of reinforcing measures among the Rio Conventions clearly stated in their objectives shall be considered under this indicator.

#### Data sources (indicative only)

Relevant national ministries.

Check the glossary for

“Joint planning/programming initiatives”, “Operational mechanisms for joint implementation or mutual reinforcement”

For an indicative list of activities by Parties to promote synergies among the Rio Conventions, refer to [target=' blank>UNEP/CBD /COP/DC/IX/16, Annex II](#)

#### Overall target

By 2014, each affected country Party has either one joint national plan in place or functional mechanism(s) to ensure synergies among the three Rio Conventions

---

### Are you implementing joint planning/programming initiatives for the three Rio Conventions?

Yes

If yes, specify the type of joint initiative(s) (tick as many boxes as necessary)

**No answer provided**

Other (specify) (max 30 words)

**No answer provided**

---

### Do operational mechanisms for joint implementation or mutual reinforcement exist in your country?

Yes

If yes, specify the type of mechanism(s) (tick as many boxes as necessary)

- Other

Other (specify) (max 30 words)

Representatives of the three Rio Convention units are members of their respective coordinating committees.

---

### Sources of information

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

- Samoa's GEF/UNDP Funded SLM quarterly reports for 2008 and 2009.  
Annual Reports 2008 and 2009 of the Ministry of Natural Resources and the Environment.  
Progress reports of Samoa's NAPA and NBSAP projects.

Attachments:

**National contribution to the target**

If your country is not implementing joint planning/programming or does not have operational mechanisms in place at the time of reporting, when do you plan to have something ensuring synergies in place?

2010-11

**Qualitative assessment**

Has the establishment of synergistic processes for joint implementation of the Rio Conventions at national level been supported by the institutions of the Rio Conventions?

Yes

*If yes, by which Convention? (more than one box can be ticked)*

- UNCCD
- CBD
- UNFCCC

*Identify the major difficulties experienced to establish synergistic planning/programming or mechanisms for joint implementation (tick as many boxes as necessary and rate the level of importance).*

		Not important	Important	Very Important
X	Not a priority for the government		X	
X	Lack of capacities			X
X	Lack of financial resources			X
X	Understaffing			X
X	Lack of time			X
X	Poor internal coordination among relevant ministries			X
	Other			

**Other (specify) (max 30 words)**

**No answer provided**



## Operational Objective 3: Science, technology and knowledge

---

### Performance indicator CONS-O-8 for Outcomes 3.1 and 3.2

*Operational Objective 3: Science, technology and knowledge*

*Performance indicator CONS-O-8 for Outcomes 3.1 and 3.2*

*Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities to have established and supported a national/subregional /regional monitoring system for DLDD.*

*Understanding of the indicator*

*At the national level, the indicator measures the monitoring potential of the country by quantifying the number of monitoring systems established and supported. These monitoring systems may be specifically or partially (in the case of environmental monitoring systems) dedicated to UNCCD reporting. The indicator will inform on the extent to which it is realistic to expect more regular and coherent reporting by affected country Parties during the implementation of The Strategy and beyond. This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on UNCCD-relevant monitoring systems established and supported at the subregional, regional and global level.*

*Data needed*

*Information on monitoring systems established within the national Ministries or other bodies/institutions.*

*Programmes/projects' documents, fiches and summary sheets, programmes/projects' interim or final reports.*

*Only those monitoring systems storing all or most of the information needed for reporting to the UNCCD shall be considered.*

*Data sources (indicative only)*

*Relevant national ministries, programme/project management units, other non-governmental initiatives.*

*Check the glossary for*

*'monitoring system', 'vulnerability'*

*Overall target*

*By 2018, at least 60 per cent of affected country Parties, subregional and regional reporting entities have established and supported national monitoring systems for DLDD*

---

***Is a monitoring system for DLDD established at the national level?***

**No**

***If yes, specify whether this system is: Functional***

**No answer provided**

***If yes, specify whether this system is: Regularly Updated***

**No answer provided**

***If no DLDD-specific monitoring system is in place, is an environmental monitoring system partially covering DLDD established at the national level?***

**No**

***List any monitoring system available at the sub- national level that can contribute to the UNCCD reporting (add as many rows as necessary).***

- Regular weather data gathering of the Division of Meteorology, Division of Technical Services (GPS land data collection and monitoring), Division of Water Resources and Division of Forestry of the Ministry of Natural Resources and the Environment.**
- 

### ***Sources of information***

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

- Annual Reports 2008 and 2009 of the Ministry of Natural Resources and the Environment.

**Attachments:**

none

**National contribution to the target**

If your country does not have a national monitoring system partially or totally dedicated to DLDD in place at the time of reporting, do you plan to initiate one?

**Yes**

**If yes, when?**

**2010-11**

**Qualitative assessment**

For those countries not having a national monitoring system totally or partially dedicated to DLDD, identify the major difficulties experienced in the establishment process (tick as many boxes as necessary and rate the level of importance).

	Not important	Important	Very important
X Financial constraints			X
X Lack of capacities			X
X Human resources constraints			X
X Lack of coordination among relevant ministries and unclear attribution of responsibilities			X
X Lack of coordination among donor-led programme/project interventions			X
X Existing initiatives are too fragmented; cannot be realistically coordinated under one umbrella.			X
X Existing national and/or sub-national monitoring systems use different methodologies and cannot be realistically harmonised			X
X Other			X

**Other (specify) (max 30 words)**

**A culture of monitoring, collecting and organising data and information to provide evidence based analysis as an obligatory requirement of development and environmental management policies and plans.**

**For those countries having a national monitoring system totally or partially dedicated to DLDD, how is the system maintained? (tick as many boxes as necessary and rate the level of importance)**

	Not important	Important	Very important
By means of national resources			
By means of external support			
No maintenance is possible due to limited professional capacities			
No maintenance is possible due to limited financial resources			
Other			

**Other (specify) (max 30 words)**

**No answer provided**

## Performance indicator CONS-O-9 for Outcome 3.1 and 3.2

*Operational Objective 3: Science, technology and knowledge*

*Performance indicator CONS-O-9 for Outcome 3.1 and 3.2*

*Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities reporting to the Convention along revised reporting guidelines on the basis of agreed indicators*

*Understanding of the indicator*

*The indicator measures the use of biophysical and socio-economic information at the national level in defining a commonly agreed core set of impact indicators for the UNCCD and in monitoring progress against these indicators using harmonized methodologies.*

*The indicator will inform to what extent it is possible to compile a comparable and global assessment of UNCCD impact.*

*Subregional and regional reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on the use of impact indicators at the subregional and regional levels, if and when impact indicators for these levels will be commonly agreed upon by the Conference of the Parties.*

*Data needed*

*Reports to the UNCCD by affected country Parties in 2012 and 2016.*

*The information to report on this indicator will be compiled by affected country Parties every four years when reporting on the strategic objectives that require biophysical and socio-economic information (i.e. SO1, SO2 and SO3). Reporting on this indicator is due in 2012 and in 2016 only.*

*Data sources (indicative only)*

*UNCCD NFP.*

*Check the glossary for*

*'NFP'*

*Overall target*

*By 2018, at least 90 per cent of affected country Parties, subregional and regional reporting entities report to the Convention in compliance with the new reporting guidelines.*

---

***Has your country reported on the two impact indicators considered by decision 13/COP.9 to be the minimum reporting requirement?***

*No answer required for this indicator in the 2010 reporting cycle*

---

***Number of impact indicators for strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3 your country has reported on in 2012 and 2016***

*No answer required for this indicator in the 2010 reporting cycle*

***2016***

*No answer required for this indicator in the 2010 reporting cycle*

---

***While reporting on impact indicators, did you refer to the reporting guidelines, i.e. using the common baselines and methodologies defined by the CST?***

*No answer required for this indicator in the 2010 reporting cycle*

---

***Sources of information***

*Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows information as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.*

*No answer required for this indicator in the 2010 reporting cycle*

---

***National contribution to the target***

*If in 2012 your country has not reported on some or all of the impact indicators for the UNCCD, when do you plan to do*

so?

No answer required for this indicator in the 2010 reporting cycle

**If in 2012 your country has not complied with the reporting guidelines, i.e. using the common baselines and methodologies defined by the CST, when do you plan to do so?**

No answer required for this indicator in the 2010 reporting cycle

---

#### **Qualitative assessment**

Identify the major difficulties experienced in reporting against the impact indicators:

No answer required for this indicator in the 2010 reporting cycle

#### **Other (specify) (max 30 words)**

No answer required for this indicator in the 2010 reporting cycle

---

### **Performance indicator CONS-O-10 for Outcome 3.3 and 3.4**

*Operational Objective 3: Science, technology and knowledge*

*Performance indicator CONS-O-10 for Outcome 3.3 and 3.4*

*Number of revised NAPs/SRAPs/RAPs reflecting knowledge of DLDD drivers and their interactions, and of the interaction of DLDD with climate change and biodiversity.*

#### *Understanding of the indicator*

*The indicator measures knowledge-transfer processes from the theoretical to the operational level. This is done through an assessment carried out by affected country Parties (self-assessment) of the levels of traditional and scientific knowledge reflected in their NAPs. The assumption is that NAPs based on sound scientific and traditional knowledge will propose more significant and effective strategies and activities for implementation at the national level, and will, ultimately, perform better than those NAPs that do not take into account available knowledge on DLDD and DLDD synergies. The indicator will inform to what extent UNCCD implementation is likely to achieve meaningful results. Subregional and regional reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on the assessment of their SRAPs and RAPs.*

#### *Data needed*

*NAP aligned to The Strategy.*

*Scientific literature consulted for the development of the NAP.*

#### *Data sources (indicative only)*

*UNCCD NFP.*

#### *Check the glossary for*

*'NAP', 'NFP', 'driver'*

*Countries not having a NAP or not having aligned their NAP to The Strategy do not report on this indicator.*

*The below questions are meant to guide the country's self-assessment of its aligned NAP.*

#### *Overall target*

*By 2018, at least 70 per cent of revised NAPs/SRAPs/RAPs have successfully gone through a quality self-assessment.*

---

**Countries not having a NAP or not having aligned their NAP to The Strategy do not report on this indicator.**

The below questions are meant to guide the country's self-assessment of its aligned NAP.

In your NAP, is the identification of biophysical and socio-economic drivers, and of their interaction, knowledge-based?

**No answer provided**

**If yes, specify upon which type of knowledge it is based (tick as many boxes as necessary and rate the level of importance).**

**No answer provided**

**If based on scientific literature, list the main reference literature consulted (add as many rows as needed). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.**

**No answer provided**

**In your NAP, is the analysis of the interaction between drought mitigation and restoration of degraded land and climate change mitigation/ adaptation or biodiversity conservation knowledge-based?**

**No answer provided**

**If yes, specify upon which type of knowledge it is based (tick as many boxes as necessary and rate the level of importance).**

**No answer provided**

**If based on scientific literature, list the main reference literature consulted (add as many rows as needed). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.**

**No answer provided**

**Is drought mitigation analyzed and/or reflected in some of the actions outlined in the NAP?**

**No answer provided**

**Attachments:**

none

---

### **Sources of information**

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above:

UNCCD National Action Programme.

*No answer required*

---

### **National contribution to the target**

If in your NAP, DLDD drivers, their interactions, and the interaction of DLDD with climate change and biodiversity, are not analyzed on the basis of relevant scientific, expert and/or traditional knowledge, such that the self-assessment process is not fully successful, when do you expect to adjust your NAP so that it can successfully go through the self-assessment?

**2010-11**

---

### **Qualitative assessment**

If your NAP has not been developed taking into account relevant scientific and/or traditional knowledge, identify the reasons (tick as many boxes as necessary and rate the level of importance).

	<b>Not important</b>	<b>Important</b>	<b>Very important</b>
Relevant scientific literature is not available			
Relevant traditional or expert knowledge is not available			
Lack of financial resources to mobilise the necessary knowledge			
Poor coordination among the relevant ministries prevented an internal pooling of knowledge/expertise			
Relevant ministries could not contribute due to lack of time			
Relevant ministries could not contribute due to lack of staff			
Other			

**Other (specify) (max 30 words)**

**No answer provided**

## Performance indicator CONS-O-11 for Outcome 3.5

*Operational Objective 3: Science, technology and knowledge*

*Performance indicator CONS-O-11 for Outcome 3.5*

*Type, number and users of DLDD-relevant knowledge-sharing systems at the global, regional, subregional and national levels described on the Convention website.*

*Understanding of the indicator*

*The indicator measures the presence at the national level of DLDD-related knowledge-sharing processes, through the quantification of the type and number of existing knowledge-sharing systems. Effectiveness of these systems is measured through quantification of their user-base. The indicator will inform to what extent scientific and traditional knowledge, including best practices, are available to and sufficiently shared with end-users. This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on existing UNCCD-relevant knowledge-sharing systems at the subregional, regional and global level.*

*Data needed*

*Information from websites.*

*Only DLDD-relevant knowledge-sharing systems and networks shall be considered.*

*Data sources (indicative only)*

*Relevant organizations at the national level, relevant national ministries hosting knowledge-sharing systems and networks within their websites.*

*Check the glossary for*

*'knowledge-sharing system', 'PRAIS'*

---

**List any DLDD-relevant 'knowledge-sharing system' at the country level you are aware of, providing an Internet link and estimated number of users per year (add as many rows as necessary)**

**Name of the System**

**Ministry of Natural Resources and the Environment**

**Internet Link**

**<http://www.mnre.gov.ws>,**

**Estimated number of users per year**

**10000**

**Name of the System**

**Samoa Biodiversity**

**Internet Link**

**<http://www.mnre.gov.ws/biodiversity/default.cfm>**

**Estimated number of users per year**

**10000**

**Name of the System**

**Samoa Meteorology Division**

**Internet Link**

**<http://www.mnre.gov.ws/meteorology/default.cfm>**

**Estimated number of users per year**

**10000**

## Operational Objective 4: Capacity building

---

### Performance indicator CONS-O-13 for Outcomes 4.1 and 4.2

#### *Operational Objective 4: Capacity building*

#### *Performance indicator CONS-O-13 for Outcomes 4.1 and 4.2*

*Number of countries, subregional and regional reporting entities engaged in building capacity to combat DLDD on the basis of NCSA or other methodologies and instruments*

#### *Understanding of the indicator*

*At the national level the indicator measures the presence of capacity-building processes through the quantification of existing major capacity-building initiatives. The indicator will inform to what extent affected country Parties may be expected to meet their obligations foreseen by the Convention, including forthcoming ones (i.e. new reporting requirements, establishment of environmental monitoring systems, accessing new financing mechanisms). This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on existing UNCCD-related capacity-building initiatives at the subregional, regional and global level.*

#### *Data needed*

*Information on DLDD-related capacity building initiatives.*

*Only major capacity-building plans/programmes/projects mentioned in the PPSs are to be considered.*

#### *Data sources (indicative only)*

*PPSs submitted to UNCCD as part of the reporting exercise*

*Programmes/projects' documents, fiches and summary sheets, interim or final reports of those programmes and projects identified through the PPSs as having DLDD-related capacity-building as a major objective.*

#### *Check the glossary for*

*'NCSA', 'PPS'*

#### *Overall target*

*By 2014, at least 90 per cent of affected country Parties, sub-regional and regional reporting entities implement DLDD specific capacity building plans or programs or projects.*

---

### **Number of DLDD-related capacity building initiatives undertaken**

Identify, if any, relevant programmes and projects through the PPSs and check corresponding programmes/projects' documents, fiches and summary sheets, and interim or final reports, to extract the information needed for completing the table below

<b>Year</b>	<b>NCSA-generated</b>	<b>Other initiatives</b>
2008	2	
2009	4	
2010		
2011		
2012		
2013		
2014		
2015		
2016		

2017		
2018		

---

**Has your country assessed DLDD-related capacity building needs at the national level?**

Yes

**If yes, within the framework of which initiative?**

- NCSA

**Other (specify) (max 30 words)**

**No answer provided**

**If yes, do you have assessed the necessary resources for addressing capacity building needs?**

Yes

**Are these resource requirements included into an investment framework?**

Yes

---

**Sources of information**

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

- Samoa's NCSA reports 2008  
Annual Reports 2008 and 2009 of the Ministry of Natural Resources and the Environment

**Attachments:**

none

---

**National contribution to the target**

If at the time of reporting there are no DLDD-specific capacity building plans, programmes or projects implemented in your country, when do you plan to have something in place?

**2010-11**

---

**Qualitative assessment**

Have you received assistance from one or more of the following institutions to build capacities to combat DLDD? (more than one box can be ticked)

- secretariat
- GM
- GEF
- Bilateral

**If yes, which type of assistance have you received?**

- Technical support
- Financial support



# Operational Objective 5: Financing and technology transfer

---

## Performance indicator CONS-O-14 for Outcome 5.1

*Operational Objective 5: Financing and technology transfer*

*Performance indicator CONS-O-14 for Outcome 5.1*

*Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities whose investment frameworks, established within the IFS devised by the GM or within other integrated financing strategies, reflect leveraging national, bilateral and multilateral resources for combating desertification and land degradation.*

*Understanding of the indicator*

*At the national level, the indicator measures the presence of integrated financing processes allowing the leverage of national, bilateral and multilateral resources for combating desertification and land degradation, through the quantification of investment frameworks developed by country Parties within the IFS devised by the GM or other integrated financing strategies promoted by diverse international institutions. This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on the establishment of integrated investment frameworks at national, subregional and regional level.*

*Data needed*

*Investment frameworks documents.*

*Only investment frameworks prepared along the guidelines devised within integrated financing strategies shall be considered.*

*Data sources (indicative only)*

*Relevant national ministries.*

*Check the glossary for*

*'IFS', 'NAP' 'leveraging', 'integrated investment framework'*

*Overall target*

*By 2014, at least 50 per cent of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities have developed integrated investment frameworks.*

---

**Has your country developed an integrated investment framework?**

**No**

**If yes, specify when it was developed.**

**No answer provided**

**The questions below apply only to those countries which have an integrated investment framework.**

**Is your integrated investment framework based on the NAP?**

**No answer provided**

**If based on the NAP, who assisted in its development?**

**No answer provided**

**Other (specify) (max 30 words)**

**No answer provided**

**If assisted, which type of assistance did you receive?**

**No answer provided**

**If assisted by the GM, was it devised within the IFS?**

**No answer provided**

**If your country has an integrated investment framework based on the NAP, is this framework concretely allowing the leverage of national, bilateral and multilateral resources for combating DLDD?**

**No answer provided**

---

**Sources of information**

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

**No answer provided**

**Attachments:**

none

---

**National contribution to the target**

If your country has not developed an integrated investment framework at the time of reporting, do you plan to do it?

**Yes**

**If yes, when?**

**2010-11**

---

**Qualitative assessment**

Identify the major difficulties experienced in developing an integrated investment framework (tick as many boxes as necessary and rate the level of importance).

		Not important	Important	Very Important
X	Financial constraints			X
X	Human resources constraints			X
X	Lack of coordination among relevant ministries and unclear attribution of responsibilities			X
X	Lack of coordination among those providing support			X
X	National, bilateral and multilateral resources are too diverse; cannot be realistically coordinated under one umbrella.			X
	Other			

**Other (specify) (max 30 words)**

**No answer provided**

---

**Performance indicator CONS-O-16 for Outcome 5.2**

*Operational Objective 5: Financing and technology transfer*

*Performance indicator CONS-O-16 for Outcome 5.2*

*Degree of adequacy, timeliness and predictability of financial resources made available by developed country Parties to combat DLDD.*

*Understanding of the indicator*

*This is a qualitative indicator requiring the perception-based assessment by developing affected country Parties of the adequacy, timeliness and predictability of bilateral contributions received from developed country Parties for the implementation of the Convention. "Adequate", "timely" and "predictable" resources are frequently referred to in The Strategy as being necessary to ensure proper planning and effective implementation. Subregional and regional reporting entities will complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on their perception-based assessments.*

*Data needed*

-

Data sources (indicative only)

-

Check the glossary for

-

Only affected country Parties entitled to receive assistance under the UNCCD are requested to report on this indicator.

Overall target

No target has been set for this indicator

---

**How would you rate the bilateral assistance received within the framework of UNCCD for the implementation of The Strategy and of the Convention?**

Adequacy of bilateral assistance

**Not adequate**

**Timeliness of bilateral assistance**

**Not timely**

**Predictability of bilateral assistance**

**Not predictable**

**Provide narrative justification on your above rating (max 100 words)**

**At this first reporting there are no definite figures of how much is available for Samoa to access from the UNCCD Strategy Framework to support the implementation of its NAP programs and projects in the two years.**

---

**Qualitative assessment**

Did you receive assistance in raising resources from bilateral donors?

**Yes**

**If yes, from whom? (more than one box can be ticked)**

- **GM**
- **Other**

**Other (specify) (max 30 words)**

**Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme**

**Has the level of adequacy, timeliness and predictability of bilateral assistance constrained your country's performance in planning and implementation with respect to UNCCD?**

**Yes**

---

**Performance indicator CONS-O-17 for Outcome 5.3**

*Operational Objective 5: Financing and technology transfer*

*Performance indicator CONS-O-17 for Outcome 5.3*

*Number of DLDD-related project proposals successfully submitted for financing to international financial institutions, facilities and funds, including the GEF.*

*Understanding of the indicator*

*The indicator measures the capacity of fund-raising at the national level, through the quantification of project proposals successfully submitted for funding to the various financing organizations. The indicator will inform to what extent affected country Parties make increasing efforts to mobilize resources. This information will be complemented by the reporting of other reporting entities on the fund-raising efforts at national, subregional and regional level.*

**Data needed**

Information contained in the PPSs and SFAs submitted to UNCCD.

**Data sources (indicative only)**

PPSs and SFAs submitted to UNCCD as part of the reporting exercise.

The PPS requires specification of the project 'status' thus it allows the identification of relevant projects to be considered by this indicator and the monitoring of their approval status.

The SFA requires the specification of amounts committed to approved projects.

Check the glossary for

'PPS', 'SFA', 'Project proposals', 'currency', 'Successfully submitted proposals'

**Overall target**

A steady growth in the number of DLDD-related successfully submitted project proposals is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy.

**Number of project proposals submitted (pipeline) and ongoing, by biennium**

Biennium	submitted (pipeline)	ongoing
2008-2009	3	3
2010-2011		
2012-2013		
2014-2015		
2016-2017		

**Amount of funds raised, by biennium**

You can find the amount of funds raised for the ongoing projects in the corresponding SFAs. Sum these amounts and give the total in the below table.

Biennium	Total amount
2008-2009	USD640000
2010-2011	
2012-2013	
2014-2015	
2016-2017	

**Sources of information**

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above: Programme and Project Sheets and Standard Financial Annexes

No answer required

**National contribution to the target**

According to the information provided above, do you think that you are mobilizing enough resources from international financial institutions, facilities and funds through successfully submitted project proposals?

**No**

**If no, do you plan to increase the country's efforts in presenting project proposals to international financial institutions, facilities and funds?**

**Yes**

### Qualitative assessment

Identify the reasons for the increasing or decreasing trend of project proposals successfully submitted to international financial institutions, facilities and funds (tick as many boxes as necessary and rate the level of importance).

#### Reasons for increasing

		Not important	Important	Very Important
X	Easier and more transparent application procedures			X
X	Increased capacities of national stakeholders to prepare applications			X
X	Major natural hazards occurred at the national level considerably increased the level of resources made available by the international community			
X	Access to funding is increasingly facilitated by third parties such as the private sector			X
X	Existence of a financing strategy (IFS or others)			X
	Other			

#### Other (specify) (max 30 words)

**No answer provided**

#### Reasons for decreasing

		Not important	Important	Very Important
X	Financing opportunities are not publicised enough, lack of access to necessary information			X
X	Complicated application procedures, the level of complexity being worsened by the different requirements of the various donors			X
X	Limited financial resources are made available for DLDD-related programmes/projects, and lack of DLDD-specific allocations within donors' portfolio.			X
	Other			

#### Other (specify) (max 30 words)

**No answer provided**

## Performance indicator CONS-O-18 for Outcome 5.5

*Operational Objective 5: Financing and technology transfer*

*Performance indicator CONS-O-18 for Outcome 5.5*

*Amount of financial resources and type of incentives which have enabled access to technology by affected country Parties.*

*Understanding of the indicator*

*The indicator measures whether access to technology is facilitated by means of financial resources or economic and policy incentives. The indicator will inform to what extent an enabling environment for technology transfer has been created at the national level and whether sufficient resources are dedicated to technology transfer. Subregional and regional reporting entities will*

complement the information provided by affected country Parties by reporting on financial resources and type of incentives which have enabled access to technology at the subregional and regional level.

#### Data needed

##### Budgets of relevant programmes and projects

Information on policy/regulatory, financial and fiscal incentives. Incentives facilitating access to technology shall be those established and implemented at the national level, not necessarily within the framework of DLDD-related cooperation.

##### Data sources (indicative only)

Financial documents of programmes and projects submitted as PPSs to the UNCCD as part of the reporting exercise.

National policy, regulatory and economic/financial documents.

Check the glossary for

'technical support', 'incentive', 'PPS'

Check the programmes and projects financial documents (budgets) and extract amounts allocated to: (1) technical support – material aid (equipment, hardware and software, machineries, etc); and (2) technical support – knowledge aid (technical assistance and advisory services). Add these amounts to provide totals in the table below.

Refer to the programmes and projects submitted as PPSs to the UNCCD and their relating budgets

##### Overall targets

A steady growth in the financial resources allocated to facilitate access to technology by affected country Parties is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy.

A steady growth in the number of economic and policy incentives reported upon is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy.

---

#### **Estimate of amounts allocated to facilitate technology transfer**

Check the programmes and projects financial documents (budgets) and extract amounts allocated to: (1) technical support – material aid (equipment, hardware and software, machineries, etc); and (2) technical support – knowledge aid (technical assistance and advisory services). Add these amounts to provide totals in the table below.

Refer to the programmes and projects submitted as PPSs to the UNCCD and their relating budgets

Year	Technical support – material aid	Technical support – knowledge aid
2008	USD330000	USD110000
2009	330000 USD	110000 USD
2010		
2011		
2012		
2013		
2014		
2015		
2016		
2017		
2018		

**Has your country established incentives intended to facilitate access to technology?**

**No answer provided**

**If yes, specify which types of incentives (more than one box can be ticked)**

**No answer provided**

---

**Sources of information**

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

- PPS

**Project Documents**

**Attachments:**

none

---

**National contribution to the target**

According to the information provided above, do you think that enough resources are allocated through DLDD-related programmes and projects to facilitate access to technology by your country?

**No**

**If your country has no incentives in place or if existing incentives to facilitate the creation of an enabling environment for technology transfer do not prove to be effective, are you planning to enforce additional measures?**

**Yes**

**If yes, when?**

**2010-11**

---

**Qualitative assessment**

If existing incentives do not prove to be effective, identify possible reasons (tick as many boxes as necessary and rate the level of importance).

		<b>Not important</b>	<b>Important</b>	<b>Very Important</b>
X	Policy or regulatory incentives are not enforced			X
X	There are not enough resources to apply financial or fiscal incentives			X
X	The national financial and credit systems (banks, credit agencies, etc) are not supportive			X
	Other			

**Other (specify) (max 30 words)**

**No answer provided**

**Identify the reasons for the increasing or decreasing trend of financial resources allocated through DLDD-related programmes and projects to facilitate access to technology (tick as many boxes as necessary and rate the level of importance).**

**Reasons for increasing**

		<b>Not important</b>	<b>Important</b>	<b>Very Important</b>
X	Access facilitated by the spreading of IT			X
X	More appropriate technologies available			X
X	Appropriateness of government incentives			X

Other			
-------	--	--	--

**Other (specify) (max 30 words)**

**No answer provided**

**Reasons for decreasing**

		Not important	Important	Very Important
X	Technology sustainability is poor; technologies do not represent viable investments			X
X	Lack of fixed infrastructure for accessing technologies (those created on an ad hoc basis disappear once the support ends)			X
X	Lack of capacities for operation and maintenance of technologies			X
X	Lack of enabling policy and regulatory environments			X
	Other			

**Other (specify) (max 30 words)**

**No answer provided**



# Standard Financial Annex

## D. Standard Financial Annex

The CRIC has recommended that financial reporting be based on a standard financial reporting format to be used by affected country Parties and their development partners. It also indicated that emphasis in reports should be put on financial matters and also on an analysis of the impact of the activities undertaken (ICCD/CRIC(8)/5).

The purpose of the Standard Financial Annex (SFA) is to consolidate information on resources mobilized by affected country Parties and their development partners under the framework of relevant strategies and action programmes. It facilitates the aggregation of data on financial commitments, financial flows and resources available by all relevant funding sources for activities related to the implementation of the Convention. It also helps minimize double counting in financial statistics (ICCD/CRIC(8)/5/Add.4).

The SFA is to be used by each country Party and other reporting entities to list all financial commitments they have made during the reporting period in support of institutions, programmes, projects, as well as other relevant initiatives undertaken at national or international level for the implementation of the Convention.

More specifically, for each relevant financial commitment or allocation made in the reporting period, the SFA requires a minimum set of data grouped as follows:

Identification, i.e. data required to identify the reporting entity, the funding source and the activity financed;

Basic data, i.e. data specifying the amount and type of financial commitment made, as well as the recipient country, region, and/or organization, and the funding period, if applicable;

(c) Classification, i.e. categorization of the funded activity according to the Rio Markers for desertification, and the UNCCD Relevant Activity Codes (RACs).

The compilation of the SFA is guided by means of a template, which responds to the recommendations of CRIC 7, and builds on the GM methodological guide for financial reporting presented to CRIC 6 as part of the report of the intergovernmental Ad Hoc Working Group to improve the procedures for communication of information.

Within the template, shaded areas contain information and explanatory texts, while white areas are for reporting purposes and need to be filled in by the reporting entities with relevant data or narrative information.

Decision 13/COP.9, paragraph 8, invites country Parties and other reporting entities to refer to common terminology and definitions. Therefore, these guidelines should be read in conjunction with the comprehensive glossary presented in a separate document.

## Financial Commitment #1 — Permaculture Demonstration and Training Centre

---

### Reporting Entity

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

**No answer provided**

### Other

- Samoa Matuaileoo Environment Trust Inc. (METI)
- 

### Funding Organization

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

**No answer provided**

### Other

- European Union
- 

### Name of activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

**Permaculture Demonstration and Training Centre**

---

**Identification code**

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

**DCI-NSA PVD/2008/166-289**

---

**Recipient Country(ies) or (sub) region(s)**

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- Samoa
- 

**Recipient Organization(s)**

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

**No answer provided**

---

**Other**

- Matuaileoo Environment Trust Inc (METI)
- 

**Executing Agency(ies)**

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

- Matuaileoo Environment Trust Inc. (METI)
- 

**Commitment date (dd/mm/yyyy)**

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization (e.g. 15/01/2011)

**27/10/2008**

---

**Currency/Amount committed**

Indicate the currency denomination of the financial commitment (e.g. EUR, USD, YN, etc.). Enter the total amount of money committed as a numeric field, showing the entire figure (e.g. enter 1500000 to indicate 1.5 million). Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals

**50000 Samoa Tala**

---

**Type of funding**

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment (e.g. grant, concessional loan, basket funding,

**Grant**

---

**Start date (dd/mm/yyyy)**

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization (e.g. 15/01/2011)

**01/01/2009**

---

**Completion date (dd/mm/yyyy)**

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization (e.g. 15/01/2011), if applicable

**27/01/2011**

---

**Duration (no. of months)**

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

**24**

---

**Rio Marker for desertification**

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

2

---

**Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)**

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions). Add as many rows as necessary.

- **3 Resource Management**
  - **3.1 Production Systems**
  - **3.1.1 Agriculture**
  - **3.1.2 Forestry**
  - **4 Mitigation and Recovery**
  - **4.1 Mitigation/Recovery**
  - **4.1.1 Adaptation to climate change**
  - **4.1.3 Environment Restoration**
- 

**Sources of information**

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

- **Grant Contract - External Actions of the European Community - DCI-NSAPVD/2008/166-289**

**Attachments:**

none

## Financial Commitment #2 — Vaitele Urban Governance Pilot Project

---

### **Reporting Entity**

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

- **Samoa - Central Government Institutions**

### **Other**

**No answer provided**

---

### **Funding Organization**

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

- **Global Environment Facility**
- **United Nations Environment Programme**

### **Other**

**No answer provided**

---

### **Name of activity funded**

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

**Vaitele Urban Governance Pilot Project**

---

### **Identification code**

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

**0063403**

---

### **Recipient Country(ies) or (sub) region(s)**

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- **Samoa**
- 

### **Recipient Organization(s)**

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

- **Samoa - Central Government Institutions**

### **Other**

**No answer provided**

---

### **Executing Agency(ies)**

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

- **Division of Planning and Urban Management Agency (PUMA), Ministry of Natural Resources and the Environment (MNRE)**
- 

### **Commitment date (dd/mm/yyyy)**

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization (e.g. 15/01/2011)

**17/12/2008**

---

### **Currency/Amount committed**

Indicate the currency denomination of the financial commitment (e.g. EUR, USD, YN, etc.). Enter the total amount of money committed as a numeric field, showing the entire figure (e.g. enter 1500000 to indicate 1.5 million). Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals

**Type of funding**

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment (e.g. grant, concessional loan, basket funding,

**Grant**

---

**Start date (dd/mm/yyyy)**

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization (e.g. 15/01/2011)

**01/11/2008**

---

**Completion date (dd/mm/yyyy)**

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization (e.g. 15/01/2011), if applicable

**30/09/2012**

---

**Duration (no. of months)**

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

**46**

---

**Rio Marker for desertification**

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

**3**

---

**Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)**

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions). Add as many rows as necessary.

- **1 Monitoring and Research**
    - **1.1 Monitoring**
      - **1.1.1 Indicators**
      - **1.1.4 Financial Tracking**
      - **1.1.5 Reporting**
    - **1.2 Knowledge, Science and Technology**
      - **1.2.1 Traditional Knowledge and Best Practices**
  - **2 Capacity Development and Planning**
    - **2.1 Advocacy and Awareness Raising**
      - **2.1.1 Public Awareness Campaigns**
      - **2.1.2 Publications and communication material**
  - **3 Resource Management**
    - **3.2 Natural Resource Management**
      - **3.2.6 Land Conservation**
  - **5 Emergency Response**
  - **5.6 Population Health/Relocation/Rescue**
- 

**Sources of information**

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

- **Vaitele Urban Governance Pilot Project Document**

**Attachments:**

none

# Financial Commitment #3 — Capacity Building and Mainstreaming of Sustainable Land Management Project

---

## **Reporting Entity**

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

- **Samoa - Central Government Institutions**

## **Other**

**No answer provided**

---

## **Funding Organization**

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

**No answer provided**

## **Other**

**No answer provided**

---

## **Name of activity funded**

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

**Capacity Building and Mainstreaming of Sustainable Land Management Project**

---

## **Identification code**

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

**GDP 0254-20-000**

---

## **Recipient Country(ies) or (sub) region(s)**

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- **Samoa**
- 

## **Recipient Organization(s)**

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

- **Samoa - Central Government Institutions**

## **Other**

- **CSO: METI, OLSSI, SWIB, SUNGO, Samoa Farmers Association**
  - **STI: NUS, USP Alafua, SROS**
  - **Private Businesses**
  - **Landowners**
  - **Village communities**
- 

## **Executing Agency(ies)**

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

- **Division of Land Management of the Ministry of Natural Resources and the Environment (MNRE)**
- 

## **Commitment date (dd/mm/yyyy)**

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization (e.g. 15/01/2011)

**05/03/2005**

---

## **Currency/Amount committed**

Indicate the currency denomination of the financial commitment (e.g. EUR, USD, YN, etc.). Enter the total amount of money committed as a numeric field, showing the entire figure (e.g. enter 1500000 to indicate 1.5 million). Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals

**1003000 US Dollar**

---

***Type of funding***

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment (e.g. grant, concessional loan, basket funding,

**Grant**

---

***Start date (dd/mm/yyyy)***

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization (e.g. 15/01/2011)

**28/02/2007**

---

***Completion date (dd/mm/yyyy)***

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization (e.g. 15/01/2011), if applicable

**27/02/2012**

---

***Duration (no. of months)***

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

**60**

---

***Rio Marker for desertification***

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

**3**

---

***Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)***

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions). Add as many rows as necessary.

- **1 Monitoring and Research**
  - **1.1 Monitoring**
    - **1.1.1 Indicators**
    - **1.1.2 Soil Observations**
    - **1.1.3 Weather Forecasting**
    - **1.1.4 Financial Tracking**
    - **1.1.5 Reporting**
  - **2 Capacity Development and Planning**
    - **2.1 Advocacy and Awareness Raising**
      - **2.1.1 Public Awareness Campaigns**
      - **2.1.2 Publications and communication material**
      - **2.1.3 Consultative platforms**
      - **2.1.4 Education**
      - **2.1.5 Synergies**
    - **2.2 Enabling Activities**
      - **2.2.1 Capacity-Building**
        - **2.2.10 Resource Management Planning**
        - **2.2.11 Services and Infrastructure**



- **2.2.13 Resource Mobilization**
  - **2.2.2 Community Development**
  - **2.2.5 Integrated Financing Strategies**
  - **2.2.6 Governance and Legislation**
  - **2.2.7 Mainstreaming**
  - **2.2.8 Partnership Building**
  - **2.2.9 Project Development**
- 

***Sources of information***

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

- **SLM Project Document**
- **SLM quarterly progress reports 2008 and 2009**
- **Annual Reports 2008 and 2009 of the Ministry of Natural Resources and the Environment**

**Attachments:**

none

# Financial Commitment #4 — Vaitele fou Degraded Quarry Site Rehabilitation Demonstration Project

---

## **Reporting Entity**

Enter the name of the country or organization submitting the official report to the UNCCD to which the financial commitment will be attached in the form of a consolidated Standard Financial Annex

**No answer provided**

## **Other**

**No answer provided**

---

## **Funding Organization**

Enter the full name and acronym (if applicable) of the organization that has made the financial commitment

- **Venezuela - Central Government Institutions**
- **United Nations Development Programme**

## **Other**

**No answer provided**

---

## **Name of activity funded**

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative funded with this financial commitment

**Vaitele fou Degraded Quarry Site Rehabilitation Demonstration Project**

---

## **Identification code**

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

**GDP 0254-20-000**

---

## **Recipient Country(ies) or (sub) region(s)**

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "Global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

- **Samoa**
- 

## **Recipient Organization(s)**

Enter the full name and acronym of the organization(s) to which the funds have been or will be transferred to

**No answer provided**

## **Other**

**No answer provided**

---

## **Executing Agency(ies)**

Enter the full name and acronym of the Agency(ies) or Organization(s) that is/are in charge of the execution of the activity

- **Division of Land Management (DLM) and Division of Environment and Conservation (DEC) of the Ministry of Natural Resources and the Environment (MNRE)**
  - **Secretariat of the Pacific Community**
- 

## **Commitment date (dd/mm/yyyy)**

Enter the date at which the financial commitment has been formally approved by the extending organization (e.g. 15/01/2011)

**15/06/2007**

---

## **Currency/Amount committed**

Indicate the currency denomination of the financial commitment (e.g. EUR, USD, YN, etc.). Enter the total amount of

money committed as a numeric field, showing the entire figure (e.g. enter 1500000 to indicate 1.5 million). Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals

**140000 US Dollar**

---

***Type of funding***

Indicate the type of funding provided through the financial commitment (e.g. grant, concessional loan, basket funding, Grant

---

***Start date (dd/mm/yyyy)***

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be made available to the recipient organization (e.g. 15/01/2011)

**No answer provided**

---

***Completion date (dd/mm/yyyy)***

Enter the date at which the funding has been or is expected to be utilized by the recipient organization (e.g. 15/01/2011), if applicable

**14/06/2009**

---

***Duration (no. of months)***

Indicate the period covered by this funding, if applicable, expressed in number of months (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

**24**

---

***Rio Marker for desertification***

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity by ticking only one of the boxes below (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

**3**

---

***Relevant Activity Code(s) (RACs)***

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the funded activity (refer to the RACs guidance note for more information, examples and instructions). Add as many rows as necessary.

- **1 Monitoring and Research**
    - **1.1 Monitoring**
      - **1.1.1 Indicators**
      - **1.1.2 Soil Observations**
    - **4 Mitigation and Recovery**
      - **4.1 Mitigation/Recovery**
        - **4.1.1 Adaptation to climate change**
        - **4.1.3 Environment Restoration**
        - **4.1.4 Waste Management**
  - **3 Resource Management**
    - **3.2 Natural Resource Management**
      - **3.2.2 Biodiversity Conservation**
      - **3.2.3 Forest/Scrub Management**
      - **3.2.6 Land Conservation**
      - **3.2.8 Sustainable Land Management**
- 

***Sources of information***

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

- **Project Progress Report**

- **Annual Reports 2008 and 2009 of MNRE**

**Attachments:**

none

# Programme and Project Sheets

## *E. Programme and Project Sheet*

*Programme and Project Sheets (PPS) are used to provide more detailed information on programmes or projects undertaken or completed in the reporting period. This includes programmes and projects in the pipeline, as well as final proposals submitted for funding to internal or external funding sources. All country Parties and other reporting entities involved in the financing, coordination or implementation of relevant programmes and projects are requested to prepare a PPS for each of them, and to attach them to their official report to the UNCCD.*

*The compilation of the PPS is guided by means of a template. These templates are intended to collect a minimum set of qualitative and quantitative data to facilitate the analysis of funding and investment flows, and the production of better financial statistics related to UNCCD implementation (ICCD/CRIC(8)/5/Add.4), with a view to enabling the CRIC to undertake an objective review of progress in the implementation of the Convention and The Strategy. The PPS also facilitate the computation of certain performance and impact indicators.*

*A distinctive feature of the PPS is that it allows country Parties and other reporting entities to specify which strategic and operational objectives of The Strategy are targeted by each programme or project. In addition, it allows for individual programme or project components to be categorized using the Rio Markers for desertification and Relevant Activity Codes (RACs).*

*Furthermore, the PPS can be used to indicate whether the objectives of other Rio Conventions (i.e. the UN Convention on Biological Diversity, CBD – and the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change, UNFCCC) are also addressed by the programme or project. This is done through the use of the biodiversity and climate change Rio Markers, respectively.*

*The PPS offers an opportunity to increase the visibility of relevant programmes and projects, thereby creating the conditions for a better sharing of experiences and lessons, as well as the transfer of knowledge in general. It also favours collaboration and networking by facilitating the identification of potential synergies.*

*Lastly, the PPS also allows country Parties and other reporting entities to provide a narrative description of the expected or achieved results. This information will facilitate the qualitative assessment of progress in the implementation of The Strategy, including on returns on investment. The CRIC will use the analysis of financial information originating from the PPS to assess results, performance and impacts.*

*To minimize the reporting burden and avoid discrepancies in the information annexed to the reports of different entities, it is recommended that project partners identify the most suitable ways to coordinate among themselves the preparation of PPS to ensure that consistent data are reported for the same projects. It would also be advisable to compile just one PPS for large “umbrella” programmes, instead of separate PPS for each small project stemming from them.*

*In the PPS template, shaded areas contain information and explanatory texts, while white areas are for reporting purposes and need to be filled in by country Parties and other reporting entities with relevant data or narrative information.*

## **Programme/Project #1 — Permaculture Demonstration and Training Centre Project**

---

### **Title**

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable

**Permaculture Demonstration and Training Centre Project**

---

### **Organization(s)**

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting organization

**No answer provided**

### **Other**

- **Matuaileoo Environment Trust Inc (METI)**
- 

### **Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project**

Indicate the role of the reporting organization in the Programme /Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

### **Implementing agency**

---

#### ***Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)***

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science & Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- **Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries (Crops and Livestock Divisions)**
  - **University of the South Pacific - School of Agriculture**
  - **Samoa Beekeepers Association**
- 

#### ***Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)***

Enter the name of the Country(ies), Subregion(s) and/or Region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

**No answer provided**

---

#### ***Target Area size / administrative unit***

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals). Also indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project

Area Size

**200 Hectares**

#### ***Administrative Unit***

**village**

---

#### ***Target Group***

Enter the different stakeholders, such as individuals, groups, or organizations, positively affected through their involvement in the implementation of an initiative/project/programme

- **Local Farmers**
  - **Unemployed Youth**
- 

#### ***Beneficiaries***

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

**300**

---

#### ***Identification Code***

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

**DCI-NSA PVD/2008/166-289**

---

#### ***Status***

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

**Ongoing**

---

#### ***Start date (dd/mm/yyyy)***

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known (e.g. 15/01/2011)

**01/01/2009**

---

#### ***Completion date (dd/mm/yyyy)***

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known (e.g. 15/01/2011)

**27/01/2011**

## **Programme/Project co-financing**

### **Source**

**Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations**

**No answer provided**

### **Other**

European Community

### **Currency, Amount**

**For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)**

**Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)**

71527 euro

## **UN Conventions' Rio Markers**

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

2

**UNFCCC adaptation**

1

**UNFCCC mitigation**

1

**CBD**

1

## **Strategic objectives**

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2

## **Operational objectives**

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 3
- 4

## **Programme/Project Objectives**

Indicate the objectives pursued by the Programme/Project, as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in document (add Quick Reference Guide document title and reference code (please see footnotes above). The OECD list of purpose is also available at the following link : [http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en\\_2649\\_34447\\_1914325\\_1\\_1\\_1\\_1,00.html](http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html).

- 31120 Agricultural development
- 31130 Agricultural land resources
- 31161 Food crop production
- 31163 Livestock
- 31165 Agricultural alternative development
- 31181 Agricultural education/training

## **Programme/Project Components**

**Programme/Project Components**

*Indicate the specific Programme/Project components, if known, as specified in the related documentation.*

*Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no.*

**CONS-O-18.**

Establishment of a Permaculture demonstration and training centre to demonstrate the integration of tropical mushroom growing, chicken rearing, integrated livestock and cropping systems, agroforestry, alley cropping, living fences, fodder trees, timber production, bamboo, windbreaks, organics, beekeeping etc.

**Currency, Amount**

*Indicate the currency denomination (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)*

*Indicate the amount allocated to each Programme/Project component (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)*

71527 euro

**Rio Marker for desertification**

*Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to each Programme/Project component (refer to the [Rio Markers guidance note](#) for more information, examples and instructions)*

2

**Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)**

*Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the Programme/Project components (refer to the [RACs guidance note](#) for more information, examples and instructions)*

- 3 Resource Management
- 3.1 Production Systems
- 3.1.1 Agriculture
- 3.1.2 Forestry
- 4 Mitigation and Recovery
- 4.1 Mitigation/Recovery
- 4.1.1 Adaptation to climate change
- 4.1.3 Environment Restoration

**Programme/Project Components**

*Indicate the specific Programme/Project components, if known, as specified in the related documentation.*

*Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no.*

**CONS-O-18.**

Conduct 15 Permaculture Design Training Courses

**Currency, Amount**

*Indicate the currency denomination (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)*

*Indicate the amount allocated to each Programme/Project component (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)*

**No answer provided**

**Rio Marker for desertification**

*Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to each Programme/Project component (refer to the [Rio Markers guidance note](#) for more information, examples and instructions)*

2

**Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)**

*Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the Programme/Project components (refer to the [RACs guidance note](#) for more information, examples and instructions)*

**No answer provided**

**Programme/Project Components**

*Indicate the specific Programme/Project components, if known, as specified in the related documentation.*

*Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no.*

**CONS-O-18.**

Training of 15 Permaculture trainers from key locations around the country



**Currency, Amount**

Indicate the currency denomination (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount allocated to each Programme/Project component (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

**No answer provided**

**Rio Marker for desertification**

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to each Programme/Project component (refer to the [Rio Markers guidance note](#) for more information, examples and instructions)

2

**Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)**

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the Programme/Project components (refer to the [RACs guidance note](#) for more information, examples and instructions)

- 3 Resource Management
- 3.1 Production Systems
- 3.1.1 Agriculture
- 3.1.2 Forestry
- 4 Mitigation and Recovery
- 4.1 Mitigation/Recovery
- 4.1.1 Adaptation to climate change
- 4.1.3 Environment Restoration

**Expected or achieved results**

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project (max 100 words).

- **The assimilation of Permaculture design (The science of applied ecological design) principles and practices into Samoan agriculture, leading to the creation of highly productive, sustainable, resilient and ecologically sound farming systems**
- **Increased utilization of renewable biological resources and reduced fossil fuel inputs in Samoan agriculture systems in a time of steeply rising oil prices and fossil fuel shortages**
- **Improved national food security**
- **Increased eco-literacy of farmers in Samoa; raised awareness of the beneficial services which natural systems provide; the need for sustainable management to ensure the needs of future generations can be met; leading to the protection of natural resources**
- **Improved problem solving abilities of course participants, leading to an improved self reliance and ability to work in cooperation with others**
- **The establishment of village based farmers' co-operatives, improving individual market power and reducing transport and processing costs**

**Sources of information**

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

- **Grant contract - External Actions of the European Community - DCI-NSAPVD/2008/166-289**

**Attachments:**

none

# Programme/Project #2 — Samoa's Capacity Building and Mainstreaming of Sustainable Land Management Project

---

## **Title**

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable

**Samoa's Capacity Building and Mainstreaming of Sustainable Land Management Project**

---

## **Organization(s)**

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting organization

- **Samoa - Central Government Institutions**

## **Other**

**No answer provided**

---

## **Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project**

Indicate the role of the reporting organization in the Programme /Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

**Implementing agency**

---

## **Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)**

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science & Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

- **CSO: Samoa Women in Business (SWB) O le Siosiomaga Society Inc. (OLSSI),**
  - **CSO: Samoa Umbrella for NGOs (SUNGO)**
  - **CSO: Matuaileoo Environment Trust Inc. (METI)**
  - **STI: Scientific and Research Organisation of Samoa (SROS)**
  - **STI: National University of Samoa (NUS)**
  - **STI: University of the South Pacific at Alafua (USP Alafua)**
- 

## **Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)**

Enter the name of the Country(ies), Subregion(s) and/or Region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **Samoa**
- 

## **Target Area size / administrative unit**

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals). Also indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project

Area Size

**293500 Hectares**

## **Administrative Unit**

**National**

---

## **Target Group**

Enter the different stakeholders, such as individuals, groups, or organizations, positively affected through their involvement in the implementation of an initiative/project/programme

- **Government ministries, NGOs, Private Businesses, Village Communities, landowners, farmers and resource users.**
- 

## **Beneficiaries**

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known (numeric field. Do not use

abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

**180000**

---

**Identification Code**

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)

**GDP 0254-20-000**

---

**Status**

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

**Ongoing**

---

**Start date (dd/mm/yyyy)**

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known (e.g. 15/01/2011)

**28/02/2007**

---

**Completion date (dd/mm/yyyy)**

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known (e.g. 15/01/2011)

**27/02/2012**

---

**Programme/Project co-financing**

**Source**

*Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations*

- Samoa - Central Government Institutions
- United Nations Development Programme

**Other**

**No answer provided**

**Currency, Amount**

*For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)*

*Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)*

**528000 US Dollar**

---

**UN Conventions' Rio Markers**

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

**3**

**UNFCCC adaptation**

**2**

**UNFCCC mitigation**

**2**

**CBD**

**2**

---

**Strategic objectives**

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- **3**
- **4**

## **Operational objectives**

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

---

## **Programme/Project Objectives**

Indicate the objectives pursued by the Programme/Project, as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in document (add Quick Reference Guide document title and reference code (please see footnotes above). The OECD list of purpose is also available at the following link : [http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en\\_2649\\_34447\\_1914325\\_1\\_1\\_1\\_1,00.html](http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html).

- 110 EDUCATION
- 111 Education, level unspecified
- 11110 Education policy and administrative management
- 150 GOVERNMENT AND CIVIL SOCIETY
- 151 Government and civil society, general
- 15110 Public sector policy and administrative management

---

## **Programme/Project Components**

### **Programme/Project Components**

**Indicate the specific Programme/Project components, if known, as specified in the related documentation.**

**Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no.**

**CONS-O-18.**

#### **1. Completion of the NAP**

**Currency, Amount**

**Indicate the currency denomination (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)**

**Indicate the amount allocated to each Programme/Project component (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)**

**8000 US Dollar**

**Rio Marker for desertification**

**Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to each Programme/Project component (refer to the [Rio Markers guidance note](#) for more information, examples and instructions)**

**3**

**Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)**

**Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the Programme/Project components (refer to the [RACs guidance note](#) for more information, examples and instructions)**

- 3 Resource Management
- 3.2 Natural Resource Management
- 3.2.8 Sustainable Land Management

---

### **Programme/Project Components**

**Indicate the specific Programme/Project components, if known, as specified in the related documentation.**

**Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no.**

**CONS-O-18.**

#### **2. Capacities developed for Sustainable Land Management**

**Currency, Amount**

**Indicate the currency denomination (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)**

**Indicate the amount allocated to each Programme/Project component (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)**

749000 US Dollar

**Rio Marker for desertification**

**Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to each Programme/Project component (refer to the [Rio Markers guidance note](#) for more information, examples and instructions)**

3

**Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)**

**Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the Programme/Project components (refer to the [RACs guidance note](#) for more information, examples and instructions)**

- 2 Capacity Development and Planning
  - 2.2 Enabling Activities
    - 2.2.1 Capacity-Building
      - 2.2.10 Resource Management Planning
- 1 Monitoring and Research
  - 1.1 Monitoring
    - 1.1.1 Indicators
    - 1.1.2 Soil Observations
    - 1.1.5 Reporting
  - 1.2 Knowledge, Science and Technology
    - 1.2.1 Traditional Knowledge and Best Practices
    - 1.2.2 Bio-physical Research and Science
    - 1.2.3 Science and Technology
    - 1.2.4 Socio-Economic Research and Science

**Programme/Project Components**

**Indicate the specific Programme/Project components, if known, as specified in the related documentation.**

**Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no.**

**CONS-O-18.**

**3. Mainstreaming of Sustainable Land Management**

**Currency, Amount**

**Indicate the currency denomination (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)**

**Indicate the amount allocated to each Programme/Project component (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)**

36000 US Dollar

**Rio Marker for desertification**

**Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to each Programme/Project component (refer to the [Rio Markers guidance note](#) for more information, examples and instructions)**

3

**Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)**

**Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the Programme/Project components (refer to the [RACs guidance note](#) for more information, examples and instructions)**

- 3 Resource Management
  - 3.2 Natural Resource Management
    - 3.2.8 Sustainable Land Management

**Programme/Project Components**

**Indicate the specific Programme/Project components, if known, as specified in the related documentation.**

**Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no.**

**CONS-O-18.**

**4. Sustainable Land Management Medium Term Investment Plan**

**Currency, Amount**

**Indicate the currency denomination (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)**

**Indicate the amount allocated to each Programme/Project component (numeric field. Do not use**

*abbreviations, symbols or decimals)*

9000 US Dollar

*Rio Marker for desertification*

*Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to each Programme/Project component (refer to the [Rio Markers guidance note](#) for more information, examples and instructions)*

3

*Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)*

*Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the Programme/Project components (refer to the [RACs guidance note](#) for more information, examples and instructions)*

- 2 Capacity Development and Planning
- 2.2 Enabling Activities
- 2.2.13 Resource Mobilization
- 2.2.3 Drivers and Incentives
- 2.2.5 Integrated Financing Strategies

*Programme/Project Components*

*Indicate the specific Programme/Project components, if known, as specified in the related documentation.*

*Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-18.*

5. Adaptive Management and Lessons Learned

*Currency, Amount*

*Indicate the currency denomination (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)*

*Indicate the amount allocated to each Programme/Project component (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)*

**No answer provided**

*Rio Marker for desertification*

*Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to each Programme/Project component (refer to the [Rio Markers guidance note](#) for more information, examples and instructions)*

3

*Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)*

*Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the Programme/Project components (refer to the [RACs guidance note](#) for more information, examples and instructions)*

- 2 Capacity Development and Planning
- 2.2 Enabling Activities
- 2.2.11 Services and Infrastructure

**Expected or achieved results**

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project (max 100 words).

- 1. NAP preparation and adoption completed.
- 2. SLM National Steering Committee established.
- 3. Pilot coastal degradation rehabilitation projects completed in Lano village Savaii island.
- 4. Ongoing degraded watershed rehabilitation project progressing in Vaipouli Avao village Savaii island.
- 5. Ongoing implementation of sustainable agriculture on drought prone areas at Asau district Savaii island.
- 6. Completed surveys of degraded coastal areas at Vaiusu Bay Apia urban areas Upolu Island.
- 7. Ongoing soil quality testing and survey pilot demonstration at Vaipouli Avao and Lano village Savaii.
- 8. Public awareness and media activities on sustainable land management and related issues in 2008 and 2009.

**Sources of information**

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

- **Samoa's SLM quarterly progress reports for 2008 and 2009**

**Annual Reports 2008 and 2009 of the Ministry of Natural Resources and the Environment (MNRE).**

**Attachments:**

none

# Programme/Project #3 — Vaitele Fou Degraded Quarry Site Rehabilitation Demonstration Project

---

## **Title**

Enter the Programme/Project title, and sub-title if applicable

**Vaitele Fou Degraded Quarry Site Rehabilitation Demonstration Project**

---

## **Organization(s)**

Enter the full name and acronym of the reporting organization

- **Samoa - Central Government Institutions**
- **United Nations Development Programme**

## **Other**

- **Secretariat of the Pacific Community**
- 

## **Role of the Organization(s) in the Programme/Project**

Indicate the role of the reporting organization in the Programme /Project (e.g. funding agency, implementing agency, etc.)

**Implementing agency**

---

## **Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Science & Technology Institutions (STIs)**

Enter the name(s) of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), including Non-Governmental Organizations, research institutions and-or Science & Technology Institutions (STIs) involved in the Programme/Project. Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no. CONS-O-3.

**No answer provided**

---

## **Beneficiary Country(ies) or Sub Region(s)**

Enter the name of the Country(ies), Subregion(s) and/or Region(s) benefiting from the Programme/Project. Indicate "Global" in the absence of a specific geographical focus

- **Samoa**
- 

## **Target Area size / administrative unit**

Indicate the total area expressed in number of hectares (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals). Also indicate the administrative unit targeted in the project area, if known, by the Programme/Project

Area Size

**5 Hectares**

## **Administrative Unit**

**National**

---

## **Target Group**

Enter the different stakeholders, such as individuals, groups, or organizations, positively affected through their involvement in the implementation of an initiative/project/programme

- **General Public and Residents of Vaitele and Apia urban communities**
- 

## **Beneficiaries**

Enter the total number of people benefitting from the Programme/Project, if known (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

**10000**

---

## **Identification Code**

Enter the Programme/Project identification code (ID) or number, given by the relevant extending agency (if applicable)



**Status**

Indicate the status of the Programme/Project at the time of completing this form.

**Ongoing**

**Start date (dd/mm/yyyy)**

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project started or is due to start, if known (e.g. 15/01/2011)

**15/06/2007**

**Completion date (dd/mm/yyyy)**

Indicate the date at which the Programme/Project was completed or is due to be completed, if known (e.g. 15/01/2011)

**14/06/2009**

**Programme/Project co-financing****Source**

*Provide the full name and acronym of all co-financing organisations*

**No answer provided**

**Other**

**No answer provided**

**Currency, Amount**

*For each co-financing, indicate the currency denomination used (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)*

*Indicate the amount of funding provided by each co-financing organisation (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)*

**No answer provided**

**UN Conventions' Rio Markers**

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker to the Programme/Project (refer to the Rio Markers guidance note for more information, examples and instructions)

UNCCD

**3**

**UNFCCC adaptation**

**1**

**UNFCCC mitigation**

**1**

**CBD**

**2**

**Strategic objectives**

Indicate which strategic objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 2

**Operational objectives**

Indicate which operational objective of the UNCCD 10-Year Strategy is addressed by the Programme/Project

- 1
- 3
- 4

## **Programme/Project Objectives**

Indicate the objectives pursued by the Programme/Project, as specified in the related documentation, choosing from the list of purpose codes provided in document (add Quick Reference Guide document title and reference code (please see footnotes above). The OECD list of purpose is also available at the following link : [http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en\\_2649\\_34447\\_1914325\\_1\\_1\\_1\\_1,00.html](http://www.oecd.org/document/21/0,3343,en_2649_34447_1914325_1_1_1_1,00.html).

- 400 MULTISECTOR/CROSS-CUTTING
- 410 General environmental protection
- 41030 Bio-diversity
- 41040 Site preservation
- 41081 Environmental education/ training
- 41082 Environmental research

## **Programme/Project Components**

### **Programme/Project Components**

*Indicate the specific Programme/Project components, if known, as specified in the related documentation.*

*Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no.*

**CONS-O-18.**

#### **1. Rehabilitation Plan**

**Currency, Amount**

*Indicate the currency denomination (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)*

*Indicate the amount allocated to each Programme/Project component (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)*

7000 US Dollar

**Rio Marker for desertification**

*Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to each Programme/Project component (refer to the [Rio Markers guidance note](#) for more information, examples and instructions)*

3

**Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)**

*Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the Programme/Project components (refer to the [RACs guidance note](#) for more information, examples and instructions)*

- 4 Mitigation and Recovery
- 4.1 Mitigation/Recovery
- 4.1.3 Environment Restoration
- 4.1.4 Waste Management

### **Programme/Project Components**

*Indicate the specific Programme/Project components, if known, as specified in the related documentation.*

*Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no.*

**CONS-O-18.**

#### **2. Implementation of rehabilitation and conservation measures**

**Currency, Amount**

*Indicate the currency denomination (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)*

*Indicate the amount allocated to each Programme/Project component (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)*

47000 US Dollar

**Rio Marker for desertification**

*Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to each Programme/Project component (refer to the [Rio Markers guidance note](#) for more information, examples and instructions)*

3

**Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)**

*Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the Programme/Project components (refer to*

the [RACs guidance note](#) for more information, examples and instructions)

- 4 Mitigation and Recovery
- 4.1 Mitigation/Recovery
- 4.1.3 Environment Restoration

### **Programme/Project Components**

Indicate the specific Programme/Project components, if known, as specified in the related documentation.

Note: This information should be taken into account in the computation of performance indicator no.

CONS-O-18.

#### **3. Onsite Training and Extension Services**

**Currency, Amount**

Indicate the currency denomination (e.g. EUR, USD, YEN, etc.)

Indicate the amount allocated to each Programme/Project component (numeric field. Do not use abbreviations, symbols or decimals)

36000 US Dollar

**Rio Marker for desertification**

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to each Programme/Project component (refer to the [Rio Markers guidance note](#) for more information, examples and instructions)

3

**Relevant Activity Codes (RACs)**

Indicate all the Relevant Activity Codes (RACs) that may apply to the Programme/Project components (refer to the [RACs guidance note](#) for more information, examples and instructions)

- 2 Capacity Development and Planning
- 2.2 Enabling Activities
- 2.2.1 Capacity-Building
- 2.2.11 Services and Infrastructure
- 2.2.2 Community Development
- 2.2.4 Action Programmes
- 2.2.7 Mainstreaming
- 2.2.9 Project Development

### **Expected or achieved results**

Provide information on the results achieved or expected from the implementation of the Programme/Project (max 100 words).

- 1. Replanting of the quarry site is completed.
- 2. Illegal dumping of waste in the quarry site cleared and banned.
- 3. Site rehabilitated and declared as a National Reserve (Urban greenzone)
- 4. Demonstration of nursery, tree planting, and other relevant quarry rehabilitation activities completed.
- 5. Public awareness, media and educational activities on capacities for rehabilitating degraded lands through community and government collective action demonstrated and reported.

### **Sources of information**

Specify the sources used to extract the information provided above (add as many rows as necessary). If reporting online, you may also upload relevant documents.

- Vaitele Rehabilitation Progress Report  
Annual Report 2008 and 2009 of the Ministry of Natural Resources and the Environment

**Attachments:**

none

# Additional Information

## F. Additional information

The section on additional information is meant to provide an instrument of flexibility in the reporting exercise as well as to enrich the knowledge base of the CRIC on concrete issues faced by affected country Parties and consequently to make more targeted and specific recommendations to the COP. It allows affected country Parties to comment or report upon issues that are not covered elsewhere but that are nevertheless of importance at the national level or within the framework of the implementation of The Strategy and the Convention.

The additional information section allows feedback to be received on the reporting process and on the implementation of NAPs as well as lessons learnt, problems, constraints and bottlenecks faced in terms of human and financial resources. It is also meant to accommodate ad hoc COP requests for reporting on specific topics or new reporting requirements deriving from COP deliberations that may supersede existing ones and imply changes in implementation.

The proposed template for reporting is adjusted to the mandate of affected country Parties within the framework of the Convention, as requested by decision 13/COP.9, paragraph 17.

## Reporting process-related issues

---

### **Financial resources**

Could your country count on sufficient financial resources to meet UNCCD reporting obligations?

**Yes**

**Provide an estimate of the amount invested from your country's national budget into the UNCCD reporting process.**

**150000 US Dollar**

---

### **Human resources**

How many people were involved in your country in the UNCCD reporting process?

Number of people

**20**

**Estimate the total number of person/day dedicated by these persons to the UNCCD reporting process:**

Number of person/day

**20**

---

### **Knowledge**

Could your country count on sufficient technical and scientific knowledge to meet UNCCD reporting obligations?

**No**

---

### **Coordination**

Was coordination with the relevant implementing agencies satisfactory in order to apply for necessary funds?

**No**

**Was coordination at the national level with the relevant line ministries satisfactory in order to comprehensively and coherently report?**

**No**

---

### **Participation and consultation**

Was a participatory or consultative approach applied to involve all relevant stakeholders in the reporting process?

**Yes**

---

***Validation meeting***

Was a validation meeting held as a tool to integrate stakeholders in the reporting process?

**No**

---

***Subregional and regional processes***

Did your country actively contribute to the subregional and regional reporting processes?

**Yes**

---

***PRAIS portal***

If you are reporting online, did you receive sufficient training on access and utilization of the PRAIS portal?

**Yes**

## Accommodation of specific requests within COP decisions

---

### **Report on specific COP requests – iterative process on indicators**

Decision 13/COP.9, paragraphs 2, 3 and 24, envisages an iterative process to refine the set of performance indicators provisionally adopted by the same decision. As a tool to implement this iterative process, affected country Parties can provide here their suggestions and recommendations for improvement.

Tick the cells only when you have experienced difficulties in reporting on one, or more, indicator(s). Indicate against which of the e-SMART criteria the indicator(s) needs to be improved.

	<b>economic</b>	<b>Specific</b>	<b>Measurable</b>	<b>Achievable</b>	<b>Relevant</b>	<b>Time-bound</b>
CONS-O-1	X					
CONS-O-3	X					
CONS-O-4	X					
CONS-O-5	X					
CONS-O-7	X					
CONS-O-8	X					
CONS-O-9	X					
CONS-O-10	X					
CONS-O-11	X					
CONS-O-13	X					
CONS-O-14	X					
CONS-O-16	X					
CONS-O-17	X					
CONS-O-18	X					

## Reporting on the implementation of NAP

---

*Which is the percentage of activities included in the NAP that are currently implemented?*

1-30%

---

### Human resources

---

*Lessons learnt (report on the 2 most important only)*

1

Its crucial to raise full awareness and understanding of stakeholders on the new UNCCD strategy and monitoring system to generate sufficient support for collecting information and completing the report in the PRAIS system.

2

Its crucial to have full access online of the key national stakeholders on relevant components relevant to their responsibilities to facilitate the inputting of information in the PRAIS system.

---

*Problems, constraints and bottlenecks currently faced by your country (report on the 2 most important only)*

1

Weak integrated coordination to enable raising and sustaining activities and resources at the level required for effective implementation

2

Limited involvement of local communities and grassroots where the potentials are for expanding and consolidating actions and institutional capacities.

---

### Financial resources

---

*Lessons learnt (report on the 2 most important only)*

1

Limited finances and complex administrative requirements becomes barrier in accessing financial resources.

2

Limited capacities on raising and managing financial resources in general.

---

*Problems, constraints and bottlenecks currently faced by your country (report on the 2 most important only)*

1

Limited human and financial resources

2

Weak information monitoring and management

## Any other country-specific issues

Has your country any specific issue to bring to the attention of the Conference of the Parties?

Yes

If yes, please specify under which of the following broad categories it can be classified.

**Category**

Capacity-building and awareness-raising

**Other (specify) (max 30 words)**

DLDD and SLM monitoring and assessment/research

Knowledge management and decision support

Funding/resource mobilization

Participation; collaboration; networking

Policy, legislative, institutional framework

**Narrative description**

Systematic process and programmes to raise human resources and increase involvement of grassroots peoples and communities in implementation.

**Category**

DLDD and SLM monitoring and assessment/research

**Other (specify) (max 30 words)**

**No answer provided**

**Narrative description**

Development of integrated national monitoring and reviewing systems for environmental management covering the three Rio Conventions implementations as part of the country's national development planning processes.

**Category**

Policy; legislative; institutional framework

**Other (specify) (max 30 words)**

**No answer provided**

**Narrative description**

Development of more coherent and integrated policy frameworks covering lands, climate, biodiversity resources management and human society development.

**Category**

Participation; collaboration; networking

**Other (specify) (max 30 words)**

**No answer provided**

**Narrative description**

Educational and public awareness programmes covering the three Rio Conventions to progress universal participation of the masses and local communities in implementation and decision making.



# Best Practices

## G. Best practices

According to decision 13/COP.9, Annex V, UNCCD best practices shall be collected according to seven themes: 1. SLM technologies, including adaptation; 2. Capacity building and awareness raising; 3. DLDD and SLM monitoring and assessment/research; 4. Knowledge management and decision support; 5. Policy, legislative, institutional framework; 6. Funding/resource mobilization; 7. Participation, collaboration and networking.

While themes 2 to 7 represent different elements of the enabling environment needed for the implementation and dissemination/up-scaling of sustainable land management (SLM) technologies (indirect impact), theme 1 comprises all actions on the ground that have a direct impact on desertification, land degradation and drought mitigation.

In particular, as specified in document ICCD/CRIC(8)/5/Add.5, paragraph 12, theme 1 'SLM technologies, including adaptation' refers to SLM technologies that directly contribute to the prevention, mitigation and rehabilitation of desertification and land degradation on cropland, grazing land and woodland, with the aim of improving the livelihoods of affected populations and conserving ecosystem services. Successful implementation of SLM technologies is the base for achieving strategic objectives 1, 2 and 3 of The Strategy. Theme 1 also integrates five of the strategic areas defined by decision 8/COP.4, namely: (a) sustainable land use management, including water, soil and vegetation in affected areas; (b) sustainable use and management of rangelands; (c) development of sustainable agricultural and ranching production systems; (d) development of new and renewable energy sources; and (e) launching of reforestation/afforestation programmes/ intensification of soil conservation programmes.

ICCD/CRIC(8)/5/Add.5 provides definitions for 'practice', 'good practice' and 'best practice'. These definitions are included in the common glossary that shall be referred to by Parties and other reporting entities while reporting to UNCCD, according to decision 13/COP.9, paragraph 8.

The template for reporting is based on the general structure for the documentation of best practices contained in ICCD/CRIC(8)/5/Add.5, paragraphs 40 to 43; it is tailored to the documentation of best practices related to theme 1 'SLM technologies, including adaptation'.

## Best Practice #1 — Organic farming and certification of organic farms to international standards

---

### Property rights

Clarify if the technology described in the template, or a part of it, is covered by property rights:

**No**

**If yes, please provide relevant information on the holder of the rights.**

**(max 100 words)**

**No answer provided**

---

### Section 1. Context of the best practice: frame conditions (natural and human environment)

---

#### Title of the best practice

**Organic farming and certification of organic farms to international standards**

---

#### Location (if available, also include a map)

**Savaii, Upolu and Manono**

#### Attachments:

none

---

**If the location has well defined boundaries, specify its extension in hectares**

Hectares (ha)

**10282**

---

**Estimated population living in the location**

Number of people

**180000**

---

**Prevailing land use within the specified location**

- Cropland
- Grazing land
- Woodland
- Unproductive land
- Human settlement

**Other (specify) (max 30 words)**

**No answer provided**

---

**Brief description of the natural environment within the specified location**

Climate: (max 50 words)

**Tropical**

**Soil: (max 50 words)**

Soils are mostly of volcanic origin and are generally clay and textured, free draining, porous and relatively shallow. The parent materials of most soils is olivien basalt.

**Topography: (max 50 words)**

The topography of the islands are mainly rugged in nature with the coastline characteristically undulating in parts.

---

**Prevailing socio-economic conditions of those living in the location and/or nearby**

Income level: (max 50 words)

The income level of most families living in locations of the project are relatively low to middle income level.

**Main income sources: (max 50 words)**

The main income sources for some of the families are from employment in the private sector and some are themselves self-employed with high dependency on agriculture as backbone source of their income.

**Land tenure and land use rights: (max 50 words)**

The majority of the people own lands as freehold and customary and have exclusive rights of access and use of own land as they see fit.

---

**Short description of the best practice**

max 250 words

Organic farms are considered best forms of agricultural practices in working the lands in a sustainable manner and it is recognized internationally as the standard to relive and revive for people to readopt. It is promoted in such a way so countries could return to working the land using natural organic means of promoting soil fertility than relying on modern technology such as use of fertilizers and other mechanical means.

Organic agriculture is a production system that sustains the health of soils, ecosystems and people. It relies on ecological processes, biodiversity and cycles adapted to local conditions, rather than the use of inputs with adverse effects. Organic agriculture combines tradition, innovation and science to benefit the shared environment and promote fair relationships and a good quality of life for all involved.."

—International Federation of Organic Agriculture Movements

---

**On the basis of which criteria and/or indicator(s) (not related to The Strategy) the proposed practice and**

*corresponding technology has been considered as 'best'?*

max 100 words

This practice is an SLM type of technology and is highly encouraged at all fronts of agriculture for people to return to and adopt. Organic farming is the form of agriculture that relies on techniques such as crop rotation, green manure, compost and biological pest control to maintain soil productivity and control pests on a farm. Organic farming excludes or strictly limits the use of manufactured fertilizers and pesticides, plant growth regulators such as hormones, livestock antibiotics, food additives, and genetically modified organisms.[1]

Organic agricultural methods are internationally regulated and legally enforced by many nations, based in large part on the standards set by the International Federation of Organic Agriculture Movements (IFOAM), an international umbrella organization for organic farming organizations established in 1972.

---

## Section 2. Problems addressed (direct and indirect causes) and objectives of the best practice

---

*With respect to DLDD, the best practice directly contributes to:*

- Prevention
  - Mitigation
  - Adaptation
  - Rehabilitation
- 

*Main problems addressed by the best practice*

(max 50 words)

- Heavy use of chemicals by farmers and excessive weeding
  - Burning of rubbish rather than mulching or composting
  - Large incidence of Non-communicable diseases because of poor nutrition
  - Move from traditional farming methods to the use of chemicals being seen as the 'new way to farm'
  - Lack of markets for agricultural products
  - Climate change and contributing factors of current farming practices
- 

*Outline specific land degradation problems addressed by the best practice*

max 100 words

One problem is transitional problems that occur when introduce organic farming for the first time. When changing from using conventional farming techniques to organic methods, it can take a while for the soil to adjust to the loss of chemical nourishment. Soil goes through both biological and chemical changes when the transition from chemical to organic fertilizer is made, and it can take the soil quite a while to adjust. Because of this, plants often go through a few cycles of poor yields before producing at peak capacity when transitioning from chemical based fertilizers to organic nutrition.

The best way to mitigate problems that might arise is to follow a sound nutrient management program. It's important to think about proper soil nutrition and the effects of changing from chemical to organic plant nutrition long before crop production season arrives.

---

*Specify the objectives of the best practice*

(max 50 words)

- To encourage and enhance biological cycles within the farming system
- To maintain and increase long-term fertility in soils
- To use, as far as possible, renewable resources in locally organized production systems
- To minimize all forms of pollution
- To enable value addition at the farm gate giving the small farmers maximum benefits for their work

- To enable rural families to earn an income where they live and utilize the most abundant and available resource - agriculture
- 

### Section 3. Activities

---

#### ***Brief description of main activities, by objective***

##### **Objective 1**

(max 50 words)

- • to interact in a constructive and life enhancing way with all natural systems and cycles in order to encourage and enhance biological cycles within the farming system, involving micro organisms, soil flora and fauna, plants and animals.

##### ***Objective 2***

(max 50 words)

- - in order to maintain and increase long-term fertility of soils it is highly important to promote the healthy use and proper care of water, water resources and all life therein. This would largely help in the conservation of soil and water

##### ***Objective 3***

(max 50 words)

- - To use renewable resources in a locally organized agricultural systems we need to work, as far as possible, within a closed system with regard to organic matter and nutrient elements and to work, as far as possible, with materials and substances which can be reused or recycled on farm or elsewhere

##### ***Objective 4***

(max 50 words)

- to give all livestock conditions of life which allow them to perform the basic aspects of their innate behaviour;
- to minimize all forms of pollution that may result from agricultural practices;
- to maintain the genetic diversity of the agricultural system and its surroundings, including the protection of plant and wildlife habitats;
- to allow everyone involved in organic production and processing a quality of life conforming to the UN Human Rights Charter, to cover their basic needs and obtain an adequate return and satisfaction from their work, including a safe working environment;
- to consider the wider social and ecological impact of the farming system; to produce non-food products from renewable resources, which are fully biodegradable; to encourage organic agriculture associations to function along democratic lines and the principle of division of powers;

---

#### ***Short description of the technology***

max 250 words

**Organic farming methods combine scientific knowledge and modern technology with traditional farming practices based on thousands of years of agriculture.**

**In general, organic methods rely on naturally occurring biological processes, which often take place over extended periods of time, and a holistic approach. Crop diversity is a distinctive characteristic of organic farming.**

**Organic farming is a form of sustainable agriculture because it integrates three main goals: environmental**

stewardship, farm profitability, and prosperous farming communities.

---

**Technical specifications of the technology - if any**

max 250 words

There are of course technical specifications of the technology but this is something which can be clearly identified such as fat binding properties etc

---

**Section 4. Institutions/actors involved (collaboration, participation, role of stakeholders)**

---

**Name and address of the institution developing the technology**

**Name**

Women in Business Development Incorporated

**Address**

**No answer provided**

---

**Was the technology developed in partnership?**

Yes

**If yes, list the partners:**

- National Association for Sustainable Agriculture
  - Federation for Organic Agriculture Movements
  - Secretariat of the South Pacific Community (SPC)
  - Food and Agriculture Organization
  - Oxfam New Zealand
  - New Zealand Aid Programme
- 

**Specify the framework within which the technology was promoted**

- Local initiative

**Other (specify) (max 30 words)**

Although this with a national initiative, the programme is now a regional one currently in six PI Countries sharing best practices and learning from the mistakes made

---

**Was the participation of local stakeholders, including CSOs, fostered in the development of the technology**

Yes

**If yes, list local stakeholders involved:**

- Village Families
  - Farmers
  - Local processors
  - Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries
  - Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment
  - Ministry of Women, Community and Social Development
- 

**For the stakeholders listed above, specify their role in the design, introduction, use and maintenance of the technology, if any.**

max 250 words

The village families and farmers are part of the program because they have an interest in earning an income and farming organically. Local processors especially nonu-processors have been involved because they buy the organically certificated nonu fruit from the organic farmers. Some support has been given from Government Ministries but this has been minimal and a working relationship has not been well developed.

WIBDI started a green composting project within MNRE to complement the organic programme, utilizing green waste at the landfill, but this has not developed well as green waste was not separated well, and still contained foreign objects that cannot be used in composting

---

**Was the population living in the location and/or nearby involved in the development of the technology?**

Yes

**If yes, by means of what?**

- Consultation
- Participatory approaches
- Other

**Other (specify) (max 30 words)**

Farmers by their commitment to organic farming and putting all the processes in place for organic certification

---

## **Section 5. Contribution to impact**

---

**Specify to which strategic objectives of The Strategy the technology contributes**

(more than one box can be ticked)

- 1. To improve the living conditions of affected population
  - 2. To improve the conditions of affected ecosystems
  - 3. To generate global benefits through effective implementation of the UNCCD
- 

**Describe on-site impacts (the major two impacts by category)**

Production or productivity:

1. (max 50 words)

The coconut, coffee, nonu and cocoa industries have been revived and small exports of organically certified products are reaching international markets.

2. (max 50 words)

New crops have been introduced like vanilla, herbs and other vegetables, for both local and export markets

**Socio-economic level (including cultural level):**

1. (max 50 words)

Families farming organically are now able to have value addition at the farm gate in the form of organic and fair trade certification enabling them to earn more income

2. (max 50 words)

Maximum benefits to small farmers for their hard work

**Environmental level:**

1. (max 50 words)

Many farmers no longer use chemicals and returning to traditional ways of farming, utilizing traditional methods of managing and using land

2. (max 50 words)

Farmers now aware of the dangers to the environment of other activities like burning etc.

**Other (specify)**

1. (max 50 words)

Farmers now composting and mulching instead of weeding and burning and utilizing the rich compost for fertilizing plants

2. (max 50 words)

*Describe the major two off-site (i.e. not occurring in the location but in the surrounding areas) impacts*

1. (max 50 words)

Families bordering organic farms, using paraquat spray and affecting the organic integrity of organic farms

2. (max 50 words)

No answer provided

---

*Impact on biodiversity and climate change*

In your opinion does the best practice/technology you have proposed positively impact on biodiversity conservation?

Yes

*Explain the reasons:*

max 250 words

Farmers have been encouraged to diversify crops and have introduced crops which actually help soil health. Composting increases moisture retention capacity of soil and crops grown on composted soil resist wilting for about two weeks longer than on soil treated with chemical fertilizer

*In your opinion does the best practice/technology you have proposed positively impact on climate change mitigation?*

Yes

*Explain the reasons:*

max 250 words

Organic agriculture has considerable potential for reducing emissions of greenhouse gases. Organic agriculture in general requires less fossil fuel due to the avoidance of synthetic fertilizers. The enhanced soil fertility leads to a stabilization of soil organic matter and in many cases to a sequestration of carbon dioxide into the soils.

*In your opinion does the best practice/technology you have proposed positively impact on climate change adaptation?*

Yes

*Explain the reasons:*

max 250 words

By its nature, organic agriculture is an adaptation strategy that can be targeted at improving the livelihoods of rural populations and those parts of societies that are especially vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change and variability; and improvements via reduced financial risk, reduced indebtedness, and increased diversity. By its systemic character, OA is an integrative approach to adaptation, with potential also to work toward the United Nations Millennium Development Goals, in particular Goal 1 (“eradicate extreme poverty”) and Goal 7 (“ensure environmental sustainability”). The pivotal role agriculture plays in achievement of these goals and the challenges climate change poses to this task are widely acknowledged.

Organic agriculture, as an adaptation strategy to climate change and variability, is a concrete and promising option for rural communities and has additional potential as a mitigation strategy. Adaptation and mitigation based on organic agriculture can build on well established practice because organic agriculture is a sustainable livelihood strategy with decades of use in several climate zones and under a wide range of specific local conditions.

---

*Has a cost-benefit analysis been carried out?*

Yes

*If yes, summarize its main conclusions:*

**The benefits outweigh the costs to the project, the government and businesses. Adverse impacts on the capacity of the economy to generate wealth and employment are minimized and no unnecessary regulatory burden is imposed**

---

## **Section 6. Connection to other UNCCD themes**

---

***Specify if the technology relates to one or more of the other UNCCD themes***

- Capacity-building and awareness-raising
  - DLDD and SLM monitoring and assessment/research
  - Knowledge management and decision support
  - Policy; legislative; institutional framework
  - Funding/resource mobilization
  - Participation, collaboration and networking
- 

## **Section 7. Adoption and replicability**

---

***Was the technology disseminated/introduced to other locations?***

**Yes**

***If yes, where? (add as many rows as necessary)***

Location:

- Savaii
  - Upolu
  - Manono
- 

***Were incentives to facilitate the take up of the technology provided?***

**Yes**

***If yes, specify which type of incentives***

- Policy or regulatory incentives (for example, related to market requirements and regulations, import/export, foreign investment, research & development support, etc)
  - Financial incentives (for example, preferential rates, State aid, subsidies, cash grants, loan guarantees, etc)
- 

***Can you identify the three main conditions that led to the success of the presented best practice/technology?***

Examples of conditions leading to success may include: highly motivated local governments, farmers organized into well structured cooperatives, extremely favorable weather conditions, etc. For each 'condition of success' you are able to identify, specify whether in your opinion such condition is: (a) linked to the local context and thus cannot be replicated elsewhere; (b) replicable elsewhere with some level of adaptation; (c) replicable elsewhere with major adaptation.

1. (max 50 words)

**highly motivated government who is in support of sustainable agriculture cause as is good enough for the environment and poverty alleviation elements in society and in line with MDGs**

- They provide training in the basics of organic productions.
- They help monitor compliance with international standards.

2. (max 50 words)

**The importance of farmers' organizations will make or break the success of small organic farmers.**

- They allow farmers to take advantage of economies of scale through collective marketing; buyers are far more willing to deal with associations rather than a plethora of individual farmers. They reduce certification



costs for individual members and certification agencies that only have to carry out sample inspections rather than inspections of all farmers.

3. (max 50 words)

Clearly, organic agriculture should not be considered as a panacea for reducing poverty in any environment at any time. However, in areas where conditions favour the adoption of organic agriculture by small farmers, it can provide a long-term solution to poverty, while reducing migration, and improving the health conditions and the environment for entire communities

---

*In your opinion, the best practice/technology you have proposed can be replicated, although with some level of adaptation, elsewhere?*

Yes

*If yes, at which level?*

- Local
  - Sub-national
  - National
  - Subregional
  - Regional
  - International
- 

## Section 8. Lessons learned

---

*Related to human resources*

(max 50 words)

- Organic agriculture is a technology which pools together human resources required and shall as appropriate highly strengthening and motivating the area of institutional strengthening of managing the technology.
- 

*Related to financial aspects*

(max 50 words)

- Financial aspects are highly considered important in this project in ensuring long-term success and sustainability of the technology
- 

*Related to technical aspects*

(max 50 words)

- The provision of technical assistance through various expertise in organic agriculture is highly crucial in ensuring that this aspect in terms of demands and need is continuing in the management consideration process.

# Submission Form

Submission Form	
Name of the Reporting Officer *	Fa'ainoino Laulala
Date of Submission *	11/25/2010 12:37:55 PM
Signature	
Name of the Authorizing Officer	Aiono Mose Sua
Date of Authorization	24/11/2010
Signature	

